

Article

Synthesis, reactivity and stereoselectivity of 4-thiofuranosides

Jerre M. Madern, Thomas Hansen, Erwin R. van Rijssel, Hans A. V. Kistemaker, Stefan van der Vorm, Herman S. Overkleeft, Gijsbert A. van der Marel, Dmitri V. Filippov, and Jeroen D. C. Codée

J. Org. Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b02536 • Publication Date (Web): 03 Jan 2019

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on January 4, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Publications


is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Synthesis, reactivity and stereoselectivity of 4-thiofuranosides

Jerre M. Madern, Thomas Hansen, Erwin R. van Rijssel, Hans A.V. Kistemaker, Stefan van der Vorm, Herman S. Overkleeft, Gijsbert A. van der Marel, Dmitri V. Filippov*, Jeroen D. C. Codée*

Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands



ABSTRACT: Thiosugars, sugars which have their endocyclic oxygen substituted for a sulfur atom have been used as stable bioisosteres of naturally occurring glycans, because the thiosugar glycosidic linkage is supposed to be stabilized towards chemical and enzymatic hydrolysis. We have performed an in-depth investigation into the stability and reactivity of furanosyl thiacarbenium ions, by assessing all four diastereoisomeric thiofuranosides experimentally and computationally. We show that all furanosyl thiacarbenium ions react in a 1,2-*cis*-selective manner with triethylsilane, reminiscent of their oxo-counterparts. The computed conformational space occupied of the thiacarbenium ions is strikingly similar to that of the corresponding furanosyl oxocarbenium ions indicating that the stereoelectronic substituent effects governing the stability of furanosyl oxocarbenium ions and thiacarbenium ions are very similar. While the thio-*ribo*-furanose appears to be less reactive than its oxo-counterpart, the thio-*ara*-, *lyxo*- and *xylo*-furanosides appear to be more reactive than their oxygen equivalents. These differences are accounted for using the conformational preference of the donors and the carbocation intermediates. The lower reactivity of the thio-*ribo* furanosides in (Lewis) acid mediated reactions and the similarity of the thia- and oxocarbenium ions make thio-*ribo*-furanosides excellent stabilized analogues of the naturally occurring *ribo*-furanose sugars.

INTRODUCTION

Thiosugars, 4-thiofuranosides and 5-thiopyranosides, are sugars which have their endocyclic oxygen substituted for a sulfur atom. These compounds have been found in nature, such as Salacinol (Figure 1, A), an anti-diabetes compound isolated from *Salacia reticulata* that acts as a glycosidase inhibitor. Most thiosugars reported to date are man-made and they have been designed and generated to function as mimics of their parent *O*-glycosides. As they are generally more stable towards both enzymatic¹ and non-enzymatic hydrolysis², they may function as inhibitors for carbohydrate-processing enzymes. Indeed, the thiosugars and thio-oligosaccharides reported to date are moderately active glycosidase inhibitors, with activity in the micro to millimolar range.³ Prominent 4-thiofuranosides that have been studied for their therapeutic potential owing to their increased chemical stability², include the 4'-thio analogues of DNA and RNA nucleotides (Figure 1, B) and they have shown antiviral⁴⁻⁵, antibiotic⁶ and anticancer⁶⁻⁷ properties. The 4'-thio analogue of cADPR (Figure 1, C), which is resistant to enzymatic degradation, is a mimic of the naturally occurring cADPR, an important mediator of intracellular Ca²⁺ signaling.⁸ Recently, the 4-thioribose nicotinamide adenosine diphosphate (thio-NAD⁺, D) was introduced as a stabilized NAD⁺ analogue, allowing for crystallographic studies of enzymes using this oft-used co-factor.⁹





Figure 1. Examples of biologically relevant 4-thiofuranosides .

To probe the stability and reactivity of thiosugars these substrates have been subjected to various studies. In 1963, Whistler and Van Es described that methyl 5-thioxylopyranoside is hydrolyzed significantly faster than its *O*-counterpart¹⁰ and Bennet and co-workers performed an extensive suite of KIE experiments to establish that *xylo*- and *gluco*-thiacarbenium ions are more stable than the corresponding oxocarbenium ions in aqueous hydrolysis reactions.¹¹⁻¹² Experimental and theoretical evidence shows that sulfur atoms stabilize an adjacent carbocation better than an oxygen atom.¹³ However, Jagannadham *et al.* determined that the thermodynamically less stable, α -oxygen-stabilized, carbocation can form more rapidly than their thermodynamically more stable α -sulfur-stabilized counterparts, as the intrinsic kinetic barrier to the formation of the oxocarbenium ion is smaller compared to the formation of the thiocarbenium ion.¹⁴ The increased rate of hydrolysis of the thiopyranosides might be due to additional ring strain of substituting an oxygen atom for a significantly bigger sulfur atom. In contrast, a study regarding the acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of 4-thio nucleosides has revealed a significant decrease in reactivity compared to the natural 4-oxo nucleosides², which is more in line with the reactivity of the acyclic benzylic substrates described by Jagannadham *et al.*¹⁴ To understand the stability and reactivity of furanosyl thiocarbenium ions, in support of the use of 4-thiofuranosides as stabilized furanose analogues, we here describe the synthesis of the full suite of diastereoisomeric thiofuranosides (thio-*ribose*, thio-*arabinose*, thio-*xylose* and thio-*lyxose*, Scheme 1) and a study to map structure-reactivity-stereoselectivity relationships of these thiafuranosyl acetyl donors in Lewis-acid mediated S_N1-type substitution reactions. The putative ribosyl, arabinosyl, xylosyl and lyxosyl thiocarbenium ion intermediates were investigated computationally following a computational method, we recently developed to establish the stability and reactivity of fully decorated furanosyl oxocarbenium ions.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ In this method, which we built on the seminal work of Rhoad and co-workers¹⁷, the relative energy of furanosyl oxocarbenium ions is determined as a function of their overall shape. It was found that the low-energy oxocarbenium ion conformers could accurately account for the stereoselectivity of addition reactions taking place on these intermediates, thereby lending strong support for their intermediacy in the studied reactions. To investigate the reactivity/stability of the related thiocarbenium ions and establish whether the stabilizing/destabilizing substituent effects that dictate the stability and reactivity of furanosyl oxocarbenium ions are operational in thiocarbenium ions we here extend the method to 5-membered ring thiocarbenium ions. It is found that these stereoelectronic effects indeed translate well to the thiocarbenium ions and the stereoselectivity of reactions of thiafuranosyl donors in which these cations feature as product forming intermediates can be well accounted for by the shape of the intermediate ions.


