ARTICLE IN PRESS

Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



ELSEVIER







Efficient synthesis of α -substituted ethylphosphonates via CuHcatalyzed conjugate reduction of terminal alkenylphosphonate

Li Zhang^a, Yewen Fang^{b,e,*}, Xiaoping Jin^{c,*}, Ting Guo^d, Ruifeng Li^{a,*}, Yan Li^d, Xie Li^b, Yi Yang^b, Meijuan Yuan^a, Zongming Tian^c

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Taiyuan University of Technology, No. 79 West Yingze Street, Taiyuan 030024, China

^b School of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, No. 201 Fenghua Road, Ningbo 315211, China

^c Department of Biology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang Pharmaceutical College, No. 888 Yinxian Avenue East, Ningbo 315100, China

^d Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Advanced Organic Chemical Materials and Ministry-of-Education Key Laboratory for Synthesis and Application of Organic Functional Molecules, Hubei University, No. 368 Youyi Dadao, Wuhan 430062, China

^e Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 August 2017 Revised 16 October 2017 Accepted 17 October 2017 Available online xxxx

Keywords: α-Substituted ethylphosphonate Conjugate reduction CuH catalysis Chemoselective reduction

ABSTRACT

An unprecedented approach toward synthesis of α -substituted ethylphosphonates based on CuH-catalyzed conjugate reduction of vinylphosphonates has been successfully developed. This protocol features mild conditions, broad substrate scope, good functional group compatibility, high overall efficiencies, and easy gram-scale synthesis. The Cu-catalyzed reduction takes place in a highly selective manner on the phosphono substituted C=C bond in the case of the reaction of alkenylphosphonates bearing both phosphono and alkyl or aryl substituted alkene moieties. Furthermore, the result of competitive reaction indicates that the Cu-catalyzed conjugate reduction of vinylphosphonate is more challenging and reproducible than the corresponding acrylate's reaction.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Amongst the various phosphorus compounds, α -substituted ethylphosphonates continue to receive great attention because they could be considered as phosphorus-containing analogues of α -substituted propionic acids, well-known non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs such as naproxen and ibuprofen.¹ Therefore, α -substituted ethylphosphonates may find applications in the search for new potent antipsychotic drugs. Moreover, α -arylalkylphosphonates have been demonstrated to exhibit negative inotropic and calcium antagonistic activity.² They were also widely employed as haptens for reactive immunization.³ As a consequence, significant progress has been achieved for the preparation of α -substituted ethylphosphonates.

Compared to the Arbuzov reaction of triethyl phosphite with α arylethyl bromides⁴ and other noncatalytic protocols,⁵ the catalytic approaches toward the synthesis of α -substituted ethylphosphonates are undoubtedly more powerful and appealing. In the last several years, many efforts have been devoted to developing efficient metal-catalyzed methods. As for the Ni-catalyzed Hiyama coupling,⁶ only one example was reported on the coupling of α brominated ethylphosphonate with *p*-toly silane. Furthermore, in the case of Cu-catalyzed reductive coupling of N-tosylhydrazones and *H*-phosphonates,⁷ moderate to high yields were observed under somewhat harsh conditions. Additionally, the method based on the Pd-catalyzed hydrophosphorylation of olefins is attractive because of its high atom economy and efficiency. However, the expected Markovnikov products were always contaminated by the anti-Markovnikov adducts.⁸ In comparison with the abovementioned methods, hydrogenation of α -substituted vinylphosphonates represents the versatile and straightforward approach. These methods fall into the following three categories based on the resource of hydrogen: (i) hydrogen pressure reduction⁹; (ii) hydrogen transfer reduction^{9c,10}; (iii) diimide reduction.¹¹

Given the dangerous handling of gaseous hydrogen and the employment of a large excess amount of hydrazine in noncatalytic diimide reduction, reduction of alkenes based on catalytic hydrogen transfer offers advantages such as operational simplicity, low requirement of equipment, and economical fashion. However, in the case of the hydrogen transfer reduction using a HCOONH₄/ Pd/C system, hydrodehalogenation as a side reaction often occurs over most metal catalysts employed.^{9c} We hypothesized that the

^{*} Corresponding authors at: School of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, No. 201 Fenghua Road, Ningbo 315211, China (Y. Fang).

E-mail addresses: fang@nbut.edu.cn (Y. Fang), jinxp@mail.zjpc.net.cn (X. Jin), rfli@tyut.edu.cn (R. Li).

