the selenium analogue of **2d**, gave (C_6D_6 , 90 °C, sealed NMR tube) exchange products (mass and NMR spectral analysis) in which the chalcogen had *remained attached* to the alkyne fragment, ruling out option 3. Similar reaction of CpRh[S₂C₂(C₆H₅)₂] (20%;²¹ mp >250 °C), the rhodium analogue of **2a**, with CH₃C₅H₄Co(S₂C₂R₂), R = *n*-Bu (30%;²¹ mp 116-118 °C), produced only CpRh and CH₃C₅H₄Co(S₂C₂R₂) products. Thus, option 2 is operating, probably through the intermediacy of bridging metalladichalcogene dimers.^{14a,22}

Finally, in as much as one may regard metal clusters as potential surface analogues,²³ we note that a calculation has predicted accelerated bond scission in alkynes on surfaces covered by chalcogens.²⁴ Our system provides, at least formally, a homogeneous example of the reverse transformation.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC-03-76SF00098, and in part by the NSF (CHE 82-00049). K.P.C.V. is a Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar (1978–1983). The crystal structure analysis was carried out by Dr. F. J. Hollander, U.C. Berkeley X-ray Crystallographic Facility. Thanks are due to Johnson and Matthey for a gift of rhodium chloride hydrate.

Supplementary Material Available: A listing of positional and thermal parameters and tables of bond lengths and angles derived from crystallographic analysis of 3 (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(23) Muetterties, E. L.; Krause, M. J. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 135; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 135.

(24) Anderson, A. B. J. Catal. 1981, 67, 129.

Nucleophilic Displacement with a Selectively Solvated Nucleophile: The System $OH - H_2O + CH_3Br$ at 300 K

Michael Henchman,*1 John F. Paulson, and Peter M. Hierl²

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (AFSC) Aeronomy Division Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts 01731

Received January 7, 1983

Revised Manuscript Received June 7, 1983

When the familiar nucleophilic displacement reaction³⁻⁶ between OH⁻ and CH₃Br is run in the gas phase for the selectively solvated nucleophile OH⁻ H_2O , the major product at 300 K is Br⁻ (reaction 1a). This reaction, for solvated ions in the gas phase, is *not* the

 $OH^-H_2O + CH_3Br \rightarrow CH_3OH + Br^- + H_2O \quad \Delta H^\circ = -31 \text{ kcal/mol} (1a)$

$$\rightarrow$$
 CH₃OH + Br⁻·H₂O $\Delta H^{\circ} = -43$ kcal/mol (1b)

Table I. Rate Constants and Heats of Reaction

		k, cm ³ /(molecule s) $\times 10^{10}$		
reactants	$\Delta H^{\circ},$ kcal/ mol ^a	SIFT (300 K) ^b	FA (300 K) ^c	ICR ^d
$OH^- + CH_3Br$ $OH^- \cdot H_2O + CH_3Br$ $OH^- \cdot (H_2O)_2 + CH_3Br$ $OH^- (H_2O)_3 + CH_3Br$	-55.7 -30.7 -14.3 +0.7 ^e	17 11	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \pm 2 \\ 6.3 \pm 2.5 \\ 0.02 \pm 0.01 \\ < 0.002 \end{array} $	19
$OH^{-} + CH_{3}Cl$	-47.5	13	15	16

^a Estimated for the channel $OH^{-}(H_2O)_n + CH_3X \rightarrow CH_3OH + nH_2O + X^{-}$. ^b Estimated accuracy ±50%. ^c Flowing afterglow measurements, ref 4 and 5. ^d Ion cyclotron resonance measurements, ref 3a. ^e The uncertainty in the thermochemistry (~2 kcal/mol) is enough that this could be exothermic.



Figure 1. Fraction of $Br H_2O$ in the products as a function of relative energy. Open circles, beam results; filled circle, SIFT result (300 K).

corresponding reaction found for solvated ions in solution.

$$OH^{-}(H_2O)_n + RX \rightarrow ROH + nH_2O + X^-$$
 gas phase (2a)

$$\rightarrow$$
 ROH + X⁻·(H₂O)_n solution (2b)

This result belies⁷ the attractive and plausible notion that solvated-ion reactions bridge the gap between the reactions of unsolvated ions in the gas phase and of fully solvated ions in solution.⁵

Two different techniques have been used here: a selected ion flow tube (SIFT) at 300 K^{8,9} and a double mass spectrometer¹⁰ at relative energies down to ~ 0.3 eV. Solvated ions were produced by electron bombardment of water vapor ($\sim 10^{-2}$ torr). Table I summarizes rate constant data for the reaction of hydroxide ions, hydrated and unhydrated, with methyl halides; our measurements¹¹ agree acceptably with published values.