Scheme 1. The four studied 4-thiofuranosyl donors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The four required 4-thiofuranosyl donors ribose **1**, lyxose **2**, arabinose **3** and xylose **4** were synthesized following the method reported by Minakawa *et al.* as depicted in Scheme 2.¹⁸ The synthesis of the 4-thio ribosyl donor **1** started from D-ribose to afford, after standard protecting group manipulations, 2,3,5-tri-*O*-benzyl ribose **7** in 88% yield over three steps. Reduction of the lactol with sodium borohydride in methanol yielded the corresponding ribitol of which both hydroxyl functionalities were mesylated to provide dimesylate **9**. To generate the thio-ribofuranosyl donor **1** a double inversion of the C-4-mesylate was required. To this end, both mesylates in **9** were substituted by bromines in a Finkelstein-type reaction. Next, a second substitution and concomitant ring closure were effected by a S_N2 substitution using sodium sulfide in DMF at 100 °C to give thioether **11** in 63% over three steps. These last two reactions required specific attention, as epimerization can occur on both the C3 and C4 position leading to the formation of a mixture of stereoisomers (See SI for more information). To deliver the required acetyl donor **1**, thioether **11** was oxidized with *m*-CPBA, to give the corresponding sulfoxide, which was transformed through a Pummerer rearrangement in donor **1** in 81% yield over two steps. Dimesylate **9** was also used for the construction of the 4-thio lyxofuranosyl donor **2**. To this end, **9** was exposed to the sodium sulfide substitution/ring closure conditions to provide 4-thio L-lyxitol **13**. This compound was then oxidized, to give, after a Pummerer rearrangement, the 4-thio lyxosyl donor **2**. The 4-thio-L-arabinosyl and 4-thio-L-xylosyl donors **3** and **4** were synthesized using similar transformations starting from commercially available L-arabinose in similar overall yields


(Scheme 2). With the synthesized 4-thio pentofuranosyl donors (**1**, **2**, **3**, **4**) in hand, the glycosylation reactions were undertaken. All reactions were carried out in dry DCM using TMSOTf as an activator and triethylsilane-*d* (TES-*d*) as a nucleophile. This nucleophile was used as we have previously shown that it can faithfully report on the stereoselectivity of S_N1-type substitution reactions on glycofuranosyl oxocarbenium ions.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Of note, the use of allyl trimethyl silane (TMS-All) as a nucleophile in combination with donor **1** provided the 1-allyl-4-thioribofuranoside with identical selectivity.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 4-thiofuranosyl donors.



The results of the glycosylation reactions are summarized in Table 1, alongside the results previously obtained for the *O*-furanosides for comparison. We first probed the reaction of *ribo*-donor **1** and found that a relatively high activation temperature was required (-30 °C with respect to a reaction temperature of -78 °C, for its *oxo*-counterpart). Upon reaction with triethylsilane-*d* the 1,2-*cis* addition product was formed selectively ($\alpha:\beta$ = 91:9). Next the other three donors similarly probed to deliver the results depicted in Table 1 and from these it becomes apparent that the stereoselectivities of the reactions of the 4-thiofuranosyl donors parallel those obtained with the *O*-furanosides, but the reactions of the thiodonors are all slightly less selective. In all cases the 1,2-*cis*-products are formed selectively and only the 4-thioxylosyl donor **4** provides a significant amount of the *trans*-product.

Table 1. Results of the substitution reaction of TES-*d* with the 4-oxo and 4-thiofuranosides.



	X = O	X = S	
	$\alpha:\beta$ ratio (exp.) ^{a,b}	$\alpha:\beta$ ratio (comp.)	$\alpha:\beta$ ratio (exp.) ^{c,b}
Riboside	>98:2	94:6	91:9
Arabinoside	<2:98	2:98	8:92
Xyloside	85:15	68:32	69:31
Lyxoside	<2:98	0:100	3:97

^aReagents and conditions: 2 eq. TES-*d*, 1.3 eq. TMSOTf, -78 °C, 7 days. ^bThe $\alpha:\beta$ -ratio was established by NMR spectroscopy. ^cReagents and conditions: 2 eq. TES-*d*, 1.3 eq. TMSOTf, -30 °C, 10 days.

We then set out to investigate the furanosyl thiocarbenium ions using the computational approach we recently used to determine the relative energy of all furanosyl oxocarbenium ion conformers.¹⁵ To this end we calculated the energies associated with 81 pre-fixed ring conformations for the thiocarbenium ions. We did so for each of the three C4-C5 rotamers, having the C-5-OMe group in a *tg*, *gg* or *gt* position (see Figure 2B). Using Gaussian 03, employing the B3LYP hybrid functional and the 6-311G(d,p) basis set, the structures of these (3 x 81 = 243) conformers were optimized and their relative energy calculated. The energies obtained from the DFT calculations were corrected for solvation in DCM by a polarized continuum model (PCM) and subsequently a Gibbs free energy correction was applied at the temperature of the respective experimental glycosylation. The energies of all conformations were then plotted as a polar contour plot on the pseudo-rotational circle (which describes the complete conformational space of a five-membered ring as a function of the phase angle (*P*) and puckering amplitude (τ_m)¹⁹, with isoenergetic values as the contour lines, resulting in the Conformational Energy Landscape (CEL) map (For more information see Supplementary Information). Figure 2 depicts the pseudo-rotational circle (A) with a set of canonical ring conformations as well as the studied C4-C5 rotamers (B). Table 2 presents the so-obtained CEL maps for both diastereoisomeric sets of furanosyl thiocarbenium ions and oxocarbenium ions. The CEL maps show that the thiocarbenium ions adopt structures that are very similar to their oxocarbenium ion counterparts and the minimal energy conformers can be found on the $^3E - E_3$ axis. The presence of the 4-*S* ring atom does influence the amount of puckering of the 5-membered ring going from an approximate puckering of 25° for the oxocarbenium ions to approximately 35° for the thiocarbenium ions, as a result of the larger bond length for the C-S bonds. The maps of the thiocarbenium ions overall show a steeper energy landscape.




Figure 2. A) Pseudorotational circle B) The C4-C5 rotamers in Newman projection C) The two-conformer model as advanced by Woerpel and co-workers.

Table 2. The conformational energy landscapes of the 4-oxo furanosyl carbenium ions and 4-thio thiocarbenium ions.

	X = O	X = S	Lowest energy conformer (X = S)	
 Riboside				
ΔG_{DCM}^T (kcal/mol) ^a	0	+1.4		
 Arabinoside				
ΔG_{DCM}^T (kcal/mol) ^a	0.1	0		
 Xyloside				
ΔG_{DCM}^T (kcal/mol) ^a	+0.9	+1.4		
 Lyxoside				
ΔG_{DCM}^T (kcal/mol) ^a	+0.2	+0.2		

^a ΔG_{DCM}^T represents the relative energy difference between the lowest energy conformer from the given diastereoisomer with respect to the energy of the most stable conformer of the full suite of diastereoisomers.

