C−H Activation of Ethers and Alkanes by Germylene−Aryl Halide Complexes

Karla A. Miller,[†] Jeffrey M. Bartolin,[†] Rory M. O'Neill,[†] Ryan D. Sweeder,[†] Thomas M. Owens,[†] Jeff W. Kampf,[†] Mark M. Banaszak Holl,*,[†] and Norman J. Wells[‡]

University of Michigan, Department of Chemistry, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, and Baldwin-Wallace College, Department of Chemistry, Berea, Ohio 44017

Received April 21, 2003; E-mail: mbanasza@umich.edu

Selective intermolecular C−H activations and insertions have been the focus of much research both in academia and industry.[1−3] Main group radical-based C−H activations of alkanes have focused on halogenation, sometimes under phase-transfer conditions.[4,5] Although these reactions are selective for tertiary and secondary C−H bonds, most of the tertiary activation products are unstable to the reaction conditions and are observed only in trace amounts.

We have recently discovered a novel C−H activation of ethers and alkanes using $Ge[CH(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ (**1a**) or $Ge[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$[6] (**1b**) and PhX (X = I, Br, Cl) (eq 1).

$$R_2Ge + PhX \xrightarrow[\text{20 °C}]{R'-H} \underset{R}{\overset{R}{\diagup}}Ge\underset{R'}{\overset{X}{\diagdown}} + C_6H_6 \quad (1)$$

For ethers such as tetrahydrofuran (THF) (α-C−H 92 (kcal/mol), β-C−H 97.6),[7,8] and Et_2O (α-C−H 89, β-C−H 112)[9] the weakest C−H bonds are selectively activated. Activation of the C−H bonds in 1,4-dioxane (96),[7] cyclohexane (97),[7] cyclopentane (93),[10] and butane (97, 98)[11] have also been observed (Scheme 1). Benzene,

**Scheme 1.** Activation of Alkanes and Ethers by GeR_2 and PhI

derived from the phenyl halide, is produced along with the C−H activation product. Labeling studies with deuterated solvents and PhI or 2-bromotoluene, show monodeuterio arene by GC/MS. No trace of radical coupling products was observed by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy or GC/MS for the reactions shown in Scheme 1.

The CH-activation products are synthesized by slow, room-temperature addition of **1a** or **1b** (0.02 M) dissolved in the alkane or ether of interest to an equimolar solution of PhI dissolved in the same alkane or ether. For **1a**, the optimal rate of addition can be judged by noting that the yellow-orange germylene color quickly fades to give a colorless solution before the next drop of germylene is added. The products in Scheme 1 have been characterized by ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopies, elemental analysis, and in the case of **3a**, X-ray crystallography (Figure 1a).

Oxidative addition (OA) of aryl halide to germylene is a concentration-dependent side reaction. At high concentration of

---

[†] University of Michigan.
[‡] Baldwin-Wallace College.

Figure 1. (a) ORTEP of **3a**. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ge−I, 2.5946(4); Ge−C15, 1.9866(13); C1−Ge−C8, 107.10(5); C8−Ge−C15, 117.51(5); C8−Ge−I, 106.52(4); C15−Ge−I, 102.40(4). (b) Proposed intermediate.

Scheme 2. A Proposed Mechanism for C−H Activation Invoking Free Phenyl Radical

reactants (0.2 M), the OA product, **7a**, is formed in up to 40% yield, and **7b**, in 82% yield. At low concentration of PhI (0.02 M) and **1a** (<0.03 mM) the C−H activation product is produced almost exclusively, with less than 1% of **7a** formed (as determined by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy). Related oxidative addition products have been reported for Sn and Si analogues. Lappert has investigated the reaction chemistry of the analogous stannylene $Sn[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ toward alkyl and aryl halides and reported that the reaction of $Sn[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ with PhBr in THF gives a 9:1 ratio of $[(Me_3Si)_2N]_2Sn(Ph)Br:[(Me_3Si)_2N]_2SnBr_2$.[12] Similarly, West reported that reaction of a stable silylene with PhBr in hexanes results in oxidative addition and disilane formation.[13] In neither case were the analogous CH-activation products reported.

