Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3748-3750

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

COMMUNICATION

New polycyclic borazine species†

Xiaochen Xie, Mairi F. Haddow, Stephen M. Mansell, Nicholas C. Norman* and Christopher A. Russell*

Received 11th January 2011, Accepted 10th February 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1cc10196j

The new polycyclic borazines $B_2\{1,2-N_2C_6H_4\}_2\{B_2(NMe_2)_2\}_2$, $B_2\{1,8-N_2naph\}_2\{B_2(NMe_2)_2\}_2$ and $B_2(NPh)_4\{B_2(NMe_2)_2\}_2$ have been prepared from diborate(4) anions and two equivalents of $B_2Cl_2(NMe_2)_2$ and have been structurally characterised. Aspects of their structure and bonding are discussed and comparison made with corresponding polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

In general, borazines, i.e. cyclic species containing alternating BR and NR units, adopt six-membered ring structures with the general formula $\{BNR_2\}_x$; commonly x = 3 and the species are isoelectronic with arenes,¹ although some examples are known where $x = 4.^2$ Fused or linked systems related to naphthalene and biphenyl have also been described, the latter including species in which the two rings are linked by a B-B bond.³ One example has been reported of a cyclic $B_4N_4R_8$ species containing two B-B bonds, i.e. B₄(NMe₂)₄N₄Et₄, effectively an isomer of $\{BNR_2\}_x$ (x = 4), derived from the reaction between $B_2Cl_2(NMe_2)_2$ and 1,2-diethylhydrazine.⁴ In a recent paper, we described an example of a new class of boron-rich borazine with the general formula $\{B_2NR_3\}_x$ where x = 4, namely $B_8(NH)_4(NMe_2)_8$ (1), in which B–B bonds are present as well as B–N bonds (a diborazine).⁵ Herein we report examples of two new borazine types constructed from reactions involving diborane(4) compounds. The polycyclic frameworks of these novel borazines can also be seen in relation to those of isostructural polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which constitute a highly promising class of photoelectric materials and the substitution of BN units for isoelectronic CC units in PAH manifolds is a topic of considerable current interest owing to the subtly different electronic properties of the resulting materials.⁶ In the case of the species reported herein, the B: N ratio is such that the compounds have two electrons fewer than their parent PAH.

We have previously shown that diborane(4) compounds containing primary amido substituents, $B_2(NHR)_4$, can be lithiated by addition of a stoichiometric amount of Bu"Li to give the tetra-anionic diborate(4) anions $[B_2(NR)_4]^{4-.7}$ Using

Fax: +44 (0)117 925 1295; Tel: +44 (0)117 928 7599

this methodology lithiation of the 1,1-isomer of $B_2\{1,2-(NH)_2C_6H_4\}_2$ (2)⁸ followed by reaction with two equivalents of $B_2Cl_2(NMe_2)_2^9$ afforded the compound 1,1- $B_2\{1,2-N_2C_6H_4\}_2\{B_2(NMe_2)_2\}_2$ (3) according to Scheme 1.†

The structure of **3** (as a toluene solvate) was determined by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1)‡§ which revealed a polycyclic species in which a B–B unit derived from each of the two $B_2Cl_2(NMe_2)_2$ reactants has added in a 1,2-fashion to pairs of nitrogen atoms in the $B_2\{1,2-(NH)_2C_6H_4\}_2$ precursor.

Compound 3 comprises a mixture of fused C₆, B₄N₂ and BC_2N_2 rings and resembles those borazines which have a naphthalene-type structure, *i.e.* $B_5N_5R_8^3$ but in this case the general formula is $B_6N_4R_8$ and it therefore contains two fewer electrons. Molecules of 3 lie on a crystallographic centre of inversion but adopt a markedly non-planar, twisted conformation due to intramolecular steric interactions between adjacent NMe₂ groups as a result of the conformation about the B–N bonds required to maximise π -bonding. This twisting is reflected in the angle between the N₂B planes, τ , of 58.4° for the peripheral B_2 units, in contrast to the eclipsed conformation (*i.e.*, $\tau = 0$) about the central B₂ unit. Also notable are some of the bond distances. Thus the central B-B bond [B(1)-B(1A) 1.611(4) Å] is extremely short,^{8,10} being substantially shorter than the outer B–B lengths [B(2)–B(3A) 1.757(4) Å] and comparable to lengths which have been formally assigned as B=B double bonds.¹¹ The B-N bond lengths also span a wide range with the exocyclic distances shortest [B(2)-N(3) 1.402(3), B(3)-N(4) 1.402(2) Å] and the endocyclic longer in two groups [B(1)-N(1) 1.427(3), B(1)-N(2) 1.431(3) and B(2)-N(1) 1.500(3), B(3)-N(2) 1.495(3) Å]. These bond lengths are suggestive of exocyclic B=N character, and endocyclic units comprising delocalized N-B-N bonding and formal B-N single bonds respectively.