Looking at the individual stereoisomers, the CEL map of the ribofuranosyl thiocarbenium shows a clear minimum for the E_3 envelope. This structure (see Table 2) places all substituent in a position that allows for maximum stabilization of the thiocarbenium ion. As empirically established by Woerpel and co-workers²⁰⁻²⁴ and supported by previous computational studies^{15-16, 25-28}, an oxocarbenium ion is best stabilized by an equatorial OMe-substituent at C2 to allow for electron density donation by the axial C2-H2 bond, while the electron density of the ether group at C3 is nearest and most stabilizing to the electron depleted anomeric center when placed axially. The same holds true for the C5-OMe, that is positioned closest to the positive charge, when taking up the axial-gg-orientation in the E_3 envelope. The CEL maps reveal that the stereoelectronic “stability rules” that play a decisive role in determining the stability and reactivity of furanosyl oxocarbenium ions, equally apply to thiocarbenium ions. A minor population of the ribosyl thiocarbenium ion adopting a 3E conformation ($\Delta G_{DCM}^T = 1.3$ kcal/mol) can be found. Assuming that the E_3 thiocarbenium ion is preferentially attacked on the α -face and the 3E structure on the opposite β -side, the Boltzmann-weighted

population of both families of conformers predicts a 94:6 α/β selectivity, which closely matches the ratio obtained in the experiment (91:9).

The CEL maps of the arabinosyl and lyxosyl thiocarbenium ion show a clear preference for the 3E conformer. Nucleophilic attack on this arabinosyl 3E conformer leads to the selective formation of the β -arabinose anomer, corresponding well with the experimental findings. Similarly, the lyxosyl 3E conformer is stereoselectively attacked to provide the all-*cis* product. In line with the furanosyl oxocarbenium ion series, the lyxose configured thiocarbenium shows the strongest preference for a single conformer, which translates into the highest stereoselectivity in the substitution reactions. For the xylofuranosyl thiocarbenium ion two minima are found on the CEL map, matching the situation for the xylofuranosyl oxocarbenium ion. The difference in energy of the two minima is smaller in the case of the thiocarbenium ion with respect to the oxocarbenium ions and this translates into lower stereoselectivity of thiofuranosyl donor **4** in the substitution reaction. The Boltzmann-weighted relative population of the E_3 and 3E conformers predicts a poorly selective glycosylation event, which is closely matched in the experiment. Overall there is very good agreement between the stereoselectivity that is theoretically predicted based on the CEL maps, in combination with Woerpel's inside attack model and the stereoselectivity obtained experimentally (Table 1). This shows that the relative energy difference between the transition states leading to the products is relatively well approximated using the difference in ground state energy of the thiocarbenium ion conformers, and that a Curtin-Hammett kinetic scenario, in which the lowest energy transition state is reached from a higher energy ground state species, plays a very minor role. The correlation between the reaction stereoselectivity and the thiocarbenium ion structure strongly indicates the thiocarbenium ions to be the product forming reactive intermediates in these reactions.

Finally, we investigated the difference in reactivity between the C4-*S* and C4-*O* donors in a set of direct competition glycosylation experiments (Table 3). In the first set of experiments, the 4-*S*-ribosyl and 4-*O*-ribosyl¹³ donors, **1** and **29**, were mixed and exposed to reaction conditions, in which the quantity of the activator (TMSOTf) or acceptor (TES-*d*) was varied to investigate whether either of the two substrates is activated more easily or if the resulting oxo- or thiocarbenium ion intermediate is more reactive towards nucleophiles (Table 3, entries 1-3). All experiments showed that the 4-thio ribofuranosyl donor reacts more slowly than its 4-oxo counterpart. For all other furanosides, the 4-thio-donors reacted faster and only the 1-*D*-products derived from these donors were formed. The striking difference in relative reactivity may be explained by the relative stability of the intermediate thia- and oxo carbenium ions. In Table 2 the relative energy difference between the different diastereoisomeric CEL maps is depicted. The difference in energy between the lowest energy conformer of the individual diastereoisomers with respect to the most stable diastereoisomeric oxocarbenium ion is: $\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{ribo} = 0$ kcal/mol; $\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{ara} = 0.1$ kcal/mol; $\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{xylo} = 0.9$ kcal/mol; $\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{lyxo} = 0.2$ kcal/mol. Thus, in the oxo-series, the ribose oxocarbenium is most stable, closely followed by the arabinose and lyxose ions, with the xylose oxocarbenium ion clearly being least stable. The situation is rather different in the thio-series. Here the order of stability is: arabinose ($\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{Ara} = 0$ kcal/mol) > lyxose ($\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{lyxo} = 0.2$ kcal/mol) > ribose ($\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{ribo} = 1.4$ kcal/mol) ~ xylose ($\Delta G_{DCM}^T \text{xylo} = 1.4$ kcal/mol). In this case the ribo-thiocarbenium ion appears to be one of the least stable of the series. Overall this data suggests that the formation of the *ribo*-thiocarbenium ion when compared to the formation of the corresponding oxocarbenium ion is relatively difficult, providing an explanation for the relatively low reactivity of donor **1** with respect to donor **29**.

Table 3. Results of the substitution reaction of TES-*d* with the 4-oxo and 4-thiofuranosides. Competition experiments screening the reactivity of 4-oxo pentofuranosyl donors relative to 4-thio pentofuranosyl donors

Entry	O-donor	S-donor	Acceptor	Activator	Conditions	Product ratio ^a S:O
1	29 (1 eq.)	1 (1 eq.)	TES- <i>d</i> (2 eq.)	TMSOTf (2 eq.)	-30 °C, 2 days	1:4
2	29 (1 eq.)	1 (1 eq.)	TES- <i>d</i> (1 eq.)	TMSOTf (2 eq.)	-30 °C, 2 days	1:4
3	29 (1 eq.)	1 (1 eq.)	TES- <i>d</i> (2 eq.)	TMSOTf (1 eq.)	-30 °C, 2 days	1:3.5
4	31 (1 eq.)	2 (1 eq.)	TES- <i>d</i> (1 eq.)	TMSOTf (2 eq.)	-30 °C, 2 days	1:0
5	33 (1 eq.)	3 (1 eq.)	TES- <i>d</i> (1 eq.)	TMSOTf (2 eq.)	-30 °C, 2 days	1:0
6	35 (1 eq.)	4 (1 eq.)	TES- <i>d</i> (1 eq.)	TMSOTf (2 eq.)	-30 °C, 2 days	1:0