A proposed mechanism is presented in Scheme 2. Abstraction of a hydrogen radical by free phenyl radical is not supported by key experiments reported herein. Instead, we propose that the hydrogen radical abstraction occurs at the *ipso*-carbon of species **8** as the Ge−X bond and an incipient phenyl radical form and the C−X bond breaks. This is consistent with a recent theoretical analysis of the related West silylene chemistry.[14]

The regioselectivity of this reaction has been studied using **1a** and PhI. Reaction with butane at −30 °C results in a 1°:2° ratio of 0.08: 1 and reaction with methylcyclopentane at 20 °C gives a 2°:3° ratio of 1: 7.1. These ratios indicate a radical-like selectivity related to that observed for free phenyl radical generated by phenylazotriphenylmethane (PAT) at 60 °C (0.11−0.13:1.01; and 1:4.8 respectively).[15]

A difference in reactivity is observed when the halide is varied. Virtually all **1a** is consumed instantly upon addition to a PhI/THF solution. When PhBr is used, the reaction takes 3 days to go to completion. In the case of PhCl, the reaction is 33% complete after 12 days at room temperature, or complete after 2 days at reflux. This suggests that cleavage of the C−X bond is involved in the rate-limiting step.

Differing, detailed reactivity is observed for germylenes **1a** and **1b**, and is attributed to their different Lewis acidities. Although both activate alkanes and ethers, **1b** reacts more slowly than **1a**. Parallel activations of methylcyclopentane run under identical conditions show that all of **1a** has been consumed, while up to 35% of **1b** is still observed via ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Reactions run with **1b** produce more **7**. For example, when the synthesis of **2b** is undertaken employing the optimized conditions for **2a**, **7b** is produced in 13% yield, whereas the yield for **7a** is <1%. When aromatic substrates, such as toluene, ethyl benzene, or cumene are used, the products from benzylic C−H activation are observed when **1a** is employed. However, reaction of aromatic substrates with **1b** results only in slow formation of **7b**.

Competition experiments were performed at 60 °C using a 50: 50 mol:mol solution of cumene:THF-d_8. When **1a** and PhI are added to the solution, a 4.7 ± 0.4 ratio of C−H activation products is observed. When PAT is used to generate phenyl radical, the ratio of cumene/THF activation is 3.4 ± 0.3, suggesting that a species other than free phenyl radical is involved in the C−H activation initiated by **1a** and PhI. If this competition is performed using **1b** and PhI, only **7b** and the C−H activation product derived from THF-d_8 are observed; no trace cumene activation is observed. Free phenyl radical, generated by PAT, readily abstracts H radical from aromatic substrates such as cumene and toluene.[15] Hence, these results in which C−H activation of THF by **1b** and PhI occurs in the presence of cumene are inconsistent with formation of free phenyl radical. Had free phenyl radical formed, one would have expected to observe a ∼3.4:1 ratio of cumene/THF-d_8 C−H activation.

Competition experiments at 60 °C using a 1:1 ratio of THF: THF-d_8 with germylene **1a** and PhI gives a k_H/k_D of 5.0 ± 0.2 as determined by GC/MS; for **1b** the ratio is 4.1 ± 0.2; for phenyl radical generated by PAT the ratio is 4.2 ± 0.2. The different k_H/k_D values for **1a** and **1b** argue against a common intermediate, including free phenyl radical, being formed in reactions employing **1a** or **1b**. The different k_H/k_D values for **1a** and phenyl radical generated from PAT also argue against free phenyl radical being generated in the C−H activation. Although the k_H/k_D values for **1b** and PAT are not statistically different, the cumene/THF competition experiment above argues against free phenyl radical playing a role in the case of **1b**.

