Applied Organometallic Chemistry

COMMUNICATION

NCC Pincer Ni (II) Complexes Catalyzed Hydrophosphination of Nitroalkenes with Diphenylphosphine

Revised: 10 June 2020

Jing Yan	Yan-Bing Wang	Senyao Hou	Ι	Linlin Shi	L	Xinju Zhu 🗅	
Xin-Qi Hao	Mao-Ping Song						

College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 of Science Road, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450001, P. R. China

Correspondence

Xinju Zhu, College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 of Science Road, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China. Email: zhuxinju@zzu.edu.cn

Mao-Ping Song College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 of Science Road, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, P. R. China. Email: mpsong@zzu.edu.cn

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Numbers: 21672192 and 21803059 and 21929101 and U1904212

1 | INTRODUCTION

Pincer complexes are important class of well-defined structures supported by tridentate ligands, which exhibits superior performance in catalytic transformations via suitable choice of transition metals as well as fine modifications of steric and electronic effects of ligands.^[1] Up to now, a series of NCN, PCP, NNC, PNP, PNN, and other tridentate metal complexes have been successfully synthesized through variations of donor moieties.^[2] In special, imidazolines have been recognized as representative nitrogen donor moieties,^[3] which have been utilized in Morita-Baylis-Hillman reactions, alkynylation of trifluoropyruvates, alkylations of phthalan, and sequential hydroboration/hydrogenation of internal alkynes.^[4] Recently, N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have also demonstrated superior σ -donating ability form stable metal-NHC complexes.^[5] to

An efficient NCC pincer Ni (II)-catalyzed hydrophosphination of nitroalkenes with diphenylphosphine has been developed. Under the optimized conditions, both (hetero)aromatic and aliphatic nitroalkenes were well tolerated, irrespective of electronic effect, to provide the corresponding products in up to 99% yield.

K E Y W O R D S

Hydrophosphination, NCC pincer Ni (II) complexes, Nitroalkenes

Consequently, the combination of imidazoline and NHC moieties around metal center enables generation of efficient and stable catalysts with superior catalytic reactivity.

Organophosphorus compounds are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis, pharmaceuticals, and materials science.^[6] Meanwhile, they served as important ligands in transition-metal catalyzed and organocatalytic reactions.^[7] Moreover, phosphorus moieties could also be utilized as ortho-directing groups to facilitate regioselective C-H activation.^[8] Recently, great effort has been made to prepare P-containing compounds,^[9] mainly including metal catalyzed cross-coupling of prefunctionalized arenes,^[10] C-H functionalization of aromatics and other substrates,^[11] and difunctionalization of alkenes^[12] and alkynes^[13] with various phosphorus precursors. Among these categories, metal-catalyzed hydrophosphination of alkeneand alkynes represents an 2 of 6 WILEY Organometalli Chemistry

efficient methodology for the preparation of functionalized phosphorus products.^[14–16] Specially, pincer complexes catalyzed hydrophosphination of electrondeficient alkenes with secondary phosphines has been well established by the group of Duan, Leung, Pullarkat, Zhang, and Song groups.^[17–21] Despite the above elegant work, most of the reported systems rely on precious palladium metal. It is thus highly desirable to develop earthabundant metal catalyzed hydrophosphination.

Our group has been interested in synthesizing novel pincer-type complexes and exploring the corresponding catalytic activity.^[17c,21] Recently, we have also developed imidazoline- and imidazopyridine-based pincer complexes, which exhibited good activity in Friedel-Crafts alkylation, Suzuki-Miyaura reaction, transfer hydrogenations, and other applications.^[22,23] As the continuation of our previous work, we herein firstly developed NCC pincer Ni (II) complex **2a** catalyzed hydrophosphination of nitroalkenes (Scheme 1).

