

VARIATION OF PRODUCT IDENTITY AS A FUNCTION OF METAL ION: LIGAND REACTION RATIO, STEREOCHEMICAL STUDIES AND THERMAL INVESTIGATION OF Mn(II), Fe(III), Ni(II), Cu(II), Cd(II) AND Hg(II) COMPLEXES OF 2-ACETILPYRIDINE HYDRAZONE

S.P. PERLEPES *, D. KOVALA-DEMERTZI * and S. SKARIBAS

Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 453 32 Ioannina (Greece)

D. NICHOLLS

Donnan Laboratories, The University, Liverpool L69 3BX (Gt. Britain)

S. PARASKEVAS

Department of Chemistry, University of Athens, Athens (Greece)

(Received 6 December 1988)

ABSTRACT

A systematic investigation of the MX_2 and FeCl_3/APH reaction systems in EtOH is described, where $\text{M} = \text{Mn}, \text{Ni}, \text{Cu}, \text{Cd}$ and Hg , $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{NO}_3, \text{SCN}, \text{CH}_3\text{COO}, \text{BF}_4$ and $\frac{1}{2}\text{SO}_4$, and APH = 2-acetylpyridine hydrazone. Emphasis has been placed on determining the influence of the metal ion:APH ratio on the identity of the reaction products. The variation of this ratio has led to the synthesis of thirty-two new discrete complexes with general compositions $\text{M}(\text{APH})\text{X}_2$, $\text{M}(\text{APH})_2\text{X}_2$, $\text{M}(\text{APH})_3\text{X}_2$, $\text{Fe}(\text{APH})\text{Cl}_3$ and $\text{Fe}(\text{APH})_2\text{Cl}_3$. The complexes were characterized by elemental analyses, conductivity measurements, X-ray powder patterns, thermal methods, magnetic susceptibilities and spectroscopic (IR, ligand field, ^1H NMR, ESR) studies. Monomeric pseudo-tetrahedral and monomeric or polymeric distorted octahedral stereochemistries were assigned in the solid state. APH appears to coordinate via both the pyridine and methine nitrogen atoms. The thermal decomposition of the prepared complexes was also studied. Some Ni(II) complexes decompose via stable intermediates. Probable mechanistic paths of the decomposition reactions have been proposed.


INTRODUCTION

It is becoming increasingly apparent that the inorganic chemistry of hydrazones is of great relevance and/or importance to a wide variety of

* Authors to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

chemical [1,2], biological [3] and industrial [4] systems. The combined developments described in these references show the need for research in the field of the coordination chemistry of hydrazones; this belief represents the primary stimulus for the work described herein. In addition, during routine TG experiments it was found that if some Ni(II) complexes of the title ligand are heated under non-isothermal conditions, they decompose via stable intermediates. The isolation and characterization of these intermediates encouraged us to investigate the mechanistic path of decomposition, as the problems related to the phenomenon of structural change during thermal decomposition of coordination compounds have not been completely explored [5].

Despite the interest in many ligands containing the $-N=C-C=N-$ grouping, the coordination chemistry of hydrazones of 2-pyridyl ketones has received only scant attention [6–10]. This work will concentrate on the preparation, stereochemical studies and thermal decomposition of 2-acetylpyridine hydrazone (APH) complexes with Mn(II), Fe(III), Ni(II), Cu(II),



Cd(II) and Hg(II). As we shall describe, we have found that the metal ion:APH reaction ratio has a great effect on the identity of the obtained products. Cobalt(II) and zinc(II) complexes of APH have previously been prepared and studied [10].

EXPERIMENTAL

Elemental analyses, physicochemical measurements and spectroscopic techniques were carried out using published methods [10–12]. APH was synthesized as previously described [10].

Preparation of the metal complexes

The metal salts $FeCl_3$, $MnX_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ ($X = Cl, NO_3$), $MnBr_2 \cdot H_2O$, $NiX_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ ($X = Cl, NO_3$), $NiBr_2 \cdot 3H_2O$, $Ni(NCS)_2$, CuX_2 ($X = Cl, Br, BF_4$), $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$, $Cu(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot H_2O$, $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, $CdX_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ ($X = Br, NO_3$), CdI_2 and HgX_2 ($X = Cl, Br$) were used as starting materials.

