Synthesis and application of dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid phase-transfer catalysts: α, α' -bis[O(9)-allylcinchonidinium]-o, m, or p-xylene dibromide[†]

Sang-sup Jew,* Byeong-Seon Jeong, Mi-Sook Yoo, Hoon Huh and Hyeung-geun Park*

College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea. E-mail: ssjew@plaza.snu.ac.kr

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 20th March 2001, Accepted 18th May 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 20th June 2001

A dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid ammonium salt, α, α' -bis[O(9)allylcinchonidinium]-m-xylene dibromide 4, has been developed as a new efficient phase-transfer catalyst; the catalytic enantioselective alkylation of N-(diphenylmethylene)glycine tert-butyl ester using 4 provided 7 in a high enantiomeric excess (90-99% ee).

Although phase-transfer catalytic reactions have been widely applied in organic synthesis,^{1,2} asymmetric synthetic reactions using chiral phase-transfer catalysts have not been extensively studied as compared to general asymmetric synthetic reactions, such as asymmetric dihydroxylation,³ asymmetric catalytic reduction,² and so on. Since the pioneering work of O'Donnell et al. (1a)⁴ the enantioselective alkylation of a prochiral protected glycine derivative, using Cinchona alkaloid ammonium salts, has become a very attractive method for the preparation of both natural and unnatural α -amino acids. Especially, the Lygo⁵ and Corey⁶ groups independently reported the excellent phase-transfer catalysts, N-9-anthracenylmethylcinchonidinium chloride (2a) and O(9)-allyl-Nbromide 9-anthracenylmethylcinchonidinium (2b). respectively, by replacing the phenyl group of 1 with the bulkier anthracenyl moiety. Recently, the Maruoka group developed very efficient non-Cinchona catalysts, the C2-symmetric chiral quaternary ammonium salts prepared from (S)-binaphthol.⁷

In connection with the development of Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation, the discovery of ligands with two independent Cinchona alkaloid units attached to heterocyclic spacers led to considerable increases in both the enantioselectivity and the scope of the substrate.3 This dimerization effect prompted us to develop dimeric Cinchona alkaloid ammonium salts for enantioselective phase-transfer catalytic reactions. In this communication, we report the preparation of new dimeric catalysts, α, α' -bis[O(9)-allylcinchonidinium]-o, m, or p-xylene dibromides 3-5, and their application to the catalytic enantioselective alkylation of N-(diphenylmethylene)glycine tert-butyl ester 6 under mild phase-transfer conditions (Fig. 1).

Compounds 3-5 were prepared in two steps from cinchonidine and α, α' -dibromo-o, m, or p-xylene, respectively. Cinchonidine and α, α' -dibromo-o-, m-, or p-xylene were stirred at 100 °C in EtOH-DMF-CHCl₃ (v/v = 2.5:3:1)⁸ for 6 h followed by O(9)-allylation with allyl bromide and 50% aq. KOH, to give the corresponding dimeric Cinchona alkaloid catalysts 3-5 in 90-92 % overall yields. The enantioselective efficiency of the prepared catalysts was evaluated by enantioselective phase-transfer alkylation using 5 mol% of catalysts **3–5** along with **6**, benzyl bromide, and 50% aq. KOH in toluene–CHCl₃^{4/,9} (v/v = 7:3) at 0 °C or -20 °C for 2–6 h. Surprisingly, the *meta*-dimeric catalyst 4[‡] showed the highest enantioselectivity (S-form, 90% ee at 0 °C; 95% ee at -20 °C) among the three dimeric catalysts 3-5 (Table 1). The order of enantioselectivity of the three catalysts along with the monomer catalyst **1b** was as follows: *meta*-dimer (4) > *para*-dimer (5) \approx monomer (1b) \gg ortho-dimer (3). The precise mechanism for

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental details. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/b102584h/

R = H, X = Cl[−] R = allyl, X = Br R = allyl, X = Br CD $CD^+ =$ CD 2Br 2RСП 5 Fig. 1

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

the high enantioselectivity of **4** is not clear, but it is thought to be similar to the reported mechanism of 2.6^{a} There are two possible conformations, 4a and 4b, as shown in Fig. 2. The 4a conformer seems to be preferred, because of the steric hindrance between the quinoline and O-allyl moieties and the Cinchona unit (CD⁺) in 4b. In addition, the dramatic increase in the