^aThe product ratio was established by NMR spectroscopy and isolated mass of products.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have performed an in-depth investigation into the stability/reactivity of furanosyl thiocarbenium ions, assessing all four diastereoisomeric thiofuranosides experimentally and computationally to show that all furanosyl thiocarbenium ions react in a 1,2-*cis*-selective manner. There is a clear correlation to the stereoselectivity of 4-oxofuranosides, with the cationic intermediates taking up similar structures. From these results it can be concluded that the substitution reactions follow similar reaction paths. Interestingly, differences in relative reactivity were observed for the reactivity of the 4-thio donors with respect to their oxo-counterparts. While the *ribo*-configured 4-thio furanose is less reactive than the corresponding 4-O-furanoside, the other three diastereoisomeric 4-thio donors are more reactive than the parent 4-oxofuranosides. The relative reactivity of the *ribo*-furanosides thus follows the reactivity difference observed for the acyclic benzylic thia/oxocarbenium ions studied by Jagannadham *et al.*¹⁴ while the reactivity of the *arabino*-, *xylo*- and *lyxo*-species are in line with the relative reactivity of 5-thio/oxo pyranosides. The similar but lower reactivity of 4-thioribofuranosides supports their use as stable and close mimics of the naturally occurring ribofuranosides. Finally, the results presented here show that the model for rationalizing the stereoselectivity of addition reactions to oxocarbenium ions can be extended to other non-typical glycosyl carbocations, providing further support for the model and the relevance of the reactive intermediates.


Experimental Section

General

All solvents used under anhydrous conditions were stored over 4Å molecular sieves, except for methanol which was stored over 3Å molecular sieves. Solvents used for workup and column chromatography were of technical grade from Sigma Aldrich and used directly. Unless stated otherwise, solvents were removed by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure at 40 °C. Reactions were monitored by TLC-analysis using Merck 25 DC plastikfolien 60 F254 with detection by spraying with 20% H₂SO₄ in EtOH, (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O (25 g/L) and (NH₄)₄Ce(SO₄)₄·2H₂O (10 g/L) in 10% sulfuric acid or by spraying with a solution of ninhydrin (3 g/L) in EtOH / AcOH (20/1 v/v), followed by charring at approx. 150 °C. Column chromatography was performed on Fluka silicagel (0.04 – 0.063 mm) or by automation using a Biotage® Isolera™ Spektra Four machine. For LC-MS analysis a JASCO HPLC-system (detection simultaneously at 214 and 254 nm) equipped with an analytical C18 column (4.6 mmD × 50 mmL, 3μ particle size) in combination with buffers A: H₂O, B: MeCN and C: 0.5% aq. TFA and coupled to a PE/SCIEX API 165 single quadrupole mass spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer) was used, unless stated otherwise. Alternatively a Thermo Finnigan LCQ Advantage MAX ion-trap mass spectrometer with an electrospray ion source coupled to Surveyor HPLC system (Thermo Finnegan) was used with the same analytical column. High resolution mass spectra were recorded by direct injection (2 μL of a 2 μM solution in water/acetonitrile; 50/50; v/v and 0.1% formic acid) on a mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan LTQ Orbitrap) equipped with an electrospray ion source in positive mode (source voltage 3.5 kV, sheath gas flow 10, capillary temperature 250 °C) with resolution R = 60000 at m/z 400 (mass range m/z = 150-2000) and dioctylphthalate (m/z = 391.2842) as a “lock mass”. The high resolution mass spectrometer was calibrated prior to measurements with a calibration mixture (Thermo Finnigan). ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AV-400 (400 MHz) or a Bruker DMX-600 (600 MHz) and all individual signals were assigned using 2D-NMR spectroscopy. Chemical shifts are given in ppm (δ) relative to TMS (0 ppm) and coupling constants are given in Hz. Optical rotations were measured in CHCl₃ or methanol at a concentration of 10 mg/mL at 25 °C. Stereoisomers were, when possible, separated by silica gel column chromatography for analytical purposes.


Synthesis and Characterization

Methyl α,β-D-ribofuranoside (5)




D-ribose (15.0 g, 100 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (250 ml). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and sulfuric acid (0.27 ml, 5 mmol) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 4 °C. The reaction was quenched upon the addition of sodium bicarbonate. The reaction mixture was filtered, concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.25 (CHCl₃:EtOAc:MeOH 63:25:12).

Methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-α,β-D-ribofuranoside (6)




Crude **5** (100 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (400 ml), cooled to 0 °C and sodium hydride (350 mmol, 8.40 g, 60% in mineral oil) was slowly added. After hydrogen gas formation stopped benzyl bromide (350 mmol, 41.6 ml) was slowly added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of ice. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95).

2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-α,β-D-ribose (7)




Crude **6** (100 mmol) dissolved in formic acid (800 ml) and water (200 ml) and stirred at 60 °C for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and the organic layer was washed with water, aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.), dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 95/5 – 70/30) yielded the title compound (36.2 g, 86.2 mmol, 86% over three steps). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.¹⁵


(2S,3R,4R)-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-pentane-1,4-diol (8)




Compound **7** (21.5 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (110 ml) and sodium borohydride (43 mmol, 1.63 g) was added in small portions over a period of 30 minutes at 0 °C. The reaction was then stirred for 1 hour at room temperature after which the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with water, brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.55 (EtOAc:pentane 40:60).

(2S,3S,4R)-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-pentane-1,4-dimesylate (9)


Crude **8** (21.5 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (110 ml) and triethylamine (86 mmol, 12.0 ml) was added. Mesyl chloride (64.5 mmol, 5.0 ml) was slowly added at -20 °C and the reaction was stirred for 30 minutes after which it was quenched upon addition of ice. The organic layer was washed with water, aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.), brine, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.60 (EtOAc:pentane 30:70).

(2R,3S,4S)-2,3,5-O-tri-benzyl-1,4-dibromopentane (10)


Crude **9** (38.4 mmol), co-evaporated with toluene to remove traces of water, was dissolved in dry 2-butanone (130 ml). Lithium bromide (384 mmol, 33.4 g) was added and the reaction was refluxed for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water, aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.) and brine. The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.55 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95).

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-O-tri-benzyl-4-thio-D-ribitol (11)


Crude **10** (38.4 mmol) and sodium sulfide nonahydrate (46.1 mmol, 11.1 g) were dissolved in DMF (130 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 30 minutes. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with water, brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (24.0 mmol, 10.1 g, 63% over 4 steps). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.59 – 7.13 (m, 15H), 4.64 – 4.38 (m, 6H), 3.99 (ddd, *J* = 6.9, 5.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (td, *J* = 6.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (AB, *J* = 10.0, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (AB, *J* = 10.7, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (AB, *J* = 10.7, 5.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.0, 137.9, 137.9, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 127.5, 80.8, 79.5, 72.9, 71.8, 71.7, 71.6, 47.0, 30.5; IR (neat): 3029, 2932, 2857, 1496, 1453, 1112, 1070, 1027, 732, 694 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈O₃SNa 443.1644; Found 443.1651. [α]_D²⁰(c=2, DCM): +64.6; R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.²⁹

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-sulfoxide-D-ribitol (12)


Compound **11** (15.7 mmol, 6.61 g) was dissolved in DCM (100 ml) and *m*-CPBA (17.3 mmol, 3.00 g) was added at -40 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (sat.) and the organic layer was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.05 (EtOAc:pentane 30:70).

