

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Thermal transport in hard carbon prepared from C₆₀ fullerene

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 L27 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/8/3/001)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 128.192.114.19 This content was downloaded on 07/09/2015 at 08:59

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Thermal transport in hard carbon prepared from C_{60} fullerene

K Biljaković[†], A Smontara[†], D Starešinić[†], D Pajić[†], M E Kozlov[‡]§, M Hirabayashi[‡], M Tokumoto[‡] and H Ihara[‡]

† Institute of Physics of the University, 41 001 Zagreb, PO Box 304, Croatia ‡ Electrotechnical Laboratory, 1-1-4 Umezono, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

Received 16 October 1995

Abstract. We report measurements of thermal conductivity in the 30–350 K range of hard fullerene-based carbon. The material has been prepared by pressure-temperature treatment of C_{60} fullerene. It shows an unusual combination of high hardness and relatively high electrical conductivity. Its thermal conductivity is about 5.7 W mK⁻¹ at room temperature and decreases almost linearly in the investigated temperature range. Excellent linearity in a wide temperature range may arise from some sort of crystalline order in the transformed substance. Obtained data are compared with thermal properties of C_{60} , glassy carbon and diamond. The applicability of recent theoretical models for explanation of recorded data is discussed.

Investigation of thermal transport in various forms of carbon is of principal importance from a theoretical as well as a practical point of view. The thermal conductivity of perfect crystalline diamond is $\lambda = 3500 \text{ W mK}^{-1}$ at room temperature [1], the highest value known for crystalline solids. Scattering of phonons on defects and imperfections of a crystal lattice substantially decreases the thermal conductivity of the substance. That is why in amorphous carbon the thermal conductivity has a smaller magnitude and normally lies in the range 2– 400 W mK⁻¹ [2]. Finally, C₆₀ crystals or fullerites usually described as solids of the Van der Waals type due to their molecular nature have very small thermal conductivity ($\lambda = 0.4 \text{ W mK}^{-1}$) [3]. Detailed investigation of the thermal conductivity of C₆₀ measured under pressure enabled the mapping of its phase diagram and gave some new elements for clarifying the possibility of polymerization of fullerene molecules [4].

Recently a transformation of C_{60} into a very hard form of carbon (HC) has been reported [5]. The material was obtained at relatively soft conditions (pressure, 3 GPa; temperature, 700 °C) in the form of bulk specimens. It shows the unusual combination of remarkable micro-hardness (more than 4000 kg mm⁻²) and substantial electrical conductivity (about 100 (Ω cm)⁻¹). The estimated density of HC, $\rho \approx 1.9$ g cm⁻³, is bigger than the density of initial C_{60} (1.7 g cm⁻³), but significantly lower than the density of diamond (3.5 g cm⁻³). Xray diffraction study shows a lack of long-range crystalline order in the transformed material. Films prepared from HC using laser sputtering in vacuum reveal, however, distinct evidence of microcrystalline diamond [6]. Electron diffraction and Raman measurements of the films allow us to suggest the existence of some crystalline order in the substance at least in a shortrange scale. It may be relevant to such a hypothetical form of carbon as hollow diamonds [7], the material predicted theoretically, that comprises arrays of covalently interconnected fullerene cages and should have an excellent thermal conductivity. As a continuation of the

§ On leave from the Institute of Semiconductors, Kiev, Ukraine.

0953-8984/96/030027+06\$19.50 (c) 1996 IOP Publishing Ltd

characterization of HC we report data on thermal transport and compare them with those for glassy carbon, artificial diamond and C_{60} crystals.