An analogous reaction starting with $1,1-B_2\{1,8-N_2naph\}_2$ (4), derived from the reaction between $B_2(NMe_2)_4^{9b,12}$ and two



School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK. E-mail: Chris.Russell@bris.ac.uk, n.c.norman@bris.ac.uk;

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Syntheses and experimental data for **3-6**; molecular structure of **4**. CCDC 807912–807915. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c1cc10196j



Fig. 1 A view of the molecular structure of compound **3**. Thermal ellipsoids are set at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the solvent of crystallisation (toluene) have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å): B(1)–B(1A) 1.611(4), B(2)–B(3A) 1.757(4), B(1)–N(1) 1.427(3), B(1)–N(2) 1.431(3), B(2)–N(1) 1.500(3), B(2)–N(3) 1.402(3), B(3)–N(2) 1.495(3), B(3)–N(4) 1.402(2). Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms labelled "A" $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 2 - z.



equivalents of 1,8-diaminonaphthalene, afforded a similar species 1,1-B₂{1,8-N₂naph}₂{B₂(NMe₂)₂}₂ (5) (Scheme 2).†

We note that this diamine has been employed recently as a substituent in diborane(4) compounds used in diboration reactions.¹³ Both 4 and 5 were characterised by X-ray crystallography, the latter as a bis-toluene solvate. $\ddagger \parallel \parallel$ Complex 4 co-crystallises with two molecules of DMF but the structure is unexceptional and is similar to the structure of 2 reported in ref. 8; molecules are strictly planar in the solid state and the B–B distance is typical of a single bond at 1.691(4) Å. The structure of 5 resembles that of 3 and is shown in Fig. 2.

Molecules of 5 also lie on a crystallographic inversion centre and are twisted about the peripheral B₂ vectors [$\tau = 67.7^{\circ}$] comparable to that found in 3. In 5, however, the B–B distances are much more similar [B(1)–B(1A) 1.728(4) and B(2)–B(3A) 1.710(3) Å] reflecting the reduced ring strain in a species composed entirely of six-membered rings. The B–N distances exhibit a similar pattern to those observed in compound 3.

In order to test whether the short nature of the central B–B bond in **3** is purely due to ring strain in the molecule or whether this is evidence of some B–B π bonding, DFT calculations^{14,15} were performed on **3** and **5**** and the molecular orbitals of π -symmetry examined. Whilst **5** showed no evidence of π bonding between the central boron atoms, the HOMO-2 in **3** (see Fig. 3) shows substantial delocalisation of the nitrogen lone pairs across the p-orbitals of both boron



Fig. 2 A view of the molecular structure of compound **5**. Thermal ellipsoids are set at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the solvent of crystallisation (toluene) have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å): B(1)–B(1A) 1.728(4), B(2)–B(3A) 1.710(3), B(1)–N(1) 1.439(2), B(1)–N(2) 1.435(2), B(2)–N(2) 1.504(2), B(2)–N(3) 1.393(2), B(3)–N(1) 1.499(2), B(3)–N(4) 1.402(2). Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms labelled "A" 2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z.



Fig. 3 A contour plot of the HOMO-2 of compound 3 (contour value 0.04) showing a B–B π -bonding interaction.

atoms, suggesting that the B–B bond order is higher than 1 in this case.