1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio-α,β-D-ribofuranose (1)


Crude **12** (15.7 mmol) was dissolved in acetic anhydride (100 ml) and stirred at 100 °C for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 90/10 – 80/20) yielded the title compound (11.3 mmol, 5.4 g, 72% over two steps). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.44 – 7.14 (m, 15H), 6.21 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz), 4.78 – 4.39 (m, 6H, CH₂ Bn), 4.11 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.05 – 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.98 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 3.88 – 3.82 (m, 1H), 3.81 – 3.72 (m, 2H), 3.59 (AB, *J* = 9.8, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (AB, *J* = 10.0, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (AB, *J* = 10.0, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 171.0, 169.9, 138.4, 138.2, 137.82, 137.8, 137.7, 137.7, 128.5, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 127.6, 81.3, 81.2, 80.9, 80.6, 79.9, 77.0, 73.2, 72.9, 72.9, 72.8, 72.2, 72.1, 72.0, 71.7, 71.5, 48.5, 47.9, 21.5, 21.2; IR (neat): 3064, 3030, 2939, 2865, 1733, 1496, 1454, 1366, 1265, 1225, 1102, 1027, 1016, 957, 731, 695 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₅SNa 501.1706; Found 501.1700. R_f = 0.40 (EtOAc:pentane 15:85).

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-O-tri-benzyl-4-thio-L-lyxitol (13)


Crude **9** (12.1 mmol, 6.98 g) and sodium sulfide nonahydrate (13.3 mmol, 3.18 g) were dissolved in DMF (120 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 2 hours. The mixture was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with water, brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (5.2 mmol, 2.2 g, 43% over 3 steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.38 – 7.22 (m, 15H), 4.86 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (m, 4H), 4.20 (dd, *J* = 4.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 – 3.99 (m, 1H), 3.90 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.64 – 3.50 (m, 2H), 3.06 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 138.7, 138.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 83.6, 78.9, 73.7, 73.4, 72.3, 70.3, 45.8, 30.5; IR (neat): 3087, 3062, 3029, 2931, 2859, 1496, 1453, 1360, 1207, 1086, 1068, 1027, 732, 695 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈O₃SNa 443.1644; Found 443.1658. [α]_D²⁰(c=1, DCM): -7.0; R_f = 0.30 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.¹⁸

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-sulfoxide-L-lyxitol (14)


Compound **13** (4.4 mmol, 1.84 g) was dissolved in DCM (45 ml) and m-CPBA (4.8 mmol, 0.83 g) was added at -40 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (sat.) and the organic layer was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.05 (EtOAc:pentane 30:70).

1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio- α , β -L-lyxofuranose (2)


Crude **14** (4.4 mmol) was dissolved in acetic anhydride (30 ml) and stirred at 100 °C for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 90/10 – 80/20) yielded the title compound (1.34 mmol, 0.64 g, 31% over two steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.45 – 7.24 (m, 15H), 6.01 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.73 – 4.45 (m, 6H), 4.31 – 4.28 (m, 1H), 4.27 – 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.10 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.00 – 3.91 (m, 3H), 3.78 (dt, J = 7.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 9.2, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.62 – 3.47 (m, 2H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.3, 138.1, 137.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5, 128.5, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 84.5, 84.2, 80.7, 79.5, 78.6, 76.0, 73.6, 73.5, 73.4, 72.7, 72.5, 71.2, 69.7, 46.5, 46.3, 21.5, 21.2; IR (neat): 3078, 3064, 3030, 2923, 2864, 1735, 1496, 1453, 1366, 1309, 1224, 1094, 1061, 1016, 957, 910, 733, 695 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₅Na 501.1706; Found 501.1714. R_f = 0.45 (EtOAc:pentane 15:85).

Methyl α , β -L-arabinofuranoside (15)


L-arabinose (100 mmol, 15.0 g) was dissolved in methanol (250 ml). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and sulfuric acid (5 mmol, 0.27 ml) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 nights at room temperature. The reaction was quenched upon the addition of sodium bicarbonate. The reaction mixture was filtered, concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.30 (CHCl₃:EtOAc:MeOH 63:25:12).

Methyl 2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl- α , β -L-arabinofuranoside (16)


Crude **15** (100 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (350 ml), cooled to 0 °C and sodium hydride (350 mmol, 14.0 g, 60% in mineral oil) was slowly added. After hydrogen gas formation stopped benzyl bromide (350 mmol, 41.6 ml) was slowly added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction was quenched by the addition of ice. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.25 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95).

2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl- α , β -L-arabinose (17)


Crude **16** (100 mmol) was dissolved in formic acid (800 ml) and water (200 ml) and stirred at 60 °C for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was extracted with DCM and the organic layer was washed with water, aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.), dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 95/5 – 70/30) yielded the title compound (27.2 g, 65.6 mmol, 66% over three steps). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.¹⁵

(2S,3S,4S)-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-pentane-1,4-diol (18)


Compound **17** (64.0 mmol, 26.9 g) was dissolved in methanol (320 ml) and sodium borohydride (134 mmol, 5.1 g) was added in small portions over a period of 30 minutes at 0 °C. The reaction was then stirred for 1.5 hour at room temperature after which the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with water, brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.45 (EtOAc:pentane 40:60).

(2S,3R,4S)-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-pentane-1,4-dimesylate (19)


Crude **18** (64 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (300 ml) and triethylamine (256 mmol, 36.0 ml) was added. Mesyl chloride (192 mmol, 15.0 ml) was slowly added at -20 °C and the reaction was stirred for 30 minutes after which it was quenched upon addition of ice. The organic layer was washed with water, aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.), brine, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.45 (EtOAc:pentane 30:70).

(2R,3R,4R)-2,3,5-O-tri-benzyl-1,4-dibromopentane (20)


Crude **19** (27.2 mmol), co-evaporated with toluene to remove traces of water, was dissolved in dry 2-butanone (100 ml). Lithium bromide (226 mmol, 19.6 g) was added and the reaction was refluxed for 20 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water, aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.) and brine. The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.60 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95).

