69

A New Regioselective Synthesis of Vicinal Bromohydrins via Free Radical Decarboxylative Bromination of β-Hydroxycarboxylic Acids

Marco Greb,^a Jens Hartung*^b

^b Fachbereich Chemie, Organische Chemie, Universität Kaiserslautern, Erwin-Schrödinger Straße, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany Fax +49(631)2053921; E-mail: hartung@chemie.uni-kl.de

Received 24 October 2003

Abstract: Diisopropylcarbodiimide-mediated condensations between *N*-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione and β -hydroxycarboxylic acids afforded mixed anhydrides which were regioselectively converted into vicinal bromohydrins under neutral conditions.

Key words: 1,2-bromohydrin, bromination, carbon radical, pyridinethione, stereoselective synthesis

Terpene- or acetogenine-derived 1,2-bromohydrins have been purified from several marine organisms.^{1,2} The biological role of such secondary metabolites is subject of ongoing research.^{3,4} From a synthetic point of view, it is known that vicinal bromohydrins serve as alkylating reagents⁵ and are synthetically useful intermediates in a variety of selective transformations.⁶ In view of this background, efficient and reliable syntheses of βbromohydrins⁷ have been developed which predominantly start from olefins,⁸ epoxides,⁹ or diols.¹⁰ Surprisingly, nothing has been reported so far on the synthesis of 2-bromoalcohols using free radical-based methods.11 The latter type of transformations may be performed under mild and neutral conditions thus offering advantages for regioselectively preparing unsaturated β-bromohydrines without competing electrophile-induced side reactions. In order to pursue a project which requires the availability of pure 2bromoalkenols in sufficient quantities, we have addressed the synthetic problem of converting 2-hydroxycarboxylic acids 3 via intermediate alkyl radicals 2 into 2-bromoalcohols 1 (Figure 1). We disclose our results in the present communication.11,12



Figure 1 Starting material 3 and reactive intermediates 2 in a new synthesis of β -bromohydrins 1 under neutral conditions. R^1 , $R^2 = H$, alkyl, phenyl.

SYNLETT 2004, No. 1, pp 0069–0072 Advanced online publication: 4.12.2003 DOI: 10.1055/s-2003-43379; Art ID: G21503ST © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York 2-Ethyl-3-methyl-3-hydroxybutyric acid (3a) was selected as starting material in order to establish a synthetically useful radical-based route to 3-bromo-2-methyl-2-pentanol (1a) (trapping of a secondary radical in vicinity of a tertiary hydroxyl group, Table 1). For this purpose, a solution of N-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione¹³ (not shown in Table 1) and β -hydroxycarboxylic acid **3a** in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ was treated for 20 hours at 20 °C with diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) to furnish N-acyloxy-substituted pyridinethione 4a in approximately 70% yield. No transformation was seen if N-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione was stirred in the presence DIC in a solution of CH₂Cl₂ alone. In the absence of N-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione, substrate 3a was almost quantitatively converted by DIC into 2-ethyl-3,3-dimethyl-β-propiolactone¹⁴ (81%, if purified by column chromatography) – a product that was not observed in the original reaction mixture, from which target product 4a had been obtained. Treatment of this β -lactone for 20 hours in CH₂Cl₂ with N-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione furnished 10% of thione 4a (NMR). Approximately 60% of β -lactone was recovered from this experiment.

Radical precursor 4a was identified by its characteristic ¹³C NMR signals, especially those originating from the pyridinethione entity [e.g. $\delta = 175.4$ (C=S) and 113.1 (C4)].¹⁵ This compound was stable, if stirred for 20 hours at 20 °C in the dark (¹H NMR) but it decomposed to a considerable degree when subjected to column chromatography. Therefore, crude 4a, as obtained after work up using extractions only, was dissolved in C₆H₆ and photolyzed in the presence of BrCCl₃ to furnish target compound **1a** in ca 75% yield (50% from **3a**).^{16,17} No attempts were made to apply alternative bromine atom donors for this purpose since this issue had been addressed previously.18 Addition of DMAP in order to facilitate the anhydride forming step did not improve the overall yield of bromohydrin 1a from hydroxyacid 3a (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). It should be added that this modification was in other instances useful in order to raise the yields of alkyl radical precursors by ca 5-10% thus improving the overall efficiency of the new bromohydrin synthesis (see Schemes 1 and 3). A change to dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) as dehydrating reagent provided comparable results to DIC (Table 1, entry 3).¹⁹ In view of the fact that N,N'-diisopropyl urea was frequently easier to remove on a quantitative scale from the reaction mixtures, we adhered to the use of DIC as standard reagent. An

^a Institut für Organische Chemie, Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

application of trispropanephosphonic acid anhydride for this purpose completely failed.²⁰ Likewise, attempts to convert *N*-hydroxy-4-methyl-5-(*p*-anisyl)thiazole-2(3*H*)thione²¹ (not shown) into the corresponding *N*-acyloxy derivative, according to the conditions which are outlined in Table 1, were not successful for reasons which have yet to be explored.

