and to Dr. Koos TipKer of Analytical Department, Duphar, B. V., for his help in using STERIMOL parameters.

Registry No. 1, 101372-11-6; 2, 101372-12-7; 3, 101372-13-8; 4, 101372-14-9; 5, 101372-15-0; 6, 101372-16-1; 7, 100284-84-2; 8, 100284-85-3; 9, 100284-86-4; 10, 100284-87-5; 11, 100284-88-6; 12, 100284-89-7; 13, 100284-96-6; 14, 100284-97-7; 15, 100284-98-8; 16, 100284-99-9; 17, 100285-00-5; 18, 100285-01-6; 19, 100284-79-5; 20, 100284-80-8; 21, 100303-52-4; 22, 100284-81-9; 23, 100284-82-0; **24**, 100284-83-1; **25**, 100284-74-0; **26**, 100284-75-1; **27**, 100284-76-2; **28**, 101372-20-7; **29**, 100284-77-3; **30**, 100284-78-4; **31**, 100284-90-0; **32**, 100284-91-1; **33**, 100284-92-2; **34**, 100284-93-3; **35**, 100284-94-4; **36**, 100284-95-5; **37**, 100284-61-5; **38**, 100285-02-7; **39**, 91260-70-7; **40**, 97682-54-7; **41**, 91260-71-8; **42**, 91260-69-4; **43**, 97682-55-8; **44**, 97682-00-3; **45**, 97681-41-9; **46**, 97681-42-0; **47**, 97681-43-1; **48**, 97681-44-2; **49**, 97681-45-3; **50**, 97681-46-4; **51**, 97681-47-5; **52**, 97681-48-6; **53**, 97681-49-7; **55**, 95611-89-5; **56**, 103534-07-2; **57**, 103534-08-3; **58**, 103534-09-4; **59**, 103534-10-7; **60**, 91260-68-3.

Synthetic 1,4-Disubstituted-1,4-dihydro-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one Derivatives of Fentanyl: Alfentanil (R 39209), a Potent, Extremely Short-Acting Narcotic Analgesic

Frans Janssens,* Joseph Torremans, and Paul A. J. Janssen

N. V. Janssen Pharmaceutica, Research Laboratories, B-2340 Beerse, Belgium. Received October 22, 1985

The synthesis of a series of N-1,4-disubstituted-1,4-dihydro-5H-tetrazol-5-one piperidinyl derivatives of fentanyl (10), carfentanil (11), and sufentanil (12) is described. The 1-substituted tetrazolinones 2 were essentially prepared via the addition reaction of aluminum azide to isocyanates or acid chlorides in tetrahydrofuran. Alkylation of 2 under neutral or weakly basic conditions afforded almost exclusively the 1,4-disubstituted tetrazolinone isomer 3. N-Alkylation of the piperidine derivatives 4 with 3 in dimethylformamide yielded 9a-v. The morphinomimetic activity in rats, after intravenous injection of the compounds, was evaluated in the tail withdrawal reflex test. The fentanyl analogues 9a-c ($R_4 = H$) are inactive at the measured dose of 2.5 or 10 mg/kg (iv). For the carfentanil analogues ($R_4 = COOCH_3$) maximal narcotic activity is found when R_1 represents a lower alkyl group (9d-f) or a thienylethyl group (9n). The sufentanil analogues ($R_4 = CH_2OCH_3$) show the same structure-activity relationship (SAR) profile as the carfentanil derivatives ($R_4 = COOCH_3$). The structural requirements for optimal activity are in good agreement with earlier observations in the series of 10-12. From the series the ethyl tetrazolinone derivative 9r, alfentanil (R 39209), was selected for clinical investigation. As an analgesic in rats, 9r is 140 times more potent than pethidine 15 and 72 times more potent than morphine 14. Alfentanil reaches its peak effect within 1 min after injection, and its duration of action is very short; at 2 times its MED₅₀, 9r has a duration of action of 11 min. This duration is 30 min for 10 and 90 min for 14. Compared to 10, alfentanil 12, since it differs only by substitution of a 4-ethyletrazolinone ring for the thiophene ring. The considerable differences in their pharmacological profiles were explained in terms of marked variations in physicochemical and, hence, pharmacokinetic properties.

Neuroleptanalgesia¹ has become a popular technique in anesthesia, largely on account of the stable cardiovascular situation associated with it. The narcotic analgesics most commonly used intravenously are morphine and fentanyl.^{2,3}

New surgical techniques have created the need for other morphinomimetic compounds characterized by a rapid onset of action, a duration of analgesic activity that can be adapted to the particular clinical situation, a well-defined dose-response relationship, and a maximal margin of safety. Our initial strategy for the attainment of these objectives was directed toward the discovery of narcotic analgesics of increased potency, not for the sake of potency itself but because specificity and safety are directly related to potency.

Chemical modification of the fentanyl structure at the C-4 position of the piperidine ring proved to be a successful approach (Table I).^{4,5} Thus, introduction of a carbomethoxy group gave carfentanil 11, whereas addition of a methoxymethylene group coupled with isosteric replacement of the phenyl ring of the phenethyl substituent by a thienyl ring led to sufentanil 12. Both carfentanil and
 Table I. Structures of Fentanyl and Related Compounds



^aCis-(-) enantiomer.

sufentanil are very potent and long-acting analgesics. Stereospecific introduction of a methyl group at the C-3 position of the piperidine moiety of the carfentanil molecule resulted in the extremely potent and long-acting compound lofentanil 13.

Changing the piperidine ring system or modifying the propionanilido moiety was less rewarding. Thus, contraction of the piperidine ring to a 3-anilinopyrrolidine⁶

De Castro, J.; Mundeleer, P. Anesth. Analg. Reanim. 1959, 16, 1022–1056.

⁽²⁾ Janssen, P. A. J.; Niemegeers, C. J. E.; Dony, J. G. H. Arzneim. Forsch. 1963, 13, 502.

⁽³⁾ De Castro, J.; Van de Water, A.; Wouters, L.; Xhonneux, R.; Reneman, R.; Kay, B. Acta Anaesthesiol. Belg. 1979, 30, 5-99.

⁽⁴⁾ Van Daele, P. G. H.; De Bruyn, M. F. L.; Boey, J. M.; Sanczuk, S.; Agten, J. T. M.; Janssen, P. A. J. Arzneim.-Forsch. Drug Res. 1976, 26, 1521-1531.

⁽⁵⁾ U.S. Patent 3998834.

⁽⁶⁾ Helsley, G. C.; Lunsford, D. C.; Welstead, W. J.; Boswell, R. F.; Funderburk, W. H.; Johnson, D. N. J. Med. Chem. 1969, 12, 583.