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-O-tri-benzyl-4-thio-L-arabitol (21)


Crude **20** (27.2 mmol) and sodium sulfide nonahydrate (24.9 mmol, 6.0 g) were dissolved in DMF (220 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 30 minutes. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc washed with water, brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (13.6 mmol, 5.7 g, 50% over 4 steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.39 – 7.22 (m, 15H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 4.54–4.45 (m, 4H), 4.19 (q, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (t, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dd, J = 8.9, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 – 3.44 (m, 2H), 3.07 (dd, J = 11.3, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.3, 138.2, 138.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 85.2, 73.2, 72.5, 72.0, 71.6, 49.1, 33.3; IR (neat): 3087, 3063, 3029, 2932, 2861, 1496, 1362, 1207, 1093, 1070, 1028, 735, 696 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈O₃SNa 443.1644; Found 443.1656. [α]_D²⁰(c=0.5, DCM): +9.7; R_f = 0.45 (EtOAc:pentane 5:95). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.³⁰

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-sulfoxide-L-arabitol (22)


Compound **21** (1.73 mmol, 0.73 g) was dissolved in DCM (15 ml) and m-CPBA (1.91 mmol, 0.33 g) was added at -40 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (sat.) and the organic layer was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. R_f = 0.05 (EtOAc:pentane 30:70).

1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio- α , β -L-arabinofuranose (3)

Crude **22** (1.73 mmol) was dissolved in acetic anhydride (15 ml) and stirred at 100 °C for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 90/10 – 80/20) yielded the title compound (0.88 mmol, 0.42 g, 51% over two steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.41 – 7.23 (m, 15H), 6.11 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 – 4.50 (m, 6H), 4.30 (dd, J = 5.2, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.27 – 4.12 (m, 2H), 4.07 (dd, J = 6.5, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.77 – 3.68 (m, 2H), 3.59 – 3.39 (m, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.4, 138.0, 137.6, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 88.9, 86.1, 84.7, 83.9, 82.1, 74.8, 73.6, 73.3, 73.3, 73.2, 72.9, 72.8, 72.7, 71.0, 49.5, 45.4, 21.5, 21.3. IR (neat): 3088, 3063, 3031, 2926, 2863, 1793, 1496, 1453, 1367, 1226, 1098, 1074, 1017, 734, 695 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₅SNa 501.1706; Found 501.1713. R_f = 0.25 (EtOAc:pentane 10:90). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.³¹

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-O-tri-benzyl-4-thio-D-xylitol (23)


Crude **20** (10.4 mmol) and sodium sulfide nonahydrate (10.5 mmol, 2.5 g) were dissolved in DMF (40 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 4 hours. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (4.9 mmol, 2.0 g, 47% over 3 steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.37 – 7.23 (m, 15H), 4.61 – 4.45 (m, 6H), 4.14 (m, 2H), 3.89 – 3.79 (m, 2H), 3.68 – 3.57 (m, 1H), 3.11 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dd, J = 11.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.3, 138.2, 138.1, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 83.1, 73.4, 72.8, 71.4, 69.4, 48.1, 33.2; IR (neat): 3087, 3062, 3030, 2935, 2860, 1497, 1453, 1392, 1361, 1313, 1271, 1207, 1087, 1070, 1027, 733, 695; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈O₃SNa 443.1644; Found 443.1657. [α]_D²⁰(c=1, DCM): +52.2; R_f = 0.60 (EtOAc:pentane 10:90).

1,4-Anhydro-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-sulfoxide-D-xylitol (24)

Compound **23** (4.8 mmol, 2.0 g) was dissolved in DCM (40 ml) and m-CPBA (5.3 mmol, 0.9 g) was added at -40 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (sat.) and the organic layer was washed with aq. NaHCO₃ (sat.). The organic layer was dried


(MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo* and used in the next step without further purification. $R_f = 0.05$ (EtOAc:pentane 30:70).

1-O-Acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio- α,β -D-xylofuranose (4)




Crude **24** (4.8 mmol) was dissolved in acetic anhydride (30 ml) and stirred at 80 °C for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 90/10 – 80/20) yielded the title compound (2.0 mmol, 0.96 g, 42% over two steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.37–7.24 (m, 15H), 6.07 (d, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.94 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.80 – 4.54 (m, 6H), 4.39 – 4.25 (m, 2H), 4.29 – 4.12 (m, 2H), 3.98 – 3.83 (m, 1H), 3.79 (dd, $J = 9.6, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.74 – 3.64 (m, 3H), 3.53 (dd, $J = 9.6, 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.00 (s, 3H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.3, 138.2, 138.2, 137.9, 137.8, 137.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 127.7, 86.6, 83.3, 83.1, 82.1, 81.6, 73.7, 73.5, 73.5, 73.2, 72.7, 72.5, 71.0, 69.8, 48.1, 42.1, 21.4, 21.2; IR (neat): 3063, 3031, 2927, 2863, 1738, 1496, 1454, 1367, 1227, 1093, 1017, 932, 735, 696 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₅SNa 501.1706; Found 501.1713. $R_f = 0.45$ (EtOAc:pentane 10:90). Spectroscopic data was in agreement with literature.³²

1-Deutero-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio-D-ribitol (25)




Donor **1** (0.10 mmol, 0.046 g), dried by co-evaporating with dry toluene (3x), was dissolved in dry DCM (3.22 ml) and stirred with freshly activated 3 Å molecular sieves at room temperature for 1 hour under argon to remove traces of water. The solution was then cooled to -78 °C and TES-*d* (0.19 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.38 ml), was added followed by the addition of TMSOTf (0.12 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.24 ml). Next, the reaction mixture was stirred on -30 °C for 10 days and quenched by the addition of TEA (0.5 ml). The reaction mixture was filtered through a path of celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (0.029 g, 0.07 mmol, 72%, $\alpha:\beta = 91 : 9$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.37 – 7.23 (m, 15H), 4.64–4.46 (m, 6H), 4.02 (dd, $J = 5.4, 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.95 (t, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.68 (td, $J = 6.4, 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.54 – 3.41 (m, 2H), 3.02 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 0.09H), 2.87 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 0.91H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.2, 138.2, 138.1, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 127.8, 81.0, 79.7, 73.1, 72.0, 72.0, 71.9, 47.3, 30.5 (t); IR (neat): 3088, 3064, 3030, 2924, 2863, 1497, 1454, 1362, 1207, 1107, 1046, 1027, 763, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₇DO₃SNa 444.1720; Found 444.1720. [α]_D²⁰($c=0.5$, DCM): +28.2.