The competition experiments and the k_H/k_D ratio observed for **1a** are inconsistent with the formation of free phenyl radical. The experimental evidence is consistent with hydrogen abstraction occurring via an incipient radical present on the *ipso*-carbon of the phenyl ring in **8** (Figure 1b). The germyl-X radical may then combine with the solvent radical to yield the observed products (step 8). Fast recombination within the solvent cage is consistent with the absence of R′−R′ coupling products. Note that high

dilution conditions minimize the likelihood of reaction between R′• and GeR₂, thus avoiding steps 3−7 and the manifold of reactions required to form OA product **7**. Species **8** is consistent with the different isotope effects observed for **1a** and **1b**, the differing isotope effect observed for **1a** and PAT, and the differing substrate reactivity observed. Steps 3−7 are similar to the mechanism proposed by Lappert for the reaction of stannylene chemistry and aryl halides.[11]

Single-electron transfer (SET) is not uncommon in germanium chemistry[16,17] and plays an important role in main group C−H activations as well. To test whether the reactions reported herein proceed by SET from PhI to Ge, forming a radical germyl anion, the radical anion of **1a** was generated using sodium metal in THF according to the method of Gaspar et al.[18,19] No trace of a C−H activation product was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Additionally, during the reaction of **1a** with PhI, UV−vis spectroscopy showed only loss of the germylene peak at 420 nm as the reaction progressed; the characteristic green color of the germyl radical anion ($\lambda_{max} = 666$ nm) was not observed. Thus, the reactions described in this communication likely do not involve radical anion species.

In summary, a new reaction for regioselective activation of C−H bonds using a germylene/aryl halide reagent has been discovered. The reaction works for both straight-chain and cyclic alkanes and a variety of ethers. In contrast to previously reported main group C−H activations that result in a carbon−halogen bond, these C−H activated products have a C−Ge bond. High yields of C−H activation products can be obtained through the use of high-dilution techniques.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details (PDF). An X-ray crystallographic file in CIF format for compound **3a**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

(1) Shilov, A. E.; Shul'pin, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 2879−2932.
(2) Arndtsen, B. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Mobley, T. A.; Peterson, T. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1995**, *28*, 154−162.
(3) Miller, K. A.; Watson, T. W.; Bender, J. E.; Banaszak Holl, M. M.; Kampf, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 982−983.
(4) Schreiner, P. R.; Lauenstein, O.;Kolomitsyn, I. V.; Nadi, S.; Fokin, A. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1895−1897.
(5) Fokin, A. A.; Schreiner, P. R.; Gunchenko, P. A.; Peleshanko, S. A.; Shubina, T. E.; Isaev, S. D.; Tarasenko, P. V.; Kulik, N. I.; Schiebel, H. M.; Yurchenko, A. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7317−7326.
(6) Fjeldberg, T.; Haaland, A.; Schilling, B. E. R.; Lappert, M. F.; Thorne, A. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1986**, 1551−1556.
(7) Kranenburg, M.; Ciriano, M. P.; Cherkasov, A.; Mulder, P. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2000**, *104*, 915−921.
(8) Laarhoven, J. J. L.; Mulder, P. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 73−77.
(9) Fang, H. L.; Meister, D. M.; Swofford, R. L. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984**, *88*, 410−416.
(10) Kerr, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1966**, *66*, 465−500.
(11) Berkowitz, J.; Ellison, G. B.; Gutman, D. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 2744−2765.
(12) Lappert, M. F.; Misra, M. C.; Onyszchuk, M.; Rowe, R. S.; Power, P. P.; Slade, M. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987**, *330*, 31−46.
(13) Moser, D. F.; Bosse, T.; Olson, J.; Moser, J. L.; Guzei, I. A.; West, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 4186−4187.
(14) Su, M.-D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 1714−1715.
(15) Bridger, R. F.; Russell, G. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 3754−3765.
(16) Riviere, P.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satge, J. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*; Wilkinson, G., Ed.; Pergamon: Elmsford, New York, 1982; Vol. 2, pp 399−518.
(17) Riviere, P.; Riviere-Baudet, M.; Satge, J. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E., Ed.; Pergamon: Elmsford, New York, 1995; Vol. 2, pp 137−216.
(18) Egorov, M. P.; Nefedov, O. M.; Lin, T.-S.; Gaspar, P. P. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 1539−1541.
(19) Egorov, M. P.; Nefedov, O. M. *Main Group Met. Chem.* **1996**, *19*, 367−376.

JA0357285