Scheme I



Table II. 1,4-Disubstituted Tetrazolinone Intermediates (3a-o)

0 11	
R1-N N-CH-(C	CH2),-CH-X ^{#.0}
N - N H	i Ra

			N N	¦k₂ k₃	i		
compd	R1	Х	n	yield,° %	method ^d	formula	anal.
3a	CH ₃	I	0	85	B	C4H7IN4Oe	I
3b⁄	C_2H_5	Br	0	69.8	Α	C ₅ H ₉ BrN ₄ O	C, H, N, Br
3c ^s	C_2H_5	Cl	0	80	A+B	C ₅ H ₉ ClN₄O	Cl
3d	$n-C_3H_7$	Cl	0	69	Α	$C_6H_{11}CIN_4O^h$	C, H, N
3e	$i-C_3H_7$	Cl	0		Α	C ₆ H ₁₁ ClN ₄ O	i
3f	$c-C_3H_5$	Cl	0	39	Α	C ₆ H ₉ ClN ₄ O ^j	Cl
$3g^k$	$t-C_{4}H_{9}$	C1	0	60	Α	C ₇ H ₁₃ ClN ₄ O	C, H, N
$3\mathbf{\tilde{h}}^{l}$	$n - C_5 H_{11}$	Cl	0	32.1	Α	C ₈ H ₁₅ ClN ₄ O ⁱ	C, H, N
3i	$c-C_6H_{11}$	Cl	0	87	Α	C ₉ H ₁₅ ClN ₄ O ^{l,m}	C, H, N
3j	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂	Cl	0		Α	C ₁₀ H ₁₁ ClN₄O	i
3k°	(Č ₄ H ₃ S)CH ₂ CH ₂ ^p	Cl	0	46.5	В	C ₉ H ₁₁ CIN ₄ OS	C, H, N
31	C _e H ₅ CH ₂ CH ₂	C1	0	60	Α	$C_{11}H_{13}ClN_4O^{l,n}$	C, H, N
3m	$C_{3}H_{5}OC(=0)C(CH_{3})H$	Cl	0		В	C ₈ H ₁₃ CIN ₄ O ₃	i
3n	$H_2NC(=0)CH_2$	Cl	0	50	В	C ₅ H ₈ ClN ₅ O ₂ ^q	C, H, N
30	C.H.	Cl	1	80	Α	C _e H ₁₁ ClN ₄ O ⁷	C. H. N

^a $R_2 = R_3 = H$. ^bAll compounds were isolated as an oil, unless otherwise stated. ^cBased on immediate precursor. Generally no attempts were made to optimize yields. ^dSee Scheme I. ^eI: calcd, 49.96; found, 47.60. ^fbp 90–93 °C (1.0 mm). ^gbp 82 °C (1.0 mm). ^hbp 72 °C (0.2 mm); N: calcd, 29.39; found, 29.88. ⁱUsed immediately without purification. ^jCl: calcd, 18.79; found, 19.73. ^kbp 71 °C (0.1 mm). ^lHPLC (eluant: toluene-ethanol, 95:5 v/v) afforded an analytical sample. ^mC: calcd, 46.86; found, 46.01. ⁿC: calcd, 52.28; found, 50.04. ^oHPLC (eluant: toluene-ethanol, 90:10 v/v) afforded an analytical sample. ^p(C₄H₃S)CH₂CH₂, 2-thienylethyl. ^qmp 120 °C (acetonitrile/chloroform). C: calcd, 29.20; found, 28.79; N: calcd, 34.06; found, 33.45. ^rC: calcd, 37.80; found, 36.92.

and expansion to a 4-anilinoperhydroazepine derivative⁷ led to compounds with decreased activity. Restriction of the piperidine ring to a chair conformation, achieved through the synthesis of tropane derivatives, resulted in one isomer that was equipotent to fentanyl,⁸ but imposition of a boat conformation by inclusion within an isoquinuclidine ring system significantly decreased activity.⁹

Analogues with conformationally rigid modifications of the propionanilido moiety have been shown to lack analgesic activity. This is illustrated by the *cis*- and *trans*hexahydropyrido[4,3-*b*]indoles, resulting from formal fusion of the *N*-phenyl substituent to the 3-position of the piperidine ring,¹⁰ and by the compounds derived by cyclization of the *N*-acyl group onto either the piperidine ring¹¹ or the *N*-phenyl substituent.¹²

- (8) Riley, T. N.; Bagley, J. R. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1167.
- (9) Borne, R. F.; Law, S. J.; Kapeghian, J. C.; Masten, L. W. J. Pharm. Sci. 1980, 69, 1104.
- (10) Berger, J. G.; Davidson, F.; Langford, G. E. J. Med. Chem. 1977, 20, 600.

During the course of our work our initial objectives became somewhat modified as a result of preclinical and clinical experience with compounds 10, 12, and 13. In particular, the potential of a safe narcotic analgesic with an extremely rapid onset of action and an ultrashort duration of analgesic activity became very attractive.

In this paper we report the synthesis and the potent, narcotic analgesic properties of a series of 1,4-disubstituted-1,4-dihydro-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one derivatives of the fentanyl family. The ethyl derivative 9r, alfentanil (R 39209), is at present undergoing extensive clinical investigation.

Chemistry. Only a few useful methods have been reported in the literature for the synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted- Δ^2 -tetrazolin-5-ones. Hattari and co-workers established that aluminum azide adds to isocyanates or acid chlorides in tetrahydrofuran to afford tetrazolinones in

⁽⁷⁾ Finney, Z. G.; Riley, T. N. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23, 895.

⁽¹¹⁾ Borne, R. F.; Fifer, E. K.; Waters, I. W. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 1271.

⁽¹²⁾ Klein, W.; Back, W.; Mutschler, E. Arch. Pharm. Weiheim Ger. 1975, 308, 910.

Scheme II



Table III. N-(4-Piperidinyl)-N-phenylpropanamide Intermediates (6a,b and 7a,b)



compd	X	R_2	mp, °C	yield, ^b %	crystn solvent	formula	anal.
6a	OH	CH ₃	184	37.0	i-C ₃ H ₇ OH ^c /DIPE ^d	C ₁₉ H ₃₀ N ₂ O ₃ ·HCl	C, H, N, Cl
6b	OH	$C_{e}H_{5}$	223.7	23.7	CH ₃ COCH ₃	C ₂₄ H ₃₂ N ₂ O ₃ ·HCl	C, H, N, Cl
7a	Cl	CH_3	190	85.0	CH ₃ COCH ₃	C ₁₉ H ₂₉ ClN ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	C, H, N, Cl ^e
7b	Cl	$C_6 H_5$	145.3	61.7	CH ₃ COCH ₃ /DIPE ^d	C ₂₄ H ₃₁ ClN ₂ O ₂ ·HCl	C, H, N, Cl

 ${}^{a}R_{3} = H, R_{4} = CH_{2}OCH_{3}$, and n = 0. ${}^{b}Based$ on immediate precursor, after recrystallization. Generally no attempts were made to optimize yields. ${}^{c}i$ -C₃H₇OH, isopropyl alcohol. ${}^{d}DIPE$, diisopropyl ether. ${}^{c}C$: calcd, 58.61; found, 57.94.

excellent yields.¹³ The addition of alkyl azides to aryl, acyl, carboalkoxy, and sulfonyl isocyanates, as well as the reaction of aryl azides with sulfonyl isocyanates, provides convenient methods for the preparation of 1,4-disubstituted- Δ^2 -tetrazolin-5-ones.^{14,15} At ambient temperature alkyl azides react instantaneously with chlorosulfonyl isocyanate to yield alkyl(chlorosulfonyl)tetrazolinones. Removal of the chlorosulfonyl group then affords 1-alkyltetrazolinones.¹⁶

The 1-substituted tetrazolinones 2 (Scheme I) were essentially prepared by the method of Hattari. Alkylation of 2 under neutral or weakly basic conditions afforded almost exclusively the 1,4-isomer 3 (methods A and B) (Table II).¹⁷

The piperidine moieties 4 were prepared as previously described^{4,5} starting from suitably protected 4-piperidinones. N-Alkylation of 4 with 3 in dimethylformamide and in the presence of a base at 70 °C then afforded 9a-v.

