

Mild and Efficient Desulfurization of Alkyl Sulfides with Sodium

Zhengkun Yu[†] and John G. Verkade*

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Iowa 50011-3111, USA

Received 5 January 1998; revised 9 February 1998; accepted 11 February 1998

Abstract: The reactions of dialkyl mono- and disulfides and functionalized alkylthio compounds with sodium in refluxing hydrocarbon solvent (tetradecane, mesitylene or toluene) resulted in sulfur-free products in very high yields. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Chemical removal of sulfur from liquid fossil fuels and coals has received much attention because conventional hydrodesulfurization (HDS) can not effectively desulfurize polycyclic aromatic sulfur compounds, such as benzo[b]thiophene (BT), dibenzo[b,d]thiophene (DBT) and their derivatives. Among the chemical approaches directed to desulfurization of organosulfur compounds, reductive desulfurization has been considered to possess potential. Unfortunately, procedures involving LiAlH₄ in refluxing ethanol¹ and Li in refluxing dioxane² were found difficult to repeat.³ Procedures using sodium at 350 °C and pressures of 200-1200 psi in the presence of hydrogen gave 51-99% sulfur removal from DBT with 45.6-93.2% yields of biphenyl.⁴ Nickel boride was reported to desulfurize DBT to biphenyl in 83% of yield.⁵ Trivalent organophosphorus compounds are known to desulfurize acylic dialkyl trisulfides to disulfides, and disulfides to monosulfides in moderate yields.⁶⁹ Recently we reported that Li and Na efficiently desulfurize BT and DBT and their derivatives at relatively modest temperatures (110-254 °C) somewhat exceeding the melting points of the metals, in inert hydrocarbon solvents at atmospheric pressure.³ In this communication we show that this method is quite general and efficient for a variety of dialkyl and alkyl-aryl organosulfur compounds. The approach reported here also constitutes a significant improvement over the desulfurization of these compounds with sodium in liquid ammonia.10

Although alkyl sulfides 1-3 did not desulfurize at 110 °C or 164 °C, at 254 °C, the corresponding alkanes were formed in high yields along with only small amounts of the corresponding alkylmercaptans (Table 1). The reactions of cyclohexene sulfide (4) and propylene sulfide (5) in refluxing toluene gave essentially quantitative yields of cyclohexene and propylene, respectively. Benzyl methyl sulfide (6) and disulfide (7) were efficiently desulfurized to toluene, ethylbenzene, and/or bibenzyl. Raising the reaction temperature improved the desulfurization of benzyl phenyl sulfide (8) because at lower temperature Bz-S bond cleavage by sodium was the predominant process (Table 1). The complete desulfurization of 2-phenyl-1,3-dithiane (9) can be envisioned to occur via the pathway indicated in Scheme 1. Changing the temperature results in an altered product distribution (Table 1).

Functionalized alkyl sulfides 10-13 were desulfurized without destruction of their remaining functionalities, and without the formation of desulfurized coupling products. For example, pyrrolidine (from

substrate		solvent	temp/time	product*
			(°C/h)	yield (%)
$(n-C_6H_{13})_2S$	1	tetradecane	254/8	$n - C_6 H_{14}$ (90.0), $n - C_6 H_{13} SH$ (5.0)
$(n-C_8H_{17})_2S$	2	tetradecane	254/8	$n-C_{8}H_{18}$ (97.5), $n-C_{8}H_{17}SH$ (< 2.0)
$(n-C_{12}H_{25})_2S$	3	tetradecane	254/23.5	$n - C_{12} H_{26} (> 99.0)$
$(C_6H_{10})S^{b}$	4	toluene	110/7	cyclohexene (> 99.0), cyclohexane (< 0.1)
$(C_3H_6)S^{c}$	5	toluene	110/4	CH ₃ CH=CH ₂ (> 99.0) ^d
PhCH ₂ SMe	6	mesitylene	164/4	PhMe (89.0), PhEt (10.9)
PhCH ₂ SMe	6	tetradecane	254/4	PhMe (72.1), PhEt (27.4)
PhCH ₂ SSMe	7	mesitylene	164/4	PhMe (16.6), PhEt (3.4), $(PhCH_2)_2$ (50.9) ^e
PhCH ₂ SSMe	7	tetradecane	254/4	PhMe (44.3), PhEt (5.8), $(PhCH_2)_2$ (48.4) ^e
PhCH ₂ SPh	8	toluene	110/5.5 ^f	PhMe (24.0), PhSH (22.0), $(PhCH_2)_2 (0.7)^8$
PhCH ₂ SPh	8	tetradecane	254/5.5	PhMe (95.0), PhSH (1.0), Ph_2CH_2 (1.1), $(PhCH_2)_2$ (0.6) ^g
C ₁₀ H ₁₂ S ₂ ^h	9	tetradecane	140/5.5	PhMe (21.6), Ph-Bu (< 1.0), $(PhCH_2)_2 (75.0)^i$
$C_{10}H_{12}S_2^{h}$	9	tetradecane	254/5.5	PhMe (49.2), Ph-Bu (< 0.5), $(PhCH_2)_2 (50.0)^i$

Table 1. Desulfurization of alkyl sulfides with sodium.

⁴GC analysis, 100% conversion. ^b(C_6H_{10})S = cyclohexene sulfide. ^c(C_3H_6)S = propylene sulfide, 3.2 mmol. ^dConversion > 99.0%. ^eMethane is presumably produced. ^f25.5% conversion. ^gPhH was detected but not quantitated owing to its volatility. ^h $C_{10}H_{12}S_2 = 2$ -phenyl-1,3-dithiane. ⁱPropane is presumably produced.



