

0040-4039(94)02244-5

## A Short Synthesis of Bicyclic Dipeptides Corresponding to Xxx-L-Pro and Xxx-D-Pro Having Constrained *Cis*-Proline Amides

Timothy P. Curran\* and Patrick M. McEnaney

Department of Chemistry, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, MA 01610-2395 USA

**Abstract:** A short synthesis that generates two isomeric bicyclic dipeptides having constrained, *cis*-proline amide bonds has been developed. One of these bicyclic dipeptides corresponds to an Xxx-L-Pro dipeptide (17), while the other isomer corresponds to an Xxx-D-Pro dipeptide (18).

Unlike the other 19 common amino acids, which predominantly assume *trans*-amide conformations when incorporated into peptides and proteins, proline amides display an equal



tendency to assume both the *cis*- (1) and *trans*-amide (2) conformation.<sup>1</sup> Because only proline amides possess this conformational flexibility, it has been speculated that *cis-trans* proline isomerization plays many important biochemical roles, including controlling the rate of protein folding,<sup>2</sup> triggering receptor-mediated transmembrane signalling,<sup>3</sup> providing a recognition element in peptide antigens,<sup>4</sup> and regulating both the activation and breakdown of peptide hormones.<sup>5</sup> Of potential utility in studying these biochemical events would be peptides that constrain proline to either the *cis*- or *trans*-amide conformation. Detailed here is a short synthesis that generates two isomeric, bicyclic dipeptides that covalently constrain the proline amide to the *cis* conformation.

The obvious approach for constraining an Xxx-Pro dipeptide is to tether the  $\alpha$  carbons of the two amino acids using a linker Y, as shown in general structure **3**. The synthesis and characterization of **4**, in which an alkyl linker (Y=CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>) is used to constrain the amide to the *cis* conformation, has recently been described by two groups.<sup>6</sup> The target molecules in the present work are **5** and **6**, which employ a lactam-methylene (CO-NH-CH<sub>2</sub>) linker. An attractive



feature of this linker is that the lactam provides additional constraint to the bicyclic framework. The two isomers **5** and **6** differ in their configuration at the carbon bearing the carboxylic acid. In **5** the carboxylic acid has the configuration identical to that of L-proline, while in **6** the carboxylic acid has the configuration identical to that of D-proline. Thus, **5** is a constrained, *cis*-Xxx-L-Pro dipeptide, while **6** is a constrained, *cis*-Xxx-D-Pro dipeptide. Another attractive feature of the synthesis detailed below is that it generates a separable 1:1 mixture of derivatives of **5** and **6**.

To access these bicyclic dipeptides diethyl-2,2-pyrrolidinedicarboxylate (7, prepared in one step from 1,3-dibromopropane and diethylaminomalonate<sup>7</sup>) is first coupled to N-α-Cbz-N-β-Boc-L-aminoalanine (8, available commercially<sup>8</sup> or prepared in two steps from Cbz-Asn-OH<sup>9</sup>)



using a water soluble carbodiimide to generate dipeptide 9 (see Scheme 1). Initial attempts to generate the 7-membered ring lactam involved treating 9 with trifluoroacetic acid in  $CH_2Cl_2$  to remove the Boc protecting group; the resulting amine salt 10 was then dissolved in a  $CHCl_3$ 

solution containing excess Et<sub>3</sub>N. After stirring for 24 h at 23 °C, no loss of the **10** was detected. However, when this solution was brought to reflux, **10** was lost and a single new product, identified as diketopiperazine **11**, was formed over the course of 48 h. Identification of **11** was based on two observations. First, the Cbz NH proton in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the product appears as a triplet, indicating that the NH is adjacent to a methylene<sup>10</sup>; second, the *trans* orientation of the ethyl ester and methyleneamine groups was indicated by the failure of **12** (prepared from **11** by removal of the Cbz group) to cyclize in a refluxing Et<sub>3</sub>N/CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution. Formation of **11**, which does possess a constrained *cis*-proline amide, must be initiated by transfer of the Cbz group from the  $\alpha$ -amine to the  $\beta$ -amine. It is intriguing to note that the rearrangement and cyclization leading to **11** produces only one of the two possible diketopiperazine isomers.

The ready formation of **11** indicated that to obtain the desired 5,7 ring system, the cyclization reaction would have to be performed under milder conditions. To accomplish this, **9** was treated with one equivalent of LiOH to effect a monohydrolysis and generate a 1:1 mixture of half esters **13** and **14**. Esterification of this mixture with DCC and 4-nitrophenol then afforded a 1:1 mixture of diesters **15** and **16**. Treatment of the **15** and **16** mixture with CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, followed by addition of the resulting amine salts to anhydrous pyridine at 23 °C led to the formation of a 1:1 mixture of the isomeric bicyclic dipeptides **17** and **18**, which could be separated by flash chromatography.<sup>11</sup>

The two isomers **17** and **18** display distinctly different <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra<sup>11</sup> which facilitated their assignment. For one of the isomers the lactam NH proton appears as a doublet, indicating that the dihedral angle between the NH and one of the adjacent methylene protons is close to 90°. For the other isomer the lactam NH appears as a doublet of doublets, indicating that neither of the dihedral angles between the NH and the adjacent methylene protons is near 90°. Inspection of Dreiding models of both **17** and **18** shows that, of the two isomers, only **17** can assume a conformation having a nearly 90° dihedral angle between the NH and the adjacent methylene. Thus, the bicyclic dipeptide having the L-proline configuration at the ethyl ester



Figure 1. Low energy conformations of 17 and 18. The carbons are darkly shaded, the nitrogens are lightly shaded, the oxygens are spotted and the hydrogens are white.