- (13) Hattori, K.; Lieber, E.; Horwitz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 411.
- (14) Vandensavel, J. M.; Smets, G.; L'abbé, G. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 675.
- (15) Denecker, G.; Smets, G.; L'abbé, G. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 765.
- (16) Suschitzky, H.; Walrond, R. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 1977, 47.
- (17) Quast, H.; Bieber, L. Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 3253, and references cited therein.

Method C proved especially suitable for the synthesis of compounds with substituents on the alkyl chain between the tetrazolinone and piperidine rings (Scheme II). Thus, cleavage of the oxirane 5 (n = 0) with the piperidine 4 yielded 6, which with thionyl chloride afforded 7 (Table III). The chloroalkyl compound 7 reacted with 2 in dimethylformamide to yield 9w-y. The reaction of 7a with 2a ($R_1 = C_2H_5$) resulted in a mixture of the regioisomers 9w and 9x, suggesting that the reaction proceeds at least in part via the aziridinium intermediate 8. However, when the phenyl-substituted compound 7b reacted with 2a (R_1 = C_2H_5) only the regioisomer 9y was isolated.

Results and Discussion

Evaluating the morphinomimetic activity in rats in the tail withdrawal reflex test (TWR),¹⁸ we found maximum activity with **9n** and **9r** and slightly lower activity with **9d**, **9f** and **9q**. Compared to fentanyl **10**, **9n**, and **9r** are 4 times less potent.

The fentanyl analogues 9a-c ($R_4 = H$) are inactive at the measured dose of 2.5 or 10 mg/kg (iv). For the carfentanil analogues ($R_4 = COOCH_3$) maximal narcotic analgesic activity is found when R_1 represents a lower unbranched alkyl group, as is illustrated by 9d-f, or a thienylethyl substituent, as is shown in 9n. The relatively high

⁽¹⁸⁾ Niemegeers, C. J. E.; Schellekens, K. H. L.; Van Bever, W. F. M.; Janssen, P. A. J. Arzneim.-Forsch. 1976, 26, 1551.

Table IV. ED₅₀ Values (mg/kg) and 95% Confidence Limits of Intravenous Alfentanil 9r in the Tail Withdrawal Test in Rats^a

			ti	me after iv ac	lministration,	h		
parameter	1/32	1/16	1/8	1/4	1/2	1	2	4
ED ₅₀ , mg/kg	0.044	0.048	0.055	0.121	0.573	1.51	9.20	~ 20
LL	0.029	0.030	0.034	0.079	0.384	0.992	5.83	
\mathbf{UL}^{c}	0.065	0.077	0.091	0.186	0.854	2.30	14.5	

^aSee ref 20. ^bLower limit. ^cUpper limit.

potency of **9n** contrasts sharply with the moderate activity of the phenethyl analogue **9m**. Introduction of a functional group into R_1 , as in **90,p**, results in a pronounced drop in narcotic analgesic activity. The sufentanil analogues (R_4 = CH₂OCH₃) show the same SAR profile as the carfentanil derivatives (R_4 = COOCH₃). Compounds bearing a small unbranched alkyl group on the tetrazolinone ring (R_1 = lower alkyl) reveal maximal narcotic analgesic activity.

The structural requirements for optimal activity are consistent with earlier observations in the series of 10-12. Thus, the ethylene group represents the most favorable link between the aromatic moiety and the nitrogen piperidine atom ($R_2 = R_3 = H$, n = 0). Elongation of the ethylene chain by one carbon atom considerably reduces the analgesic potency, as is shown by a comparison of 9rand 9v. A limited examination of the influence of branching of the ethylene link (compounds 9w-y) shows no clear structure-activity relationship but suggests that such modifications are not likely to prove beneficial.

From the standpoint of duration of activity, in the series of carfentanil analogues ($R_4 = COOCH_3$), **9f** and **9h** are extremely short-acting, while **9d** and **9g** have an analgesic effect lasting some 4 times longer than that of **9f**. For the active sufentanil analogues ($R_4 = CH_2OCH_3$) the duration of analgesic activity at twice the MED₅₀ dose (the minimum effective dose protecting 50% of the animals) varies moderately between 11 and 20 min.

From the above series 9r, alfentanil (R 39209), was selected for clinical investigation. The intravenous analgesic activity of 9r, measured in the TWR test at various time intervals after administration of the compound, is shown in Table IV. The calculated ED_{50} values^{19,20} illustrate the fast onset of action, since 9r reached its peak effect (MED₅₀ value) within the time of the first observation ($\sim 1 \text{ min}$). Compared at the time of peak effect, 9r is 140 times more potent than pethidine 15, 72 times more potent than morphine 14, and 4 times less potent than fentanyl 10 (Table V). These data clearly confirm the place of alfentanil 9r in the class of potent narcotic analgesics. Figure 1 shows the time-effect curves obtained in the TWR test in rats of alfentanil 9r, morphine 14, and fentanyl 10. The onset of analgesic activity of **9r** is faster than that of the two frequently used reference compounds. The peak effect is reached within 1 min after administration of 9r, within 4 min for fentanyl, and only after 30 min for morphine. Table VI shows the duration of analgesic narcotic activity, expressed in minutes after the intravenous administration of n times the MED_{50} dose of 9r, 10, and 14.

It can be concluded that in addition to its rapid onset of action, **9r** is also very short acting. Compared to fentanyl **10**, alfentanil **9r** is thus about 4 times faster—but 3 times shorter—acting.

Structurally, **9r** most resembles sufentanil 12, since it differs only by replacement of the thiophene ring by a 4-ethyltetrazolinone ring. X-ray crystallographic analysis



Figure 1. Time-effect curves obtained in the tail withdrawal reaction test in rats after iv administration of alfentanil, fentanyl, and morphine (see ref 20).

of the two compounds reveals them to have virtually identical conformations.^{21,22} The propionanilide moiety adapts a typical orientation relative to the piperidine ring, which coincides with the narrow conformational energy minimum derived from empirical and quantum chemical calculations.²³

Although it is not strictly correct to conclude from such data that the biologically active conformations of the two compounds are the same,²⁴ we are inclined to do so and to explain the considerable differences in their pharmacological profiles in terms of marked variations in physicochemical and, hence, pharmacokinetic properties (Table VII).

The introduction of the 4-ethyltetrazolinone ring in alfentanil **9r** reduces the basicity of the piperidine nitrogen some 32 times compared to sufentanil 12. The particularly high electron densities associated with the 1- and 4-nitrogen atoms of the tetrazolinone ring system could explain this considerable reduction in basicity.²⁵ Although the global values of the dipole moments for 9r and 12 (2.39 D and 2.22 D) do not differ much, the possibility remains that more pronounced differences occur at particular localized sites of the two molecules. The much reduced lipophilicity of alfentanil 9r as compared to sufentanil 12 $(\Delta \log P = 1.79)$ is a direct consequence of the introduction of the tetrazolinone ring and appears to be a most important factor in explaining the unique properties of alfentanil. At physiological pH, alfentanil 9r is 13.5 and 7.4 times less lipophilic than suferianil 12 and fentanyl 10, respectively. However, the amount of free base is considerably higher for 9r (88.82%) than for 12 and 10 (19.71% and 8.54%, respectively). As a consequence there is a high bioavailability of 9r free base, which allows a rapid penetration to the brain area and could explain the quick onset of action. The short duration of the narcotic anal-

- (21) Peeters, O. M.; Blaton, N. M.; De Ranter, C. J. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1979, B35, 999-1001.
- (22) Structural data courtesy of Prof. E. Durant (unpublished results).
- (23) Tollenaere, J. P.; Moereels, H., unpublished results.
- (24) Tollenaere, J. P.; Moereels, H.; L. A. Raymaekers Atlas of the Three-Dimensional Structure of Drugs, Janssen Research Foundation Series; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1979; Vol. 1, p 6.
- (25) Koldobskii, G. I.; Ostrovskii, V. A. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 1981, 17, 965, and references cited therein.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Finney, D. J. Probit Analysis; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, England, 1962; pp 236-254.

