Structural Properties of Sn_xS_y Thin Films Prepared by Plasma-Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition

A. Sanchez-Juarez^a and A. Ortiz^b

^aDepartment of Solar Materials, Energy Research Center, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Temixco, Morelos, 62580 Mexico

^bMaterials Research Institute, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Coyoacan, D. F., 04510 Mexico

The growth and structural properties of Sn_xS_y (SnS₂, Sn₂S₃) prepared by the plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition process have been studied systematically. Sn_xS_y thin films were prepared by the decomposition of H₂S and SnCl₄ vapors mixture in a capacitively coupled 13.56 MHz radio frequency glow discharge chamber with a radially symmetric flow pattern. Hydrogen was used as a diluent gas and for removing chlorine radicals generated by the SnCl₄ decomposition. The deposition pressure, substrate temperature, and plasma power density were kept constant at 50 mTorr, 150°C, and 25 mW/cm², respectively. The relative concentration of the precursor materials, g, defined as the ratio of tin chloride mass flow rate to the sum of tin chloride and hydrogen sulfide mass flow rates, was varied from 0 to 1.0. A total mass flow rate of 25 standard cubic centimeter per minute for the precursor materials and the diluent gas was used in all the cases. It was found that (*i*) For g < 0.2, the deposited thin films contain only the 2H-SnS₂ phase, and show a hexagonal crystalline structure with a preferential growth of the *c* axes perpendicular to the plane of the substrate; (*ii*) For $0.2 \le g < 0.5$, and g > 0.6, the deposited films contain a mixture of hexagonal SnS₂ and orthorhombic Sn₂S₃ compounds; and (*iii*) For g close to 0.5, the deposited material has only the Sn₂S₃ compound. In all the samples, the size of the crystallites and the lattice parameters were estimated from the width of the X-ray diffraction peaks and the interplanar distances. It was found that the chemical composition, the crystallinity, and the preferential growth of the deposited material are well controlled by adjusting the value of g.

© 2000 The Electrochemical Society. S0013-4651(00)02-060-7. All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted February 15, 2000; revised manuscript received July 3, 2000.

Binary compounds like SnS, SnS₂, and Sn₂S₃, based on Sn and S, are semiconductor materials¹⁻³ with a high potential use in optoelectronic devices due to their optical and electrical properties.⁴⁻⁶ These semiconductors have been prepared by different processes such as chemical melt growth,^{3,7-9} chemical vapor transport,^{10,11} physical vapor transport,¹² chemical vapor deposition (CVD),¹³ electroless deposition,¹⁴ spray pyrolysis,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and glow discharge.¹⁸ The structural, optical, and electrical properties of the different compounds in the binary Sn-S system depend on the preparation technique.

Tin sulfide, SnS, crystallizes into a deformed NaCl structure, which is described to be composed of tightly bonded double layers of Sn and S atoms along the *c* axis, with van der Waals-type binding between layers.¹⁹ The single crystalline material has shown indirect transitions with an optical bandgap of about 1.3 eV, ³ which lies in the optimum range for absorber materials to be used in solar cells applications.²⁰ It is a p-type material whose conductivity can be increased by doping with Ag, Al, N, and Cl.^{3,21} On the other hand, polycrystalline thin films have an optical bandgap in the range of 1.1 to 1.3 eV. ¹³

Tin disulfide, SnS₂, is a layered compound that crystallizes in the hexagonal CdI₂-type structure with at least three basic polytypes that are classified in terms of their stacking sequence.²² The basic structure unit represented by $(A_{\gamma}B)_n$ is called 2H-SnS₂, where γ corresponds to Sn, and A and B correspond to the S layers. SnS₂ single crystals have shown an n-type electrical conductivity,²³ and optical bandgaps in the range of 2.12 to 2.44 eV. ^{7,10-11} Sn^{II}Sn^{IV}S₃ is classified as a Type I mixed valence compound. This material shows semiconductor behavior with an energy bandgap dependent of its crystalline structure and stoichiometry. Thin film polycrystalline samples of Sn_{2±x} S₃ present allowed indirect optical transitions with an optical bandgap ranging from 1.16 to 1.9 eV. ^{13,15,16}

Taking into account the optoelectronic properties of Sn and Sbased compounds, it can be inferred that these materials could be used to build photovoltaic p-n or p-i-n structures with a conversion efficiency of about 25%.²⁰ These structures should be low cost devices because the materials involved are inexpensive, nonstrategic, and abundant in nature. However, in order to create a cost-competitive photovoltaic cell, it is necessary to have a precisely, controllable, simple, and inexpensive large area thin film deposition technique. The plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD), is a process that meets these requirements. In order to use these materials in a thin film device, it is important to know their structural properties and their dependence on the preparation conditions. To our knowledge, only a few papers have been devoted to the study of the structural properties of Sn_xS_y polycrystalline thin film materials, and in only one, the PECVD process was used. Recently Ortiz *et al.*¹⁸ have reported the deposition of p-type SnS polycrystalline thin films by PECVD. The present work deals with the deposition of Sn_xS_y thin films by PECVD. Here, growth and structural properties are analyzed as a function of the concentration ratio of the source materials. An optimization of the deposition parameters to grow SnS_2 and Sn_2S_3 thin film materials is also presented.

Experimental

The chemical reaction.—From a mixture of $SnCl_4$ and H_2S vapors diluted with H_2 , depending on the mole ratio of the reactants and external stimulants, different sulfides of tin can be formed in the following reactions

$$\xrightarrow{k_1} D SnS + E HCl^{\uparrow}$$
[1a]

$$A \operatorname{SnCl}_4 + B \operatorname{H}_2 S + C \operatorname{H}_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} F \operatorname{SnS}_2 + E \operatorname{HCl}^{\uparrow} + G \operatorname{H}_2 \quad [1b]$$

1.2

$$\xrightarrow{\kappa_3} \text{H Sn}_2\text{S}_3 + \text{E HCl} \qquad [1c]$$

The values of A, B...H, vary with the reactants and products of the particular reaction and are related to the number of moles involved, while k1, k2, k3 are the unknown reaction rate constants. The reactants in the left side of Eq. 1 could produce the products in the right side if the reaction is activated by means of external energy.