Table 1 Enantioselective catalytic phase-transfer alkylation

Ph Ph O'Bu O'Bu O'Bu D'Bu D'Bu D'Bu							
6					7g		
Entry	Catalyst	Temp./°C	Time/h	% yield ^a	% ee ^b (Config.) ^c		
1	1b	0	2	92	75 (S)		
2	1b	-20	5	94	81 (S)		
3	3	0	3	90	31 (S)		
4	3	-20	6	88	35 (S)		
5	4	0	2	91	90 (S)		
6	4	-20	5	94	95 (S)		
7	5	0	4	92	80 (S)		
8	5	-20	6	92	86 (S)		







Table 2 Enantioselective catalytic phase-transfer alkylation

Ph	O RX. 4 (5 mol%), 50% KOH (1	^{3 eq)}	, Ĵ
Ph	O ¹ Bu Ph	1CH ₃ -CHCl ₃ (7:3), -20	°C Ph	° ∕ `O'Bu
	6			7
Entry	RX	Time/h	% Yield ^a	% ee ^b Config. ^c
a	CH ₃ I	3	72	90 (S)
b	CH ₃ CH ₂ I	10	50	92 (S)
c	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₄ CH ₂ I	5	64	99 (S)
d	<i>∕</i> ^{Br}	4	86	94 (S)
e	Br	4	88	97 (<i>S</i>)
f	Br	3	92	90 (<i>S</i>)
g	Br	5	94	95 (<i>S</i>)
h	Br	5	87	95 (<i>S</i>)
i	Br	8	75	96 (<i>S</i>)
j	F ₃ C Br	6	98	95 (<i>S</i>)
k	Br	8	90	90 (<i>S</i>)
1	Br	5	96	90 (<i>S</i>)

^{*a*} Isolated yield of purified material. ^{*b*} Enantiopurity was determined by HPLC analysis of the alkylated imine **7** using a chiral column (DAICEL Chiralcel OD) with hexane–propan-2-ol (500/2 for **7a**, **7b**, **7g**, **7h**, **7j**, **7k**, **7i**; 500/1 for **7c**, **7d**, **7e**, **7f**; 500/5 for **7i**) as solvent. ^{*c*} Absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time with the authentic samples independently synthesized by the reported procedure.^{4–7}

enantioselectivity from 1b to 4 implies that the Cinchona unit (CD⁺) is located near the B site. Consequently, as the direction B is sterically hindered by the counter Cinchona unit in 4, the Eenolate of 6 forms an ion-pair with 4 from the less hindered direction A. We expect that as the re-face of the enolate can be effectively blocked by the formation of the ion-pair, the alkyl halide can approach only the si-face of E-enolate, to give the Sform. The lack of a difference in the enantioselectivity between the para-dimer 5 and the monomer 1b implies that the Cinchona units of the para-dimer 5 do not sterically affect each other. In the case of the ortho-dimer 3, the severe steric repulsion between the two Cinchona units may lead to a less efficient conformation for enantioselectivity. Generally, the lower temperature (-20 °C) yielded higher enantioselectivity (Table 1). Catalyst 4 was chosen for the further investigation of the enantioselective phase-transfer alkylation with various alkyl halides. Table 2 indicates the results obtained for the alkylation of 6 with various alkyl halides, using catalyst 4 under the same reaction conditions as in Table 1, except for the temperature (-20 °C). The very high enantioselectivities (90–99% ee) shown in Table 2 indicate that catalyst 4 is a very efficient enantioselective phase-transfer catalyst for the synthesis of natural and unnatural α -amino acids.

In conclusion, we prepared the dimeric *Cinchona* alkaloid ammonium salt catalysts 3–5 to enhance catalytic efficiency by the dimerization effect. Among the dimeric catalysts, the *meta*-isomer (4) showed the highest catalytic activity (90–99% ee) in the alkylation of 6. The high catalytic efficiency, the easy preparation, and the lower preparation cost relative to 2a,b could make 4 a practical catalyst in industrial synthetic processes for natural and unnatural chiral α -amino acids. Applications to other various types of phase-transfer catalytic reactions using 4 are currently being investigated.

This work was supported by grants from Aminogen Co., Korea, *via* the Research Center of New Drug Development of Seoul National University and the Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences in the College of Pharmacy of Seoul National University.

Notes and references

‡ All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical and spectral data.