The high yield of $Br^{-}(\sim 95\%)$ is seen not to be the consequence of collision-induced dissociation of $Br^{-}H_2O$ in the flow-tube sampling orifice, since the beam results, taken under single collision conditions, are identical (Figure 1).¹² Nor does the yield of Br^{-} result from the unimolecular decomposition of vibrationally excited $Br^{-}H_2O$. First, we find for other nucleophilic displacement reactions involving solvated ions little or no solvation of the leaving group.¹³ Second, increasing the relative translational energy does not increase the $Br^{-}/Br^{-}H_2O$ ratio (Figure 1).¹⁴

Br⁻ is thus identified as the principal primary product. Solvate

⁽²¹⁾ Prepared as in ref 15e.

 ⁽²²⁾ Russell, M. J. H.; White, C.; Yates, A.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 849.
 (23) Muetterties, E. L.; Krause, M. J. Angew. Chem. 1983, 95, 135; An-

⁽¹⁾ Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02254.

⁽²⁾ USAF-SCEEE Summer Faculty Research Fellow, 1980. Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045.

 ^{(3) (}a) Olmstead, W. N.; Brauman, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4219.
 (b) Pellerite, M. J.; Brauman, J. I. Ibid. 1980, 102, 5993. Pellerite M. J.; Brauman, J. I. ACS Symp. Ser. 1982, No. 198, 81.
 (c) Brauman, J. I. Kinetics of Ion-Molecule Reactions"; Ausloos, P., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1979; p 159.

⁽⁴⁾ Tanaka, K.; Mackay, G. I.; Payzant, J. D.; Bohme, D. K. Can. J. Chem. 1976, 54, 1643.

⁽⁵⁾ Bohme, D. K.; Mackay, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 978.
(6) Heats of reaction from ref 3a and from: Kebarle, P. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1977, 28, 445.

⁽⁷⁾ Albery, W. J. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1980, 31, 227.

⁽⁸⁾ Smith, D.; Adams, N. G. "Gas Phase Ion Chemistry"; Bowers, M. T.,

Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1979; Vol. 1, Chapter 1. (9) Paulson, J. F. Dale, F. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 4006.

 ⁽¹⁰⁾ Paulson, J. F. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 959. Paulson, J. F.; Gale,
 P. J. Adv. Mass Spectrom. 1978, 7A, 263.

⁽¹¹⁾ Microcanonical rate constants, evaluated from the beam data at the lowest energies, e.g., Figure 1, also show agreement.

⁽¹²⁾ Discrimination in the beam experiment, resulting from the kinematics, would favor collection of Br⁻H₂O.

⁽¹³⁾ Supported by limited supplementary studies on higher hydrates and other substrates (CH₃Cl). Hierl, P. M., Paulson, J. F., Henchman, M., manuscript in preparation.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Contrast the strongly exothermic reaction $OH^-(H_2O)_2 + CO_2 = HCO_3^-H_2O + H_2O$ ($\Delta H^\circ = -60$ kcal/mol) where the product ratio $HCO_3^-H_2O/HCO_3^-$ falls from ~10 at ~0.1 eV to ~0.01 at ~1 eV relative energy (Hierl, P. M.; Paulson, J. F., manuscript in preparation).





Figure 2. Energies of the reaction intermediates and products, relative to that of the reactants. Thermochemistry from ref 6 and estimates based thereon. Pathways are indicated without showing potential energy barriers.

transfer from nucleophile to leaving group must be inefficient and must couple ineffectively with the Walden inversion¹⁵ of the methyl group.

How can Br⁻ be formed without involving Br⁻·H₂O as an intermediate? Figure 2 shows three possible reactive pathways open to the intermediate I, formed from the reactants:¹⁶ sequential solvate transfer and inversion (upper pathway), concerted solvate transfer/inversion (middle), and sequential inversion and solvate transfer (lower). Since at 300 K the upper pathway is inaccessible energetically, the choice for the intermediate I is between the steps $I \rightarrow II$ and $I \rightarrow III$. We argue that both these steps will show comparable potential energy barriers,¹⁷ but that the concerted process will show the greater "free energy" barrier, resulting from the entropic contribution of the tight transition state for solvate transfer.^{3c,18} This argument channels I to II, which is a bromide ion, solvated with a water molecule and a methanol molecule. II contains considerable vibrational energy (\sim 45 kcal/mol), and it has only to desolvate $(+ \sim 14 \text{ kcal/mol})$ to give Br⁻, the principal product observed.19

Contrast what happens in the gas phase (reaction 2a) with what happens in solution (reaction 2b). In the gas phase, solvate transfer is inefficient and the product is not solvated.¹³ In solution, the product *is* solvated, without the need for the solvate to be transferred. As the displacement proceeds in solution, there is a *concerted* desolvation of the nucleophile and solvation of the leaving group, with different solvent molecules.²⁰ In solution the role of the bulk solvent, all pervasive, is crucial.

