



# **Accepted Article**

Title: Domino Reactions Initiated by Copper-Catalyzed Aryl-I Bond Thiolation For the Switchable Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydrobenzothiazinones and Benzoisothiazolones

Authors: Jin Xiong, Guofeng Zhong, and Yunyun Liu

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.201801221

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201801221

10.1002/adsc.201801221

FULL PAPER

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

# Domino Reactions Initiated by Copper-Catalyzed Aryl-I Bond Thiolation For the Switchable Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydrobenzothiazinones and Benzoisothiazolones

Jin Xiong,<sup>a</sup> Guofeng Zhong<sup>a</sup> and Yunyun Liu<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, P. R. China Fax: +86 791 8812 0380
 Email: chemliuyunyun@jxnu.edu.cn

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.((Please delete if not appropriate))

Abstract. The three-component reactions of oiodobenzamides, elemental sulfur and dichloromethane (DCM) providing 2,3-dihydro-4*H*-benzo[e][1,3]thiazin-4ones (2,3-dihydrobenzothiazinones) are accomplished via copper-catalyzed aryl C-I thiolation and subsequent *N*-, *S*hetero ring formation. In addition, the in situ aryl C-I bond thiolation is also employed for the switchable synthesis of benzo[d]isothiazol-3(2*H*)-ones (benzoisothiazolones) by subjecting *o*-iodobenzamides, elemental sulfur to the copper-catalyzed condition with microwave irradiation.

**Keywords:** Ullmann C-I thiolation; domino reaction; switchable; 2,3-dihydrobenzothiazinone; benzoisothiazolone

### Introduction

The copper-catalyzed Ullmann-type cross coupling reactions between aryl/vinyl halides and а nucleophile represent a classical and reliable strategy in constructing C-C and C-heteroatom (N, O, S etc) bonds. Owing to its general applicability in forging new  $C(sp^2)$ -C/heteroatom bond as well as the low cost and toxicity of the copper catalyst, the Ullmann cross coupling remains as one of the irreplaceable synthetic tools in both industrial and laboratory synthesis.<sup>[1]</sup> As an representative application mode, designing domino reactions by making use of the Ullmann cross coupling as the key transformation has in the past decade gained huge success in the synthesis of a massive number of organic products with different structures.<sup>[2]</sup> For example, by employing the Ullmann C-S coupling as a key transformation, a number of elegant domino reactions showing high efficiency in the synthesis of sulfur containing compounds have been realized. Ma and co-wokers have reported a facile method on benzothiazole synthesis via copper-catalyzed reactions of *N*-acyl *o*-haloanilines and sulfide salts.<sup>[3]</sup> In addition, the C-S coupling-based domino reactions between o-haloanilines and carbon disulfide giving benzothiaozles are also achieved.<sup>[4]</sup> Bao and coworkers developed the synthetic method toward 2iminobenzo-1,3-oxathioles via the assemblies of oiodophenols and isothiocyanates.<sup>[5]</sup> Zeng et al have identified the copper-catalyzed one-pot synthesis of phenothiazines via the reactions of aryl o-dihalides and o-aminothiphenols via tandem C-N and C-S coupling.<sup>[6]</sup> Wen and Li *et al* have developed the domino reactions of *o*-bromo-arylisothiocyanates and aroylhydrazides as synthetic route to benzo[4,5]thiazolo[2,3-c][1,2,4]triazoles.<sup>[7]</sup>

(arylthio)arylcyanamides,<sup>[12]</sup> as well as thiazino[2,3,4*hi*]indoles<sup>[13]</sup> have also been reported. In these known reports on domino reactions initiated by the Ullmann C-S coupling, various sulfur sources such as thiophenols, sulfide salts, and isothiocyanates etc have been utilized. Amazingly, as the simplest, cheapest and most abundant sulfur source, the elemental sulfur has not yet been applied to design such type of domino reactions regardless its widespread application on many other C-S bond forming reactions<sup>[14]</sup> and direct thiolation reactions of aryl halides.<sup>[15]</sup> In this context, developing Ullman C-S coupling-based domino reactions using elemental sulfur as the source of sulfur atom is of high merits because of the incomparable atom economy associated with elemental sulfur.

