

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 16 (2005) 1305-1307

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

Synthesis of (-)-(5*R*,6*S*)-6-acetoxyhexadecan-5-olide by L-proline-catalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions

Bin Sun,^a Lizeng Peng,^b Xuesong Chen,^a Yulin Li,^a Ying Li^{a,*} and Kaori Yamasaki^c

^aNational Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, PR China ^bLunan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Linyi, 276003, PR China

^cDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Received 10 May 2004; revised 5 February 2005; accepted 8 February 2005

Abstract—The natural mosquito attractant pheromone, (-)-(5R,6S)-6-acetoxyhexadecan-5-olide 1, was synthesized from readily available aldehyde 3 and cyclopentanone 4 using L-proline catalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions as the key step. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The mosquito is a vector for filarial diseases and malaria. (-)-(5*R*,6*S*)-6-Acetoxyhexadecan-5-olide **1**, was first isolated by Laurence and Pickett in 1982 from the apical droplet of mosquito eggs.¹ The substance attracts other gravid females of the same and some related mosquito species inducing them to oviposit in the same spot where the original eggs are found. (+)-Muricatacin **2**, a simple active acetogenin derivative, was isolated from the seeds of *Annona muricata*, and shows cytotoxic activity on tumor cell lines (with A-549, lung carcinoma, ED₅₀ = 23.3 µg/mL).²

Both 1 and 2 have chiral lactone units in the structure; functionalized γ - and δ -lactones have attracted substantial attention in recent years due to their synthetic importance as building blocks in natural products synthesis. Owing to their remarkable physiological activities, much effort has been expanded on the development of methods for their syntheses.³ Although a great number of synthetic routes to the title compound have been published, a short and efficient route is still needed to be explored. With our interest in L-prolinecatalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions,⁴ we herein report a short and efficient approach to the synthesis of **1** using L-proline⁵ as the catalyst (Fig. 1).

2. Results and discussion

The synthesis started from the known aldehyde **3** and cyclopentanone **4** catalyzed by L-proline (Scheme 1). After purification by flash column chromatography on SiO₂, diastereomers **5a** and **5b** were obtained in 80% yield in a ratio of 85:15. The enantiomeric purity of *syn*-**5a** was determined to be 96% ee by HPLC analysis with a chiral stationary phase column. Protection of the resulting hydroxyl group of the aldol **5a** with Ac₂O at room temperature by a standard method gives ester **7** in a virtually quantitative yield. Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of ketone **7** by *m*-CPBA in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature gave the title compound **1** in 85% yield. Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of aldol **5a** under the same conditions gave



Figure 1. (-)-(5R,6S)-6-Acetoxyhexadecan-5-olide 1 and (+)-muricatacin 2.

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: liying@lzu.edu.cn; liyl@lzu.edu.cn

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.02.017



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) L-Proline (30 mol %), CHCl₃, 24 h, 80%; (b) TBSCl, Imid., DMF; (c) Ac₂O, Py, DMAP, rt, 100%; (d) *m*-CPBA, CH₂Cl₂, NaHCO₃, rt, 82–85%.

the desired compound **8** in 82% yield. Synthetic **1** from **7** or **8** showed identical spectral data with those of natural product **1** reported, while the specific rotation of synthetic **1** { $[\alpha]_D = -36.9$ (*c* 1.05, CHCl₃)} is comparable with that of natural **1** { $lit.^{3c} [\alpha]_D = -38.5$ }.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have achieved a versatile procedure for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure (-)-(5R,6S)-6acetoxy-hexadecan-5-olide 1, in 57.8% overall yield starting from aldehyde 3 in three steps, using L-proline as catalyst. The synthetic route reported here makes available the chiral lactones that may be of interest for structure-activity studies of this group of compounds.

4. Experimental

Melting points were measured on a Kofler hot stage apparatus and are uncorrected. ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 300 BB spectrometer and Bruker AM-400 spectrometer in CDCl₃ solution using TMS as an internal reference. IR spectra were obtained using a FT-170SX spectrophotometer. Low resolution mass spectra were measured on a HP-5988 mass spectrometer and high resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were determined on a Bruker Daltonics APEX II 47e Fourier Transform spectrometer with ESI ionization method. Specific rotations were determined using sodium D line on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter at 20 °C. All solvents were freshly purified and dried by standard techniques. Purification of products was conducted by flash column chromatography (FCC) on silica gel (200-300 mesh) purchased from Yan Tai Yuan Bo Silica Gel Co.

4.1. (2R)-2-[1'-(S)-Hydroxyundecyl]cyclopentanone 5a

A mixture of 5.1 g (30 mmol) of 3, 1.03 g (9 mmol) of L-proline, and 5 mL of cyclopentanone 4 in 20 mL of anhydrous CHCl₃ was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The mixture was extracted with Et_2O . The organic

layer was washed successively with aq NaHCO₃, water, and brine, and dried. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum/ethyl acetate = 4:1, v/v) to afford 5.1 g of **5a** ($R_f = 0.50$) and 0.9 g of **5b** ($R_f = 0.45$) in 80% yield. The enantiomeric purity of **5a** was determined to be 96% on a Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 95/5, 275 nm).