In looking to explore further the generality of the reactions which afforded **3** and **5**, the reaction between the lithium salt of the diborate(4) anion $[B_2(NPh)_4]^{4-7}$ and two equivalents of $B_2Cl_2(NMe_2)_2$ was carried out which afforded the compound $B_2(NPh)_4\{B_2(NMe_2)_2\}_2$ (6).†



Interestingly, the addition of the B–B units occurs in a 1,1-fashion in this case to give a compound containing two B_3N_2 rings linked by an unsupported B–B bond. The structure



Fig. 4 A view of the molecular structure of compound **6**. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are set at the 50% probability level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg) include: B(1)-B(4) 1.722(5), B(2)-B(3) 1.723(5), B(5)-B(6) 1.718(5), B(1)-N(1) 1.462(5), B(1)-N(2) 1.440(5), B(2)-N(1) 1.474(5), B(2)-N(3) 1.405(5), B(3)-N(2) 1.475(5), B(2)-N(4) 1.405(5), B(4)-N(5) 1.457(5), B(4)-N(6) 1.451(4).

of **6** was also determined by X-ray crystallography and is shown in Fig. 4.ࠠ The two B_3N_2 rings are non-planar and are arranged in an approximately staggered conformation about the central B–B bond, the angle between the two BN₂ planes, τ , being 84.6°. All B–B bonds are similar in length and characteristic of single bonds [B(1)–B(4) 1.722(5), B(2)–B(3) 1.723(5), B(5)–B(6) 1.718(5)].^{8,10} The non-planarity of the five-membered rings arises because the B₂(NMe₂)₂ units are twisted [$\tau = 30.2^{\circ}$], but this is significantly less than the twisting observed in **3** and **5**. Compound **6** also constitutes a new class of borazine and is related to a fulvalene but with 2 fewer electrons; 8 *vs.* 10.

In summary, we have shown that BN-rich polycyclic systems, which may be viewed both as new types of borazines and as isostructural with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, may be constructed in comparatively few steps from simple diborane(4) precursors.

We thank the University of Bristol and the EPSRC for funding, Dr Chris Adams (Bristol) for useful discussions concerning the electronic structures of these molecules and Claire McMullin (Bristol) for generating the molecular orbital pictures associated with this work.

Notes and references

[‡] Single crystals of **3–6** were recrystallised from saturated solutions of an appropriate solvent (see ESI[†]), mounted in inert oil and transferred to the cold gas stream of the diffractometer. Structures were solved using SHELXS and refined using SHELXL.¹⁶

§ Crystal data for 3-toluene: C₂₇H₄₀B₆N₈, M = 541.53, monoclinic, space group C2/c, a = 27.515(5), b = 12.652(2), c = 8.8516(14) Å, $\beta = 102.852(9)^\circ$, U = 3004.2(8) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.197$ Mg m⁻³, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å, μ (Cu-Kα) = 0.544 mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1152, T = 100(2) K, $R_1 = 0.0502$ [for 1616 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$], w $R_2 = 0.1351$ [for all 2129 reflections], $R_{\rm int} = 0.0672$. CCDC 807912. ¶ Crystal data for 4-2DMF: C₂₆H₃₀B₂N₆O₂, M = 480.18, monoclinic,

¶ Crystal data for 4·2DMF: $C_{26}H_{30}B_2N_6O_2$, M = 480.18, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 12.8216(7), b = 13.9708(8), c = 14.5010(8) Å, $\beta = 104.001(3)^\circ$, U = 2520.4(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.265$ Mg m⁻³, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.082 mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1016, T = 100(2) K, $R_1 = 0.0569$ [for 4239 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$]. w $R_2 = 0.2216$ [for all 7023 reflections]. $R_{int} = 0.0357$. CCDC 807913. || **Crystal data for 5-2toluene:** $C_{42}H_{52}B_6N_8$, M = 733.78, orthorhombic, space group *Pbca*, a = 13.4491(4), b = 9.6202(3), c = 31.1212(10) Å, U = 4026.6(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.210$ Mg m⁻³, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å, μ (Cu-K α) = 0.541 mm⁻¹, *F*(000) = 1560, T = 100(2) K, $R_1 = 0.0453$ [for 3170 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$], w $R_2 = 0.1161$ [for all 3402 reflections]. $R_{int} = 0.0741$. CCDC 807914.