Due to the privileged relevance of sulfur containing molecules with drug discovery and clinical pharmaceuticals, the synthesis of structurally diversified sulfur-containing products keeps attracting extensive interests.<sup>[16]</sup> The 2,3dihydrobenzothiazinone is a typical sulfur containing heterocycle motif with well-known application in discovering biologically active lead compounds and

of other useful the synthesis sulfur-based heterocycles.<sup>[17]</sup> Over the past decades, several different methodologies have been devised for the 2,3-dihydrobenzothiazinones. synthesis of For example, the annulation reactions 2of mercaptobenzoic acid with imines,<sup>[18]</sup> the annulation of thioureas with perfluorobenzoyl chlorides or methyl o-iodobenzoate,<sup>[19]</sup> the condensation of 2mercaptobenzamides with aldehydes,<sup>[20]</sup> and the cyclization of 2-mercaptobenzamides with alkyl propiolates<sup>[21]</sup> are predominantly utilized methods. While the employment of the odour smelling thiophenol derivative or sensitive acyl chloride as substrate remains as restriction in the known approaches, developing new synthetic protocols allowing synthesis of 2,3the dihydrobenzothiazinones without using sensitive of odour chemical is of high urgency. Based on our research interest in developing synthetic methods via Ullmann coupling-based domino reactions and organic reactions employing gem-dihaloakane as building block,<sup>[22]</sup> we report herein the first domino reaction of o-iodobenzamide, elemental sulfur and dichloromethane (DCM) for the synthesis of 2unsubstituted 2,3-dihydrobenzothiazinones via the sequential transformations of Ullmann-type Ar-I bond thiolation and N-/S-double heteroannulation based on DCM. In addition, modification on the reaction conditions in the absence of DCM allowed switchable rapid the and synthesis of benzoisothiazolones via domino Ar-I thiolation and dehydrogenative N-S bond formation.<sup>[23]</sup>

#### **Results and Discussion**

At the beginning, the reaction of N-benzyl oiodobenzamide 1a, elemental sulfur 2 and DCM 3 was tentatively employed in the presence of CuBr and Et<sub>3</sub>N, by heating at 120 °C in DMSO, the target product 4a was observed with 23% yield (entry 1, Table 1). The subsequent screening to different Cu(I) and Cu(II) catalysts led to the observation that CuBr<sub>2</sub> was a much better catalyst (entries 2-6, Table 1). Variation on the CuBr<sub>2</sub> loading revealed that 25 mol% catalyst was proper (entries 7-8, Table 1). Later on, a series of different base additives such as KOH, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> were compared for the reaction, and Et<sub>3</sub>N remained as the most favourable base additive (entries 9-12, Table 1). Increasing or reducing the loading of Et<sub>3</sub>N was both negative to the formation of 4a (entries 13-14, Table 1). In addition, attempts in employing a ligand turned out to be helpful to improve the yield of 4a, and 1,10phenanthroline displayed the best effect (entries 15-18, Table 1). Notably, the parallel reaction conducted in reaction media of different polarity such as DMF, DMAC (N,N-dimethylacetamide), NMP and xylene gave 4a with very low or no yield, suggesting the dependence of this reaction on DMSO (entries 19-22, Table 1). Finally, the reaction temperature was also optimized, but the drop on product yield was found in

reaction at both higher and lower temperature (entries 23-24, Table 1). A control entry without using base additive provided 4a with trace amount, suggesting the important role of base in the reaction (entry 25, Table 1). In additional control experiments, no expected reaction takes place when N-phenyl obromobenzamide or N-phenyl o-chlorobenzamide was used as alternative substrate of **1a**, respectively.