Thin film preparation.—Sn_xS_y thin films were prepared in a glow discharge system with a radially symmetric flow pattern. A 13.56 MHz radio frequency (rf) generator with a matching network drives the glow discharge onto a capacitively coupled planar electrode configuration. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the system. To control the gas flow rate of the precursor vapors (SnCl₄ and H₂S) and the diluent gas (H₂) electronic mass flow controllers were used. The deposition pressure was measured by a baratron and it was controlled automatically with a throttle valve. Two kinds of substrates



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the PECVD chamber with a radially symmetric flow pattern. A fixed distance of 3 cm separates the two circular electrode plates with a diam of 16 cm.

were used: Pyrex glass slices, chemically and ultrasonically cleaned and 200 Ω cm n-type (100) single crystal silicon wafers, both with 1.5×2.5 cm area. The flow rates of the precursors were chosen to meet a maximum flow rate of 5 standard cubic centimeter per minute (sccm) for the SnCl₄ and H₂S mixture. The liquid SnCl₄ vapor source was kept at 40°C in all cases. The substrate temperature was controlled by means of a temperature controller at a fixed value of 150°C through a K-type thermocouple. The plasma power density and the process pressure were kept constant at 0.025 W/cm² and 50 mTorr, respectively. The concentration rate of the precursors, *g*, defined by the following relation

$$g = \frac{[\text{SnCl}_4]}{[\text{SnCl}_4] + [\text{H}_2\text{S}]}$$
[2]

was varied from 0.0 to 1.0 in 0.1 steps. The square brackets in Eq. 2 indicate the flow rate in sccm. H_2 was added at a flow rate of 20 sccm as a diluent gas. The total gas flow rate for H_2 , SnCl₄, and H_2S was 25 sccm in all cases.

Film characterization.—The thickness of the deposited films on glass was measured with an Alpha Step 100 profilometer. The crystal structure was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements on a Siemens D-500 diffractometer using Cu K α radiation of $\lambda = 1.5405$ Å. The diffraction patterns were taken with a scan rate of $2\theta = 0.5$ /min. Surface morphology was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using Cambridge-Leica Stereoscan 440 SEM equipment. The composition of the film was determined by the energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis using an Oxford system detector attached to the scanning electron microscope.

Results and Discussion

Primary processes.—In a glow discharge process, the electrons are the principal sources for transferring electrical energy to the gas molecules through elastic and inelastic collisions that lead and contribute to a variety of chemical reactions.²⁴ Among them, the excitation and deexcitation processes produce the glow. Hence, it is important to know the gas pressure and electric power to be used in order to produce the desired gas discharge breakdown in the reaction chamber.

The physical conditions necessary to produce and sustain a glow discharge plasma using either $SnCl_4$, H_2S , or H_2 for the chamber shown in Fig. 1 were investigated separately. The flow rates of $SnCl_4$ and H_2S vapors were fixed at 5 sccm, while that of H_2 was fixed at 20 sccm. The minimum rf power required for the breakdown of the

plasma, as a function of the gas pressure in the chamber is shown in Fig. 2. The grounded plate was at room temperature in all cases. For each gas there exists a minimum value for the rf power that depends on the gas pressure. This value is related to the minimum energy required to the breakdown. This behavior can be explained considering that at low pressure the electron mean free path (λ_e) is large, and thus the majority of the electrons reach the electrodes without colliding with gas molecules. Therefore, the lower the pressure, the higher is the value of rf power needed to produce the breakdown of the gas. On the other hand, λ_e becomes short at higher pressures. In this case, electrons can not gain a high enough energy from the ac electric field to ionize the gas because of their frequent collisions with the gas molecules. Thus, rf power increases as the pressure increases. By analogy with the Paschen curve of a dc plasma,²⁵ the left side of the minimum in Fig. 2 is called the low pressure regime and the right side, the high pressure regime. The rf power needed to sustain the plasma is lower than the one needed to the breakdown of the plasma. This is explained by the fact that once the plasma starts, electrons and ions are trapped in the discharge space. These electrons frequently cause ionization of gas molecules by inelastic collisions, then the rf power needed to sustain the plasma decreases from its initial value to a value that keeps the rate of generation and recombination of electrons balanced.²⁵ Figure 2 also shows that H₂S requires less rf power for starting the glow than the others, in range of pressure considered. This behavior could be understood considering that the energy needed to produce an excitation state in a molecule is lower than the one required to produce an ionization state. Since the ionization potential of the H_2S molecule (10.45 eV) is lower than those of $SnCl_4$ (11.88 eV) and H₂ (15.42 eV) molecules,²⁶ it can be expected that the molecule that requires less energy for having an ionization state will require less energy for having an excited state. Thus, the H₂S molecule has an excitation state at relatively less rf power than the others.

In order to know if there are reactions besides excitation and deexcitation processes, the solid materials deposited onto glass substrates in the low pressure regime of Fig. 2 for $SnCl_4$ alone, the $SnCl_4/H_2$ mixture and H_2S discharges were investigated under the following conditions: process pressure of 50 mTorr; rf power of 5 W (power density of 25 mW/cm²), and deposition time of 30 min. Two substrate temperatures were used: 35 and 150°C, to analyze the possible effects of this parameter on the decomposition of the precursors.

The $SnCl_4$ *discharge.*—Figure 3a shows the XRD patterns of the solid materials deposited at 35 and 150°C, showing diffraction peaks



Figure 2. Behavior of the gas discharge breakdown for the vapor precursors and H_2 . Tin tetrachloride and hydrogen sulfide are fed down into the chamber at a rate of 5 sccm, while hydrogen is fed at 20 sccm.



Figure 3. XRD patterns of a material deposited on a glass substrate using a rf power of 5 W, substrate temperatures of 35 and 150°C, and plasma generated by vapors of SnCl₄. The upper pattern corresponds to a material deposited when hydrogen was used as a diluent in a proportion 1:4 of SnCl₄/H₂.

attributable to SnCl₄, SnCl₄·5H₂O, and SnCl₂·2H₂O (JCPDS no. 31-1394, 31-1395, and 32-1380, respectively), all polycrystalline materials. No other compound could be identified with these peaks, and no peak due to elemental Sn was identified in the patterns. Thus, at these conditions, SnCl₄ is not decomposed into Sn and Cl radicals. The chemical processes that could take place due to electron-molecule collisions, but not limited to them, are represented by (\rightarrow) in Eq. 3, where e denotes the electron. These processes suggest that a significant amount of free Cl, Cl₂, and different tin chloride radicals will be produced during the deposition as by-products of the dissociation of SnCl₄

Dissociation: $SnCl_4 + e \rightarrow SnCl_2 + Cl_2 + e$ $Cl_2 + e \rightarrow 2Cl + e$ Excitation: $SnCl_4 + e \rightarrow SnCl_4^* + e$ $Cl_2 + e \rightarrow Cl_2^* + e$ $Cl + e \rightarrow Cl^* + e$ Ionization: $SnCl_4 + e \rightarrow SnCl_4^+ + 2e$ $Cl_2 + e \rightarrow Cl_2^+ + 2e$ $Cl_2 + e \rightarrow Cl_2^+ + 2e$ $Cl + e \rightarrow Cl^+ + 2e$

Further experiments like mass spectrometry and optical emission spectroscopy should be carried out in order to know the ionic and luminescent species that can be associated with the possible reactions. Another reaction that can be associated with the formation of a solid film is the condensation of SnCl_4 vapors onto the glass substrate via the reaction¹³

$$SnCl_4(g) \leftrightarrow SnCl_2(s) + Cl_2(g)$$
 [4]

On the other hand, this experiment did not show evidence that the substrate temperature enhances the $SnCl_4$ decomposition in the temperature range considered.