Table 1The Synthesis of 3-Bromo-2-methyl-2-pentanol (1a) from2-Ethyl-3-methyl-3-hydroxybutyric Acid (3a)

| OH CO ₂ H 3a | a C CH ₂ Cl ₂ | H O O-N S 4a | BrCCl ₃ C ₆ H ₆ | OH Br 1a |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|----------------|
| Entry | Condition | s ^a | 1a Yield (%) | |
| 1 | DIC | | 50 | |
| 2 | DIC/DMAP | | 48 | |
| 3 | DCC/DMAP | | 51 | |
| | | | | |

The new method was applied for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure (R)-2-bromo-1-phenyl-1-ethanol (1b) $\{[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -40.8 \ (c \ 1.01, \ CH_2Cl_2)\}^{22} \ from \ (S)-configured$ acid **3b** (> 98% ee; trapping of a primary radical in vicinity of a benzylic hydroxyl group, Scheme 1). Although the yield of this two step sequence could not compete with the synthesis of bromohydrin 1b from styrene via HOBr addition,²³ it represents one of the rare examples that proceeds with complete regioselectivity and a full conservation of stereointegrity at C1.24 The synthesis of 2-bromo-2-phenylethanol (1c) was unfortunately associated with a poor yield (trapping of a benzylic radical in vicinity of a primary hydroxyl group). Complications in the latter reaction arose especially in the initial step (not shown in Scheme 1) which provided significant amounts of yet unidentified products besides the desired N-acyloxypyridine-2(1H)-thione.



Scheme 1 Regioselective synthesis of styrene-derived β-bromohydrins **1b** and **1c**. Reagents and conditions: (a) *N*-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione, DIC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 20 °C; (b) BrCCl₃, C₆H₆, 20 °C, hv.

δ-Unsaturated β-bromohydrin **1d** (42%, BrCCl₃ as trapping reagent) and the corresponding chlorohydrin **5** (36%, CCl₄ as chlorine atom donor) were prepared on a synthetic scale starting from 2-(1-methyl-1-hydroxyethyl-5-methylhexenoic acid (**3d**) (Scheme 2). No spectroscopic evidence for the formation of side products originating from

competing ring closure reactions were observed in both instances (¹H NMR).



Scheme 2 Formation of unsaturated 2,3-halohydrins 1d and 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) *N*-hydroxypyridine-2(1*H*)-thione, DIC, CH_2Cl_2 , 20 °C; (b) BrCCl_3, C_6H_6 , 20 °C, hv; (c) CCl_4 , C_6H_6 , 20 °C, hv.

The conversion of 2-hydroxy-1-methylcyclohexylcarboxylic acid **3e** into cyclic β -bromohydrin *rac*-**1e** (*cis:trans* = 20:80, trapping of a tertiary radical in vicinity of a secondary hydroxyl group) was feasible although the yields remained moderate. The relative trans-configuration of the major diastereomer of **1e** was verified by an independent synthesis of this compound. Treatment of 1-methylcyclohexene oxide under stereocontrolled conditions using MgBr₂·Et₂O in Et₂O (T = 20 °C)²⁵ and subsequent hydrolysis of the reaction mixture using an aqueous phosphate buffer provided 83% of a 56:44 mixture of trans-1e and trans-2-bromo-1-methylcyclohexanol (not shown), i.e. the product of HOBr-addition to 1-methylcyclohexene.²⁶ The observed stereoselectivity in the radicalbased bromohydrin synthesis is explicable via selective bromine atom delivery that occurs for steric reason preferentially from the opposite side of the hydroxyl substituent, which affords trans-le as major bromoalcohol (Scheme 3).²⁷



Scheme 3 Stereoselective synthesis of *trans*-2-bromo-2-methylcyclohexanol (*trans*-3e) from hydroxycarboxylic acid 1e. Reagents and conditions: (a) *N*-hydroxypyridine-2(1*H*)-thione, DIC, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 20 °C; (b) BrCCl₃, C_6H_6 , 20 °C, hv.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the feasibility, scope, and limitation of β -bromohydrin formation under neutral, i.e. non-oxidative conditions, starting from β -hydroxycarboxylic acids. The reaction is considered to offer advantages for syntheses of unsaturated β -bromo- or β -chlorohydrins, where polar methods lead to complications such as a partial racemization or rearrangements.