Table 1 The	optimization on	reaction	condition <sup>a</sup>

		$S_8$ 2 + $CH_2CI_2$ $CH_2CI_2$ $CH_2CI_2$	base, ligand ≯ vent, T		N J	]
	1a	3	1:	1	4a	
entry	cataryst	base	ngand	solvent	[%] <sup>b</sup>	
1	CuBr	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	23	
2	CuI	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	16	
3	CuCl	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	18	
4	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	48	
5	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	25	()
6	CuCl <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	15	$\cup$
7°	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	23	10
$8^{d}$	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	25	U)
9	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	KOH	no	DMSO	12	
10	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	no	DMSO	23	
11	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	$Cs_2CO_3$	no	DMSO	27	
12	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	$K_3PO_4$	no	DMSO	25	
13 <sup>e</sup>	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	40	
14 <sup>f</sup>	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	no	DMSO	38	$(\mathbf{U})$
15	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L1	DMSO	69	
16	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L2	DMSO	40	
17	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L3	DMSO	36	
18	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L4	DMSO	52	
19	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L1	DMF	nr	
20	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L1	NMP	nr	
21	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	$Et_3N$	L1	DMAC	nr	
22	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L1	xylene	nr	1
23 <sup>g</sup>	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L1	DMSO	59	V
24 <sup>h</sup>	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	L1	DMSO	62	┵┛
25	CuBr <sub>2</sub>	-	L1	DMSO	trace	
		Соон	OH			0
	L1	L2	L3		L4	

<sup>a</sup>The reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2** (1.0 mmol), **3** (0.5 mL), copper catalyst (0.05 mmol), base (0.8 mmol), ligand (0.06 mmol) in 2 mL solvent, heated at 120 °C (sealed tube) for 12 h under air atmosphere. <sup>b</sup>Yield of isolated product based on **1a**. <sup>c</sup>The loading of CuBr<sub>2</sub> wa 20 mol%. <sup>d</sup>The loading of CuBr<sub>2</sub> was 30 mol%. <sup>e</sup>The loading of Et<sub>3</sub>N was 0.6 mmol. <sup>f</sup>The loading of Et<sub>3</sub>N was 1.0 mmol. <sup>g</sup>Heating at 110 °C. <sup>h</sup>Heating at 130 °C.

In the experiments examining the scope of the 2,3dihydrobenzothiazinone synthesis, a broad range of o-iodobenzamides 1 were employed to react with elemental sulfur and dichloromethane under the optimized conditions. As outlined in Table 2, the titled synthesis exhibited general tolerance to the Nsubstituted o-iodobenzamides. Generally, N-benzyl

(4a-4f, Table 2), N-phenylethyl (4g-4h, Table 2), Nalkyl (4i-4l, Table 2) as well as N-aryl (4o-4t, Table 2) functionalized substrates 1 could participate in the synthesis of products 4 with moderate to good yields. When substrate 1 with electron withdrawing substituent on the phenyl ring was utilized, the product was also smoothly afforded (4m-4n, Table 2). On the other hand, when N-benzyl 2-iodo-5-methyl benzamide was used to react with 2 and 3, complex mixture was provided, indicating the presence of unfavoured side reactions resulted from the electron donating methyl group in the phenyl ring. In addition, the o-iodobenzamide without N-substitution failed to take part in this domino reaction to yield expected Nunsubstituted product. The reaction employing 1,1dichloroethane as the alternative substrate of DCM was also performed, but not corresponding product of type **4** was observed, either.

2 Table Scope on the synthesis of 2,3dihydrobenzothiazinones<sup>a,b</sup>



<sup>a</sup>General condition: o-iodobenzmide 1 (0.2 mmol), sulfur powder 2 (1.0 mmol), DCM 3 (0.5 mL), CuBr<sub>2</sub> (0.05 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.06 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.8 mmol) and DMSO (2 mL), stirred at 120 °C for 12 h in sealed tube under air atmosphere. <sup>b</sup>Yield of isolated product based on 1.

Interestingly, when we tried to synthesize and isolate the potential 2-mercaptobenzamide intermediate from the reaction without using DCM, we observed the formation of benzoisothiazolone (see the proposed mechanism in Scheme 1). This result encouraged us to further examine this catalytic system in hope of providing a generally applicable Ar-X bond thiolation approach for the synthesis of benzoisothiazolones. After brief exploration on reaction conditions, the microwave irradiation turned out to be a practical tool enabling highly efficient and rapid synthesis of **5a**. Thus, the scope on this microwave-assisted, coppercatalyzed domino synthesis of benzoisothiazolones was then studied. As shown in Table 3, the method

also displayed satisfactory tolerance to the substrates. The benzamides 1 with divergent substitution, including benzyls (5a-5g, Table 3), phenylethyl (5k-**51**, Table 3), alkyls (**5m-5p**, Table 3) as well as aryls (5q-5s, Table 3) all reacted with elemental sulfur to give corresponding product with moderate to good yields. What's more, the benzamides with a substituent such as methyl, methoxyl and chloro in the phenyl ring were also successfully utilized for the expected synthesis (5h-5j, Table 1), supporting the general tolerance of the present synthetic method to *N*-substituted o-iodobenzamides the via dehydrogenative intramolecular S-N bond formation.<sup>[24]</sup> However, the employment of Nunsubstituted o-iodobenzamide for the synthesis of corresponding NH benzoisothiazolone was not realized, either.