The $SnCl_{4}/H_2$ *discharge.*—When hydrogen was used as a diluent, in a proportion 1:4 of $SnCl_4/H_2$, the material deposited was only metallic tin as indicated by the XRD pattern in Fig. 3b. This result can be explained on the basis of hydrogen being considered as a strong reducing agent. Then, the following overall reaction takes place

$$\operatorname{SnCl}_4(g) + 2\operatorname{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Sn}(s) + 4\operatorname{HCl}(g)$$
 [5]

Also, the H_2 species produced by the plasma like H_2^* (excited molecules), H (atoms), H* (excited atoms), H_2^+ (ions), and H⁺ (protons),²⁴ could be responsible for this overall chemical reaction in chain reactions of the type expressed in Eq. 6

$$H + Cl_{2} \rightarrow HCl + Cl$$

$$Cl + H_{2} \rightarrow HCl + H$$

$$HCl \rightarrow H + Cl$$
[6]

Furthermore, for producing Sn_rS_v thin films without chlorine incorporation, hydrogen added to the reaction could remove Cl atoms from the gas phase and even from the growing film. It is seen from Eq. 1 that the presence of H_2 in the mixture reduces Sn^{4+} to Sn^{2+} . In addition, it can combine with the Cl present in the system to form HCl. Thus, the extraction of Cl during the deposition process of these thin films can be controlled by the amount of hydrogen added to the reaction chamber. It is important to note that an excess of H₂ per each SnCl₄ molecule is necessary for extracting as much chlorine as possible. This behavior has been studied for chlorinated SiO_2 thin films prepared by PECVD. In this case Alonso *et al.*²⁷ found that it is necessary to add a H₂ flow rate more than twice that of the precursor flow rate, in order to eliminate Cl incorporation in the film. In the present work, it is expected that Cl incorporation will be avoided since the flow rate of H₂ to that of the precursor was set at four.

When a high power density, *i.e.*, 500 mW/cm², was used to produce the glow discharge, it was found that the deposited material has incorporated compounds related to $SnCl_2$ and metallic tin, hence the $SnCl_4$ is decomposed into Sn and Cl radicals.

The H_2S discharge.—For the substrate at a temperature of 35°C, the deposited thin film showed a yellow color in reflection that could be associated with solid sulfur formed by the decomposition of H_2S .²⁸ There was no film deposition at a substrate temperature of 150°C. This can be explained as follows: at room temperature, the generated sulfur is condensed and adsorbed on the substrate surface with a residence time long enough to form a thin film. At 150°C, the adsorbed sulfur acquires the necessary energy to be desorbed with a high desorption rate. Thus, sulfur is returned to the plasma generating more active species. In the case of the material deposited at low temperature, the XRD pattern did not show any diffraction peak that could be attributed to sulfur. This may be due to the small thickness of the film or perhaps due to the amorphous nature of the deposited material. The overall reaction²⁸ that could take place is given by

$$H_2S \leftrightarrow H_2 + (1/8) S_8$$
^[7]

caused by one or more of the following processes, represented by (\rightarrow) in Eq. 8, derived from electron-molecule collisions, but not limited to them

Excitation:	$H_2S + e \rightarrow H_2S^* + e$	
	$\mathrm{H}_2 + \mathrm{e} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_2^* + \mathrm{e}$	
	$H + e \rightarrow H^* + e$	
	$S + e \rightarrow S^* + e$	
Dissociation:	$H_2S + e \rightarrow H + SH + e$	
	$H + SH + e \rightarrow 2H + S + e$	[8]
	$S_m + e \rightarrow mS + e$	
Ionization:	$H_2S + e \rightarrow H_2S^+ + 2e$	
	$\mathrm{H}_2 + \mathrm{e} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_2^+ + 2\mathrm{e}$	
	$S + e \rightarrow S^+ + 2e$	

[3]

Thus, in the low pressure regime, H_2S is decomposed due to inelastic collisions with electrons in the plasma. However, $SnCl_4$ is not decomposed by the electron-molecule collision.²⁴ This result is a direct consequence of the energy required to break a chemical bond. The H–S bond needs 3.57 eV, while Cl-Sn needs 4.29 eV.²⁶

Deposition of Sn_xS_y thin films.—Figure 4 shows the behavior of the rf power against pressure to establish the breakdown plasma process in a 1:1 mixture of $SnCl_4$ and H_2S , with and without H_2 dilution. In both cases, there is a minimum in the curve that defines the concept of low pressure and high pressure regime, in agreement with Paschen's curve. It can be seen that H_2 enhances the breakdown at pressures higher than 150 mTorr, in a similar way as is shown in the inset of Fig. 2; while at pressure lower than 150 mTorr, the mixture of H_2S and $SnCl_4$ breaks down more readily.

In the present study, all of the deposited Sn_xS_y thin films were carried out using deposition parameters in the low pressure regime. Therefore, there is no primary decomposition of $SnCl_4$ by the plasma and the chemical reactions that lead to thin film growth must be related to the H₂S decomposition and the reduction of $SnCl_4$ by H₂.

Deposition rate.—Figure 5 shows the behavior of the deposition rate (R_d), defined by the thickness divide by deposition time, as a function of g, for samples prepared at a deposition time of 30 min. Each point represents the mean value of R_d for at least six samples prepared at the same conditions and located at the same position on the grounded electrode. The error bars in each point show the experimental standard deviation for the R_d mean value. In this figure, the g range is divided into five regions. In regions I and II, R_d increases as g increases. In region III, R_d decreases up to a minimum value for $g \approx 0.6$; after which, R_d increases as g increases up to about g = 0.7. Region IV shows a saturation behavior of R_d with g; and finally in region V when g = 1, R_d drops to a very low value. To explain this behavior it is necessary to analyze the deposited material. Thus, XRD and EDS analyses were performed in samples with similar thickness ($\cong 0.15 \mu$ m).

Structural and compound identification.—Figure 6 shows the XRD patterns of typical samples prepared for g < 0.2 (region I). Peak identification was performed following the procedure described in Ref. 29. The observed peaks match with those of the standard pattern for tin disulfide (2H-SnS₂: JCPDS no. 23-677). This compound has an hexagonal structure lying in the space group P3m1. The strong peak located at 20 around 15° is due to reflections from the (001) plane. The appearance of the [001] strong peak indicates that the deposited material is highly oriented with the *c* axes perpendicular to the plane of the substrate. This type of growth is a



Figure 4. Gas discharge breakdown behavior for a mixture 1:1 of $SnCl_4$ and H_2S : curve (\Box) without hydrogen dilution, and curve (\bullet) with hydrogen dilution.