1-Deutero-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio-L-lyxitol (26)




Donor **2** (0.17 mmol, 0.080 g), dried by co-evaporating with dry toluene (3x), was dissolved in dry DCM (5.3 ml) and stirred with freshly activated 3 Å molecular sieves at room temperature for 1 hour under argon to remove traces of water. The solution was then cooled to -78 °C and TES-*d* (0.34 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.68 ml), was added followed by the addition of TMSOTf (0.22 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.44 ml). Next, the reaction mixture was stirred on -30 °C for 10 days and quenched by the addition of TEA (0.5 ml). The reaction mixture was filtered through a path of celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (0.028 g, 0.07 mmol, 41%, $\alpha:\beta = 3 : 97$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.39 – 7.22 (m, 15H), 4.86 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.20 (dd, $J = 4.0, 2.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.03 (dd, $J = 6.1, 2.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.99 – 3.82 (m, 1H), 3.63 – 3.50 (m, 2H), 3.04 (dd, $J = 10.3, 3.7$ Hz, 0.07H), 2.89 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 0.93H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.8, 138.3, 138.2, 128.6–127.5, 83.6, 78.9, 73.7, 73.4, 72.3, 70.3, 45.8, 30.2 (t); IR (neat): 3066, 3033, 2922, 2860, 1454, 1269, 1112, 1027, 738, 698, 668 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₇DO₃SNa 444.1720; Found 444.1720. [α]_D²⁰($c=1$, DCM): +1.0.

1-Deutero-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio-L-arabitol (27)



Donor **3** (0.16 mmol, 0.075 g), dried by co-evaporating with dry toluene (3x), was dissolved in dry DCM (5.3 ml) and stirred with freshly activated 3 Å molecular sieves at room temperature for 1 hour under argon to remove traces of water. The solution was then cooled to -78 °C and TES-*d* (0.32 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.64 ml), was added followed by the addition of TMSOTf (0.21 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.42 ml). Next, the reaction mixture was stirred on -30 °C for 10 days and quenched by the addition of TEA (0.5 ml). The reaction mixture was filtered through a path of celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (0.046 g, 0.11 mmol, 68%, $\alpha:\beta = 8 : 92$). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.37–7.23 (m, 15H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 4.58 – 4.43 (m, 4H), 4.22 – 4.14 (m, 1H), 4.11 (t, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dd, $J = 8.9, 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.56 (td, $J = 7.3, 6.8, 3.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, $J = 8.9, 6.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.06 (d, $J = 5.1$ Hz, 0.91H), 2.89 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 0.09H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.3, 138.2, 138.0, 128.8–127.7, 85.1, 73.2, 72.6, 72.0, 71.6, 49.1, 33.0 (t); IR (neat): 3088, 3063, 3029, 2926, 2858, 1496, 1454, 1361, 1208, 1093, 1073, 1028, 736, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) m/z : [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₂₆H₂₇DO₃SNa 444.1720; Found 444.1716. [α]_D²⁰($c=1$, DCM): +2.1.

1-Deutero-2,3,5-tri-O-benzyl-4-thio-D-xylitol (28)

Donor **4** (0.09 mmol, 0.043 g), dried by co-evaporating with dry toluene (3x), was dissolved in dry DCM (3.0 ml) and stirred with freshly activated 3 Å molecular sieves at room temperature for 1 hour under argon to remove traces of water. The solution was then cooled to -78 °C and TES-*d* (0.18 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.36 ml), was added followed by the addition of TMSOTf (0.12 mmol), dissolved in DCM (0.24 ml). Next, the reaction mixture was stirred on -30 °C for 10 days and quenched by the addition of TEA (0.5 ml). The reaction mixture was filtered through a path of celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (pentane: EtOAc, 99/1 – 93/7) yielded the title compound (0.023 g, 0.06 mmol, 61%, $\alpha:\beta = 69 : 31$). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.40 – 7.16 (m, 15H), 4.61 – 4.42 (m, 6H), 4.23 – 4.06 (m, 2H), 3.90 – 3.78 (m, 2H), 3.62 (q, $J = 3.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.10 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 0.69H), 2.84 (d, $J = 2.0$, 0.31H); $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 138.3, 138.2, 138.1, 128.7-127.7, 83.0, 73.4, 72.8, 71.2, 69.4, 48.1, 32.9 (t); IR: 3089, 3064, 3031, 2925, 2860, 1496, 1454, 1362, 1207, 1098, 1073, 1028, 735, 697 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (ESI) m/z : $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{27}\text{DO}_3\text{SNa}$ 444.1720; Found 444.1715. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}(\text{c}=0.5, \text{DCM})$: +21.1.

Associated Content

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Supporting Information: Supplementary data on the optimization of the synthetic procedures, NMR spectra, procedure for computing CEL maps, detailed description of the CEL-maps and supplementary computational data.

Author Information

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jcodee@chem.leidenuniv.nl

*E-mail: filippov@lic.leidenuniv.nl

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) is acknowledged for financial support.