 ⁽²⁰⁾ Niemegeers, C. J. E.; Janssen, P. A. J. Drug Dev. Res. 1981, 1, 83.

0

C₂H₅

-щ[°]

-œ~

<u> и - сн - (сн ²), - сн - и</u>

л-г л --z

									<hr/>			
							(98-)	()				
											TWR	rat
								crystn ^b			MED ₅₀ , ⁶	dun tioi
compd	\mathbf{R}_{i}	\mathbb{R}_2	Ŗ	\mathbb{R}_4	u	mp, °C	yield,ª %	solvent	formula	anal.	mg/kg	Ē
9a	C ₂ H ₅	H	H	H	0	178.1	15.1	Y	C ₁₉ H ₂₈ N ₆ O ₂ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄ ^e	C, H, N	>2.5/	
96	$i \cdot \overline{C}_{3} \overline{H}_{7}$	Н	Н	Н	0	182.5	18.0		C ₂₀ H ₃₀ N ₆ O ₂ ·HNO ₃	C, H, N	>10	
9c	C ₂ H ₅	Η	Η	Н		159.9	82.5	в	$C_{20}H_{30}N_6O_2 \cdot C_2H_2O_4$	C, H, N [¢]	>2.5	
P6	CH,	Η	Η	COOCH ₃	0	185.9	35.6	A	$C_{20}H_{28}N_6O_4C_2H_2O_4$	C, H, N	0.08	Ř
9e	$C_3 \dot{H_s}$	Н	Н	COOCH ₃	0	158.9	13.0	A	C21H30N6O41.5C2H2O4	C, H, N	0.16	-
J6	$n^- \mathrm{C_3H_7}$	Η	Η	COOCH ₃	0	168.4	18.7	в	C22H32N6O4-C2H2O4	C, H, N	0.08	Ξ
9g	i-C ₃ H ₇	Н	Н	COOCH ₃	0	184.2	23.0	V	C22H32N6O4-C2H2O4	C, H, N	1.25	4
46	c-C ₃ H ₅ ^h	Η	Η	COOCH ₃	0	155.9	9.3	В	C22H30N6O41.5C2H2O4-0.5H2O	C, H, N ⁱ	0.63	I
<u>9i</u>	t-C ₄ H ₆	Н	Н	COOCH ₃	0	168.1	14.6	в	C ₂₃ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₄ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄	C, H, N	1.25	ž
9j	n-C ₆ H ₁₁	Н	Η	COOCH ₃	0	153.5	17.8	В	C24H36N6O4-C2H2O4	C, H, N ^j	1.25	20
9k	c-C ₆ H ₁₁	Η	Η	COOCH ₃	0	173.0	26.0	A	$C_{25}H_{36}N_6O_4\cdot C_2H_2O_4$	C, H, N	>2.5	
16	$C_6H_5CH_2$	Η	Н	COOCH ₃	0	191.7	30.0	V	$C_{26}H_{32}N_6O_4\cdot C_2H_2O_4$	C, H, N	>2.5	
9m	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ CH ₂	Η	Н	COOCH ₃	0	162.2	23.4	в	C ₂₇ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₄ -1.5C ₂ H ₂ O ₄	C, H, N	1.25	ä
9n	(C4H3S)CH2CH2k	Н	Н	COOCH ₃	0	162.9	20.0	В	C25H32N6O4S-C2H2O4	C, H, N, S	0.04	õ
90	$C_2H_5OC(=0)CH(CH_3)$	Н	Η	COOCH ₃	0	168.6	16.9	в	C24H34N606-C2H2O4	C, H, N	>2.5	
9p	H ₂ NCOCH ₂	Н	Η	COOCH ₃	0	209.0	41.8	۷	$C_{21}H_{29}N_7O_5C_2H_2O_4$	C, H, N [′]	>2.5	
9q	CH ₃	Н	Н	CH ₂ OCH ₃	0	155.9	42.0	Α	C ₂₀ H ₃₀ N ₆ O ₃ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄	C, H, N	0.08	2
9r	C_2H_5	Н	Η	CH ₂ OCH ₃	0	138.4	66.5	V	C ₂₁ H ₃₂ N ₆ O ₃ ·HCl-H ₂ O	C, H, N, CI	0.044	Ξ
9_8	n-C ₃ H ₇	Н	Η	CH2OCH3	0	103.8	8.0	в	C22H34N6O3.2C2H2O4.H2O	C, H, N	0.16	ž
9t	i-C ₃ H ₇	H	Η	CH ₂ OCH ₃	0	107.5	30.0		C ₂₂ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₃ ·HNO ₃ ·H ₂ O	С, Н, N	0.63	Ξ
9u	$C_2H_5OC(=0)CH(CH_3)$	Н	Н	CH ₂ OCH ₃	0	122.8	8.0	в	C24H36N6O5.1.5C2H2O4	C, H, N	>2.5	
9v	C_2H_5	Η	Η	CH ₂ OCH ₃	Ļ	182	55.5	A	C22H34N6O3·HCI-0.5H2O	C, H, N, CI	2.5	14
9w	C_2H_5	Н	CH ₃	CH20CH3	0	185.4	18.0	A	C ₂₂ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₃ ·HCl	C, H, N	0.16	5
9x	C_2H_5	CH_3	Н	CH ₂ OCH ₃	0	192.7	33.0	В	C ₂₂ H ₃₄ N ₆ O ₃ ·HCl	С, Н, N	>2.5	
9y	C_2H_5	C ₆ H ₅	Н	CH ₂ OCH ₃	0	125.7	65.0	с С	$C_{27}H_{36}N_6O_3$	C, H, N	0.16	14
10	fentanyl										0.011	ğ
14	morphine										3.20	8,9
15	pethidine										6.04	ñ

15 30

11 20 11 20

14

ether, C, a mixture of petroleum ether and diisopropyl ether. ^c MED₅₀, intravenous analgesic ED₅₀ dose (mg/kg) at time of peak effect. ^d Duration of analgesic activity expressed in minutes at $2 \times MED_{50}$ dose. ^eC₂H₂O₄, oxalic acid. ⁷The symbol > (greater than) indicates that the compound is inactive at the highest dose tested. ^eC. calcd, 54.45; found, 54.94. ^hc-C₃H₅, cyclopropyl. ⁱC: calcd, 51.19; found, 50.47. ^jC: calcd, 55.51; found, 55.92. ^k(C₄H₅S)CH₂CH₂, 2-thienylethyl. ⁱN: calcd, 17.84; found, 17.24. ^a Based on immediate precursor, after recrystallization. Generally no attempts were made to optimize yields. ^bA, acetone; B, a mixture of acetone and diisopropyl 14 30 35 35 pethidine

tion,^d min dura-

Table VI. Kinetics of Alfentanil, Fentanyl, and Morphine in the Tail Withdrawal Reaction Test in Rats^a

	peak effect.	d	uration multip	, min, a le of th	t the giv e MED ₅	7 en 0
compd	min	$2\times$	4×	8×	16×	32×
alfentanil (9r)	1	11	17	25	36	53
fentanyl (10)	4	30	55	85	120	165
morphine (14)	30	90	150	240	300	380
10 600						

^aSee ref 20.