(17) possesses the amide NH that appears as a doublet, while the bicyclic dipeptide having the D-proline configuration at the ethyl ester (18) possesses the amide NH that appears as a triplet.

These assignments were confirmed by molecular modeling experiments in which low energy conformations of **17** and **18** (see Figure 1) were obtained using an MM2 energy minimization.<sup>12</sup> In the low energy conformation obtained for **17**, the calculated dihedral angles between the lactam NH and the adjacent methylene protons are -86° and +28°. For the low energy conformation obtained for **18**, the calculated dihedral angles are -116° and -3°. Of the two isomers, only **17** shows a dihedral angle (-86°) close to 90°, in agreement with both the NMR and Dreiding model data.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NIH-AREA grant AR41568-01), and by a summer undergraduate research fellowship (1992) awarded to P.M.M. by the College of the Holy Cross under the auspices of a grant from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. The NMR spectrometer used in the experiments was purchased with a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF-ILI USE-8852774).

## **References and Notes.**

- 1. Grathwohl, C.; Wuthrich, K. Biopolymers 1981, 20, 2623.
- (a) Brandts, J.F.; Halvorson, H.R.; Brennan, M. Biochemistry 1975, 14, 953; For recent references see: (b) Borden, K.L.; Richards, F.M. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 3071; (c) Texter, F.L.; Spencer, D.B.; Rosenstein, R.; Matthews, C.R. Biochemistry 1992, 31, 5687; (d) Shalongo, W.; Jagannadham, M.V.; Heid, P.; Stellwegen, E. Biochemistry 1992, 31, 11390; (e) Lang, K.; Schmid, F.X. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 212, 185; (f) Kiefhaber, T.; Kohler, H.H.; Schmid, F.X. J. Mol. Biol. 1992, 224, 217; (g) Kiefhaber, T.; Kohler, H.H.; Schmid, F.X. J. Mol. Biol. 1992, 224, 231.
- (a) Brandl, C.J.; Deber, C.M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1986, 83, 917; (b) Williams, K.A.; Deber, C.M. Biochemistry 1991, 30, 8919; (c) Vogel, H.; Nilsson, L.; Rigler, R.; Meder, S.; Boheim, G.; Beck, W.; Kurth, H.H.; Jung, G. Eur. J. Biochem. 1993, 212, 305; (d) Wess, J.; Nanavati, S.; Vogel, Z.; Maggio, R. EMBO J. 1993, 12, 331; (e) Suchyna, T.M.; Xu, L.X.; Gao, F.; Fourtner, C.R.; Nicholson, B.J. Nature 1993, 365, 847.
- Richards, N.G.J.; Hinds, M.G.; Brennand, D.M.; Glennie, M.J.; Welsh, J.M.; Robinson, J.A. Biochem. Pharm. 1990, 40, 119.
- (a) Yaron, A.; Naider, F. Crit. Revs. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 1993, 28, 31; (b) London, R.E.; Stewart, J.M.; Cann, J.R. Biochem. Pharm. 1990, 40, 41; (c) Yaron, A. Biopolymers 1987, 26, S215
- 6. (a) Gramberg, D.; Robinson, J.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 861; (b) Dumas, J.-P.; Germanas, J.P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1493.
- 7. Van Heyningen, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 3043.
- 8. Available from Bachem Bioscience, Inc., Catalog # C-3685.
- 9. Waki, M.; Kitajima, Y.; Izumiya, N. Synthesis 1981, 266.
- 11: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.34 (5H, s), 6.90 (1H, s, amide NH), 5.50 (1H, t, J=6.2 Hz), 5.10 (2H, 2d, J=12 Hz), 4.27 (2H, m), 4.17 (1H, t, J=3.6 Hz), 3.81 (1H, m), 3.69 (1H, m), 3.64 (2H, m), 2.61 (1H, m), 2.44 (1H, m), 1.94 (2H, m), 1.30 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz). MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>) 430 (M+C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sup>+</sup>, 418 (M+C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sup>+</sup>, 390 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>, 346 (M-NHCO)<sup>+</sup>. TLC (2:1 EtOAc/hexane) R<sub>f</sub>=0.26.
- 17 and 18 are separable by flash chromatography (3:1 EtOAc/hexane). 17: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35 (5H, s), 6.20 (1H, d, J=4.4 Hz), 6.11 (1H, d, J=4.9 Hz), 5.10 (2H, 2d, J=13 Hz), 4.57 (1H, m), 4.34 (2H, m), 3.85 (1H, m), 3.70 (1H, m), 3.58 (1H, m), 3.01 (1H, m), 2.41 (1H, m), 1.87 (2H, m), 1.32 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz). MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>) 418 (M+C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sup>+</sup>, 390 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>, 346 (M-NHCO)<sup>+</sup>. TLC (3:1 EtOAc/hexane) R<sub>f</sub>=0.30.
  18: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.35 (5H, s), 6.37 (1H, dd, J=6.4, 5.9 Hz), 5.66 (1H, d, J=3.4 Hz), 5.11 (2H, 2d, J=12 Hz), 4.30 (2H, m), 4.23 (1H, m), 3.88 (1H, m), 3.72 (2H, m), 3.16 (1H, m), 3.00 (1H, m), 2.24 (1H, m), 1.84 (2H, m), 1.30 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz). MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>) 418 (M+C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sup>+</sup>, 390 (M+H)<sup>+</sup>, 346 (M-NHCO)<sup>+</sup>. TLC (3:1 EtOAc/hexane) R<sub>f</sub>=0.40.
- 12. Energy minimizations were performed using Chem3D<sup>TM</sup> 3.1.2 on a Macintosh LC II computer equipped with FPU simulation software.

(Received in USA 24 October 1994; accepted 9 November 1994)