2 | EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 | Synthesis of complexes Ni (II) complex 2 g

In a 25 ml two-necked Schlenk tube were added NCC ligand precursor (0.25 g, 0.44 mmol), NaOAc (0.36 g, 4.40 mmol), and NiCl₂ (0.11 g, 0.77 mmol) in dry DMAc (10 ml). The mixture was refluxed under an Ar atmosphere for 48 hr. After removal of organic solvent, the residue was purified by passing through a short column containing a layer of Celite and a layer of silica with dichloromethane as the eluent. The desired products **2** g were purified once again by preparative TLC on silica gel plates with CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc = 1/1 as the eluent.

Yield: 17% (0.043 g). $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{\rm D} = +160^{\circ}$ (c 0.25, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.42–7.27 (m, 7H), 7.21 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.01–6.90 (m, 2H), 6.72 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (dd, J = 6.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (m, 1H), 4.46 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.39 (t, $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}). {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 168.7, 168.3, 144.3, 141.0, 137.8, 136.1, 135.1, 129.9, 129.1, 128.7, 127.3, 127.0, 126.6126.3, 123.2, 121.0, 120.5, 113.1, 110.5, 80.2, 72.1, 44.6, 21.1, 16.8. HRMS (positive ESI): <math>[\text{M} - \text{Cl}]^+$ calcd for $C_{33}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}_4\text{Ni}$ 539.1746, found 539.1735.

2.2 | General procedure for the synthesis of nitroalkenes (3a-r)^[24]

To a stirred mixture of aldehyde derivatives (10 mmol) and nitromethane (11 mmol) in methanol (5 ml) at 0 °C was added an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (5 M, 4 ml) over a period of 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C to 5 °C for another 30 min. After warmed to room temperature, the reaction was completed in 1–12 hr, which was monitored by TLC. Next, the reaction mixture was mixed with ice water (2 ml) and poured over crushed ice containing concentrated HCl (5 M, 10 ml). The yellow precipitation was filtered, dried in a vacuum desiccator, and crystallized from hot EtOH to give the corresponding niroalkenes **3**.

2.3 | General procedure for Hydrophosphination

To a mixture of KOAc (1.96 mg, 10 µmol) and **2a** (4.5 mg, 10 µmol) in 1,2,3-trichloropropane (2 ml) was added diphenylphosphine **4a** (17.0 µL, 0.10 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at -20 °C for 30 min. After addition of trans- β -nitrostyrene **3** (0.15 mmol), the solution was stirred at -20 °C for 12 hr, followed by directly oxidation by H₂O₂ aqueous solution (30%, 40 µL). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for another 2 hr. Then saturated NaCl aqueous solution (0.15 ml) was added and the solution was extracted with dichloromethane. The organic phase was collected and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After removal of organic solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/EA = 2/1 as eluent to afford the product **5**.



SCHEME 1 Ni (ll) complex 3a catalyzed hydrophosphination of nitroalkenes

3 | **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1 | Catalytic investigation

Pincer Pd and Ni complexes 1 and 2 were prepared according to previous report by our group.^[22a] In addition, complex 2 g was new compound and fully characinvestigation terized. Our initial started with hydrophosphination of trans- β -nitrostyrene 3a with diphenylphosphine 4a in the presence of Pd and Ni complexes (Table 1). To our delight, the desire product 5a could be obtained in the range of 65-94% yield when different catalyst was employed. In general, Pd (II) complexes 1a-g demonstrates superior reactivity compared with Ni (II) complexes 2a-g. For Pd (II) complexes, 1b exhibited the best performance to afford 5a in 94% yield (Table 1, entry 2), while Ni (II) complex 2a could also provide 5a in 91% yield (Table 1, entry 8). Considering the low toxicity and inexpensiveness of Ni complex, catalyst 2a was chosen for further evaluation. Meanwhile, H₂O₂ was added at the work-up step to obtain an oxidephosphine complex due to the oxygen sensitivity of the phosphine group.

Subsequently, the effect of base, solvent, temperature, and molar ratio was systematically evaluated (Table 2).