 Table VII.
 Physicochemical Constants of Alfentanil and Reference Compounds

	-				
compd	pKaª at 25 ℃	μ, ^b D, at 20 °C	log P° at 25 °C	$\log P_{app}^{d}$ at pH 7.4	% free base at pH 7.4
alfentanil (9r)	6.50	2.39	2.16	2.11 ^e	88.82
fentanyl (10)	8.43	3.04	4.05	2.98 ^e	8.54
sufentanil (12)	8.01	2.24	3.95	3.24	19.71
lofentanil (13)	7.82	3.23	4.22	3.66	27.55
morphine (14)	7.93 ^f		0.79 [/]	0.15 ^f	25.31/

^aSee ref 33. ^bSee ref 34. ^cP = distribution coefficient determined between octanol and water. ^dSee ref 35. ^eHeptane-water partition coefficient, *P*, measured at 37 °C and at pH 7.4: **9r** (2.5) and 10 (9.0) (see ref 28a). ^fMeasured at 37 °C instead of 25 °C.

gesic action of 9r may be due to the kinetics of its distribution and redistribution in the tissues, factors which in turn depend on its physicochemical properties.²⁶ The high affinity of 9r for plasma proteins in humans,^{27,28} the relatively low lipid solubility, and the minimal degree of ionization are responsible for decreased cell and tissue binding, which, in turn, explains why alfentanil has the lowest distribution volume among those known for narcotic anesthetics.^{28a}

Because of this low distribution volume, the terminal half-life is short, less than half that of fentanyl, even though the clearance of alfentanil in humans is smaller than that of fentanyl.^{29,30} With a shorter elimination half-life, recovery following administration of **9r** should be more rapid than following fentanyl.³¹

In conclusion, we believe that the characteristic physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties of alfentanil **9r** afford this compound a unique position within the class of clinically useful narcotic analgesics.

Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points are determined with a Mettler FP_1 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by the analytical research department of Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V. ¹H NMR spectra were measured with either a Bruker WP 200 or a Bruker AM 360. Chemical shifts are reported as δ values relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Splitting patterns are designated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; and m, multiplet. Mass spectra were measured with a Varian Mat 311-eV emission spectrometer.

- (26) Hug, C. C.; Chaffman, M. Alfentanil: Pharmacology and Uses in Anaesthesia; ADIS: Auckland, New Zealand, 1984.
- (27) Meuldermans, W.; Hurkmans, R. M. A.; Heykants, J. J. P. Arch. Int. Pharm. Ther. 1982, 25, 4–19.
- (28) (a) Bower, S.; Hull, C. J. Br. J. Anaesth. 1982, 61, 657. (b) Hug, C. C., Jr.; Burm, A. G. L.; de Lange, S.; Hermans, G. Abstracts, Soc. of Cardiovascular Anaesthesiologist 5th Annual Meeting, San Diego, California, 1983, 76. (c) Schüttler, J.; Stoeckel, H. Anaesthesist 1982, 31, 10–14.
- (29) Bovill, J. G.; Sebel, P. S.; Blackburn, C. L.; Heykants, J. Anaesthesiology 1982, 57, 439.
- (30) McClain, D. A.; Hug, C. C. Clin. Pharm. Ther. 1980, 28, 106.
- (31) Stanski, D. R.; Hug, C. C. Anaesthesiology 1982, 57, 435.

UV and IR spectra were determined with a UV, Beckman DK-2A, and a Perkin-Elmer 421 or 225 spectrometer. Where indicated GC was measured with a Varian 3700 (packed column 2 m, 3% OV 17). Preparative HPLC was performed on a Jobin Yvon Modulprep (column i.d. 80 mm). Analytical TLC was performed on silica 60 F_{254} (Merck), and the spots were made visible by a UV lamp or iodine vapor.

1-Ethyl-1,4-dihydro-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one (2a) ($\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{C}_2\mathbf{H}_5$). Method i. A solution of aluminum chloride (39 g, 0.22 mol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (250 mL) was added at once to a rigorously stirred suspension of ethyl isocyanate (14.2 g, 0.2 mol) and sodium azide (29.2 g, 0.45 mol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (150 mL).

A slightly exothermic reaction occurred. The mixture was stirred under reflux for 24 h. After cooling, the mixture was acidified with 6 N hydrochloric acid, and the biphasic water-organic layer system was evaporated in vacuo. The white solid residue was extracted 4 times with hot acetone, and the organic fractions were collected, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The white product was dried overnight in vacuo to afford **2a** (18 g, 65%). Crystallization from benzene yielded an analytical sample: mp 79.7 °C. Anal. (C₃H₆N₄O) C, H, N, O.

Method ii. To a suspension of sodium azide (162.5 g, 2.5 mol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (700 mL) was added at once a solution of aluminum chloride (147 g, 1.10 mol) in tetrahydrofuran (1200 mL). The mixture was stirred under reflux for 1 h, then cooled to room temperature, whereupon a solution of propionyl chloride (47.5 g, 0.51 mol) in tetrahydrofuran (100 mL) was introduced. The reaction mixture was stirred under gentle reflux for 24 h. The usual workup afforded 46.7 g of a tan solid. An analytical sample of 2a (R₁ = C₂H₅), mp 77 °C, was obtained on distillation of the crude product in vacuo (bp 133 °C (1 mm)). In a similar way the following tetrazolinones 2a were prepared: R₁ = n-C₃H₇, mp 39.7 °C (48%); R₁ = i-C₃H₇; R₁ = c-C₃H₅, mp 118.4 °C (63%); R₁ = n-C₅H₁₁, bp 130 °C (0.05 mm) (43%); R₁ = $C_6H_5CH_2$, mp 145.2 °C (80%); R₁ = $C_6H_5CH_2CH_2$, mp 94.6 °C (69%). Other tetrazolinones 2a (R₁ = t-C₄H₉, c-C₆H₁₁) obtained by the same methods are known compounds.^{14,16,17} The NMR data for 2a (R₁ = C_2H_5) were typical: NMR (CDCl₃) δ 11.75 (s, 1 H, NH), 4.05 (q, 2 H, CH₂), 1.47 (t, 3 H, CH₃).

1-(2-Chloroethyl)-1,4-dihydro-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one (2b) ($\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{H}, n = 0$). This compound was prepared by the same route as 2a ($\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{C}_2\mathbf{H}_5$), starting from 3-chloropropionyl chloride (method ii). After the usual decomposition and workup procedure, a crude residue of 2b ($\mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{H}, n = 0$) (81%) was obtained. Crystallization from toluene afforded an analytical sample: mp 77 °C [lit.¹⁵ mp 77-78 °C]. Anal. ($\mathbf{C}_3\mathbf{H}_5\mathbf{ClN}_4\mathbf{O}$) C, H, N, Cl.