One general method of preparation of complexes was used. The method involved the direct reaction of an ethanolic (methanolic in the case of $Ni(NCS)_2$) solution of the appropriate metal salt with a solution of the

required amount of APH in a small volume of absolute ethanol under reflux for 45 min (for molar ratios, see Table 1). When hydrated metal salts were used as starting materials, a few ml of triethyl orthoformate (TEOF) were added to the reaction mixtures for dehydration purposes. Solid product formation was usually very rapid (2–5 min), although the complexes $\text{Fe}(\text{APH})_n\text{Cl}_3$ ($n = 1, 2$) and $\text{Cu}(\text{APH})_2\text{X}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$) were deposited slowly during the reflux and crystallization was completed by cooling the mixtures in the refrigerator overnight. The precipitates were collected by filtration and washed with absolute ethanol and ether. They were stirred again in warm absolute ethanol to remove unreacted starting materials, then filtered off, washed as above and dried in a vacuum over silica gel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis

Our programme of syntheses in terms of changing the metal ion : APH molar ratio are described in Table 1. In general, for a given metal salt both 1 : 1 and 1 : 2 complexes can be isolated. Exceptions are $\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ which yield only 1 : 2 complexes, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ which yields no 1 : 1 complex and $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{CdBr}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Hg}(\text{II})$ salts which yield only 1 : 1 complexes irrespective of the molar ratio used. Only three 1 : 3 complexes could be prepared (**12,23,25**). It seems that for a given metal ion the nature of the anion present also affects the product identity. The direct isolation of **23**, which has the $[\text{Cu}(\text{APH})_3]^{2+}$ cation, is attributed to the poor coordinating ability of BF_4^- .

It is well established [5,13] that Ni(II) salts form, in general, all the 1 : 1, 1 : 2 and 1 : 3 series of complexes with a given chelating bidentate neutral ligand with aliphatic N-donor atoms provided that there are no steric effects. Also 2-pyridinal hydrazone readily forms tris-complexes with bivalent metal ions [13]. The tendency of APH to form mainly 1 : 1 and 1 : 2 complexes can be attributed to the presence of the methyl group which together with the very definite steric requirements of the hydrazone grouping when coordinated, lead to inter-ligand repulsion [13]. The Pauling Electroneutrality Principle can also provide another reasonable explanation for the failure to isolate many 1 : 3 complexes with Mn(II), Fe(III), Ni(II) and Cu(II). With the strongly basic APH ligand a large transfer of charge to the central atom is possible, and a relatively small number of APH ligands is needed to bring about "electroneutrality", especially in the presence of other less basic anionic ligands, e.g. Cl^- , Br^- , NO_3^- and NCS^- [14].

TABLE 1

Preparative data, colours and molar conductivity values for the complexes prepared