Thermal conductivity was measured by a steady-state comparative method in the temperature range 30-300 K. It was performed relative to a constantan foil [8] and, independently, relative to a crystal of artificial diamond (a.d.) of Ib type. The latter was grown by Sumitomo Electric Industries, had dimensions $2 \times 2 \times 0.3$ mm³ and orientation (100). For comparison measurements were carried out also on a sample of glassy carbon fabricated by Nikon Carbon Ltd, company brand VCP-5, of a size $3 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³. The sample of HC prepared from pure C_{60} as described previously [6] was cut in the form of a baton $(3.5 \times 1 \times 0.6 \text{ mm}^3)$. It was attached with GE varnish to the referent sample that was also used as a thermal link between the sample and the heater. On the other side the sample was glued directly to a thermal sink. The sample character was maintained in vacuum better than 10^{-4} Pa. The heat flow was estimated from the voltage difference between two chromel wires ($\Phi = 15 \ \mu m$) point-soldered to the constantan foil, so the link was also used as a thermocouple element. The thermal gradient in the sample was measured by a thin chromel–constant n thermocouple ($\Phi = 15 \ \mu m$) glued to the sample with GE varnish. The temperature difference measured on the sample was always smaller than 1 K when constantan was used as reference. Due to the higher thermal conductivity of a.d., independently used as a reference, the measured temperature difference on the sample was slightly larger depending on the temperature range. This was determined by the lowest voltage difference of the thermocouple on the a.d. sample ($\sim 1 \mu V$) necessary to achieve sufficient resolution. In order to minimize the radiation heat losses, the sample holder was closely surrounded by the heat shield, kept at almost the same temperature. The cooling (heating) rate was generally $\sim 5 \text{ K h}^{-1}$ or less. The relative accuracy of the measurement (1-2%) was much better than that for absolute values (about 20% due to uncertainty in geometrical factors of the sample and the reference). Electrical resistivity was measured independently on the same sample by a conventional four-probe method. Contacts were made using conducting silver paste directly on the surface of the sample.

The thermal conductivity of HC at room temperature (R.T.) is about 5.7 W mK⁻¹. The value is much higher than for crystalline C_{60} [3], but significantly lower than for diamond crystals [1]. The thermal conductivity of HC measured relative to an a.d. crystal in the temperature range 120–290 K is more than two orders of magnitude lower and it decreases monotonically towards lower temperatures as shown in figure 1. The inset in figure 1 exhibits the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity of the a.d. (measured relative to constantan). The absolute value at R.T. does not exceed 700 W mK⁻¹ indicating substantial amounts of impurities. The two sets of data for HC measured relative to constantan and relative to a.d., regardless of very different measuring conditions, agree very well. A small difference in the absolute values apparently originates from the estimation of geometrical factors. The comparative measurement of the sample of glassy carbon shown in figure 3 demonstrates similar temperature behaviour but a 50% smaller R.T. value than for HC. The most intriguing fact is an almost perfect linear temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity of HC in the whole temperature range and we are going to discuss this.

Usually total thermal conductivity, λ_T , is considered as the sum of the lattice, λ_g , and the electronic, λ_e , contributions: $\lambda_T = \lambda_g + \lambda_e$. As HC is electrically conducting, an estimation of the possible contribution of free carriers becomes necessary. In ordinary metals, it would suffice to evaluate λ_e at high temperatures from the Wiedemann–Franz law: $\lambda_e \rho/T = L_0$, where $L_0 = 2.45 \times 10^{-8}$ W Ω K⁻² is the Lorentz number and ρ is the dc resistivity. The electrical resistivity of our sample at room temperature is relatively low,



Figure 1. The temperature dependences of the thermal conductivity of HC measured relative to constantan (O) and relative to a crystal of a.d. (\Box) . The inset shows data for a.d. measured relative to constantan.

about 85 $\mu\Omega$ m. While cooling down to 40 K it increases by about 30% (figure 2). The temperature dependence is in agreement with previously published data [5] and substantially deviates from the activated regime typical for usual glassy carbon [9]. It resembles a semimetallic behaviour rather than a semiconducting one [10]. Nevertheless, the small overlap of the valence and conduction bands appearing in semimetals can be regarded as a negative energy gap and some possible effects as in the heat conduction of semiconductors should be taken into account. In addition to the electronic contribution (electrons and holes), there is also so called bipolar diffusion originating from recombination of electrons and holes [11]. The free carrier contribution can be treated through the Wiedemann–Franz law but with a so called effective Lorentz number that can greatly exceed the above-given Lorentz value. However, it seems to be unlikely that the effective Lorentz number in this almost semimetallic material could surpass the value of 10 [12]. Even in this extreme condition the free carrier contribution should be in the range of the absolute accuracy of our measurement. The electronic contribution λ_e for our sample calculated using the simple Wiedemann–Franz law and experimental resistivity data is shown in figure 2. Although the λ_e temperature dependence is similar to that for the total thermal conductivity, its contribution to λ_T is less than 1%.