Figure 5. Behavior of the deposition rate as a function of *g*. Regions I, II, III, IV, and V are defined by the intervals shown on the top of this figure.

common feature for single crystal SnS₂ prepared by chemical vapor transport.³⁰ To our knowledge, no one else has reported this kind of growth for polycrystalline SnS2 thin films. Prominent peaks, the calculated grain size, and their corresponding d values for these SnS₂ thin films are listed and compared with those of the standard patterns in Table I. The 2θ peak position in each pattern is shifted to lower values as g increases. This behavior could be attributed to the inclusion of chlorine impurities in the SnS₂ lattice or to slight departures from the stoichiometry of the compound, *i.e.*, a metal to sulfur ratio greater than 1:2. Both hypotheses are supported later. The lattice parameters found are in close agreement with the reported values for the standard powder samples of SnS₂, and they are similar to those reported for single crystals of SnS2 prepared by other processes (see for instance, Kourtakis *et al.*¹¹ and Ray *et al.*³¹). It can be seen from Table I that the lattice parameter c experiences a slight increase as gincreases, and its mean value is 1.2% higher than the standard one. This small discrepancy is also associated with the same cause that has been proposed to explain the small shift in the 2θ peak position observed in the patterns of Fig. 6. Therefore, in region I, an increase in g does not affect the preferential growth of the films and the lattice parameters of the SnS_2 crystal. On the other hand, the magni-



Figure 6. Typical XRD patterns for samples prepared using $g \le 0.2$. All the patterns show a strong peak for the (001) planes, indicating preferred orientation along [001].

Downloaded on 2014-06-01 to IP 155.33.16.124 address. Redistribution subject to ECS terms of use (see ecsdl.org/site/terms_use) unless CC License in place (see abstract).

			O Sn _x S _y polyc (Strong	2H-S Systema	Compar	ison ata file 23-6 Lattice para = 5.899 Å	577) nmeters:					
g	g Phase Peak d		<i>d</i> -value	I/Io	gs	gs Lattice para		eters	Peak	<i>d</i> -value	I/Io	(hkl)
		(20)	(Å)		(nm)	а	b	С	(20)	(Å)		
0.09	SnS ₂	15.07 30.22	5.879 2.960	100 2	16			5.913	15.029 30.262	5.89 2.951	100 5	(001) (002)
0.1	SnS_2	14.83 29.85	5.969 2.99	100 2	14			5.966	15.029 30.262	5.89 2.951	100 5	(001) (002)
0.12	SnS_2	14.81 28.31	5.981 3.148	100 3	13	3.639		5.981	15.029 28.199	5.89 3.162	100 30	(001) (100)
0.19	SnS_2	14.76 28.35	6.002 3.145	100 7	13	3.637		6.002	15.029 28.199	5.89 3.162	100 30	(001) (100)

Table I. Prominent peak positions (2 θ values) of XRD peaks, corresponding *d*-values, gs, lattice parameters, and their identification as 2H-SnS₂ for samples prepared with *g* < 0.2.

tudes of the reflection peak at $2\theta = 15.03^{\circ}$ decreases as g increases (see Fig. 6). The crystallite size calculated using these peaks decreases slightly as g increases, showing a trend toward a mean value of 13 nm (see Table I). This reduction could also be associated to the inclusion of chlorine impurities at the crystal network in the sulfur position.

Figure 7 shows the X-ray diffractograms for typical samples prepared at g values corresponding to region II (Fig. 7a) and to region III (Fig. 7b). Peak positions of each one of these patterns were compared with the standard JCPDS data file card no. 23-677 for 2H-SnS₂ and card no. 14-619 for Sn₂S₃. Figure 7a shows peaks that correspond to the 2H-SnS₂ and Sn₂S₃ compounds. Therefore, the identification procedure confirmed that both compounds grew simultaneously in this interval of g, while only the Sn_2S_3 is deposited when g takes a value close to 0.49. This fact is confirmed in Fig. 7b in which all the peak positions in the spectra corresponding to g = 0.49, except the two at $2\theta \approx 24.9$ and 40.3° , match the standard for the Sn₂S₃ compound. The peaks at $2\theta \approx 24.9$ and 40.3° are associated with the SnCl₂·2H₂O compound (see Fig. 3a). Using a similar chamber and under similar deposition conditions, except for the deposition pressure (g = 0.5 and a deposition pressure of 150 mTorr), Ortiz et al.¹⁸ found that the deposited material was SnS. In the present work, all the samples were



Figure 7. XRD patterns for samples prepared using discrete g values from 0.22 up to 0.6. These patterns show how the crystal structures are changing as g increases.

prepared using a deposition pressure of 50 mTorr, which is below the threshold value found in Fig. 4, and there is no evidence of the SnS compound in all the deposited films. This difference could be attributed to the deposition pressure that gives another reaction path. The deposition pressure is an important parameter that must be taken into consideration. Similar results have been observed for a-Si:H and a-SiC:H thin films produced by PECVD.^{32,33}

As g increases, taking values in region III, Sn_2S_3 apparently stops growing and the SnS_2 compound grows again with the hexagonal crystal structure, in a similar way as those prepared using g values within the interval (0.12, 0.31). This is evident from Fig. 7b in which the XRD patterns corresponding for samples grown using g = 0.56and 0.6 show strong peaks corresponding to the SnS_2 compound, meanwhile the peaks corresponding to the Sn_2S_3 almost disappear. There are other weak peaks in the patterns shown in Fig. 7, but the signal-to-noise ratio of those peaks is very small. All the samples prepared within the interval (0.2, 0.49) do not show any preferential growth like that observed for the SnS_2 compound. Prominent peaks, corresponding d values, grain size (gs), lattice parameters, and their respective assignments for samples prepared within $0.2 < g \le 0.6$ are listed and compared in Table II.

Figure 8 shows the XRD patterns for samples prepared using gvalues in region IV. There are big peaks corresponding to 2H-SnS₂ and SnCl₂·2H₂O, and small peaks located around $2\theta \approx 12.8^{\circ}$ and 38° that corresponded to the Sn₂S₃ (see Fig. 8a). In Fig. 8b, there are peaks other than those which could be associated with the 4H-SnS₂ phase (polytype of the 2H-SnS₂ with double c axes), β -S, and metallic tin (JCPDS no. 21-1231, 34-0941, and 4-673, respectively). The last assignment was done because for g = 1, the deposited material was metallic tin (see Fig. 3b). The peak corresponding to 4H-SnS₂ at $2\theta \approx 29.2^{\circ}$ was only observed for g > 0.72, means that as g increases, the 2H is gradually transformed into the 4H structure. The reverse behavior has been observed for tin disulfide single crystal grown by chemical vapor transport.³⁰ Prominent peaks and their corresponding d values for the deposited thin films prepared using gvalues within the considered interval $(0.6 < g \le 1)$ are listed and compared in Table III. There is a small shift in all the diffraction peak positions with respect to the standard peak position considered in Tables II and III. This behavior is due to the fact that both SnS₂ and Sn₂S₃ compounds are grown along with SnCl₂. Tables II and III also show that the crystalline gs for the 2H-SnS₂ phase, calculated using the peak at $2\theta \approx 15^\circ$, almost remains constant with a mean value of 12.8 nm for all g values except for g > 0.75 for which it is of the order of 28 nm. The latter is calculated using the peak at $2\theta \approx$ 29.2°. This difference in the crystalline grain size can be associated with the change in the size of the lattice parameters, because the deposited material is identified as the 4H-SnS₂ phase. The Sn₂S₃