** Computational energy minimisations for 3 and 5 were carried out using B3LYP/6-31G*, with the geometry of the species in the crystal structure as the starting point for the optimisation. All calculations were performed with the Gaussian03 package using the B3LYP functional and with 6-31G* basis sets on all atoms.^{14,15}

†† **Crystal data for 6:** $C_{32}H_{44}B_6N_8$, M = 605.61, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 11.9997(8), b = 12.1731(9), c = 14.0679(13) Å, $\alpha = 94.107(6)^\circ$, $\beta = 104.802(5)^\circ$, $\gamma = 119.494(3)^\circ$, U = 1681.0(2) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.196$ Mg m⁻³, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å, μ (Cu-K α) = 0.540 mm⁻¹, F(000) = 644, T = 100(2) K, $R_1 = 0.0676$ [for 4832 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$], $wR_2 = 0.1850$ [for all 5585 reflections]. The crystal was a partial merohedral twin with two domains. Each domain was indexed independently using the Apex II software and integrated simultaneously using SAINT. The structure was solved using 'detwinned' data and refined against all reflections. This resulted in a ratio of 0.66:0.34 for the two domains. CCDC 807915.

- 1 P. Paetzold, Pure Appl. Chem., 1991, 63, 345.
- 2 B. Thiele, P. Schreyer, U. Englert, P. Paetzold, R. Boese and B. Wrackmeyer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1991, **124**, 2209.
- V. Gutmann, A. Meller and R. Schlegel, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1964, 95, 314; A. Meller and H. Marecek, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 1968, 99, 1666;
 J. L. Adcock and J. J. Lagowski, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1974, 72, 323; J. L. Adcock, L. A. Melcher and J. J. Lagowski, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1973, 12, 788.
- 4 B. Thiele, P. Paetzold and U. Englert, Chem. Ber., 1992, 125, 2681.
- 5 G. Bramham, J. P. H. Charmant, A. J. R. Cook, N. C. Norman, C. A. Russell and S. Saithong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 4605.
- 6 (a) M. J. D. Bosnet and W. E. Piers, Can. J. Chem., 2009, 87, 8;
 (b) K. E. Krahulic, G. D. Enright, M. Parvez and R. Roesler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 4142; (c) A. Wakamiya, K. Mori, T. Araki and S. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 10850;
 (d) E. R. Abbey, L. N. Zakharov and S. Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 16340.
- 7 R. A. Baber, J. P. H. Charmant, A. J. R. Cook, N. E. Farthing, M. F. Haddow, N. C. Norman, A. G. Orpen, C. A. Russell and J. M. Slattery, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 3137.
- 8 M. A. M. Alibadi, A. S. Batsanov, G. Bramham, J. P. H. Charmant, M. F. Haddow, L. MacKay, S. M. Mansell, J. E. McGrady, N. C. Norman, A. Roffey and C. A. Russell, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 5348.
- 9 (a) H. Nöth and W. Meister, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1962, 17, 714;
 (b) M. J. G. Lesley, N. C. Norman and C. R. Rice, Inorganic Syntheses, 2004, 34, 1.
- 10 W. Clegg, M. R. J. Elsegood, F. J. Lawlor, N. C. Norman, N. L. Pickett, E. G. Robins, A. J. Scott, P. Nguyen, N. J. Taylor and T. B. Marder, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 5289.
- 11 See for example: (a) P. P. Power, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 3463;
 (b) Y. Wang, B. Quillian, P. Wei, C. S. Wannere, Y. Xie, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12412;
 (c) H. Nöth, J. Knizek and W. Ponikwar, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 1931.
- 12 (a) A. L. McCloskey, R. J. Brotherton, J. L. Boone and H. M. Manasevit, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 6245; (b) R. J. Brotherton, in Progress in Boron Chemistry, ed. H. Steinberg and A. L. McCloskey, Macmillan, New York, 1964ch. 1; (c) H. Nöth and W. Meister, Chem. Ber., 1961, 94, 509; (d) T. Ishiyama, M. Murata, T. Akiko and N. Miyaura, Org. Synth., 2000, 77, 176.
- 13 N. Iwadate and M. Suginome, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 2548 and references therein.
- 14 (a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648; (b) P. J. Stevens,
 J. F. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem.,
 1994, 98, 11623; (c) C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B,
 1988, 37, 785.
- 15 Gaussian 03, Revision D.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 2008, 64, 112.