Initially, various base, such as t-BuONa, KOAc, NaOAc, and Na₂CO₃, were employed, indicating NaOAc is the best choice (Table 2, entries 1-4). Either increasing or decreasing temperature is detrimental to reaction efficiency (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). When the reaction was performed under air, no corresponding product could be detected, probably due to the oxygen sensitivity of the phosphine group (Table 2, entry 7).^[18b] Next, various solvent, including CH₂Cl₂, 1,2,3-trichloropropane, acetone, THF, CH₃CN, and toluene, were examined (Table 2, entries 8-13). When 1,2,3-trichloropropane was utilized, product 5a could be obtained in up to 99% yield (Table 2, entry 9). Finally, the molar ratio of 3a/4a was investigated. Decreasing the molar ratio of 3a/4a to 1/1 led to low reaction efficiency (Table 2, entry 14), while increasing the molar ration of 3a/4a to 2/1 gave no increased efficiency (Table 2, entry 15). More details of hydrophosphination investigation are provided in Supporting Information (Tables S1-S4).

With the optimized conditions in hand, the substrate scope of nitroalkenes and phosphines was investigated (Scheme 2). Initially, aromatic nitroalkenes with substituents at the *para-*, *meta-*, and *ortho*-positions were examined. Both electron-rich (OMe and Me) and electron-deficient (F, Cl, and Br) substrates were well

$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \\$							
Entry	Complex	Yield (%)	Entry	Complex	Yield (%)		
1	1a	92	8	2a	91		
2	1b	94	9	2b	75		
3	1c	91	10	2c	64		
4	1d	92	11	2d	77		
5	1e	81	12	2e	65		
6	1f	89	13	2 f	71		
7	1 g	93	14	2 g	78		

TABLE 1Optimization of reaction conditions^a

^aReaction conditions: **3a** (0.15 mmol), **4a** (0.10 mmol), pincer complex (10 mol%), NaOAc (10 mol%), CHCl₃, -20 °C, 12 hr; then H₂O₂ (2.5 equiv), -20 °C to r.t., 2 hr. Isolated yields.

TABLE 2 Optimization of reaction conditions^a

	NO ₂ + Ph ₂ PH <u>1)</u> 3a 4a	$\xrightarrow{\text{complex 2a}}_{2) \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2} \xrightarrow{\text{Ph}_{P_2}^{P_2}\text{O}}_{5a}$		
Entry	Solvent	Base	T [°C]	Yield (%)
1	CHCl ₃	t-BuONa	-20	91
2	CHCl ₃	KOAc	-20	91
3	CHCl ₃	NaOAc	-20	93
4	CHCl ₃	Na ₂ CO ₃	-20	66
5	CHCl ₃	NaOAc	30	57
6	CHCl ₃	NaOAc	-40	82
7 ^b	CHCl ₃	NaOAc	-20	N.R.
8	CH ₂ Cl ₂	NaOAc	-20	90
9	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	NaOAc	-20	99
10	Acetone	NaOAc	-20	77
11	THF	NaOAc	-20	61
12	CH ₃ CN	NaOAc	-20	79
13	Toluene	NaOAc	-20	59
14 ^c	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	NaOAc	-20	58
15 ^d	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	NaOAc	-20	99

^aReaction conditions: **3a** (0.15 mmol), **4a** (0.10 mmol), complex **2a** (10 mol%), base (10 mol%), 12 hr; then H_2O_2 (2.5 equiv), -20 °C to r.t., 2 hr. Isolated yields.

^bin the air.