A solution of 2-ethyl-3-hydroxy-3-methylbutyric acid (3a) (439 mg, 3.0 mmol) and N-hydroxypyridine-2(1H)-thione (401 mg, 3.15 mmol) in anhyd CH2Cl2 (8 mL) was treated at 0 °C dropwise (within 20 min) with a solution of DIC (416 mg, 3.30 mmol) in anhyd CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) in the dark. Stirring was continued for 2 h at 0 °C and for 20 h at 20 °C in the dark. Afterwards the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide a crude product that was taken up in Et₂O. The precipitate was removed by filtration. The solids were washed with Et₂O. Combined filtrate and washings were concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish pyridinethione **4a** as brown oil: 856 mg (**4a**: 70% yield, ¹H NMR). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 1.06$ (t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.36 (s, 3 H), 1.40 (s, 3 H), 1.65–1.95 (m, 2 H), 2.77 (dd, J = 11.8, 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (dt, J = 7.0, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (ddd, *J* = 8.8, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.71 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.8 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 12.9, 21.7, 25.3, 29.3, 58.2, 72.0, 113.1, 133.8, 137.2, 137.9, 169.8, 175.4. A flask was charged with crude 4a, BrCCl₃ (2.38 g, 12.0 mmol) and C₆H₆ (20 mL). The solution was deaerated in 3 freeze-pump-thaw-cycles (Ar as flushing gas) and was photolyzed at 20 °C for 30 min using incandescent light (250 W). The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to furnish an oil which was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (120-140 °C, 50 mbar) to provide 271 mg (50%) of 3-bromo-2-methyl-2-pentanol (1a) as colorless oil. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.11$ (t, J =7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 1.36 (s, 3 H), 1.73 (ddq, *J* = 14.2, 11.3, 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.94 (ddq, J = 14.2, 2.1, 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.23 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.98 (dd, *J* = 11.3, 2.1 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.7, 25.7, 26.8, 27.3, 72.5, 74.2$. IR (neat): 3405 (s), 2974 (s), 2878 (s), 1704 (s), 1462 (m), 1380 (s), 1165 (s), 1132 (s), 806 (s), 624 (s) cm^{-1} . MS (70 eV, EI): $m/z = 165 \text{ (2) } [\text{M}^+ - \text{CH}_3]$, 100 (4) [M⁺ - HBr], 83 (4) [M⁺ - HOBr], 59 (100) [C₄H₁₁⁺], 43 (28) [C₃H₇⁺]. Anal. Calcd for C₆H₁₃BrO (181.1): C, 39.80; H, 7.24. Found: C, 40.65; H, 7.21.

3-Bromo-2,6-dimethyl-5-hepten-2-ol (1d): Purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether–*tert*-butyl methyl ether, 5:1, R_f = 0.42). ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.38 (s, 3 H), 1.63 (s, 3 H), 1.74 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 3 H), 2.01 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.40–2.54 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 2.60–2.71 (m, 1 H), 4.02 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.22 (m_c, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.1, 25.7, 25.8, 26.8, 32.9, 71.2, 72.5, 121.6, 134.1. IR (neat): 3423 (s), 2974 (s), 2925 (m), 1450 (w), 1378 (m), 1258 (w), 1114 (w), 833 (w), 785 (w) cm⁻¹. MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%) = 123 (63) [M⁺ – HOBr], 69 (96) [C₅H₉+], 59 (96) [C₃H₆O⁺], 41 (100) [C₃H₅+]. Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₇BrO (221.1): C, 48.88; H, 7.75. Found: C, 48.74; H, 7.88.

3-Chloro-2,6-dimethyl-5-hepten-2-ol (**5**): Purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether–*tert*-butyl methyl ether, 5:1, R_f = 0.44). ¹H NMR (250 MHz CDCl₃): δ = 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.63 (s, 3 H), 1.74 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 3 H), 2.06 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.26–2.39 (m, 1 H), 2.51–2.63 (m, 1 H), 4.02 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.23 (m, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): = 18.0, 25.2, 25.8, 26.5, 32.0, 72.8, 74.3, 120.8, 134.2. IR (neat): 3409 (s), 2979 (s), 2912 (m), 2871 (w), 1447 (m), 1381 (s), 1259 (m), 937 (m), 682 (m) cm⁻¹. MS (70 eV, EI): *m/z* (%) = 140 (6) [M⁺ – HCl], 123 (33) [C₅H₁₀OCl⁺], 69 (47) [C₅H₉⁺], 59 (100) [C₃H₇O⁺], 41 (40) [C₃H₆⁺]. HRMS: *m/z* [M⁺ – HCl] calcd for C₉H₁₆O: 140.1201; found: 140.1202.

Acknowledgment

Generous financial support was provided by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant Ha 1705/8–1) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. Also, we express our gratitude to Mr. Alexander Heckmann and Mr. Thomas Pfeuffer for technical assistance.