Method A. 1-(2-Bromoethyl)-4-ethyl-1,4-dihydro-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one (3b). A suspension of 2a ($R_1 = C_2H_5$) (57 g, 0.5 mol), 1,2-dibromoethane (470 g, 2.5 mol), and sodium carbonate (33 g, 0.5 mol) in 4-methyl-2-pentanone (100 mL) was stirred and refluxed overnight. After cooling, water (300 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The organic layer was separated, dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was distilled to yield 3b (77.5 g, 69.8%): bp 90–93 °C (1 mm)); NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.37 (t, 2 H, CH₂CH₂Br), 4.05 (q, 2 H, CH₂), 3.72 (t, 2 H, CH₂CH₂Br), 1.47 (t, 3 H, CH₃). Anal. (C₅H₉BrN₄O) C, H, N, Br.

1-(2-Chloroethyl)-4-ethyl-1,4-dihydro-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one (3c). Alkylation of 2a ($R_1 = C_2H_5$) as described for 3b with 1-bromo-2-chloroethane afforded 3c in 80% yield, after purification on silica (CHCl₃). An analytical sample was obrained by destillation: bp (82 °C (1 mm)). Anal. ($C_5H_9ClN_4O$) Cl.

N-[1-[2-(4-Ethyl-4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1H-tetrazol-1-yl)ethyl]-4-piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Ethanedioate (1:1) (9a). A suspension of 3c (1.8 g, 0.01 mol), 4 ($R_4 = H$)³² (2.6 g, 0.011 mol), sodium carbonate (4.24 g, 0.04 mol), and potassium iodide (0.1 g) in 4-methyl-2-pentanone (300 mL) was stirred and refluxed for 18 h. Water was removed by a Dean-Stark trap. After cooling, water (100 mL) was added and the organic layer separated. The water layer was extracted with dichloromethane (200 mL). The combined organic fractions were dried over magnesium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by chroma-

(32) Janssen, P. A. J. U.S. Patent 3164600.

tography on silica gel (eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 95:5 v/v). The pure fraction was converted into an oxalate salt, which was crystallized twice from acetone to yield **9a** (0.7 g, 15.1%): mp 178.1 °C. Anal. (C₁₉H₂₈N₆O₂·C₂H₂O₄) H, N; C: calcd, 54.53; found, 54.94.

N-[1-[2-(4-Ethyl-4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1*H*-tetrazol-1-yl)ethyl]-4-(methoxymethyl)-4-piperidinyl]-*N*-phenylpropanamide Monohydrochloride Monohydrate (9r). A mixture of 3b (2.2 g, 0.01 mol), 4 (R₄ = CH₂OCH₃)⁴ (3.45 g, 0.011 mol), and sodium carbonate (2.12 g, 0.02 mol) reacted in 4-methyl-2-pentanone (150 mL) as described for 9a. The crude residue, obtained after the usual workup, was purified by chromatography on silica (eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 97:3 v/v). The hydrogen chloride salt was crystallized from acetone to afford 3 g (66.5%) of 9r: mp 138.4 °C; NMR (free base in CDCl₃) δ 7.20-7.40 (m, 5 H, benzene), 4.04 (s, 2 H, CH₂O), 4.00 (t, 2 H, OCNCH₂CH₂N), 3.98 (q, 2 H, CH₂N), 3.42 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.72 (t, 2 H, CH₂N), 2.63 (m, 2 H, 2-6_{eq} piperidine H), 1.82 (q, 2 H, CH₂CO), 1.64 (m, 2 H, 3-5_{ax} piperidine H), 1.42 (t, 3 H, CH₃CH₂N), 0.93 (t, 3 H, CH₃CH₂CO). Anal. (C₂₁H₃₂N₆O₃·HCl·H₂O) C, H, N, Cl.

Method B. 1-(2-Chloroethyl)-1,4-dihydro-4-[2-(2-thienyl)ethyl]-5*H*-tetrazol-5-one (3k). A suspension of 2b ($R_2 =$ $R_3 = H, n = 0$) (10 g, 0.07 mol), 2-(2-thienyl)ethanol 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (ester)⁴ (19.6 g, 0.07 mol), and sodium carbonate (10.6 g, 0.1 mol) in dimethylformamide was stirred overnight at 70 °C. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted 3 times with toluene. The combined extracts were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica (eluant: CHCl₃-petroleum ether, 70:30 v/v) to yield 3k (15 g, 46.5%) as an oil. An analytical sample was obtained via HPLC (eluant: toluene-ethanol, 90:10 v/v): NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.18 (d-d, 1 H, 5-thiophene H), 6.92 (d-d, 1 H, 4-thiophene H), 6.82 (d-d, 1 H, 3-thiophene H), 4.28 (t, 2 H, CH₂CH₂Cl), 4.22 (t, 2 H, CH₂CH₂ (C_4H_3S)), 3.83 (t, 2 H, CH₂Cl), 3.37 (t, 2 H, CH₂CH₂ (C₄H₃S)). Anal. $(C_9H_{11}ClN_4OS)$ C, H, N.

4-(2-Chloroethyl)-4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1*H*-tetrazole-1-acetamide (3n). This compound was prepared by the same method as described for 3k. Iodoacetamide (18.4 g, 0.1 mol) and 2b ($R_2 = R_3 = H, n = 0$) (14.8 g, 0.1 mol) reacted to afford 3n (14.5 g, 70.7%). An analytical sample was obtained after crystallization from a mixture of acetone and chloroform: mp 120 °C. Anal. ($C_5H_8CIN_5O_2$) H; C: calcd, 29.20; found, 28.79; N: calcd, 34.06; found, 33.45.

Methyl 1-[2-[4-(2-Amino-2-oxoethyl)-4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1*H*-tetrazol-1-yl]ethyl]-4-[(1-oxopropyl)phenylamino]-4piperidinecarboxylate Ethanedioate (9p). A mixture of 3n (2.2 g, 0.011 mol), 4 ($R_4 = COOCH_3$)⁴ (3.2 g, 0.01 mol), and sodium carbonate (2.12 g, 0.02 mol) in dimethylformamide was stirred overnight at 70 °C. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted 3 times with toluene. The organic layers were collected, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and evaporated. After chromatography (eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 97:3 v/v) the pure product was converted to the oxalate, which was crystallized from acetone to furnish 9p (2.3 g, 41.8%): mp 209 °C. Anal. (C₂₁-H₂₉N₇O₅·C₂H₂O₄) C, H; N: calcd, 17.84; found, 17.24.

Method C. N-[1-(2-Hydroxypropyl)-4-(methoxymethyl)-4-piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Monohydrochloride (6a). A suspension of 5 ($R_2 = CH_3$, $R_3 = H$, n = 0) (35 g, 0.6 mol), 4 ($R_4 = CH_2OCH_3$),⁴ and sodium hydrogen carbonate (25.2 g, 0.3 mol) in a mixture of benzene (500 mL) and methanol (100 mL) was stirred and refluxed for 24 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated and the residue triturated with water and extracted twice with chloroform. The organic fractions were separated, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was acidified with hydrogen chloride in 2-propanol, and the solid obtained was recrystallized from a mixture of 2-propanol/diisopropyl ether to yield 6a (41.5 g, 37%): mp 184 °C. Anal. ($C_{19}H_{30}N_2O_3$ ·HCl) C, H, N, Cl.