Observation $Sn_x S_y$ polycrystalline thin films (Strong goal positions)								Comparison 2H-SnS ₂ (JCPDS data file 23-677) Systemic Hexagonal; Lattice parameters: a = 2.640 Å: $a = 5.800$ Å				Comparison Sn_2S_3 (JCPDS data file 14-619) Systemic Orthorhombic; Lattice parameters: a = 8.864 Å; $b = 14.020$ Å; a = 2.747 Å				
g	Phase	Peak (2θ)	<i>d</i> -value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	gs (nm)	Latti	ce paramo	eters c	$\frac{d}{2\theta}$	<i>d</i> -value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)	Peak (20)	<i>d</i> -value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)
0.22	$\frac{\text{SnS}_2}{\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_3}$	14.89 31.14	5.932 2.875	100 100	12 14	3.638 8.653	 13.836	5.932 3.847	15.029	5.89	100	(001)	 30.916	 2.89	5	(310)
0.31	$\frac{SnS_2}{Sn_2S_3}$	14.98 21.66	5.921 4.094	100 100	13 17	3.638 8.778	13.885	5.921 3.757	15.029	5.89	100	(001)	21.498	4.13		(130)
0.40	$\frac{SnS_2}{Sn_2S_3}$	28.36 31.90	3.144 2.804	100 100	13 20	3.637 8.923	13.754	5.806 3.738	28.199 —	3.162	30	(100)	31.936	2.80		(211)
0.44	$\frac{SnS_2}{Sn_2S_3}$	28.37 31.82	3.143 2.811	100 100	13 19	3.629 8.888	14.142	5.80 3.73	28.199 —	3.162	30	(100)	31.936	2.80	15	(211)
0.49	Sn_2S_3	32.11	2.785	100	28	8.853	13.907	3.709					31.936	2.80	15	(211)
0.60	SnS_2	14.73	6.012	100	13	3.638		6.012	15.029	5.89	100	(001)				

Table II. Prominent peak positions (20 values) of XRD peaks, corresponding *d*-values, gs, lattice parameters, and their respective identification, for samples prepared with $0.2 < g \le 0.6$.

compound did not show any preferential growth and the crystalline grain size calculated at the peak position considered shows an increasing trend as g increases up to 0.69, after that, the grain size tends to a value of 30 nm.

Surface morphology and composition.—Figure 9 shows typical SEM micrographs of Sn_xS_y thin films prepared using different *g* values. The surface appearance changes from smooth to rough as *g* increases. For g > 0.2, the micrographs show crystal grains comprised of randomly oriented wormlike structures or fibrils. The fibrils appeared to be larger for g > 0.6 than those for g < 0.6. This surface appearance could be related to the crystalline phase of the material: SnS_2 for g < 0.2 and a mixture of SnS_2 and Sn_2S_3 compounds with inclusion of crystallites of $SnCl_2$ for g > 0.2. A very smooth surface is obtained for the SnS_2 and Sn_2S_3 compounds, and the deposition between the SnS_2 and Sn_2S_3 compounds, and the deposition of $SnCl_2$ occurring for g > 0.2. Similar features, like those



Figure 8. XRD patterns for samples prepared with $g \ge 0.6$. The peak at $2\theta \cong 29.2^{\circ}$ confirms the gradual transformation of the 2H-SnS₂ into the 4H-SnS₂ structure.

shown in Fig. 9 have been found also for $Sn_x S$ thin film prepared by low temperature chemical precipitation, dip deposition, and cathodic electrodepositon.^{13,31,34}

The composition of the deposited $Sn_x S_y$ thin films, determined by EDS analyses, can be used to determine the stoichiometry of the compound. The atomic percentage (atom %) of Sn, S, and Cl incorporated in the deposited material as a function of g are shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen that for g < 0.2 and for g = 0.6, the atomic percentage of Sn and S incorporated are almost in the ratio of Sn/S = 0.5, thus the stoichiometry of the thin films was found to be 1:2, which confirms the formation of the SnS_2 compound. This is in agreement with the compound identified by XRD. In the case of g =0.09, it was found that the ratio $Sn/S \approx 0.17$, meaning that the deposited material has a significant Sn deficiency. Thus, the stoichiometry is of the form $Sn_{1-x}S_2$. On the other hand, it was not possible to define a unique stoichiometry for 0.2 < g < 0.95, except for 0.6, because the deposited material is formed by two compounds. The quantity of Sn incorporated remains almost constant with a value around 40 atom %, while the quantity of S is almost 55 atom %, then for g = 0.49 the ratio 40 atom % Sn to 55 atom % S is approximately in the ratio 2:3, that identifies the Sn₂S₃ compound. Also, it can be seen that there is chlorine incorporation in the deposited material for all the *g* values, except for g < 0.1.

The atom % of Cl shows a small increasing trend as *g* increases, and its maximum concentration is less than 5 atom % even for g = 1. There are two ways in which Cl can be incorporated in the deposited material: as a tin chloride related radical, as a SnCl₂-like compound as has been identified in XRD (see Fig. 7 and 8), or as an impurity replacing sulfur. The inclusion of atomic Cl in the deposited material as an impurity instead of sulfur (the ionic radius of chloride is less than that of the sulfide), or as a compound, could be responsible for the shift of the peak position of the XRD patterns shown in Fig. 6, 7, and 8, as well as for the deviation of the values in the lattice parameters of the deposited material.²⁹ In the case of SnS₂, the Cl incorporation could affect the electrical properties of the films, as has been shown in previous works.^{3,21} Although the Cl concentration found is small, the quantity of H₂ added to the reaction or the deposition conditions was not enough to leave a chlorine free Sn₃S_y network.

Formation process.—It was found that the precursor concentration, represented by g, affects the chemical composition of the deposited material, giving SnS₂ thin films for g < 0.2, and thin films with a mixture of Sn₂S₃ and SnS₂ for g > 0.2. In order to explain how