Compound **5a** $[\alpha]_D = -33.5$ (*c* 0.7, CHCl₃); IR (film): 3448, 2956, 2925, 2854, 1734, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.86 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.23 (br s, 2H), 1.34–2.38 (m, 22H), 3.65–3.69 (dt, $J_1 = 6.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 3$ Hz, 1H, CHOH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.1, 20.5, 22.6, 24.7, 26.7, 29.3– 29.6 (5 C), 31.9, 35.1, 38.4, 53.8, 72.1, 224.2; EIMS *m*/*z*: 254 (M⁺, 1.6), 236 (M⁺-H₂O, 35), 152 (M⁺-C₅H₁₀O₂, 35); HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₁₆H₃₀O₂+Na (M⁺+Na) 277.2138, found 277.2141.

Compound **5b** $[\alpha]_D = +36.9$ (*c* 1.05, CHCl₃); IR (film): 3448, 2956, 2925, 2854, 1734, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.83 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.23 (br s, 2H), 1.37–2.28 (m, 22H), 4.02–4.04 (dt, $J_1 = 6.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 3$ Hz, 1H, CHOH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.1, 20.6, 22.8, 26.0, 29.3–29.6 (5 C), 31.8, 34.8, 39.1, 54.4, 69.5, 221.7; EIMS *m*/*z*: 254 (M⁺, 1.6), 236 (M⁺-H₂O, 13), 152 (M⁺-C₅H₁₀O₂, 35); HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₁₆H₃₀O₂+Na (M⁺+Na) 277.2138, found 277.2141.

4.2. (2*R*)-2-[1'-(*S*)-tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxylundecyl]cyclopentanone 6a

To a solution of 170 mg (2.5 mmol) imidazole and 254 mg (1 mmol) of **5a** in 0.5 mL of DMF was added 165 mg (1.1 mmol) of TBDMSCl. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed with water and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After removal of solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum/ethyl acetate = 16:1, v/v) to afford the pure product **6a** in quantitative yield. $[\alpha]_D = -49.0$ (*c* 1.70, CHCl₃); IR (film): 1740, 1250 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.02 (s, 3H, Me), 0.05 (s, 3H, Me), 0.83 (s, 9H, *t*-Bu), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.22 (br s, 22H), 1.38–2.33 (m, 2H), 3.97–3.99 (m, 1H, CHOTBS); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –4.8, –4.6, 14.0, 18.0, 20.8, 22.6, 24.7, 25.1, 25.5, 25.6, 25.8, 26.3, 29.0, 29.3, 29.5, 31.9, 33.7, 36.0, 39.6, 54.5, 72.2, 218.1; EIMS *m*/*z*: 367 (M⁺-1, 0.1), 353 (M⁺-Me, 109), 311 (M⁺-*t*-Bu, 100); HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₂₂H₄₄O₂Si+H (M⁺+H) 369.3183, found 369.3184.

4.3. (2R)-2-[1'-(S)-Acetoxyundecyl]cyclopentanone 7

A mixture of 254 mg (1 mmol) of **5a**, 1 mL of acetic anhydride, 1 mL of pyridine, and 10 mg of DMAP was stirred at room temperature for a period of 0.5 h. The reaction mixture extracted with Et₂O and the organic layer washed with water, brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum/ethyl acetate = 16:1, v/v) to afford a colorless oil in 100% yield. [α]_D = -42.0 (*c* 0.80, CHCl₃); IR (film): 1735, 1256 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.82 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.21 (br s, 22H), 1.94 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 1.50–2.30 (m, 2H), 5.16–5.21 (m, 1H, CHOAc); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.1, 20.6, 20.9, 22.6, 24.3, 25.4, 25.5, 25.6, 25.8, 26.3, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 31.9, 32.7, 38.7, 51.7, 72.2, 170.1, 218.1; EIMS *m*/*z*: 296 (M⁺), 236 (M⁺–OAc, 80); HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₁₈H₃₂O₃+Na (M⁺+Na) 319.2244, found 319.2250.