References

1. Adlercreutz, D.; Yoshimura, Y.; Mannerstedt, K.; Wakarchuk, W. W.; Bennett, E. P.; Dovichi, N. J.; Hindsgaul, O.; Palcic, M. M., Thiogalactopyranosides are Resistant to Hydrolysis by α -Galactosidases. *ChemBioChem* **2012**, *13* (11), 1673-1679.
2. Elzagheid, M. I.; Oivanen, M.; Walker, R. T.; Secrist, J. A., Kinetics for the Acid-catalyzed Hydrolysis of Purine and Cytosine 2'-Deoxy-4'-Thionucleosides. *Nucleos. Nucleot.* **1999**, *18*, 181-186.
3. Mohan, S.; Pinto, B. M., Zwitterionic Glycosidase Inhibitors: Salacinol and Related Analogues. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2007**, *342*, 1551-1580.
4. Bobek, M.; Bloch, A.; Whistler, R. L., Synthesis and Biological-Activity of 4'-Thio Analogs of Antibiotic Toyocamycin. *J. Med. Chem.* **1972**, *15*, 168-171.
5. Dyson, M. R.; Coe, P. L.; Walker, R. T., The Synthesis and Antiviral Properties of E-5-(2-Bromovinyl)-4'-Thio-2'-Deoxyuridine. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm.* **1991**, 741-742.
6. Secrist, J. A.; Tiwari, K. N.; Riordan, J. M.; Montgomery, J. A., Synthesis and Biological-Activity of 2'-Deoxy-4'-Thiopyrimidine Nucleosides. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 2361-2366.
7. Ototani, N.; Whistler, R. L., Preparation and Antitumor Activity of 4'-Thio Analogs of 2,2'-Anhydro-1-Beta-D-Arabinofuranosylcytosine. *J. Med. Chem.* **1974**, *17*, 535-537.
8. Tsuzuki, T.; Sakaguchi, N.; Kudoh, T.; Takano, S.; Uehara, M.; Murayama, T.; Sakurai, T.; Hashii, M.; Higashida, H.; Weber, K.; Guse, A. H.; Kameda, T.; Hirokawa, T.; Kumaki, Y.; Potter, B. V. L.; Fukuda, H.; Arisawa, M.; Shuto, S., Design and Synthesis of Cyclic ADP-4-Thioribose as a Stable Equivalent of Cyclic ADP-Ribose, a Calcium Ion-Mobilizing Second Messenger. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2013**, *52*, 6633-6637.
9. Dai, Z. F.; Zhang, X. N.; Nasertorabi, F.; Cheng, Q. Q.; Pei, H.; Louie, S. G.; Stevens, R. C.; Zhang, Y., Facile Chemoenzymatic Synthesis of a Novel Stable Mimic of NAD(+). *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 8337-8342.
10. Whistler, R. L.; Van Es, T., Solvolysis of Methyl D-Xylothiapyranosides and 2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl-a-D-xylothiapyranosyl Bromide. *J. Org. Chem.* **1963**, *28*, 2303-2304.
11. Indurugalla, D.; Bennet, A. J., A kinetic isotope effect study on the hydrolysis reactions of methyl xylopyranosides and methyl 5-thioxypyranosides: Oxygen versus sulfur stabilization of carbenium ions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10889-10898.
12. Johnston, B. D.; Indurugalla, D.; Pinto, B. M.; Bennet, A. J., The 5-thioglucofuranosyl carbenium ion is a solvent-equilibrated cation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 12698-12699.
13. Larson, J. R.; Epiotis, N. D., Stabilization of Charged Substrates by 1st-Row and 2nd-Row Heteroatoms. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 410-416.
14. Jagannadham, V.; Amyes, T. L.; Richard, J. P., Kinetic and Thermodynamic Stabilities of Alpha-Oxygen-Stabilized and Alpha-Sulfur-Stabilized Carbocations in Solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 8465-8466.
15. van Rijssel, E. R.; van Delft, P.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A.; Filippov, D. V.; Codee, J. D. C., Furanosyl Oxocarbenium Ion Stability and Stereoselectivity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **2014**, *53*, 10381-10385.
16. van Rijssel, E. R.; van Delft, P.; van Marle, D. V.; Bijvoets, S. M.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A.; Filippov, D. V.; Codee, J. D. C., Stereoselectivity in the Lewis Acid Mediated Reduction of Ketofuranoses. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 4553-4565.
17. Rhoad, J. S.; Cagg, B. A.; Carver, P. W., Scanning the Potential Energy Surface of Furanosyl Oxocarbenium Ions: Models for Reactive Intermediates in Glycosylation Reactions. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2010**, *114*, 5180-5186.
18. Minakawa, N.; Kaga, D.; Kato, Y.; Endo, K.; Tanaka, M.; Sasaki, T.; Matsuda, A., Synthesis and Structural Elucidation of 1-(3-C-ethynyl-4-Thio-Beta-D-Ribofuranosyl)cytosine (4'-ThioECyd). *J. Chem. Soc. Perk. T. 1* **2002**, 2182-2189.
19. Altona, C.; Sundaralingam, M., Conformational-Analysis of Sugar Ring in Nucleosides and Nucleotides - New Description Using Concept of Pseudorotation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 8205-8212.

20. Ayala, L.; Lucero, C. G.; Romero, J. A. C.; Tabacco, S. A.; Woerpel, K. A., Stereochemistry of Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions Depending upon Substituent: Evidence for Electrostatic Stabilization of Pseudoaxial Conformers of Oxocarbenium Ions by Heteroatom Substituents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 15521-15528.
21. Krumper, J. R.; Salamant, W. A.; Woerpel, K. A., Continuum of Mechanisms for Nucleophilic Substitutions of Cyclic Acetals. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4907-4910.
22. Krumper, J. R.; Salamant, W. A.; Woerpel, K. A., Correlations Between Nucleophilicities and Selectivities in the Substitutions of Tetrahydropyran Acetals. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 8039-8050.
23. Larsen, C. H.; Ridgway, B. H.; Shaw, J. T.; Smith, D. M.; Woerpel, K. A., Stereoselective C-glycosylation Reactions of Ribose Derivatives: Electronic Effects of Five-Membered Ring Oxocarbenium Ions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 10879-10884.
24. Larsen, C. H.; Ridgway, B. H.; Shaw, J. T.; Woerpel, K. A., A Stereoelectronic Model to Explain the Highly Stereoselective Reactions of Nucleophiles with Five-Membered-Ring Oxocarbenium Ions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 12208-12209.
25. Hagen, B.; van der Vorm, S.; Hansen, T.; van der Marel, G. A.; Codée, J. D. C., Stereoselective Glycosylations - Additions to Oxocarbenium Ions. In *Glycosylations – Synthetic Methods and Catalysts*, Bennett, C., Ed. Wiley: Weinheim, Germany, 2017.
26. Miljkovic, M.; Yeagley, D.; Deslongchamps, P.; Dory, Y. L., Experimental and Theoretical Evidence of Through-Space Electrostatic Stabilization of the Incipient Oxocarbenium Ion by an Axially Oriented Electronegative Substituent During Glycopyranoside Acetolysis. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 7597-7604.
27. Walvoort, M. T. C.; Dinkelaar, J.; van den Bos, L. J.; Lodder, G.; Overkleef, H. S.; Codee, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A., The Impact of Oxocarbenium Ion Conformers on the Stereochemical Outcome of Glycosylations. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2010**, *345*, 1252-1263.
28. Woods, R. J.; Andrews, C. W.; Bowen, J. P., Molecular Mechanical Investigations of the Properties of Oxocarbenium Ions. 2. Application to Glycoside Hydrolysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 859-864.
29. Naka, T.; Minakawa, N.; Abe, H.; Kaga, D.; Matsuda, A., The Stereoselective Synthesis of 4'-Beta-Thioribonucleosides via the Pummerer Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7233-7243.
30. Ghavami, A.; Johnston, B. D.; Pinto, B. M., A New Class of Glycosidase Inhibitor: Synthesis of Salacinol and Its Stereoisomers. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 2312-2317.
31. Wirsching, J.; Voss, J., Thiosugars, 2 - Preparation of 2,3,5-Tri-O-Benzyl-4-Thio-L-Arabinofuranosides and the Corresponding 4'-Thionucleoside Analogues. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 691-696.
32. Tiwari, K. N.; Messini, L.; Montgomery, J. A.; Secrist, J. A., Synthesis and Biological Activity of 4'-Thio-1-Xylofuranosyl Purine Nucleosides. *Nucleos. Nucleot. Nucl.* **2005**, *24*, 1895-1906.
-
-