2

above mentioned drawbacks could be addressed via CuH-catalyzed conjugate reduction with silanes as the hydrogen source. Since the pioneering works of Stryker and co-workers,¹² Stryker's reagent, [(Ph₃P)CuH]₆ effect highly regioselective conjugate reductions of various carbonyl derivatives, including unsaturated ketones, esters, aldehydes, and related substrates.¹³ Surprisingly, there is no precedent for the copper-catalyzed reduction of the terminal alkenylphosphonates. Herein, as a part of our program aiming at developing practical methods for the synthesis of α -substituted phosphonates,^{10a,11,14} we describe a straightforward and efficient synthesis of approach the α -substituted toward ethylphosphonates based on CuH-catalyzed reduction under mild conditions.

Results and discussion

At the outset, we selected α -phenyl ethenylphosphonate **1a** as the model substrate to optimize the reaction conditions and the results are listed in Table 1. Subjecting 1a to a solution of CuH catalyst (generated from Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O, PPh₃, and a stoichiometric amount of poly(methylhydrosiloxane) (PMHS) as the hydride source)¹⁵ resulted in the formation of the desired product 2a in 22% yield. Gratifyingly, the presence of 1 equiv t-BuONa as the additive accelerated the conversion greatly,¹⁶ affording the **2a** in 81% yield (entry 2). The yield could be further improved to 95% with 3 equiv of *t*-BuOH as the additive^{13c} (entry 3). Reducing the amount of the catalyst to 5 mol% resulted in a lower yield of 87% (entry 4). Changing the solvent to THF, dioxane, or CH₃CN dramatically decreased the efficiency (entries 5–7). Furthermore, the significance of the copper source in this reaction was demonstrated by control experiments. Similar yield was obtained using anhydrous Cu(OAc)₂ in place of Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O as the

Table 1

Optimization of reaction conditions.^a

catalyst (entry 8). Significant drop of the yield was observed with CuCl or Cu(acac)₂ as the copper source (entries 9–10). Other common copper complexes like CuI, CuCN, CuCl₂·2H₂O, CuBr₂, and CuSO₄ did not catalyze the reaction at all (entries 11–15). Expectedly, control experiments indicated that both Cu (OAc)₂·H₂O and PPh₃ were required for this reductive protocol (entries 16–17). On the basis of the screening, the combination of 10 mol% Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O, 10 mol% PPh₃, 3 equiv of *t*-BuOH and 3 equiv of PMHS in toluene at 40 °C for 3 h emerged as the best reaction conditions.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then set out to examine the scope of the reduction with respect to the α -aryl ethenylphosphonates. As shown in Table 2, the copper-catalyzed conjugate reduction of various terminal vinvlphosphonates proceeded smoothly and furnished α -aryl ethylphosphonates in good to excellent yields. α -Aryl ethylphosphonates possessing electron-donating groups (Me, OMe) (2b-f) at the phenyl ring were efficiently accessible in 82-95% yields. The reactions of vinylphosphonates having electron- withdrawing groups (CO₂Me, NO₂, CN) at the phenyl ring proceeded efficiently to provide the products 2g-i in 87–91% yields. Notably, the highly chemoselective reduction of acetyl-containing alkenylphosphonate could be realized,^{16a} giving the product 2j in a 87% isolated yield. The reaction of alkenylphosphonate containing biphenyl group afforded 2k in 94% yield. However, the 2-naphthyl substituted vinylphosphonate was reluctant to be reduced under the standard conditions. Fortunately, the conjugate reduction could undergo smoothly when PPh₃ was replaced with 1,2-bis (diphenylphosphino)benzene (BDP),^{13c} delivering **2l** in 96% yield. Furthermore, the hydrogenation of alkene also took place when α -thienyl vinylphosphonate was used as substrate to give the corresponding product 2m in 96% yield. Likewise, the dimethyl



entry	catalyst	ligand	additive	solvent	yield of $2a^{b}$ (%)
1 ^c	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$	PPh ₃	-	toluene	22
2 ^d	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	PPh ₃	<i>t</i> -BuONa	toluene	81
3	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	95
4 ^e	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	87
5 ^c	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	THF	23
6 ^c	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	dioxane	trace
7 ^c	Cu(OAc) ₂ ·H ₂ O	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	CH ₃ CN	trace
8	$Cu(OAc)_2$	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	92
9 ^c	Cu(acac) ₂	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	33
10 ^c	CuCl	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	20
11 ^c	CuI	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	trace
12 ^c	CuCN	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	trace
13 ^c	CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	trace
14 ^c	CuBr ₂	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	trace
15 ^c	CuSO ₄	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	trace
16 ^c	-	PPh ₃	t-BuOH	toluene	0
17 ^c	$Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$	-	t-BuOH	toluene	0

^a Reaction conditions: a reaction mixture of **1a** (0.4 mmol), copper catalyst (0.04 mmol), PPh₃ (10.5 mg, 0.04 mmol), PMHS (266 μL, 1.2 mmol), *t*-BuOH (127 μL, 1.2 mmol), and solvent (2 mL) was stirred at 40 °C for 3 h.