Scheme 1

12) and THF (from 13) were not detected by GC analysis (Table 2). Compound 14 in the presence of sodium in toluene or mesitylene at room temeprature produced an orange precipitate. That the precipitate is the corresponding enolate is indicated by the recovery of the starting material when the reaction mixture was treated with MeOH followed by aqueous NH_4Cl . This enolate intermediate was efficiently desulfurized to propiophenone in mesitylene at a relatively low temperature (164 °C). Interestingly, benzylmercaptan (15) was desulfurized to toluene and bibenzyl in 85.2% conversion within 13.5 hours,

substrate		temp/time*	conversion	product
		(°C/h)	(%)	yield (%)
S(CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	10	254/4	100	CH ₃ CH ₂ OH (95.5)
S(CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) ₂	11	254/5	100	CH ₃ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH (90.0)
thiomorpholine	12	254/7.5	100	Et ₂ NH (> 95.0)
1,4-thioxane	13	254/8	100	Et ₂ O (> 95.0)
thiochroma-4-one	14	164/5.5 ^b	100	$PhCOC_{2}H_{5} (> 99.0)$
PhCH ₂ SH	15	254/13.5	85.2	PhMe (64.5), $(PhCH_2)_2$ (20.5)
Ph ₃ CSH	16	254/18	100	Ph ₃ CH (69.9), (Ph ₃ C) ₂ (27.3)
PhCH ₂ SCN	17	140/5	100	PhMe (6.4), $(PhCH_2)_2$ (90.0)
PhCH ₂ SCN	17	254/5	100	PhMe (21.1), $(PhCH_2)_2$ (76.5)
PhSO ₂ CH=CHPh	18	110/6°	100	PhCH=CH ₂ (95.0), PhSH (< 1.0) ^d
PhSO ₂ CH=CHPh	18	254/6	100	PhCH=CH ₂ (95.0), PhSH (11.5) ^d

Table 2. Desulfurization of alkylthio compounds with sodium.

^aUnless otherwise stated the solvent is tetradecane. ^bMesitylene. ^cToluene. ^dThe PhSO₂ moiety is presumably transformed to other unidentified compounds plus PhH which was detected but not quantitated owing to its volatility.

presumably according to Scheme 2. Substrate 16 underwent a similar reaction to generate triphenylmethane and hexaphenylethane. The reaction of benzyl thiocyanate (17) gave the PhC-S bond cleavage product PhMe and the desulfurized intramolecular coupling product bibenzyl, but benzyl cyanide was not detected. The reaction of alkenyl sulfone 18 occurred predominantly *via* CH-S bond cleavage resulting in styrene as the major product and thiophenol as the minor product (Table 2).

PhCH₂SH
$$\xrightarrow{2 \text{ Na}}_{-\text{H}_2}$$
 PhCH₂SNa $\xrightarrow{2 \text{ Na}}_{-\text{Na}_2\text{S}}$ PhCH₂Na $\xrightarrow{(1) \text{ MeOH}}_{(2) \text{ aq. NH}_4\text{Cl}}$ PhCH₃
 \downarrow -Na₂S
(PhCH₂)₂
Scheme 2

We have demonstrated herein that sodium efficiently desulfurizes a variety of dialkyl sulfides, alkyl-aryl sulfides and alkylthiol compounds under relatively mild conditions.

General procedure: Under argon, sodium (10.0 mmol), organosulfur substrate (1.0 mmol) and solvent (3 mL of tetradecane or 5 mL of toluene or mesitylene) was added to a 50 mL Schlenk bottle fitted with a

condenser connected at the top to an argon line. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at the temperatures and times indicated in Tables 1 and 2. After cooling the reaction mixture to room temperature, unreacted sodium was destroyed with methanol (5 mL) at 0 °C under argon. Saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (40 mL) was then added to the mixture followed by extraction with Et_2O (3 x 80 mL). The organic phase was dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and then used directly for product analysis by GC. For the reaction involving 10, the extraction solvent was THF while for 13 it was *n*-hexane.

Acknowledgement. We thank the University Coal Research Program, Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center of the USDOE for grant support of this research.

([†]On leave from Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, P. R. China)

REFERENCES

- 1. Blicke, F. F.; Sheets, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 3768-3770; 1949, 71, 4010-4011.
- 2. Gilman H.; Esmay, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 2947-2949.
- 3. Yu, Z.; Verkade, J. G., submitted.
- Sternberg, H. W.; Delle Donne, C. L.; Markby, R. E.; Friedman, S. Ind. Eng. Chem., Process Des. Dev. 1974, 13, 433-436.
- 5. Back, T. G.; Yang, K.; Krouse, H. R. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1986-1990.
- (a) Rastetter, W. H.; Spero, D. M.; Adams, J. J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 2785-2787.
 (b) Harpp, D. N.; Smith, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6045-6053 and references therein.
- (a) Field, L. D.; Wilkinson, M. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2779-2782. (b) Verkade, J. G.; Mohan, T.US Patent 5,509,945, 1996. (c) Brandt, P. F.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992,114, 1926-1927. (d) Touchard, D.; Fillaut, J. L.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Toupet, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 317, 291-299.
- 8. Holand, S.; Ricard, L.; Mathey, F. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4031-4035.
- (a) Harrp, D. N.; Ash, D. K.; Smith, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 5155-5160. (b) Harpp, D. N.;
 Vines, S. M. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 647-651. (c) Harp, D. N.; Orwig, B. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 31, 2691-2694. (d) Harpp, D. N.; Gleason, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4181-4182.
- 10. Yu, Z.; Verkade, J. G. ms in progress.