N-[1-(2-Hydroxy-2-phenylethyl)-4-(methoxymethyl)-4piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Hydrochloride (6b). A mixture of 4 (R₄ = CH₂OCH₃)⁴ (4.1 g, 0.015 mol) and 5 (R₂ = C₆H₅, R₃^{*} = H, n = 0) (2.0 g, 0.017 mol) was stirred at 100° C for 18 h. After cooling, the crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica (eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 95:5 v/v), and the pure fraction was dissolved in diethyl ether and acidified with hydrogen chloride gas. After decantation of the solvent, the solid was collected and recrystallized from acetone to yield **6b** (1.5 g, 23%): mp 233.7 °C. Anal. ($C_{24}H_{32}N_2O_3$ ·HCl) C, H, N, Cl.

 $N \cdot [1 \cdot (2 \cdot Chloropropyl) \cdot 4 \cdot (methoxymethyl) \cdot 4$ piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Monohydrochloride (7a). A solution of 6a (37 g, 0.1 mol) in chloroform (150 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of thionyl chloride (14 g, 0.12 mol) in chloroform (150 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred and refluxed overnight. After evaporation in vacuo the residue was suspended in acetone (300 mL) and the solid collected to afford 7a (31.5 g, 85%): mp 190 °C. Anal. (C₁₉H₂₉ClN₂O₂·HCl) H, N, Cl; C: calcd, 58.61; found, 57.94.

N-[1-(2-Chloro-2-phenylethyl)-4-(methoxymethyl)-4piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Monohydrochloride (7b). Thionyl chloride (4.5 g, 0.036 mol) was stirred at room temperature, while a solution of 6b (13 g, 0.033 mol) in chloroform (200 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 30 min. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h, cooled, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was triturated with acetone, treated with carbon black, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue converted into the hydrogen chloride salt, which crystallized from a mixture of acetone/diisopropyl ether affording 7b (9.2 g, 61.7%): mp 145.3 °C. Anal. (C₂₄H₃₁ClN₂O₂·HCl) C, H, N, Cl.

N-[1-[2-(4-Ethyl-4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1H-tetrazol-1-yl)propyl]-4- (methoxymethyl)-4-piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Monohydrochloride (9w) and N-[1-[2-(4,5-Dihydro-4-methyl-5-oxo-1H-tetrazol-1-yl)-1-methylethyl]-4-piperidinyl]-N-phenylpropanamide Monohydrochloride (9x). A suspension of 2a ($R_1 = C_2H_5$) (2.75 g, 0.025 mol), 7a (9.4 g, 0.025 mol), triethylamine (2.5 g, 0.025 mol), and sodium carbonate (2.65 g, 0.025 mol) in dimethylformamide (700 mL) was stirred at 70 °C for 20 h. The usual workup yielded 10.5 g of the crude residue. A preliminary purification on silica (eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 97:3 v/v) afforded 9.2 g of a mixture of 9w and 9x. The mixture was separated by HPLC (ethyl acetate-ethanol, 99:1 v/v) to yield the pure isomers 9w (higher R_f value on TLC, eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 95:5 v/v) (5.1 g) and 9x (lower R_f value on TLC) (3 g).

Conversion of **9w** to the hydrochloride salt in acetone and crystallization of the salt from acetone/diisopropyl ether yielded the final compound (3.9 g, 33.4%): mp 185.4 °C; NMR (free base in CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.38 (m, 5 H, benzene), 3.92–4.10 (m, 5 H, CH₂O, CH₂N, 1 H from OCNCH₂CH(CH₃)N), 3.74 (d-d, 1 H, 1 H from OCNCH₂CH(CH₃)N), 3.40 (s, 3 H, CH₃O), 3.11 (m, 1 H, CH), 2.05–2.65 (m, 6 H, 2–6_{ax,eq}, 3–5_{eq} piperidine H), 1.81 (q, 2 H, CH₂CO), 1.55 (m, 2 H, 3–5_{ax} piperidine H), 1.44 (t, 3 H, CH₃CH₂N), 0.98 (d, 3 H, OCNCH₂CH(CH₃)N), 0.93 (t, 3 H, CH₃CH₂CO). Anal. (C₂₂H₃₄N₆O₃·HCl) C, H, N.

The fraction with the lower R_f value was crystallized as the hydrogen chloride salt from a mixture of acetone/diisopropyl ether to afford **9x** (3.9 g, 33.4%): mp 192.7 °C; NMR (free base in CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.38 (m, 5 H, benzene), 4.40 (m, 1 H, CH), 3.95–4.10 (m, 4 H, CH₂N, CH₂O), 3.40 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.05–2.80 (m, 8 H, OCNCH(CH₃)CH₂N, 2–6_{eq,ax}, 3–5_{eq} piperidine H)), 1.80 (q, 2 H, CH₂CO), 1.55 (m, 2 H, 3–5_{ax} piperidine H), 1.42 (m, 6 H, CH₃C-H₂N, OCNCHCH(CH₃)CH₂N), 0.92 (t, 3 H, CH₃CH₂CO). Anal. (C₂₂H₃₄N₆O₃·HCl) C, H, N.

 \overline{N} -[1-[2-(4-Ethyl-4,5-dihydro-5-oxo-1*H*-tetrazol-1-yl)-2phenylethyl]-4-(methoxymethyl)-4-piperidinyl]-*N*-phenylpropanamide (9y). A suspension of 2a (R₁ = C₂H₅) (3 g, 0.025 mol), 7b (8 g, 0.0178 mol), sodium carbonate (5.3 g, 0.05 mol), and potassium iodide (0.2 g) in dimethylformamide (150 mL) was stirred at 70 °C for 20 h. The solid residue, obtained after the usual workup, was purified by chromatography on silica (eluant: CHCl₃-CH₃OH, 97:3 v/v), and the pure fraction was crystallized from a mixture of petroleum ether and diisopropyl ether to yield 9y (5.7 g, 65%): mp 125-127 °C; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.20-7.47 (m, 10 H, aromatics), 5.38 (d-d, 1 H, CH), 3.93-4.08 (m, 4 H, CH₂O, CH₂N), 3.40 (m, 4 H, OCH₃, 1 H from OCNCH(C₆H₅)*CH*₂N), 2.05-2.80 (m, 7 H, 2-6_{ax,eq}, 3-5_{eq} piperidine H, 1 H from OCNCH(C₆H₅)*CH*₂N), 1.80 (q, 2 H, CH₂CO), 1.56 (m, 2 H, 3-5_{ax} piperidine H), 1.41 (t, 3 H, *CH*₃CH₂N), 0.92 (t, 3 H, *CH*₃CH₂CO). Anal. (C₂₇H₃₆N₆O₃) C, H, N.

Physicochemical Methods. Ionization Constant. The ionization constant pK_a at 25 °C was determined by potentiometric titration of a solution of the compound in methanol-water mixtures of varying composition. The values were extrapolated to pure water. $^{\rm 33}$

Dipole moments were measured in benzene p.a. with a dipole meter DM01 (Wiss.-Techn. Werkstätten) at 20 °C, using a 20 cm³ DFL1 cell.³⁴

Partition Coefficient. (i) The partition coefficient was determined between *n*-octanol and an aqueous buffer solution at pH 9.8 (25 °C). A simple extraction method was used.