| Compound number | Complex | Metal salt: ligand ^a | Yield (%) | Colour | $\Lambda_M^{g,h}$ (S cm ² mol ⁻¹) |
|-----------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | Mn(APH)Cl ₂ | 1.42:1, 1.16:1, 1.07:1 | 81 ^e | pale yellow | 41 |
| 2 | Mn(APH) ₂ Cl ₂ | 1:2.33, 1:2.60, 1:3.57 | 75 ^f | yellow | 68 |
| 3 | Mn(APH)Br ₂ | 1.20:1, 1.08:1 | 80 ^e | yellow | 75 |
| 4 | Mn(APH) ₂ Br ₂ | 1:2.33, 1:2.70, 1:4.06 | 76 ^f | yellow | 65 |
| 5 | Mn(APH) ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ | 1.33:1, 1.03:1, 1:2.20, 1:3.49 | 78 | yellow | 57 |
| 6 | Fe(APH)Cl ₃ | 1.62:1, 1.05:1 | 80 ^e | brick red | 45 |
| 7 | Fe(APH) ₂ Cl ₃ | 1:2.06, 1:3.20, 1:4.17 | 65 ^f | dark brown | 50 |
| 8 | Ni(APH)Cl ₂ | 2.09:1, 1.30:1, 1.04:1 | 92 ^e | pale green | 44 |
| 9 | Ni(APH) ₂ Cl ₂ | 1:2.30, 1:2.71, 1:3.26, 1:4.15 | 83 ^f | pale olive green | 44 |
| 10 | Ni(APH)Br ₂ | 2.17:1, 1.30:1, 1.09:1 | 88 ^e | pale green | 42 |
| 11 | Ni(APH) ₂ Br ₂ | 1:2.31, 1:2.64, 1:2.80 | 44 ^f | pale olive green | 72 |
| 12 | Ni(APH) ₃ Br ₂ | 1:3.20, 1:4.17 | 80 ^f | beige-pink | 64 |
| 13 ^b | Ni(APH) ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ | 1:2.30, 1:3.34 | 40 ^f | pale olive green | 75 |
| 14 | Ni(APH)(NCS) ₂ | 2.13:1, 1.30:1 | 61 ^e | pale olive green | 59 |
| 15 | Ni(APH) ₂ (NCS) ₂ | 1:2.30, 1:3.47 | 60 ^f | beige-pink | 64 |
| 16 | Cu(APH)Cl ₂ | 1.46:1, 1.30:1 | 83 ^e | pale green | 25 |
| 17 | Cu(APH) ₂ Cl ₂ | 1:2.31, 1:3.30 | 66 ^f | dark green | 47 |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----|
| 18 | Cu(APH)Br ₂ | 1.57:1, 1.30:1 | 60 ^e | brownish green | 39 |
| 19 | Cu(APH) ₂ Br ₂ | 1:2.31, 1:2.60, 1:3.30 | 65 ^f | dark green | 37 |
| 20 | Cu(APH)(NO ₃) ₂ | 1.30:1, 1.17:1 | 45 ^e | green | 68 |
| 21 | Cu(APH) ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ | 1:2.31, 1:3.19, 1:4.40 | 50 ^f | dark olive green | 66 |
| 22 | Cu(APH)(CH ₃ COO) ₂ | 1.37:1, 1.07:1, 1:1.57, 1:2.03 | 30 | pale green | 1 |
| 23 ^c | Cu(APH) ₃ (BF ₄) ₂ | 1:2.17, 1:2.71, 1:3.21, 1:4.01 | 30 ^f | green | 71 |
| 24 ^d | Cu(APH) ₂ SO ₄ | 1:1.91, 1:2.06 | 70 ^f | dark green | 13 |
| 25 | Cu(APH) ₃ SO ₄ | 1:3.51, 1:4.00 | 65 ^f | brown | 31 |
| 26 | Cd(APH)Br ₂ | 1.20:1, 1:1.51, 1:2.25, 1:3.17 | 90 | white | 20 |
| 27 | Cd(APH)I ₂ | 1.20:1 | 80 ^e | white | 38 |
| 28 | Cd(APH) ₂ I ₂ | 1:2.25, 1:3.40, 1:4.21 | 82 ^f | white | 37 |
| 29 | Cd(APH)(NO ₃) ₂ | 1.20:1 | 30 ^e | white | 77 |
| 30 | Cd(APH) ₂ (NO ₃) ₂ | 1:2.25, 1:3.43 | 85 ^f | pale orange | 44 |
| 31 | Hg(APH)Cl ₂ | 2.01:1, 1.22:1, 1:2.00, 1:3.17 | 80 | white | 2 |
| 32 | Hg(APH)Br ₂ | 1.99:1, 1:2.33, 1:3.40 | 81 | white | 2 |

^a Molar ratio.

^b Ni(APH)(NO₃)₂ could not be prepared in pure form.

^c Using metal salt: APH molar ratios lower than 1:2, e.g. 1:1.45, solids with poor analytical results were isolated.

^d Using a 1:1 metal salt: APH molar ratio a solid with poor analytical results was obtained.

^e Based on the ligand.

^f Based on the metal.

^g Values of molar conductivity for about 10⁻³ M solutions in DMSO.

^h The conductivities of most solutions change with time.