Table 3 Scope on the synthesis of benzoisothiazolones<sup>a,b</sup>



5r, R = Me, 60% **50**, R = *n*-Bu, 68% -R **5s**, R = Br, 61% 5p, R = n-pent, 66% <sup>a</sup>General condition: *o*-iodobenzmide **1** (0.2 mmol), sulfur powder 2 (1.0 mmol), CuBr<sub>2</sub> (0.02 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.2 mmol) and DMSO (2 mL), irradiated at 120 °C with MW

isolated product based on 1.

In further investigation, related control experiments were designed and executed to probe the potential reaction pathway. At first, the *o*-iodobenzamide **1b** as well as elemental sulfur was employed to react under the standard heating condition without employing DCM. Instead of the 2-mercaptobenzamide 6, the product 5b was directly obtained from this reaction (eq 1), suggesting that 6 was unstable under the present conditions. In addition, subjecting 5b with DCM under standard reaction conditions smoothly given six-membered heterocyclic product **4b** (eq 2), supporting that **5b** could act as an intermediate during the formation of products 4. Actually, the analysis by GC observed the formation of 5a in the reaction synthesizing 4a (see SI). In addition, the control experiment of **1a**, sulfur powder and DCM provided 4a with only 8% yield in the presence of radical

scavenger HBT, and the compound **7** resulting from the oxidation of BHT was obtained with 59% yield (eq 3), implying that free radical was generated during the reaction process.<sup>[25]</sup>



According to the results from the controls experiments, the general mechanisms for the reactions providing products 4 and 5 are proposed (Scheme 1). First, in the presence of copper catalyst and elemental sulfur, the Ar-I bond undergoes the typical Ullmann-type thiolation to give thiol functionalized benzamide  $6^{[26]}$  This intermediate, as observed in the experiments, can be quickly transformed into products 5 under the catalytic conditions of both microwave irradiation or conventional heating. Subsequently, in the presence of DCM, two reaction pathways may take place. One is the direct double nucleophilic substitution of the SH and NH group to DCM promoted by the base additive (path A). On the other hand, since compounds 5 can also be transformed into products 4 (Eq 2), another possible pathway involving in the ring opening of the heterocycle in 5 is also possible (path B). This pathway might be initiated by the single electron transfer (SET) between Cu(II) and DCM which produces Cu(I), Cl· radical and ClCH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> cation 8. This cation coupling 5 to trigger the ring opening<sup>[27]</sup> to provide N-chloromethylated  $S^+$  cation intermediate 9. This cation then incorporate Cu(I) to give free radical intermediate 10 and regenerate Cu(II) via SET.



#### Scheme 1 The proposed reaction mechanism

The intramolecular free radical substation on **10** gives rise to product **4** and  $Cl \cdot radical$ . Simultaneously, the homo-coupling of the  $Cl \cdot yielding$  molecular chlorine takes place as the free radical chain expiration step.

### Conclusion

In summary, we have developed for the first time the domino reactions on the synthesis of 2,3dihydrobenzothiazinones and switchable synthesis of benzoisothiazolones based on the key transformation of Ullmann-type Ar-I bond thiolation. The easyavailability, stable, operator friendly, and low cost of all the reagents constitute the individual advantages of the present methods. In addition, the interesting ring opening of the benzoisothiazolones observed in the experiment can be useful clue in understanding and devising more divergent reactions involving the C-heteroatom bond cleavage based on these featured transformations.