(ii) The apparent $\log P$ values (log $P_{\rm app})$ were calculated by using the formula 35

$$P_{\rm app} = \frac{P}{1 + 10^{(\rm pK_a-\rm pH)}}$$

(iii) The percentage free base and ionized were calculated by using the formula of Albert et al. 36

% ionized =
$$\frac{100}{1 + \text{antilog } (\text{pH} - \text{p}K_a)}$$

Pharmacology. Compounds 9a-y and 10-15 were evaluated for morphinomimetic activity in the tail withdrawal reflex test (TWR) in rats.²⁰

The experimental animals were inbred male Wistar rats (215 \pm 15 g). One day before the experiment, the animals were transferred from their rearing quarters to the air-conditioned laboratories (21 \pm 1 °C), with a relative humidity (RH) of 65 \pm 15%. Standard pellet food and tap water were available ad libitum, except during the experimental session. The compounds were injected into one of the tail veins (0.2 mg/100 g of body weight; injection time, 5 s). Doses were selected from the following geometric series 160, 80, 40, ..., 0.08, 0.04, 0.02 mg/kg. The tail withdrawal reaction test has been described previously.¹⁸ The rats were placed in standard rat holders with the tail hanging free outside the holder. A reading consisted of immersing the tail into a warm $(55 \pm 1 \text{ °C})$ water bath and determining the reaction time for tail withdrawal. Cutoff time was 10 s. Readings were made 1/32, 1/16, 1/8, 1/4, 1/2, 1, 2, and 4 h after administration of the investigated compounds. The ED_{50} values for each time interval were calculated according to the criterion no withdrawal of the tail within 10 s.¹⁹ The absence of a reaction within 10 s

- (34) Peeters, J., personal communication.
- (35) Tollenaere, J. P., personal communication.
- (36) Albert, A.; Sergeant, E. P. Ionization Constants of Acids and Bases, 2nd ed.; Chapman & Hall: London, 1971.

was considered to represent almost complete analgesia (surgical analgesia) and occurred in only 2 of 1419 control rats.

Acknowledgment. We thank the "Instituut tot aanmoediging van het Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek in Nijverheid en Landbouw" for financial support. We thank Dr. C. J. E. Niemegeers for performing the pharmacological assays. We are appreciative to J. Bracke for determining the NMR spectra. We thank Dr. M. Janssen and Dr. R. Boar for helpful discussions and Mrs. S. Daniels for typing the manuscript.

Registry No. 1a ($R_1 = i - C_3 H_7$), 79-30-1; 1a ($R_1 = C_5 H_{11}$), 142-61-0; **2a** ($\mathbf{R} = C_2 \mathbf{H}_5$), 69048-98-2; **2a** ($\mathbf{R} = C_3 \mathbf{H}_7$), 69048-99-3; **2a** (R = i-C₃H₇), 69049-00-9; **2a** (R = c-C₃H₅), 69049-02-1; **2a** (R = C_5H_{11}), 104071-97-8; 2a (R = $CH_2C_6H_5$), 53798-95-1; 2a (R = $(CH_2)_2C_6H_5$, 62442-50-6; **2a** (R = t-C_4H_9), 69049-01-0; **2a** (R = $c-C_6H_{11}$), 37495-20-8; 2a ($R_2 = R_3 = H$, n = 0), 56413-06-0; 3a, 69049-04-3; 3b, 84501-67-7; 3c, 69049-03-2; 3d, 104071-98-9; 3e, 104071-99-0; 3f, 104072-00-6; 3g, 92075-19-9; 3h, 104072-01-7; 3i, 104072-02-8; 3j, 56413-00-4; 3k, 69049-05-4; 3l, 104072-03-9; 3m, 104072-04-0; **3n**, 104072-05-1; **3o**, 104072-06-2; **4** ($\mathbf{R}_4 = \mathbf{H}$), 1609-66-1; 4 ($R_4 = CO_2CH_3$), 72996-78-2; 4 ($R_4 = CH_2OCH_3$), 61086-18-8; 5 ($R_2 = CH_3$, $R_3 = H$, n = 0), 75-56-9; 5 ($R_2 = C_6H_5$, $R_3 = H$, n = 0), 96-09-3; 6a, 104072-15-3; 6b, 61087-21-6; 7a, 104072-16-4; 7b, 69069-06-3; 9a, 104072-07-3; $9a \cdot C_2H_2O_4$, 104072-20-0; 9b, 104072-08-4; 9b·HNO₃, 104072-21-1; 9c, 104072-09-5; $9c \cdot C_2H_2O_4$, 104072-22-2; 9d, 69049-37-2; $9d \cdot C_2H_2O_4$, 69049-38-3; **9e**, 69049-15-6; $9e^{-3}/_2C_2H_2O_4$, 69049-16-7; **9f**, 69049- $17\text{-}8; \textbf{9f} \cdot C_2 H_2 O_4, 69049 \text{-} 18 \text{-} 9; \textbf{9g}, 69049 \text{-} 19 \text{-} 0; \textbf{9g} \cdot C_2 H_2 O_4, 69049 \text{-} 20 \text{-} 3;$ 9h, 69049-31-6; $9h\cdot^3/_2C_2H_2O_4$, 69049-32-7; 9i, 69049-21-4; 9i. $C_2H_2O_4$, 69049-22-5; 9j, 69049-23-6; 9j- $C_2H_2O_4$, 69049-24-7; 9k, 69049-25-8; 9k·C₂H₂O₄, 69049-26-9; 9l, 69049-29-2; 9l·C₂H₂O₄, 69049-30-5; 9m, 69049-27-0; 9m· $^{3}/_{2}C_{2}H_{2}O_{4}$, 69049-28-1; 9n, 69049-12-3; 9n·C₂H₂O₄, 69049-12-3; 9o, 104072-10-8; 9o·C₂H₂O₄, 104072-17-5; **9p**, 104072-11-9; **9p** \cdot C₂H₂O₄, 104072-18-6; **9q**, 71195-58-9; $9q \cdot C_2H_2O_4$, 69049-36-1; 9r, 71195-58-9; $9r \cdot HCl$, 69049-06-5; 9s, 69049-07-6; 9s·2C₂H₂O₄, 69049-08-7; 9t, 69049-09-8; 9t·HNO₃, 69049-10-1; 9u, 104072-12-0; 9u·C₂H₂O₄, 104072-19-7; 9v, 104072-13-1; 9v·HCl, 69069-05-2; 9w, 69221-39-2; 9w·HCl, 69069-04-1; 9x, 104072-14-2; 9x-HCl, 69049-42-9; 9y, 69049-41-8; c-C₃H₅COCl, 4023-34-1; C₂H₅NCO, 109-90-0; C₂H₅COCl, 79-03-8; C₃H₇COCl, 141-75-3; C₆H₅CH₂COCl, 103-80-0; C₆H₅(CH₂)₂COCl, 645-45-4; *t*-C₄H₉COCl, 3282-30-2; *c*-C₆H₁₁COCl, 2719-27-9; Cl(C-H₂)₂COCl, 625-36-5; Br(CH₂)₂Br, 106-93-4; Br(CH₂)₂Cl, 107-04-0; 4-(C₄H₃S)(CH₂)₂OSO₂C₆H₄CH₃, 40412-06-4; ICH₂CONH₂, 144-48-9; ClCH₂Br, 74-97-5.

⁽³³⁾ Peeters, J. J. Pharm. Sci. 1978, 67, 127.