		Sn _x ,	Obse S _y polycrys (Strong pe	rvation stalline ak posi	thin film	15			(JCP) Sys La $a = 3$	Compari 2H-Sn DS data fi tematic he attice para 3.649 Å; c	ison S_2 le 23-6 exagona meters = 5.89	77) al; : 99 Å	(JCP) Syste L a = 8.	Compar Sn_2S DS data f matic Ort attice para 864 Å; b c = 3.74	ison $\frac{1}{3}$ ile 14-0 horhon ameters = 14.0 47 Å	519) nbic; :: 20 Å;	
g	Phase	Peak	<i>d</i> -value	I/Io	gs	Latt	ice parame	eters	Peak	<i>d</i> -value	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)	Peak	<i>d</i> -value	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)	
		(20)	(Å)		(nm)	а	b	С	(20)	(Å)			(20)	(Å)			
0.69	$\frac{SnS_2}{Sn_2S_3}$	15.04 26.79	5.894 3.324	100 100	12 46	3.645 8.849	13.895	5.894 3.711	15.029	5.89	100	(001)	23.586	3.35	15	(111)	
0.72	$\begin{array}{c} SnS_2\\ Sn_2S_3 \end{array}$	15.10 21.77	5.866 4.086	100 100	13 25	3.604 8.754	 13.903	5.866 3.733	15.029	5.89	100	(001)	21.498	4.13	100	(130)	
0.75	$\begin{array}{c} SnS_2\\ Sn_2S_3 \end{array}$	14.99 21.73	5.910 4.088	100 100	13 31	3.646 8.763	13.841	5.91 3.774	15.029	5.89	100	(001)	21.498	4.13	100	(130)	
									(JCPI) System La $a = 3.6$	4H-Sn DS data fi ematic He ttice para 545 Å; c	S_2 ile 21- exagon imeters = 11.8	231) al; s: 802 Å	(JCPISysterLa $a = 8.8$	Sn_2S DS data f natic Ortl ttice para 364 Å; b c = 3.74	b_3 file 14- norhor ameter = 14. 47 Å	-619) nbic; rs: 020 Å;	
g	Phase	Peak (2θ)	d-value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	gs (nm)	Latt 	ice parame b	eters c	Peak (20)	d-value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)	Peak (2θ)	d-value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)	
0.82	$\frac{SnS_2}{Sn_2S_3}$	29.19 21.86	3.059 4.065	100 100	28 27	3.623 8.203	14.04	11.79 3.734	29.257	3.05	16	(101)	21.498	4.13	100	(130)	
0.95	$\frac{\text{SnS}_2}{\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_3}$	29.22 21.82	3.062 4.074	100 100	29 28	3.614 8.943	13.909	11.79 3.689	29.257	3.05	16	(101)	21.498	4.13	100	(130)	
						T'		-4	Sn (JC) System La $a = 5.$	PDS data ematic Te ttice para 831 Å; c	tragon meters = 3.1	-673) al; s: 82 Å					
g	Phase	Peak (2θ)	d-value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	gs (nm)	$\frac{-\text{Lattr}}{a}$	b	c	Peak (2θ)	d-value (Å)	<i>I/I</i> o	(hkl)					
1.0	Sn	32.0	2.795	100	18	5.831		3.225	32.018	2.793	90	(101)					

Table III. Prominent peak positions (2θ values) of XRD peaks, corresponding *d*-values, gs, lattice parameters, and their respective identification for samples prepared with g > 0.6.

the formation of these compounds occurs, it is supposed that all the molecules that are fed down to the chamber undergo a chemical reaction. Since all the processes were carried out in the low pressure regime, the primary decomposition products are radicals related with H_2S , probably atomic and molecular sulfur, meanwhile, the SnCl₄ vapor reacts with H_2 and with those radicals generated by the H_2S decomposition mainly via ionic reactions. During the glow discharge of the gases SnCl₄, H_2S , and H_2 , some of the processes pre-



Figure 9. SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the Sn_xS_y thin film compounds deposited at different *g* values. It is clear that the surface morphology changes from smooth to rough as *g* increases.

Downloaded on 2014-06-01 to IP 155.33.16.124 address. Redistribution subject to ECS terms of use (see ecsdl.org/site/terms_use) unless CC License in place (see abstract).



Figure 10. Sn, S, and Cl atomic concentrations incorporated at the thin films as a function of the precursor concentration.

sented in Eq. 3 to 8 will produce the products and reactions represented in Table IV. Thus, the Sn_xS_y compound formation could be explained on the basis of the processes described below.

 SnS_2 formation.—When $g \leq 0.2$, the atomic concentration of S inside the reaction chamber before starting the glow discharge is higher than that of Sn. For example, for g = 0.2, for each atom of tin there are four atoms of S, and the concentration of S increases as gdecreases. This argument holds because it is well known that the mass flow rate measured in sccm is proportional to the number of molecules per minute that is flowing to the reaction chamber. The relationship is given by 1 sccm = 2.69×10^{19} molecules per minute.³⁵ Following the work by Engelken et al.,¹³ for growing thin films of tin disulfide, it is necessary to have one Sn⁴⁺ ion and 2S²⁻ ions to produce Reaction d in Table IV. Because Sn²⁺ could be formed by the reduction of $SnCl_2$ with H_2 , the Sn^{4+} ion is obtained by Reaction b in Table IV. Then, if all the SnCl₄ molecules produce the Sn⁴⁺ ions, there are more than twice as many atoms of S in the reaction chamber, for producing the S²⁻ ion to form the SnS₂ compound. The overall chemical reactions yielding the formation of SnS₂ thin films could be the following

$$1 \operatorname{SnCl}_{4} + 2 \operatorname{H}_{2}S \rightarrow \operatorname{SnS}_{2} + 4 \operatorname{HCl}$$

$$2 [\operatorname{H}_{2}S \rightarrow \operatorname{H} + S\operatorname{H}] \qquad [9]$$

$$\operatorname{H} + S\operatorname{H} \rightarrow S + 2\operatorname{H}$$

$$2\operatorname{H} \rightarrow \operatorname{H}_{2}$$

The H_2 molecules flowing inside the reaction chamber at a flow rate of 20 sccm are not represented in these balanced equations, but a cer-

tain number of them react with SnCl_4 to produce the above mentioned reactions. Since XRD patterns for g < 0.2 did not show evidence of atomic sulfur in the deposited thin film, there must exist another reaction path involved during the process besides the one represented in this equation. Thus, it was assumed that H₂S is generated during the glow discharge, after the initial dissociation of the inlet H₂S through the following reactions

$$S \rightarrow (1/8) S_8$$

 $H_2 + (1/8) S_8 \rightarrow H_2 + S_m$ [10]
 $H_2 S_m \rightarrow H_2 S + S_{m-1}$

This assumption is supported by Traus *et al.*,³⁶ These authors showed the production of H_2S from the dissociation of a mixture of H_2/H_2S in a high pressure glow discharge. This process occurs when the relative concentration of H_2 in the mixture is higher than that of the H_2S by a factor of 2.33. In our case, the H_2/H_2S ratio is higher than this value. Therefore, although the concentration of H_2S is higher than the concentration of $SnCl_4$, it is possible to suppose that only 50% of the molecules of the hydrogen sulfide are involved in the reaction to produce the SnS_2 material, while the rest react in the way shown in Eq. 10. It was also found that for $g \cong 0.6$ the deposited material shows mainly the SnS_2 compound. Under this condition, before starting the glow discharge, the atomic concentration of Sn is 1.5 times that of S. Then it is expected that the following reaction takes place

$$3SnCl_4 + 2H_2S + 2H_2 \rightarrow SnS_2 + 2SnCl_2 + 8HCl$$
[11]

Though according to the stoichiometry of the reaction, only 10% of H_2 introduced to the chamber is required for the reaction shown in Eq. 11, it was observed that thin films of SnS_2 are formed only when an excess of H_2 is present. Equation 11 explains the presence of $SnCl_2$ in the deposited thin film. The XRD pattern for the samples prepared with g = 0.6 (see Fig. 7b) shows peaks attributed to $SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$. Water molecules are attached to $SnCl_2$ because this compound absorbs water when the deposited thin films are exposed to the atmosphere.