# **Experimental Section**

#### General procedure for dihydrobenzothiazinones 4

To a 10 mL test tube equipped with stirring bar and condenser was charged with *o*-iodobenzamide **1** (0.7 mmol), sulfur powder **2** (1.0 mmol), DCM **3** (0.5 mL), CuBr<sub>2</sub> (0.05 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.06 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.8 mmol) and DMSO (2 mL). The test tube wa sealed with Teflon cap, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 12 h. Upon completion (TLC), the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, and H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added to the vessel. The resulting suspension was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10$  mL). The organic phases were combined and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solution obtained therein was employed to reduced pressure to remove the solvent. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography to give pure products by using mixed petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (v / v = 10:1).

#### General procedure for benzoisothiazolones 5

To a 10 mL microwave reaction tube equipped with stirring bar was charged with *o*-iodobenzamide **1** (0.2 mmol), sulfur powder **2** (1.0 mmol). CuBr (0.02 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.2 mmol) and DMSO (2 mL). The tube was then sealed, and the resulting mixture was subjected to microwave irradiation at 120 °C in a NOVA-2S microwave reactor for 10 min. After stopping the irradiation, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, and H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added to the vessel. The resulting suspension was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL). The organic phases were combined and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the solvent was removed from the solution under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography to give pure products by using mixed petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (v / v = 10:1).

### Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 21562024).

# References

- For reviews, see: a) C. Sambiagio, S. P. Marsden, A. J. Blacker, P. C. McGowan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 3525; b) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, 102, 1359; c) K. Kunz, U. Scholz, D. Ganzer, *Synlett* 2003, 2428; d) F. Monnief, M. Taillefer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 6954; e) D. Ma, Q. Cai, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008, 41, 1450; f) S. Mondal, *ChemTexts* 2016, 2, 17.
- [2] For selected reviews and examples, see: a) Y. Liu, J.-P. Wan, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 6873; b) Y. Liu, J.-P. Wan, Chem. Asian J. 2012, 7, 1488; c) D. Schmidt, C. C. Malakar, U. Beifuss, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4862; d) B. Li, S. Guo, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, X. Fan, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5444; e) Kavala, V.; Janreddy, D.; Raihan, M. J.; Kuo, C.-W. Ramesh, C.; Yao, C.-F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 2229; f) C. J. Ball, J. Gilmore, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5718; g) N. Aljaar, C. C. Malakar, J. Conard, S. Strobel, T. Schleid, U. Beifuss, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 7793; h) M. Jiang, J. Li, F. Wang, Y. Zhao, F. Zhao, X. Dong, W. Zhao, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1420; i) F.-C. Jia, C. Xu, Q. Cai, A.-X. Wu, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9914; j) J. An, H. Alper, A. M. Beauchemin, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3482; k) V. Murugesh, B. Harish, M. Adiseshu, J. B. Nanubolu, S. Suresh, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 1309; l) S. Dhiman, K. Pericherla, N. K. Nandwana, D. Kumar, A. Kumar, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7399; m) P. Sang, Y. Xie, J. Zou, Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3894.
- [3] D. Ma, S. Xie, P. Xue, X. Zhang, J. Dong, Y. Jiang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 4222.
- [4] D. Ma, X, Lu, L. Shi, H. Zhang, Y. Jiang, X. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1118.
- [5] X. Lv, Y. Liu, W. Qian, W. Bao, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 2507.
- [6] C. Dai, X. Sun, X. Tu, L. Wu, D. Zhan, Q. Zeng, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5367.
- [7] L.-R. Wen, S.-L. Li, J. Zhang, M. Li, Green Chem. 2015, 17, 1581.
- [8] D. Chen, Z.-J. Wang, W. Bao, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 5768.
- [9] D. J. C. Prasad, G. Sekar, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1659.
- [10] a) G. Shen, X. Lv, W. Bao, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 5897; b) Q. Ding, X. He, J. Wu, J. Comb. Chem. 2009, 11, 587; c) Y.-J. Guo, R.-Y. Tang, P. Zhong, J.-H. Li, Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 649; d) S. K. Sahoo, A. Banerjee, S. Chakraborty, B. K. Patel, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 544.
- [11] a) F. Wang, C. Chen, G. Deng, C. Xi, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4148; b) Z. Wang, Y. Kuninobu, M. Kanai, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7337; c) T. Li, L. Yang, K. Ni, Z.