^b Yield of the isolated product.

^c The yield was determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy with 4-nitroacetophenone as an internal standard.

^d With 1 equiv of *t*-BuONa as the additive in place of *t*-BuOH.

 $^{e}\,$ A combination of Cu(OAc)_2·H_2O (5 mol%)/PPh_3(5 mol%) was used.

Scope of α -substituted vinylphosphonates.^{a,b}

Table 2



Reaction conditions: a reaction mixture of 1 (0.4 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (0.04 mmol) PPh₂ (10.5 mg 0.04 mmol) PMHS (266 µJ, 1.2 mmol) *t*-BuOH (127 µJ, 1.2 mmol), and toluene (2 mL) was stirred at 40 °C for 3 h.

Yield of the isolated product.

с The reaction was conducted in the presence of Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (10 mol%) and BDP (5 mol%) at 60 °C for 12 h.

Reaction run at 80 °C for 14 h in the presence of Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (10 mol%) and BDP (8 mol%).

ethylphosphonates 2n and diisopropyl ethylphosphonate 2o could be accessed efficiently under the standard reaction conditions. As a limitation of this method, this Cu-catalyzed reduction is sensitive to steric hindrance. In the case of the reduction of α -2-tolyl ethenylphosphonate, only moderate yield of 2p could be achieved. Of note, with BDP as the ligand, this protocol could be reduction α-alkyl extended to the of substituted vinylphosphonates, albeit under somewhat harsh reaction conditions, producing **2q** and **2r** in 90% and 93% yield, respectively.

The halo group is a kind of fundamental and important functional groups, which offers the opportunity for further elaboration

Table 3 Cu-catalyzed conjugate reduction of halo-containing vinylphosphonates. ^{a,b}							
PO(OEt)₂PF	Cu(OAc) ₂ •H ₂ O(Ph ₃ (10 mol %) or E	10 mol %) 3DP (3 mol %)	PO(OEt) ₂				
PMHS (3 equiv), <i>t</i> -BuOH (3 equiv) toluene (0.2 M), 40 °C, 3 h							
Me X	Me X	Me Me	PO(OEt) ₂				
4a , X = Cl, 89% 4b , X = F, 82%	4c , X = C I , 99% 4d , X = Br, 88%	4e , X = Cl, 95% ^c 4f , X = F, 87% ^c	4g, 97%				

Reaction conditions: a reaction mixture of 3 (0.4 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (0.04 mmol), PPh₃ (10.5 mg, 0.04 mmol), PMHS (266 μ L, 1.2 mmol), t-BuOH (127 μ L, 1.2 mmol), and toluene (2 mL) was stirred at 40 °C for 3 h.

^b Yield of the isolated product. с

BDP (3 mol%) was used.

of these versatile functional groups by transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. However, in the case of reduction of alkenes under hydrogen transfer conditions in the presence of carbonsupported metal catalysts, hydrodehalogenation is a competing reaction. Considering the mild reaction conditions, we speculate that our protocol could be extended to the reduction of halo-containing vinylphosphonates (Table 3). To our delight, aryl chlorides, aryl bromide, and aryl fluorides turned out to be compatible. In contrast to the moderate yield of 2s, high yields of 2-chloro- and 2-fluorophenyl substituted ethylphosphonates (4e and 4f) were observed with BDP as the ligand.

One limitation of NBSH mediated reduction of alkenes arises from the difficulties associated with differentiation between phosphono and aliphatic olefins.¹¹ To determine whether this method displays acceptable levels of olefin selectivity, conjugate reduction of **5**, which contain both phosphono and alkyl substituted alkene moieties, was investigated (Scheme 1). The exclusive formation of the respective ethylphosphonate 6 indicates that CuH-catalyzed conjugate reduction takes place in a highly selective manner on the phosphono substituted C=C bond. A similar result occurs when 7 bearing two exo C=C bonds was subjected to the standard reaction conditions. In this case, the phosphono substituted olefin was reduced exclusively while the other aryl substituted C=C bond remained intact.