Physical properties of the complexes

Yields, colours and molar conductivity values are also given in Table 1. All the complexes gave excellent analytical results for M, X (X = Cl, Br, I, NCS), C, H and N. The complexes are polycrystalline or powder-like, stable in atmospheric conditions and readily soluble only in DMF and DMSO, frequently with colour changes; however, the 1:2 and 1:3 complexes have a moderate solubility in nitromethane. Only the Λ_M values of **22**, **31** and **32** in DMSO are in accord with these complexes being formulated as non-electrolytes [15]. The Λ_M values of the other complexes indicate ionization [15]. However, the facts that the conductivities of the solutions change with time, and that the solution and solid state *d-d* spectra of the Mn(II), Fe(III), Ni(II) and Cu(II) species differ, can be attributed to the strong donor capacity of DMSO, which frequently leads to displacement of anionic ligands and change of electrolyte type [15]. The Λ_M values of **12** and **23**, which are formulated as cationic $[M(APH)_3]^{2+}$ complexes, indicate 1:2 electrolytes [15], while **25** formulated as $[Cu(APII)_3]^{2+}SO_4^{2-}$ appears to be an 1:1 electrolyte in DMSO [15].

X-ray powder diffraction patterns indicate that each compound represents a definite complex, not merely mixtures of other stoichiometric types or starting materials. The data also indicate the formation of four pairs of isomorphous compounds; the first pair includes the complexes **2** and **4**, the second the complexes **8** and **10**, the third the complexes **9** and **11** and the fourth includes the compounds **31** and **32**. The pattern of **1** resembles that of **3**, but the two complexes are not exactly isostructural. It is worth noting that the X-ray powder pattern of **26** is completely different from that of **27**. The small number of diffraction lines observed for **1**, **3**, **8**, **10**, **14**, **26**, **31** and **32** may suggest polynuclear arrangements [16]. Because of the insolubility of the prepared complexes in suitable solvents, we were unable to obtain samples for single-crystal X-ray structural studies.

Infrared spectra

The principal IR bands of interest are listed in Table 2. The significant changes in the ligand bands upon complexing are the increases in $\nu(C=N)$, $\nu(N-N)$ and $\delta(Py)$ (in **22** the characteristic in-plane deformation band of the pyridine ring does not shift) and the absence of large systematic shifts of the $\nu_{as}(NH_2)$, $\nu_s(NH_2)$ and $\delta(NH_2)$ modes to lower frequencies. These data indicate coordination through nitrogens of the azomethine group (N^1) [2,6,9,10] and pyridine ring [17], and no interaction between the terminal amino nitrogen (N^2) and the metal ions. We thus believe APH to belong to the class of chelating ligands containing the $-N=C-C=N-$ grouping.

The IR spectra of **14** and **15** exhibit $\nu(CN)$, $\nu(CS)$ and $\delta(NCS)$ modes in the regions characteristic of bridging thiocyanates and terminal N-bonded

isothiocyanate groups respectively [18]. In the spectra of **5**, **13**, **21** and **30**, the vibrational fundamentals of the nitrate groups are strongly indicative of the presence of monodentate nitrates, because the separation of the two highest frequency bands, shown in Table 2, is lower than 150 cm^{-1} [18,19]. The complexes **20** and **29** seem to contain two chelated bidentate nitrate groups, as the above separation is 212 and 180 cm^{-1} respectively [18,19]. The possibility of bridging nitrate groups is ruled out, because the highest frequency nitrate mode appears below 1550 cm^{-1} [20]; also the absence of a single strong band in the $1350\text{--}1400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region confirms that ionic D_{3h} nitrates are absent [20]. The frequencies of the ν_3 and ν_4 BF_4^- modes in the spectrum of **23** are indicative of non-coordinated tetrafluoroborate anions [18]. The number and the frequencies of the S–O stretching bands in the spectrum of **24** are indicative of the presence of a bidentate chelating C_{2v} sulphato ligand [18,19], while in the spectrum of **25** indicate an ionic T_d sulphate group [18,19]. The frequencies of the $\nu_{as}(\text{CO}_2)$ and $\nu_s(\text{CO}_2)$ bands in **22** indicate symmetrically bridging acetate groups [21].