°**3a** (0.10 mmol), **4a** (0.10 mmol). ^d **3a** (0.20 mmol), **4a** (0.10 mmol).

tolerated to afford the corresponding products **5a-1** in 73–99% yields. The molecular structure of product **5** k was further confirmed by X-ray analysis, which is provided in Supporting Information (Figure S1 and Table S5). Also, dimethoxy-substituted nitroalkene could react with diphenylphosphine to provide **5** m in 77% yield. Next, heteroaromatic and 2-naphthyl-substituted nitroalkenes were also employed, which generated products **5n-p** in 80–94% yield. Moreover, the current protocol could be applied to aliphatic nitroalkenes to deliver products **5q** and **5r** in 81% and 87% yields, respectively. Finally, bis (adamant-1-yl)phosphine was also proved to be a suitable substrate, which reacted with nitroalkenes to furnish the corresponding products **5** s-v in 40–80% yields.

On the basis of previous literatures,^[17–21] a plausible mechanism was proposed (Scheme 3). Initially, replacement of chloride in Ni (II) complex **2a** by OAc would afford Ni-OAc complex **I**, which underwent transphosphination reaction with Ph₂PH **4a** to give Ni-PPh₂ complex **II**. Subsequently, nucleophilic attack of nickel phosphide to nitroalkene **3a**^[25] would generate a neutral nitro-nickel intermediate **III** with increased reactivity,^[26] which might equilibrate with the zwitterionic Ni-phosphine complex **IV**. Finally, the protonolysis of intermediate **IV** in the presence of HOAc would provide the desired product **5a** accompanied with regeneration of reactive Ni species **I**.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed pincer Ni (II)-catalyzed of 1,4-addition of diphenylphosphine to nitroalkenes, generating the corresponding phosphinated products in good to excellent yield. The current protocol exhibits several characteristics, including earth-abundant transition-metal, broad substrate scope, and high efficiency.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21672192, 21803059, U1904212, and 21929101) is gratefully appreciated.



SCHEME 2 Substrate scope of nitroalkenes, ^a Reaction conditions 3 (0.15 mmol), 4(0.10 mmol), complex 2a (10 mol%), 1,2,3-trichloropropane, -20C, 12 hr, then H_2O_2 (2.5 equiv), -20 C to r.t., 2 hr Isolated yields

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jing Yan: Investigation. Yan-Bing Wang: Data curation. Senyao Hou: Data curation. XQ Hao: Conceptualization; methodology. Mao-Ping Song: Funding acquisition; supervision.





ORCID

Xinju Zhu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1966-3480

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Morales-Morales, *Pincer Compounds: Chemistry and Applications*, Elsevier, Amsterdam **2018**.
- [2] a) M. Albrecht, G. van Koten, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3750; b) J.-L. Niu, X.-Q. Hao, J.-F. Gong, M.-P. Song, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 5135; c) C. Gunanathan, D. Milstein, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 12024; d) M. E. O'Reilly, A. S. Veige, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6325; e) P. J. Chirik, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1687; f) S. Murugesan, K. Kirchner, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 416; g) A. Kumar, T. M. Bhatti, A. S. Goldman, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 12357; h) C. Wei, Y. He, X. Shi, Z. Song, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2019, 385, 1.
- [3] H. Liu, D.-M. Du, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 489.
- [4] a) K. Hyodo, S. Nakamura, N. Shibata, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10337; b) T. Wang, J.-L. Niu, S.-L. Liu, J.-J. Huang, J.-F. Gong, M.-P. Song, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 927; c) M. Kondo, T. Nishi, T. Hatanaka, Y. Funahashi, S. Nakamura, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8198; d) N. M. Weldy, A. G. Schafer, C. P. Owens, C. J. Herting, A. Varela-Alvarez, S. Chen, Z. Niemeyer, D. G. Musaev, M. S. Sigman, H. M. L. Davies, S. B. Blakey, Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 3142; e) M. Kondo, H. Saito, S. Nakamura, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 6776; f) J. Guo, B. Cheng, X. Shen, Z. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 15316.
- [5] a)V. Charra, P. de Frémont, P. Braunstein, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2017, 341, 53; b) S. Hameury, P. de Frémont, P. Braunstein, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 632; c) E. Peris, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 9988.