Vicinal Bromohydrins from β -Hydroxycarboxylic Acids

References

- (a) Blunt, J. W.; Cropp, B. R.; Munro Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2003**, *20*, 1. (b) Faulkner, D. J. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2002**, *19*, 1.
- (2) Butler, A.; Walker, J. V. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1937.
- (3) Neidleman, S. L.; Geigert, J. Biohalogenations: Principles, Basic Roles and Applications; Ellis Horwood Limited: Chichester, 1986, 112.
- (4) Fukuzawa, A.; Aye, M.; Takasugi, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Tamura, M.; Murai, A. Chem. Lett. 1994, 2307.
- (5) (a) Espinosa, E.; Belmant, C.; Pont, F.; Luciani, B.; Poupot, R.; Romagné, F.; Brailly, H.; Bonneville, M.; Fournie, J.-J. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 18337. (b) Song, Q.; Negrete, G. R.; Wolfe, A. R.; Wang, K.; Meehan, T. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 1998, 11, 1057.
- (6) (a) Blaser, A.; Reymond, J.-L. *Synlett* 2000, 817.
 (b) Nandanan, E.; Phukan, P.; Sudalai, A. *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B* 1999, *38*, 283.
- (7) For reviews see: (a) Bonini, C.; Righi, G. Synthesis 1994, 225. (b) Boguslavskaya, L. S. Russ. Chem. Rev. 1972, 41, 740.
- (8) (a) Raghavan, S.; Reddy, S. R.; Tony, K. A.; Kumar, C. N.; Varma, A. K.; Nangia, A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5838.
 (b) Bailey, P. L.; Briggs, A. D.; Jackson, R. F. W.; Pietruszka, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 3359.
 (c) Conte, V.; Di Furia, F.; Moro, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8609. (d) Roedig, A. In Houben–Weyl, Methoden der organischen Chemie, 4th ed., Vol. 5/4; Müller, E., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1960, 133.
- (9) (a) Sharghi, H.; Niknam, K.; Pooyan, M. *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 6057. (b) Afonoso, C. A. M.; Vieira, N. M. L.; Motherwell, W. B. *Synlett* 2000, 382. (c) Shargi, H.; Naeimi, H. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1999, 310. (d) Chini, M.; Crotti, P.; Gardelli, C.; Macchia, F. *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48, 3805. (e) Alvarez, E.; Nuñez, M. T.; Martín, V. S. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3429.
- (10) Kolb, H. C.; Sharpless, K. B. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 10515.
- (11) For related transformations see: (a) Formation of bromhydrin ethers: Hamdani, M.; De Jeso, B.; Deleuze, H.; Maillard, B. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1993, *4*, 1229.
 (b) Reductive decarboxylation: Socha, D.; Jurczak, M.; Chmielewski, M. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2001, *336*, 315.
- (12) Pb(OAc)₄-mediated oxidations of β-hydroxycarboxylic acids furnish substituted epoxides: Snider, B. B.; Kwon, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 1965.
- (13) Newcomb, M.; Deeb, T. M.; Marquardt, D. J. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 2317.
- (14) Wedler, C.; Kleiner, K.; Kunath, A.; Schick, H. *Liebigs Ann.* 1996, 881.
- (15) Hartung, J.; Hiller, M.; Schwarz, M.; Svoboda, I.; Fuess, H. *Liebigs Ann.* **1996**, 2091.
- (16) For the original work on the use of BrCCl₃ in the free radicalbased decarboxylative bromination of carboxylic acids see: Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Motherwell, W. B. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 3901.
- (17) For a comprehensive review on free radical-based transformations of *N*-acyloxypyridine-2(1*H*)-thiones see: Crich, D.; Quintero, L. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, 89, 1413.
- (18) Hartung, J.; Kneuer, R.; Laug, S.; Schmidt, P.; Špehar, K.; Svoboda, I.; Fuess, H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 4033.
- (19) For alternative syntheses of *N*-acyloxypyridine-2(1*H*)thiones see: Motherwell, W. B.; Imboden, C. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 1; Renaud, P.; Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, **2001**, 109.

Synlett 2004, No. 1, 69-72 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

- (21) Hartung, J.; Gottwald, T.; Špehar, K. Synlett 2003, 227.
- (22) Imuta, M.; Kawai, K.-I.; Ziffer, H. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3352.
- (23) Andersson, M.; Conte, V.; Di Furia, F.; Moro, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 2675.
- (24) Iranpoor, N.; Kazemi, F.; Salehi, P. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 1247.
- (25) Lupatelli, P.; Bonini, C.; Caruso, L.; Gambacorta, A. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, 68, 3360.
- (26) Porter, D. J.; Stewart, A. T.; Wigal, C. T. J. Chem. Educ. 1995, 72, 1039.
- (27) Damm, W.; Giese, B.; Hartung, J.; Hasskerl, T.; Houk, K. N.; Hüter, O.; Zipse, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4067.