Interestingly, in addition to the 1-aryl ethenylphosphonate, the above CuH-catalyzed conjugate reduction could be extend to 2-(aryl)vinylphosphonate. As demonstrated in Scheme 2, with BDP (5 mol%) as the ligand at 60 °C, styrene phosphonate 9 was nicely reduced to give the diethyl 2-phenylethylphosphonate 10 in 89% yield. This result further expanded the substrate scope of the reaction

Having learned the scope of the conjugate reduction, we sought to explore the effect of increasing the scale of this reductive reaction (Scheme 3). Subjecting 1.44 g of 1a yielded 1.40 g (96% yield) of the desired reduced product 2a without decreasing the efficiency compared with the scale used in the optimization studies. This gram-scale reaction highlights the practicality of this new Cu-catalyzed conjugate reduction of vinylphosphonates.



Scheme 1. Chemoselective reduction of C=C double bonds.



Scheme 3. Gram-scale synthesis

2a, 1.40 g, 96%

Please cite this article in press as: Zhang L., et al. Tetrahedron Lett. (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.10.045

1a

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Zhang et al./Tetrahedron Letters xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Scheme 4. Competitive conjugate reduction.

Finally, in competition study (Scheme 4), α -phenyl ethyl acrylate **11** reacted preferentially over α -phenyl ethenylphosphonate 1a, giving 12 and 2a in 46% and 31% yield, respectively. This result suggests that vinylphosphonate is more challenging substrate compared to the corresponding acrylate in this Cu-catalyzed conjugate reduction reaction. Meanwhile, vinylphosphonates are much less liable to polymerize in comparison with the corresponding conjugated carbonyl compounds. Consequently, their reductive reactions would be much reproducible.

In summary, we have developed a general and robust protocol for the synthesis of α -substituted ethylphosphonates based on CuH-catalyzed conjugate reduction of vinylphosphonates under mild reaction conditions. This protocol features broad substrate scope, good functional group compatibility, high overall efficiencies, and easy gram-scale synthesis. Furthermore, the result of competitive reaction indicates that the Cu-catalyzed conjugate reduction of vinylphosphonate is more challenging and reproducible than the corresponding acrylate's reaction.

Acknowledgements

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21202090), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. LY12B02001, LQ13B010004, and LY17B020004), the Zhejiang Provincial Project of Applied Public Welfare Technology (No. 2016C33254), the Ningbo Natural Science Foundation (Nos. 2016A610234, 2016A610237, and 2016A610097), the National Training Programs for Innovation and Entrepreneurship for Undergraduates (No. 201611058006), and Ningbo University of Technology (NBUT). The authors acknowledge Dr. Zhaohui Huang at Ningbo Institute Drug Control for mass spectra data. Valuable comments from Professor Chaozhong Li (SIOC) are greatly appreciated.

A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.10.045.

References

- 1. Jung KW, Janda KD, Sanfilippo PJ, Wachter M. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 1996:6:2281-2282.
- Bellucci C, Gualtieri F, Scapecchi S, Teodori E, Budriesi R, Chiarini A. Farmaco. 1989:44:1167-1191.
- 3. (a) Datta A, Wentworth Jr P, Shaw JP, Simeonov A, Janda KD. J Am Chem Soc. 1999;121:10461-10467;

(b) Lo C-H L, Wentworth Jr P, Jung KW, Yoon J, Ashley JA, Janda KD. J Am Chem Soc. 1997;119:10251-10252.

- 4. (a) Harger MJP, Sreedharan-Menon R. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans. 1994;1:3261-3267;
- (b) Zimmerman HE, Keck GE, Pflederer JL. J Am Chem Soc. 1976;98:5574-5581;

(c) Kagan F, Birkenmeyer RD, Strube RE. J Am Chem Soc. 1959;81:3026-3031; (d) Rajeshwaran GG, Nandakumar M, Sureshbabu R, Mohanakrishnan AK. Org Lett. 2011;13:1270-1273.