What trends may be identified for these solvated-ion reactions, with increasing solvation number n? (1) The rate constants do *not* decrease monotonically, extrapolating to the limiting value for the reaction in solution.⁵ Instead, reaction is only possible for that limited range of n for which reaction 2a is exothermic. (Here $0 \le n \le 2$,²¹ as seen in Table I.) (2) The reaction-coordinate diagrams do *not* transform systematically toward that for the

(21) See footnote e in Table I.

reaction in solution.^{5,22} The reaction is not reaction 2b but reaction 2a.

What distinguishes these solvated-ion reactions in the gas phase from reactions in solution is the kinetic role of the bulk solvent. What such solvated-ion reactions can reveal is the kinetic participation of the solvate in the absence of bulk solvent.

Acknowledgment. M.H. acknowledges support from AFOSR (80-0116) and NIH (BRSG S07 RR07044).

Registry No. H_2O , 7732-18-5; OH^- , 14280-30-9; OH^-H_2O , 23138-14-9; $OH^-(H_2O)_2$, 34118-36-0; $OH^-(H_2O)_3$, 34118-37-1; CH_3Br , 74-83-9; CH_3Cl , 74-87-3.

(22) Wolfe, S.; Mitchell, D. J.; Schlegel, H. B.; Minot, C.; Eisenstein, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 615.

A Simplified Total Synthesis of Cytochalasins via an Intramolecular Diels-Alder Reaction

Gilbert Stork* and Eiichi Nakamura

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University New York, New York 10027

Received October 12, 1982

The macrocyclic lactone ring is one of the challenging problems in the synthesis of cytochalasin B and its congeners.¹ We present here a simplification of our original synthesis^{1a} that (a) uses an intramolecular version of the Diels-Alder construction from the tetraene 1² and (b) introduces the proper oxidation state in the cyclohexane ring at the end of the synthesis $(2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4)$.³



Reduction of N-carbobenzoxy-(L)phenylalanine methyl ester (2 equiv of DIBAL, -78 °C; 2 N HCl) gave aldehyde 5,^{4,5}

 ⁽¹⁵⁾ Lieder, C. A.; Brauman, J. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 4028.
 Lieder, C. A.; Brauman, J. I. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1975, 16, 307.
 (16) Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3732.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The intrinsic energy barriers (ref 3b) for the two steps are comparable (ref 16), and these are then scaled according to the exothermicities, which are comparable (Figure 2). The existence of a barrier for the process $I \rightarrow II$ or

III follows from the reaction efficiency (ref 3a) being $\sim 50\%$ (Table I). (18) Where the solvate water must bridge the nucleophile and leaving group (ref 16).

⁽¹⁹⁾ The alternative pathway (II \rightarrow III) involves solvate transfer. While admittedly exothermic (\sim -8 kcal/mol), it has an energy barrier (ref 16) and requires another tight transition state (ref 18). (20) Dewar, M. J. S.; Dougherty, R. C. "The PMO Theory of Organic

⁽²⁰⁾ Dewar, M. J. S.; Dougherty, R. C. "The PMO Theory of Organic Chemistry"; Plenum Press: New York, 1975; p 231.

^{(1) (}a) For a total synthesis of cytochalasin B and leading references, see: Stork, G.; Nakahara, Y; Nakahara, Y; Greenlee, W. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7775. (b) For the lactone ring formation see: Masamune, S.; Hayase, Y.; Schilling, W.; Chan, W. K.; Bates, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6756.

⁽²⁾ See Corey, E. J.; Petrzilka, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1975**, 2537, for an earlier example of the use of the internal Diels-Alder reaction in the construction of large rings.

⁽³⁾ The numbering system is taken from: Graf, W.; Robert, J.-L.; Vederas, J. C.; Tamm, Ch.; Solomon, P. H.; Miura, I.; Nakanishi, K. Helv. Chim. Acta **1974**, 57, 1801.

⁽⁴⁾ Purification of this readily racemizable aldehyde could be achieved by "flash" chromatography (Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923. The aldehyde was considered to be optically pure as judged by the corresponding phenylalaninol (NaBH₄): Ito, A.; Takahashi, R.; Baba, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1975, 23, 3081.

⁽⁵⁾ All compounds gave spectral data, in particular ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) spectra, in agreement with the postulated structures. Mass spectra were taken in a CI mode using methane as reagent gas. Rotations are for pure chloroform solutions and refer to the sodium D line.