Shi, F. Li, D. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 6279.

- [12] T. Ramana, P. Saha, M. Das, T. Punniyamurthy, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 84.
- [13] a) X. Mao, T. Tong, S. Fan, L. Fang, J. Wu, X. Wang, H. Kang, X. Lv, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 4718; b) J. Tang, B. Xu, X. Mao, H. Yang, X. Wang, X. Lv, *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 11108.
- [14] For reviews, see: a) R. Mayer, Elemental Sulfur and its Reactions, in *Organic Chemistry of Sulfur*, Ed. S. Oae, Springer, 1977, pp. 33–69; b) B. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.* 1976, 76, 367; c) H. Liu, X. Jiang, *Chem. Asian J.* 2013, 8, 2546; c) T. B. Nguyen, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2017, 359, 1066.
- [15] Y. Liu, S. Liu, Y. Xiao, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2017, 13, 589, and related references cited therein.
- [16] For a review, see: M. Feng, B. Tang, S. H. Liang, X. Jiang, Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2016, 16, 1200.
- [17] a) F. Voss, E. Herdtweck, T. Bach, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 2137; b) A. Zarghi, T. Zebardast, B. Daraie, M. Hedayati, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2009, 17, 5369; c) R. Tiwari, P. A. Miller, S. Cho, S. G. Franzblau, M. J. Miller, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 2015, 6, 128; d) V. R. Solomon, W. Haq, K. Srivastava, S. K. Puri, S. B. Katti, *J. Med. Chem.* 2007, 50, 394.
- [18] a) W. P. Unsworth, C. Kitsiou, R. J. K. Taylor, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 258; b) K. Johannes, J. Martens, Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 242; c) C. Kitsiou, W. F Unsworth, G. Coulthard, R. J. K. Taylor, Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 7172.
- [19] a) W. R. Dolbier, Jr., C. Burkholder, K. A. Abbound,
  D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7688; b) D. Chen, J. Wu,
  J. Yang, L. Huang, Y. Xiang, W. Bao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, 53, 7104.
- [20] a) H. Zinnes, R. A. Comes, J. Shavel, J. Org. Chem.
  1964, 29, 2068; b) J. Nyitrai, J. Fetter, Gy, H.; K. Zauer, K. Lempert, I. Koczka, I. Sági, C. Rétháti, *Tetrahedron* 1978, 34, 1031.
- [21] H.-H. Wang, T. Shi, W.-W. Gao, H.-H. Zhang, Y.-Q. Wang, J.-F. Li, Y.-S. Hou, J.-H. Chen, X. Peng, Z. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 8013.
- [22] a) Y. Liu, M. Huang, L. Wei, New J. Chem. 2017, 41, 4776; b) X. Chen, W. Hao, Y. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 3423; c) X. Chen, Y. Liu, RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 37839; d) X. Chen, C. Hu, J.-P. Wan, Y. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2016, 57, 5116; d) Y. Liu, H. Wang, J.-P. Wan, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 10599; e) J.-P. Wan, H. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Ding, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5160.
- [23] For examples on the Ullmann thiolation-based synthesis of benzoisothiazolones, see: a) B. S. Bhakuni, S. J. Balkrishna, A. Kumar, S. Kumar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, *53*, 1354; b) R. Paul, T. Punniyamurthy, *RSC Adv.* 2012, *2*, 7075.
- [24] a) Z. Wang, Y. Kuninobu, M. Kanai, J. Org. Chem.
  2013, 78, 7337; b) Ş. D. Doğan, Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 73, 2217.

- [25] W. Baik, H. J. Lee, J. M. Jang, S. Koo, B. H. Kim, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 108.
- [26] a) Y. Jiang, Y. Qin, S. Xie, X. Zhang, J. Dong, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5250; b) H.-J. Xu, Y.-F. Liang, Z.-Y. Cai, H.-X. Qi, C.-Y. Yang, Y.-S. Feng, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2296.

[27] A. Henke, J. Srogl, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6819.

## FULL PAPER

Domino Reactions Initiated by Copper-Catalyzed Aryl-I Bond Thiolation For the Switchable Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydrobenzothiazinones and Benzoisothiazolones

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page - Page

Jin Xiong, Guofeng Zhong, Yunyun Liu\*