The number and the frequencies of the $\nu(\text{MX})$ far-IR bands ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{NCS}, \text{ONO}_2$) fully support [2,3,6,8–11,16,17–19,22–26] the proposed structures of the complexes (see Conclusions). The far-IR spectra of **16**, **18** and **27** show the two $\nu(\text{M}–\text{X})$ bands in the region expected for pseudo-tetrahedral monomeric stereochemistry. A medium to strong M- and X-sensitive band at a frequency lower than 295 cm^{-1} in the spectra of **2**, **5**, **9**, **13**, **15**, **21** and **30** arises from the $\nu(\text{M}–\text{X})_t$ vibration in a monomeric *trans* octahedral structure, while the two $\nu(\text{M}–\text{X})_t$ bands in **17**, **20** and **29** indicate a *cis* octahedral structure. The far-IR spectrum of **6** is indicative of the presence of both terminal and bridging Fe(III)–Cl bonds, suggesting a dimeric or polymeric structure. The formulation $[\text{Fe}(\text{APH})_2\text{Cl}_2]^+[\text{FeCl}_4]^-$ can be ruled out because the strong IR-active ν_3 stretching Fe–Cl band of the tetrahedral $[\text{FeCl}_4]^-$ ion is not observed around 370 cm^{-1} . A monomeric 5-coordinate $[\text{Fe}(\text{APH})\text{Cl}_3]$ species (C_s or C_{2v} point group) can also be ruled out because such a structure should imply three $\nu(\text{Fe}–\text{Cl})_t$ vibrations. For **7**, the complicated far-IR spectrum and the uncertainty about the positions of the Fe–N vibrations prohibited a detailed analysis. The far-IR spectrum of **28** exhibits no bands attributable to Cd–I stretching modes. The far-IR spectra of **26**, **31** and **32** seem incompatible with a monomeric skeleton, but they can be explained on the basis of polymeric halide-bridged structural units while the complexes still contain terminal M–X bonds. The three $\nu(\text{CdBr})_6$ bands observed in **26** may suggest that this complex has the same structure as $\text{Cd}(\text{dmpd})\text{Br}_2$ ($\text{dmpd} = 2,2\text{-dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine}$) [22], where a N_2CdBr_4 skeleton exists formed by the two nitrogen atoms, one terminal bromine atom and three bridging bromine atoms shared among three metal atoms. If an idealized C_s symmetry is assumed, three $\nu(\text{CdBr})_6$ bands at frequencies lower than 170 cm^{-1} and one $\nu(\text{Cd}–\text{Br})_t$ in the $200\text{--}180\text{ cm}^{-1}$ spectral range are expected. These bands are observed in **26**.

TABLE 2

Diagnostic infrared data (cm⁻¹) of 2-acetylpyridine hydrazone and its metal complexes

| Comp. | $\nu(\text{C}=\text{N}_2)$ (+ ring stretch vibration) | $\nu(\text{N}-\text{N})$ | Ring deformation | | Anion bands ^b | | $\nu_1(\text{A}_1)$ | $\nu_4(\text{B}_2)$ (+ ring stretch vibr.) | $\nu_4(\text{B}_2)$ | Far-IR bands ^{g,h} | |
|-------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | bands in- plane | out-of- plane | $\nu(\text{CN})$ | $\nu(\text{CS})$ | $\delta(\text{NCS})$ | | | $\nu(\text{M}-\text{X})_t$ | $\nu(\text{MX})_b$ |
| APH | 1569s ^a | 1135s | 620m | 402m | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1602s | 1173s | 642m | 418m | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1608vs | 1164vs | 638m | 412m | | | | | | 222s | |
| 3 | 1596vs | 1163s | 638m | 416m | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 1608vs | 1170s | 640m | 415m | | | | | | < 200 | |
| 5 | 1601vs | 1170sb | 639m | 410m | | | | | | 255s | |
| 6 | 1573m ^a | 1169m | 652m | 430m | | | | 1298m | 1435ms | 323vsb | 235m |
| 7 | 1572m ^a | 1165m | 648m | 430m | | | | | | n.a. | n.a. |
| 8 | 1591vs | 1169vs | 642m | 425m | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 1593vs | 1153m | 641m | 419m | | | | | | 225s | |
| 10 | 1590vs | 1168vs | 641m | 420m | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 1592vs | 1152m | 641m | 419m | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 1595vs | 1163s | 633m | 414m | | | | | | | |
| 13 | 1596vs | 1158m | 641w | 413w | | | | | | | |
| 14 | 1579m | 1159m | 641m | 419m | 2110vs, 2102vs | 777vs | 430m | 1317s | 1420s | 271m | 243m |
| 15 | 1590vs | 1155s | 640m | 418m | 2070vs | obs. | 469m | | | | |
| 16 | 1591vs | 1162s | 646m | 412m | | | | | | 264m | |
| 17 | 1592vs | 1161m | 639m | 405w | | | | | | 301vs, 278m | |
| 18 | 1580sh ^a | 1159s | 644m | 412m | | | | | | 267m, 244m, 260m, 242m | |