6 of 6 WILEY Organometallic

- [6] a) T. Baumgartner, R. Réau, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, 106, 4681; b) X.-Q. Pan, J.-P. Zou, W.-B. Yi, W. Zhang, *Tetrahedron* 2015, 71, 7481; c) G. P. Horsman, D. L. Zechel, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, 117, 5704; d) S.-I. Kawaguchi, A. Ogawa, *Asian J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 8, 1164.
- [7] a)W. Tang, X. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 3029; b)
 H. Fernández-Pérez, P. Etayo, A. Panossian, A. Vidal-Ferran, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 2119.
- [8] a) L. Y. Chan, L. Cheong, S. Kim, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2186; b)
 D. Zhao, C. Nimphius, M. Lindale, F. Glorius, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4504.
- [9] a) J.-L. Montchamp, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 77; b)
 A. J. Kendall, D. R. Tyler, Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 12473.
- [10] a)R. Zhuang, J. Xu, Z. Cai, G. Tang, M. Fang, Y. F. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2110; b) J. Yang, T. Chen, L.-B. Han, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1782; c) Q. Dai, W. Li, Z. Li, J. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 20556.
- [11] a) C.-G. Feng, M. Ye, K.-J. Xiao, S. Li, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9322; b) Y. Yuan, J. Qiao, Y. Cao, J. Tang, M. Wang, G. Ke, Y. Lu, X. Liu, A. Lei, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 4230.
- [12] a) Y.-M. Li, M. Sun, H.-L. Wang, Q.-P. Tian, S.-D. Yang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3972; b) Y.-L. Zhu, D.-C. Wang, B. Jiang, W.-J. Hao, P. Wei, A.-F. Wang, J.-K. Qiu, S.-J. Tu, Org. Chem. Front. 2016, 3, 385.
- [13] a) M. Kamitani, M. Itazaki, C. Tamiya, H. Nakazawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11932; b) Y.-R. Chen, W.-L. Duan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16745; c) Y. Gao, G. Lu, P. Zhang, L. Zhang, G. Tang, Y. F. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1242.
- [14] a) S. A. Pullarkat, P.-H. Leung, *Top. Organomet. Chem.* 2011, 43, 145; b) L. Rosenberg, *ACS Catal.* 2013, 3, 2845; c) V. Koshti, S. Gaikwad, S. H. Chikkali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2014, 265, 52; d) C. A. Bange, R. Waterman, *Chem. A Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 12598.
- [15] a) C. A. Bange, M. A. Conger, B. T. Novas, E. R. Young, M. D. Liptak, R. Waterman, *ACS Catal.* 2018, *8*, 6230; b)
 Y. Zhang, X. Wang, Y. Wang, D. Yuan, Y. Yao, *Dalton Trans.* 2018, *47*, 9090; c) Z. Lu, H. Zhang, Z. Yang, N. Ding, L. Meng, J. Wang, *ACS Catal.* 2019, *9*, 1457.
- [16] a) C. A. Bange, R. Waterman, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6413; b)
 T. Chen, C.-Q. Zhao, L.-B. Han, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2139; c) V. A. Pollard, A. Young, R. McLellan, A. R. Kennedy, T. Tuttle, R. E. Mulvey, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 12291.
 d) M. M. I. Basiouny, D. A. Dollard, J. A. R. Schmidt, ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 7143.
- [17] a) S. A. Pullarkat, Synthesis 2016, 48, 493; b) Z. Li, W.-L. Duan, Chin. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 36, 1805; c) J.-K. Liu, J.-F. Gong, M.-P. Song, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 6069.
- [18] a) J.-J. Feng, X.-F. Chen, M. Shi, W.-L. Duan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 5562; b) J.-J. Feng, M. Huang, Z.-Q. Lin, W.-L. Duan, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 3122; c) C. Li, Q.-L. Bian, S. Xu, W.-L. Duan, Org. Front. Chem. 2014, 1, 541; d) J. Lu, J. Ye, W.-L. Duan, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 698; e) G.-F. Dai, Y.-C. Song, F. Xiao, W.-L. Duan, Synthesis 2018, 50, 3506.