Thermal conduction in HC originates mainly from lattice vibrations and it has temperature behaviour very different from that of crystalline C_{60} [3]. They are compared in figure 3. The conventional behaviour of $\lambda(T)$ of crystalline materials is a roughly 1/T decrease at higher temperatures (above the Debye temperature) and a peak at low temperatures (not shown for C_{60} due to lack of low-temperature data in [3]). This is



Figure 2. The temperature dependences of the electrical resistivity of HC (\Box) and the estimated electronic contribution to the thermal conductivity λ_e (O).

characteristic of heat carried by phonons with a mean free path limited by ordinary 'umklapp' scattering. On the other hand, liquids and amorphous materials represent systems in which phonons are not well defined and they exhibit unconventional $\lambda(T)$. There are also some other examples of unconventional behaviour of $\lambda(T)$ in the case when the unit cells become very complicated. The lack of local periodicity may cause a change from crystalline behaviour into the amorphous one as has been found for YB₆₈ [13].

The typical behaviour of thermal conductivity for amorphous solids and certain disordered crystals is illustrated in figure 3 by the example of SiO₂ [14]. It follows approximately the T^2 law at low temperatures (T < 1 K), has an almost temperature-independent 'plateau' between 1 K and 10 K and increases with T at higher temperatures. The low-temperature (T < 1 K) part of $\lambda(T)$ has been explained by a phenomenological model in which the two-level-state (TLS) excitations [15] are the principal scatterers for the phonons. TLSs are believed to arise from quantum tunnelling of atoms or molecules but their microscopic origin is still unknown. For temperatures above the plateau, there are still some controversies and open questions.

As mentioned, unlike crystalline insulators, where propagating short-wavelength phonons scattered by anharmonic interactions at high temperatures cause a dependence $\lambda(T) \propto 1/T$, noncrystalline solids seem to reveal a behaviour $\lambda(T) \propto T$ in approximately the same temperature range. The thermal conductivity for HC in the temperature range available fits very well a linear dependence $\lambda(T) = 0.022T^{(1.00\pm0.03)}$. The comparative measurement of the sample of glassy carbon also shows the quasilinear behaviour $\lambda(T) = 0.002T^{(1.33\pm0.03)}$ in the temperature range 120–350 K. HC has a certain degree



Figure 3. A comparative plot illustrating the conventional $\lambda(T)$ for a crystalline sample of C₆₀ (\blacktriangle , from [3]), and the unconventional behaviour of a typical amorphous material a-SiO₂ (\blacklozenge , from [14]) and our measured data for HC (\bigcirc) and glassy carbon (\square).

of amorphization as evidenced by very broad diffuse peaks obtained by x-ray diffraction as well as by Raman scattering [5]. However, the material is not completely amorphous. It has the sort of crystalline order that sometimes reveals itself in Raman and electron diffraction measurements. This is probably the main reason that $\lambda(T)$ of this material fits approximately the same frame as for SiO₂ and glassy carbon above their plateau.

The problem of the heat transport above the plateau has been widely discussed in the last decade (see review [16] and references therein). There have been two different concepts for the explanation of the linear temperature dependence of $\lambda(T)$ above the plateau: the 'resonance' interpretation [17] and the picture of an additional heat conduction channel [18]. In the first approach the anharmonic modes that can carry heat are supposed to be introduced at a temperature above the plateau. They are scatterers for TLSs and as the population of TLSs decreases linearly with T the scattering of anharmonic modes decreases in the same manner, causing $\lambda(T) \propto T$. The second model [18] although originally based on the fracton model should also be valid for more conventional localized vibrations [19]. The recent simulations by means of the nonequilibrium molecular-dynamics (MD) method [16] support the model of anharmonicity-induced hopping heat transfer in glasses. Presuming that the aperiodicity of amorphous solids is their only common feature, the MD simulation has demonstrated the presence of localized vibrational modes that are the source of the strong anharmonicity in amorphous solids. It has been shown in addition that the influence of quasiperiodicity on $\lambda(T)$ is the same as that for a simple aperiodicity.