Selected data for 4: mp 181 °C (decomp.); $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 156$ (c 0.320, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3437, 2922 cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (400 MHz, DMSO- d_{6}) 9.03 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2 H), 8.35 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 8.15 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 3 H), 7.97 (d, J = 7.5Hz, 2 H), 7.90–7.86 (m, 2 H), 7.81–7.76 (m, 3 H), 7.72 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.53 (s, 2 H), 6.22–6.16 (m, 2 H), 5.78–5.70 (m, 2 H), 5.49 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 2 H), 5.37–5.28 (m, 4 H), 5.20–5.14 (m, 4 H), 4.99 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.46 (dd, J = 12.5, 5.3 Hz, 2 H), 4.06–4.03 (m, 6 H), 3.82–3.76 (m, 2 H), 3.69–3.64 (m, 2 H), 3.51–3.40 (m, 2 H), 2.84–2.75 (m, 2 H), 2.34–2.26 (m, 2 H), 2.15–2.00 (m, 4 H), 1.92–1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.51–1.42 (m, 2 H); δ_{C} (100 MHz, DMSO- d_{6}) 150.6, 148.4, 141.7, 139.3, 138.3, 135.9, 134.6, 130.3, 130.0, 129.9, 128.8, 127.9, 125.4, 124.1, 120.0, 118.0, 116.9, 72.3, 69.7, (68.2, 63.4, 59.3, 51.2, 37.2, 26.3, 24.5, 21.2; MS (ESI): 772 [M]²⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for [C₅₂H₆₀N₄O₂]²⁺: 772.4716, found: 772.4739.

Representative procedure for enantioselective catalytic alkylation of 6 under phase-transfer conditions (benzylation): to a mixture of N-(diphenylmethylene)glycine tert-butyl ester 6 (50 mg, 0.17 mmol) and chiral catalyst 4 (8 mg, 0.0085 mmol) in toluene–CHCl₃ (v/v = 7:3, 0.75 mL) was added benzyl bromide (0.1 mL, 0.85 mmol). The reaction mixture was then cooled (-20 °C), 50% aq. KOH (0.25 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at -20 °C until the starting material had been consumed (5 h). The suspension was diluted with ether (20 mL), washed with water (2×5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane: EtOAc = 50:1) afforded the desired product 7g (61 mg, 94% yield) as a colorless oil. The enantioselectivity was determined by chiral HPLC analysis (DAICEL Chiralcel OD, hexane: propan-2-ol = 500:2.5, flow rate = 1.0 ml min^{-1} , 23 °C, $\lambda = 254$ nm; retention times *R* (minor) 12.2 min, *S* (major) 22.5 min, 95% ee). The absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the HPLC retention time with the authentic sample synthesized by the reported procedure.4-7

- (a) E. V. Dehmlow and S. S. Dehmlow, *Phase Transfer Catalysis*, 3rd edn., VCH, Weinheim, 1993 and references therein; (b) A. Nelson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 1583.
- 2 I. Ojima, *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*, 2nd edn., Wiley-VCH, New York, 2000 and references therein.
- 3 H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze and K. B. Sharpless, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2483 and references therein.
- 4 (a) M. J. O'Donnell, W. D. Benett and S. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 2353; (b) K. B. Lipkowitz, M. W. Cavanaugh, B. Baker and M. J. O'Donnell, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 5181; (c) M. J. O'Donnell and S. Wu, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1992, 3, 591; (d) M. J. O'Donnell, S. Wu and J. C. Huffman, Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 4507; (e) M. J. O'Donnell, S. Wu, I. Esikova and A. Mi, U.S. Patent 5 554 753, September 10, 1996; (f) M. J. O'Donnell, I. A. Esikova, A. Mi, D. F. Shullenberger and S. Wu, in Phase-Transfer Catalysis, ed. M. E. Halpern, ACS Symposium Series 659, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1997, ch. 10; (g) M. J. O'Donnell, F. Delgado and R. Pottorf, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 6347.
- 5 (a) B. Lygo and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 8595; (b)
 B. Lygo, J. Crosby and J. A. Peterson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 1385;
 (c) B. Lygo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 1389; (d) B. Lygo, J. Crosby and J. A. Peterson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 8671; (e) B. Lygo, J. Crosby, T. R. Lowdon and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 2391; (f) B. Lygo, J. Crosby, T. R. Lowdon, J. A. Peterson and P. G. Wainwright, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 2403.
- 6 (a) E. J. Corey, F. Xu and M. C. Noe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12414; (b) E. J. Corey, M. C. Noe and F. Xu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, 39, 5347; (c) E. J. Corey, Y. Bo and J. Busch-Peterson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 13000.
- 7 (a) T. Ooi, M. Kameda and K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 6519; (b) T. Ooi, M. Takeuchi, M. Kameda and K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 5228.
- 8 N. Baba, J. Oda and M. Kawaguchi, Agric. Biol. Chem., 1986, 50, 3113.
- 9 The optimal solvent condition was determined by benzylation of **6** at -20 °C using **4**. Toluene–CHCl₃ (v/v, 7:3) gave the highest enantioselectivity (95% ee) compared to toluene (87% ee), CH₂Cl₂ (85% ee), CHCl₃ (90% ee), and toluene–CH₂Cl₂ (v/v, 7:3, 93% ee).