- [19] a) X.-Y. Yang, W. S. Tay, Y. Li, S. A. Pullarkat, P.-H. Leung, *Organometallics* 2015, 34, 5196; b) X.-Y. Yang, J. H. Gan, Y. Li, S. A. Pullarkat, P.-H. Leung, *Dalton Trans.* 2015, 44, 1258; c) X.-Y. Yang, W. S. Tay, Y. Li, S. A. Pullarkat, P.-H. Leung, *Chem. Commun.* 2016, 52, 4211; d) W. S. Tay, X.-Y. Yang, Y. Li, S. A. Pullarkat, P.-H. Leung, *Dalton Trans.* 2019, 48, 4602.
- [20] a) B. Ding, Z. Zhang, Y. Xu, Y. Liu, M. Sugiya, T. Imamoto,
 W. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5476; b) Y. Xu, Z. Yang, B. Ding,
 D. Liu, Y. Liu, M. Sugiya, T. Imamoto, W. Zhang, Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 6832.
- [21] a) X.-Q. Hao, Y.-W. Zhao, J.-J. Yang, J.-L. Niu, J.-F. Gong, M.-P. Song, Organometallics 2014, 33, 1801; b) X.-Q. Hao, J.-J. Huang, T. Wang, J. Lv, J.-F. Gong, M.-P. Song, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9512.
- [22] a) J. Yan, Y.-B. Wang, Z.-H. Zhu, Y. Li, X. Zhu, X.-Q. Hao, M.-P. Song, Organometallics 2018, 37, 2325; b) Y.-B. Wang, Y.-X. Liu, Z.-H. Zhu, X.-M. Zhao, B. Song, X. Zhu, X.-Q. Hao, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 2019, 23, 104; c) Z.-H. Zhu, Y. Li, Y.-B. Wang, Z.-G. Lan, X. Zhu, X.-Q. Hao, M.-P. Song, Organometallics 2019, 38, 2156.
- [23] a) K. Li, J.-L. Niu, M.-Z. Yang, Z. Li, L.-Y. Wu, X.-Q. Hao, M.-P. Song, Organometallics 2015, 34, 1170; b) F.-L. Yang, X. Zhu, D.-K. Rao, X.-N. Cao, K. Li, Y. Xu, X.-Q. Hao, M.-P. Song, RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 37093; c) F.-L. Yang, Y.-H. Wang, Y.-F. Ni, X. Gao, B. Song, X. Zhu, X.-Q. Hao, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 3481; d) X.-N. Cao, X.-M. Wan, F.-L. Yang, K. Li, X.-Q. Hao, T. Shao, X. Zhu, M.-P. Song, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 3657; e) X.-M. Wan, Z.-L. Liu, W.-Q. Liu, X.-N. Cao, X. Zhu, X.-M. Zhao, B. Song, X.-Q. Hao, G. Liu, Tetrahedron 2019, 75, 2697.
- [24] R. N. Mitra, K. Show, D. Barman, S. Sarkar, D. K. Maiti, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 42.
- [25] a) R. Jasiński, E. Jasińska, E. Dresler, J. Mol. Model. 2017, 23, 13; b) R. Jasiński, K. Mróz, A. Kącka, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 2016, 53, 1424.
- [26] a) R. Jasiński, Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 532; b) R. Jasiński, Monatsh. Chem. 2016, 147, 1207.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Yan J, Wang Y-B, Hou S, et al. NCC Pincer Ni (II) Complexes Catalyzed Hydrophosphination of Nitroalkenes with Diphenylphosphine. *Appl Organomet Chem*. 2020;e5954. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.5954</u>