To our knowledge HC is the unique material obeying a linear T dependence of the thermal conductivity in such a wide temperature range. Unfortunately we did not reach the plateau region that is necessary for the estimation of some parameters in the model of

anharmonicity-induced localized vibrational state hopping. However, it looks as if in this specific material there is no departure of the thermal conductivity from linear dependence upon temperature as observed in some amorphous systems. According to the same model this means that there is no violation of the temperature-dependent condition on the hopping lifetimes of the localized vibrational excitations that might cause the curling over of $\lambda(T)$ from its linear temperature dependence and this fact is related to the degree of anharmonicity [18]. HC reveals some sort of crystalline order at least in the short-range scale. The unusual mechanism of thermal transport in HC is presumably caused by the characteristic microstructure (or mesostructure) of this material. It provides an anharmonic interaction that is sufficient to invoke the hopping conductivity but not large enough to quench it. Further structural investigation of HC should clarify this point.

In summary, the main characteristics of the thermal transport in the new material HC have been discussed. A comparative study of the thermal conductivity in HC, crystalline diamond, glassy carbon and molecular C_{60} crystals shows that it correlates with the density of these compounds. Covalent linking of C_{60} cages is apparently responsible for the drastic increase of the thermal conductivity in HC at 300 K compared with pristine C_{60} . A substantial number of sp³ sites in its 'polymerized' structure causes the increase of the thermal conductivity relatively to glassy carbon. However the disordered structure of HC makes the room-temperature values much smaller than those in crystalline diamond. The existence of the short-range crystalline order in HC can be related to the excellent linearity of $\lambda(T)$ in a broad temperature range. This material seems to be very promising for the verification of actual theories of thermal transport in amorphous solids.

References

- [1] Onn D G, Witek A, Qiu Y Z, Anthony T R and Banholzer W F 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 2806
- Smith W W and Rasor N S 1956 Phys. Rev. 104 885
 Bergman R 1958 Industrial Carbon and Graphite (London: Society of Chemical Industry) p 42
 Powell R W 1958 Industrial Carbon and Graphite (London: Society of Chemical Industry) p 46
- [3] Yu R C, Tea N, Salamon M B, Lorents D and Malhotra R 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 2050
- [4] Sundquist B, Andersson O, Lundin A and Soldatov A 1994 Solid State Commun. 93 109 Sundquist B, Andersson O, Lundin A and Persson P A 1995 Electrochem. Soc. Proc. Series at press
- [5] Kozlov M E, Hirabayashi M, Nozaki K, Tokumoto M and Ihara H 1994 Appl. Phys. Lett. 66 1199
- [6] Kozlov M E, Yase K, Minami H, Fons P, Durand H-A, Hirabayashi M, Nozaki K, Tokumoto M and Ihara H 1995 Electrochem. Soc. Proc. Series at press
- [7] Benedek G, Galvani E and Sanguinetti S 1995 Nuovo Cimento D 17 97
- [8] Smontara A, Bihar Ž, Biljaković K, Tutiš E, Šokčević D and Artemenko S 1994 Thermal Conductivity vol 22, ed T W Tong (New York: Technomic) p 543
- [9] Noda T, Inagaki M and Yamada S 1969 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 1 285
- [10] Smontara A et al to be published
- [11] Berman R 1979 Thermal Conduction in Solids (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [12] Smontara A, Biljaković K and Artemenko S N 1993 Phys. Rev. B 48 4329
- [13] Cahill D G and Pohl R O 1987 Phys. Rev. B 35 4067
- [14] Fisher R A, Brodale G E, Horning E W and Gianque W F 1969 Rev. Sci. Instrum. 40 365 Slack G A 1969 Cryogenics Oct 389
- [15] For a review, see Phillips W A 197 Rep. Prog. Phys. 50 1657
- [16] Michalski J 1992 Phys. Rev. B 45 7054
- [17] Karpov V G and Parshin D A 1983 Pis. Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38 536 (Engl. transl. 1983 JETP Lett. 38 648)
- [18] Alexander S, Eutin-Wohlman O and Orbach R 1986 Phys. Rev. B 34 2726
- [19] Jagannathan A, Orbach R and Eutin-Wohlman O 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 13 465 and references therein