 Sn_2S_3 formation.—In the presence of S²⁻ and SnCl₂ molecules, the Sn₂S₃ compound can be formed through Reaction c in Table IV, requiring four molecules of SnCl₂ with three molecules of S to produce one molecule of Sn₂S₃ and two molecules of SnCl₄.¹³ Regarding the effect of the concentration of the precursors on the structure and composition of the films (see Table II), the Sn₂S₃ compound was obtained only in the case of samples prepared with a *g* value around 0.5, where the atomic concentrations of S and Sn in the reaction chamber are the same before starting the glow. If it is assumed that all the SnCl₄ molecules are transformed to SnCl₂, then only 75% of the atomic sulfur generated by the decomposition of the H₂S molecules is necessary for the chemical balance of Reaction c on Table IV. Under this condition, out of the 100% of the SnCl₂ molecules that are involved in this chemical reaction, 50% react with S to

Table IV. Precursors, by-products, and reactions that could take place in a PECVD process using $SnCl_4$ and H_2S compound like precursors for growing Sn_xS_y thin film materials.

Precursors and diluent	By-products	Reactions in the presence of hydrogen and/or sulfur
SnCl_4	SnCl ₂ , Cl ₂ ; Sn, HCl	 (a) SnCl₂ yields Sn²⁺ and Cl⁻ (b) Disproportionation of Sn²⁺ yields Sn⁴⁺ and Sn (c) SnCl₂ and S yields Sn⁻ and SnCl₂
H_2S	$H_2^+, H^+, S, S_2, S_2^{2-}, S_2^2$	(c) ShCl ₂ and S yields Sh_2S_3 and $ShCl_4$ (d) Sn^{4+} and $2S^{2-}$ yields SnS_2 (e) Sn and S_m yields SnS_x and S_{m-x} (f) $SnCl_2$, S and H_2^+ yields SnS and HCl
H_2	H, H ₂ ⁺	(g) SnS and S yields SnS_2 (h) Sn_2S_3 and S yields SnS_2

3716

form the Sn_2S_3 compound and the other 50% form $SnCl_4$ again. The overall reactions that could take place is given by

$$2SnCl_4 + 3H_2S + 1 H_2 \rightarrow Sn_2S_3 + 8HCl$$

$$2[SnCl_4 + H_2 \rightarrow SnCl_2 + 2HCl]$$

$$1 H_2S \rightarrow H_2 + (1/8) S_8$$
[12]

$$(1/8) S_8 + 1 H_2 \rightarrow H_2S$$

which can continue until the S concentration goes down. At this limit, the formation of SnCl_2 is expected. This explains the incorporation of SnCl_2 in the deposited material prepared for g = 0.49 (see Fig. 7b).

In the region of 0.2 < g < 0.5, the atomic concentration of sulfur is higher than that of tin before starting the glow. During the glow, the chemical reaction represented in Eq. 12 takes place, but due to the fact that there are more S than Sn, part of the generated S are adsorbed on the growing Sn₂S₃ thin film surface yielding the formation of SnS₂ through the reaction

$$\mathrm{Sn}_2\mathrm{S}_3 + \mathrm{S} \to 2\mathrm{Sn}\mathrm{S}_2$$
 [13]

Thus, since not all of the Sn_2S_3 material is transformed into Sn_2 , the Sn_2S_3 - SnS_2 mixture may be produced. This explanation supports our results obtained for 0.2 < g < 0.49 for which the deposited material presents both compounds.

In the case of 0.6 < g < 1, the reaction chamber has more molecules of Sn than S. Then the following reactions could take place.

1. Reduction of $SnCl_4$ by H_2 giving $SnCl_2$ and HCl.

2. Decomposition of $\rm H_2S$ into $\rm H_2$ and $\rm S_m$ through a reaction such as Eq. 10.

3. A reaction of $SnCl_2$ with S_m to form SnS_2 and by-products like $SnCl_2$, HCl, H₂, and S_{m-2} .

All these reactions take place until g goes to 1. Therefore, it is possible to obtain a solid thin film material formed by several compounds, mainly SnS_2 and $SnCl_2$ for 0.6 < g < 0.75; and SnS_2 , S, $SnCl_2$, and even metallic Sn for g > 0.75, as can be seen in Fig. 8a and b.

On the other hand, during the plasma process, tin ions (Sn^{4+}, Sn^{2+}) and atomic Sn, produced by the reduction of SnCl₄, coexist at the same time with ions of sulfur (S^{2-}) , and it is expected that SnS compound could be generated through Reaction f in Table IV. Nevertheless, XRD did not show evidence of this material in the deposited thin films. This observation does not exclude the formation of SnS. Perhaps the excess of S present will react with SnS to form SnS₂, in the same way as with Sn₂S₃.¹³ Thus, the stoichiometry of the deposited film is shifted toward SnS₂ as has been shown in the present study.

Since there are several reactions that yield to different compounds in the range of *g* chosen in the present study, the dynamics of the growth process strongly depends on the concentrations of the precursors. Their effect would be reflected in R_d as well. Based on the results obtained and considering that the films are deposited by an atomic (molecular) growth process (typical in PECVD), it is possible to explain the behavior of R_d shown in Fig. 5, as follows.

Region I.—When the $SnCl_4$ concentration increases, the atomic concentration of Sn increases and more atoms of tin are available to form the SnS_2 compound; hence, R_d increases.

Region II.—Here two different materials are growing at the same time. The jump in R_d showed at the transition point around g = 0.2 can be explained by considering that the measured film thickness corresponds to both SnS_2 and Sn_2S_3 . In this region, more precursors of tin-bearing species are involved in the growth process because the $SnCl_4$ concentration increases. Thus a trend toward an increase in R_d is expected, but due to the growth competition between both compounds, the effect is not very pronounced.

Region III.—Here for specific values of g, a specific compound was obtained. For g = 0.49, the deposited material is Sn_2S_3 and the

measured film thickness corresponds only to this compound. Thus, a reduction in R_d from the previous value for g is expected. For 0.49 < g < 0.6, the deposited material is mainly SnS_2 , and the measured film thickness corresponds to this compound. Thus, the value of R_d in this interval must be lower than the one found in the interval discussed for the case of Region II, but would follow the observed increasing trend due to the increase in the concentration of $SnCl_4$.

Region IV.—In this region there is the formation of a mixture of different compounds as shown in Fig. 8. The deposition rate shows a small increasing trend due to the incorporation of several compounds.

All the data points shown in Fig. 5 are mean values with the corresponding standard deviation. If this is considered, then in region II, the deposition rate for both compounds is practically independent of the precursor concentrations. Solomon et al.33 reported that, for $Si_{1-x} C_x$ thin films prepared by the decomposition of a mixture of SiH₄ and CH₄ by PECVD, the deposition rate is independent of the silane concentration as a consequence of the low power regimen conditions (silane is decomposed by the plasma while methane is not). The conditions reported in this work are similar to that in Ref. 33, thus, a similar behavior is expected. Nevertheless, the chemical reactions are not the same for different precursors, and hence, distinct results may be obtained. This is evident in the case of other g regions for which the main compound deposited is SnS_2 . In those regions, a linear relationship between the deposition rate and the SnCl₄ concentrations may be obtained. Further work in this direction will be carried out in order to confirm this observation.