4.4. (5*R*,6*S*)-6-Hydroxyhexadecan-5-olide 8

To a solution of 254 mg (1 mmol) of 5a in 15 mL of anhydrous CH₂Cl₂, 504 mg (6 mmol) of NaHCO₃, and 1.04 g (6 mmol) of *m*-CPBA was added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 4 h, and extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed successively with aq NaHCO₃, water, and brine, and dried. After removal of solvent, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (petroleum/ethyl acetate = 2:1, v/v) to afford 220 mg of compound 8 in 82% yield. Mp 66.5–68 °C; $[\alpha]_D = -11.0 (c \ 1.50, CHCl_3)$; IR (film): 3440, 2920, 2850 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.33 (br s, 18H, (CH₂)₉), 1.49–1.94 (m, 4H), 2.42–2.61 (m, 2H), 3.52–3.58 (m, 1H, CHOH), 4.13–4.18 (m, 1H, CHOCO); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.0, 18.3, 20.8, 22.6, 24.1, 25.4, 29.3–29.5 (6×C), 31.8, 32.6, 34.0, 73.3, 83.3, 171.7; EIMS *m/z*: 252 (M⁺-H₂O, 0.12), 100 $(M^+-OC_{11}H_{22}, 100);$ HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{16}H_{30}O_3 + NH_4 (M^+ + NH_4) 288.2533$, found 288.2538.

4.5. (-)-(5*R*,6*S*)-6-Acetoxy-hexadecan-5-olide 1

A mixture of 296 mg (1 mmol) of 7, 504 mg (6 mmol) of NaHCO₃, and 1.04 g (6 mmol) of *m*-CPBA in 15 mL of anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O. The organic layer was washed successively with aq NaHCO₃, water, and brine, and dried. After removal of solvent, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (petro-leum/ethyl acetate = 2:1, v/v) to afford 265 mg of title compound 1 in 85% yield.

Compound 8 (270 mg, 1 mmol), 1 mL of acetic anhydride, 1 mL of pyridine, and 10 mg of DMAP were stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with Et₂O and the organic layer washed with water, brine and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After removal of solvent, the residue was purified by flash chromatography to afford colorless oil 1 in 100% yield. $[\alpha]_{D} = -36.9$ (c 1.05, CHCl₃); IR (film): ; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (t, 1745 cm^{-1} J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, Me), 1.10–1.98 (m, 22H), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 2.36–2.64 (m, 2H), 4.32–4.38 (m, 1H, CHOAc), 4.94–4.99 (m, 1H, CHOCO); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.0, 18.3, 20.9, 22.6, 24.0, 25.3, 29.5–29.2 (6×C), 29.9, 31.8, 73.8, 79.8, 170.7, 171.0; EIMS *m*/*z*: 312 (M⁺, 1.6), 269 (M⁺-Ac, 13), 252 $(M^+-AcOH, 32), 99 (M^+-AcOCHC_{10}H_{21}, 100);$ HRMS (ESI): calcd for $C_{18}H_{32}O_4$ +Na (M⁺+Na) 335.2193, found 335.2192.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No 20272020 and 20072012).

References

- 1. Laurence, B. R.; Pickett, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 59.
- Rieser, M. J.; Koslowski, J. F.; Wood, K. V.; McLaughlin, J. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 1137.
- 3. (a) Lin, G. Q.; Xu, H. J.; Wu, B. C.; Guo, G. Z.; Zhou, W. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1233; (b) Mori, K.; Otsuka, T. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 3267; (c) Sato, T.; Watanabe, M.; Honda, N.; Fujisawa, T. Chem. Lett. 1985, 1175; (d) Wu, W. L.; Wu, Y. L. J. Chem. Res. (S) 1990, 112; (e) Lin, G. Q.; Jiang, Y. Y.; Guo, G. Z.; Xia, K. M. Acta Chim. Sinica 1987, 45, 602; (f) Wang, Z. M.; Qian, X. H.; Zhou, W. S. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 1191; (g) Ramaswamy, S.; Oehlschlager, A. C. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 1145; (h) Gravier-Pelletier, C.; Saniere, M.; Charvet, I.; Merrer, Y. L.; Depezay, J. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 115; (i) Coutrot, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8381; (j) Bonini, C.; Checconi, M.; Righi, G.; Rossi, L. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 4111; (k) Figadere, B.; Harmange, J. C.; Laurens, A.; Cave, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 57539; (1) van Aar, M. P. M.; Thijs, L.; Zwanenburg, B. Tetrahedron 1995, 41, 11223; (m) Somfai, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 817.
- Peng, L. Z.; Liu, H. W.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, F. Z.; Mei, T. S.; Li, Y.; Li, Y. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5107.
- (a) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Biller, W. T.; Martin, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 827; (b) List, B.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas, C. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395; (c) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Castello, C. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 573; (d) List, B. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 5573; (e) List, B. Synlett 2001, 11, 1675; (f) Sakthivel, K.; Notz, W.; Bui, T.; Barbas, C. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5260; (g) for reviews and highlights of the proline-catalyzed direct asymmetric aldol reaction in various contexts, see: Gröger, H.; Wilken, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 529; (h) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Garcia, J. M. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 8, 37; (i) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1595; (j) Movassaghi, M.; Jacobsen, E. N. Science 2002, 298, 1904.