- 5. (a) Antczak MI, Montchamp J-L. Org Lett. 2008;10:977-980;
- b) Antczak MI, Montchamp J-L. J Org Chem. 2009;74:3758-3766;
- (c) Bhanthumnavin W, Bentrude WG. J Org Chem. 2001;66:980-990;
- (d) Omelanzcuk J, Sopchik AE, Lee SG, Akutagawa K, Cairns SM, Bentrude WG. J Am Chem Soc. 1988;110:6908-6909;
- (e) Yamashita M, Takeuchi J, Nakatani K, Oshikawa T, Inokawa S. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 1985;58:377-378;
- Bełczewski P, Pietrzykowski WM, Mikołlajczyk M. Tetrahedron. 1995;51:7727-7740;
- (g) Villieras J, Reliquet A, Normant JF. Synthesis. 1978;27-29;
- (h) Teulade M-P, Savignac P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987;28:405-408;
- (i) Teulade M-P, Savignac P, Aboujaoude EE, Collignon N. J Organomet Chem. 1986;312:283-295;
- (j) Villieras J, Reliquet A, Normant JF. J Organomet Chem. 1978;144:17-25; (k) Villieras J, Reliquet A, Normant JF. J Organomet Chem. 1978;144:263-269.
- Strotman NA, Sommer S, Fu GC. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007;46:3556-3558.
- (a) Miao W, Gao Y, Li X, Gao Y, Tang G, Zhao Y. Adv Synth Catal. 7. 2012;354:2659-2664;
 - (b) Chen Z-S, Zhou Z-Z, Hua H-L, et al. Tetrahedron. 2013;69:1065-1068; (c) Wu L, Zhang X, Chen Q-Q, Zhou A-K. Org Biomol Chem. 2012;10:7859–7862.
- (a) Han L-B, Mirzaei F, Zhao C-Q, Tanaka M. J Am Chem Soc. 2000;122:5407-5408;
- (b) Shulyupin MO, Franciò G, Beletskaya IP, Leitner W. Adv Synth Catal. 2005;347:667-672;
- (c) Barta K, Franciò G, Leitner W, Lloyd-Jones GC, Shepperson IR. Adv Synth Catal. 2008;350:2013-2023;
- (d) Candy M, Rousseaux SAL, Cirugeda San Román A, et al. Adv Synth Catal. 2014;356:2703-2708;
- (e) Xu O, Han L-B. Org Lett. 2006;8:2099-2101.
- (a) Henry J-C, Lavergne D, Ratovelomanana-Vidal V, Genet J-P, Beletskava IP, 9. Dolgina TM. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998;39:3473-3476;
 - (b) Cho IS, Alper H. J Org Chem. 1994;59:4027-4028;
 - (c) Goulioukina NS, Dolgina TM, Beletskaya IP, et al. Tetrahedron Asymmetry. 2001;12:319-327;

(d) Goulioukina NS, Dolgina TM, Bondarenko GN, et al. Tetrahedron Asymmetry. 2003:14:1397-1401:

(e) Wang D-Y, Hu X-P, Deng J, Yu S-B, Duan Z-C, Zheng Z. J Org Chem. 2009:74:4408-4410:

(f) Konno T, Shimizu K, Ogata K, Fukuzawa S-I. J Org Chem. 2012;77:3318-3324; (g) Cheruku P, Paptchikhine A, Church TL, Andersson PG. J Am Chem Soc. 2009:131:8285-8289.

- (a) Fang Y, Zhang L, Li J, et al. Org Lett. 2015;17:798–801;
 (b) Gulyukina NS, Beletskaya IP. Russ J Org Chem. 2010;46:781–784.
- 11. Fang Y, Yuan M, Jin X, et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 2016;57:1368–1371.
- 12. Mahoney WS, Brestensky DM, Stryker JM. J Am Chem Soc. 1988;110:291-293. 13. (a) Newcomb ET, Knutson PC, Pedersen BA, Ferreira EM. J Am Chem Soc.
- 2016.138.108-111. (b) Pelšs A, Kumpulainen ETT, Koskinen AMP. J Org Chem. 2009;74:7598-7601; (c) Baker BA, Bošković ŽV, Lipshutz BH. Org Lett. 2008;10:289–292; (d) Sass DC, Heleno VCG, Morais GO, Lopes JLC, Lopes NP, Constantino MG. Org Biomol Chem. 2011:9:6148-6153.
- 14. (a) Fang Y, Zhang L, Jin X, et al. Synlett. 2015;26:980-984; (b) Yuan M, Fang Y, Zhang L, et al. Chin J Chem. 2015;33:1119-1123; (c) Fang Y, Zhang L, Jin X, et al. Eur J Org Chem. 2016;1577–1587; (d) Shi B, Fang Y, Zhang L, et al. Chin J Org Chem. 2016;36:673-686.
- 15. Lee D-W, Yun J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005;46:2037-2039.
- (a) Lipshutz BH, Noson K, Chrisman W, Lower A. J Am Chem Soc. 16. 2003;125:8779-8789: (b) Jurkauskas V, Sadighi JP, Buchwald SL. Org Lett. 2003;5:2417-2420.

4