Conclusions

This work presents a systematic study on the deposition and structural properties of $Sn_{y}S_{y}$ thin film materials prepared by PECVD using SnCl₄ and H₂S as precursor materials and H₂ as diluent. It was found that a "low-pressure" regime for which the plasma decomposes H₂S does not decompose SnCl₄. The chemical reactions used to explain the film formation were separated from the complicated chemistry of plasma owing to the difference in the decomposition thresholds between both precursors. In this regime g determines the relative chemical composition, the crystallinity, and the preferential growth of the deposited Sn_xS_y thin films. These films are of polycrystalline nature for all the g values considered here. For g < 10.2, EDS measurements have shown that the deposited material is tin disulfide with a stoichiometry close to 1:2. XRD measurements show that this compound presents only the 2H-SnS₂ phase with a hexagonal structure showing a preferential growth along the [001] direction, and hence, the c axis is perpendicular to the plane of the substrate. All these films present a very smooth surface and high structural quality. For g > 0.2, XRD measurements show that the deposited material is a mixture of SnS₂ and Sn₂S₃ compounds. It was found that for g = 0.49 the deposited material is mainly Sn_2S_3 . The precursor concentrations do not affect the crystalline grain size of the SnS₂ compound. However, the deposition rate depends on the g value, because the deposited film is composed of different materials and phases. The maximum deposition rate found for the SnS_2 compound was 8 nm/min, while for films having the mixture of SnS₂-Sn₂S₃, it is of the order of 35 nm/min. On the other hand, it was found that the prepared thin films with g > 0.1 have chlorine incorporation, even though small. The hydrogen flow rate added during the process has a gettering type of action, reacting with the chlorine related species generated. However, this effect was not enough to avoid the Cl incorporation at the deposited thin films. More work is necessary to establish the deposition parameters for producing thin films without chlorine. Here, we found specific deposition conditions that lead the SnS₂ thin film grows with a columnar oriented growth along the c axes with a deposition rate of 8 nm/min. The crystal grain size of such films is 13 nm. Due to the fact that the SnS_2 thin films prepared under this condition had shown an n-type electrical conductivity, this work opens up the oportunity to use this material for building an n-SnS₂/p-SnS heterojunction prepared completely by PECVD.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Leticia Baños (IIM-UNAM) for XRD analysis, and Jose Guzman (IIM-UNAM) for SEM and EDS analyses. One of the authors (A.S.-J.) is thankful to the PADEP-UNAM program for the financial support given through project no. 5338 in the initial stage of the work. CONACyT, Mexico, has supported this work under contract 3723-PA.

CONACyt (project 3723-PA) Mexico, and Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico assisted in meeting the publication costs of this article.

References

- 1. E. Mooser and W. B. Pearson, Phys. Rev., 101, 492 (1956).
- 2. G. Busch, C. Frohlinch, and F. Hulliger, Helv. Phys. Acta, 34, 359 (1961).
- 3. W. Albers, C. Hass, H. J. Vink, and J. D. Wasscher, J. Appl. Phys. Suppl., 32, 2220
- (1961).
 4. G. Valiukonics, D. A. Guseinova, G. Krivaite, and A. Sileica, *Phys. Status Solidi B*, 135, 299 (1990).
- 5. M. T. S. Nair and P. K. Nair, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 24, 83 (1991).
- N. Koteswara Reddy and K. T. Ramakrishna Reddy, in Proceedings of the IEEE 26th Photovoltaic Specialist Conference, 515 (1997).
- 7. G. Domingo, R. S. Itoga, and C. R. Kannewurf, Phys. Rev., 143 (2), 536 (1997).
- 8. E. Schonerr and W. Stetter, J. Cryst. Growth, 30, 96 (1975).
- T. Chattopadhyay, J. Pannetier, and H. G. von Schnering, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 44, 879 (1986).
- 10. J. George and C. K. Valsala Kumari, J. Cryst. Growth, 63, 233 (1983).
- K. Kourtakis, J. DiCarlo, R. Kershaw, K. Dwight, and A. Wold, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **76**, 186 (1988).
- 12. S. K. Arora, D. H. Patel, and M. K. Agarwal, J Mater. Sci., 29, 3979 (1994).

- R. D. Engelken, H. E. McCloud, C. Lee, M. Slayton, and H. Ghoreishi, J. Electrochem. Soc., 134, 2696 (1987).
- 14. M. T. S. Nair and P. K. Nair, Semicond. Sci. Technol., 6, 132 (1991).
- 15. S. López and A. Ortíz, Semicond. Sci. Technol., 9, 1 (1994).
- 16. S. López, S. Granados, and A. Ortíz, Semicond. Sci. Technol., 11, 433 (1996).
- 17. N. Koteswara Reddy and K. T. Ramakrishna Reddy, Thin Solid Films, 325, 4 (1998).
- A. Ortíz, J. C. Alonso, M. Garcia, and J. Toriz, Semicond. Sci. Technol., 11, 243 (1996).
- 19. W. Albers, C. Hass, and F. van der Maesen, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 15, 306 (1960).
- 20. J. J. Lofersky, J. Appl. Phys., 27, 77 (1956).
- 21. M. Parenteau and C. Carbone, Phys. Rev. B, 41, 5227 (1990).
- 22. R. Whitehouse and A. A. Balchin, J. Cryst. Growth, 47, 203 (1979).
- 23. G. Said and P. A. Lee, Phys. Status Solidi A, 15, 99 (1973).
- 24. M. A. Lieberman and A. L. Lichtenberg, *Principles of Plasma Discharges and Materials Processing*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1994).
- 25. A. Von Engel, *Ionized Gases*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, U.K. (1965).
- CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 71st ed., D. R. Lide, Editor, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1991).
- 27. J. C. Alonso, R. Vazquez, A. Ortíz, and V. Pankov, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 16, 1 (1998).
- 28. I. Traus and H. Suhr, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.*, **12**, 275 (1992).
- H. P. Klug and L. E. Alexander, X-Ray Diffraction Procedures for Polycrystalline and Amorphous Materials, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1954).
- 30. T. Shibata, Y. Muranushi, T. Miura, and T. Kishi, J. Mater. Sci., 26, 5107 (1991).
- 31. S. C. Ray, M. K. Karanjai, and D. DasGupta, Thin Solid Films, 350, 72 (1999).
- 32. C. Wang and R. G. Cheng, Philos. Mag. B, 53, 183 (1986).
- 33. I. Solomon, M. P. Schmidt, and H. Tran-Quoc, Phys. Rev. B, 38, 9895 (1988).
- Z. Zainal, M. Z. Hussein, and A. Ghazali, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 40, 347 (1996).
- B. Chapman, Glow Discharge Processes: Sputtering and Plasma Etching, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1980).
- I. Trauss, H. Suhr, J. E. Harry, D. R. Evans, and E. Andrade, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.*, 13, 77 (1993).