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra

Table 3 gives the diagnostic ^1H NMR chemical shifts of APH and its diamagnetic complexes in d_6 -DMSO (downfield from TMS). The study was based on comparisons with a number of related hydrazone ligands and complexes [6,27] and on deuterium exchange experiments. In the spectra of the diamagnetic complexes the $-\text{NH}_2$ signal undergoes only a marginal shift to indicate the ion-involvement of the terminal amino nitrogen in coordination; a large downfield shift would be expected if coordination had occurred [16].

The spectra of **26–32** show a small downfield shift of the methyl and 6-pyridyl protons, compared to the corresponding signals in the free ligand; this fact supports the coordination of azomethine and ring nitrogen atoms to Cd(II) and Hg(II) [28]. NMR evidences for hydrazo–azo tautomeric structures were not found [27].

Magnetochemical and ligand field spectral studies

Table 4 gives the room temperature magnetic moments and details of the solid state (diffuse reflectance) spectra of the prepared complexes. The μ_{eff} values of the Mn(II) , Fe(III) and Ni(II) complexes show that these are all high spin; the small orbital contribution in the $t_{2g}^6 e_g^2$ Ni(II) case indicates six-coordinate structures [29]. The electronic spectra of the Mn(II) , Fe(III) and Ni(II) complexes can also be assigned to d–d transitions in pseudo-octahedral structures [29,30]. The band positions and $10Dq$ values in the Ni(II) complexes indicate [30,31] that the ligand field changes from N_2X_4 in **8** and **10** to N_4X_2 in **9**, **11** and **13**, and to N_6 in **12** and **15** ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{ONO}_2$).

TABLE 3

Diagnostic ^1H NMR data (δ , ppm) ^a of APH and its diamagnetic complexes in d_6 -DMSO

| Complex | $-\text{CH}_3$ | $-\text{NH}_2$ | H_6 |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|
| APH | 2.13s | 6.83sb | 8.45d |
| 26 | 2.24s | 7.10sb ^b | 8.57d |
| 27 | 2.25s | 7.02sb ^b | 8.58d |
| 28 | 2.22s | 6.94sb | 8.52d |
| 29 | 2.27s | 7.03sb ^b | 8.58d |
| 30 | 2.22s | 6.90sb | 8.58d |
| 31 | 2.23s | 7.28sb ^b | 8.54d |
| 32 | 2.25s | 7.37sb ^b | 8.53d |

^a The spectra were run approximately 1 h after dissolution.

^b If the spectra are run immediately after dissolution this signal is slightly shifted upfield.
s = singlet; d = doublet; b = broad.

The room temperature μ_{eff} values indicate that the Cu(II) complexes prepared, except **22**, are magnetically dilute. The d–d spectra of **16** and **18** are indicative of pseudo-tetrahedral stereochemistry [16,30,32]. The proposed pseudo-tetrahedral stereochemistry is further supported by their large μ_{eff} values [32]. The d–d spectra of **17**, **19**, **20**, **21** and **23–25** are fairly typical of distorted six-coordinate Cu(II) complexes [30]. Although the complexes **23** and **25** seem to contain the same chromophore (CuN_6), they exhibit different d–d spectra at room temperature. This is due to the fact that the factors which determine structure in Cu(II) chemistry can be very subtle and certainly include crystal lattice forces, so that a given cationic, for example $[\text{Cu}(\text{APH})_3]^{2+}$, or anionic species may have a structure or a degree of distortion which is variable and depends on the counter ion [30]. The electronic spectrum of **22**, in both d–d and CT regions, is characteristic of copper(II) acetate dimers of the $\text{Cu}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_4\text{L}_2$ type, where L is a monodentate N-donor ligand [33].

Electron spin resonance spectra

ESR data for the Cu(II) complexes obtained from powdered samples are presented in Table 5. The g values of **16** and **18** are in the range reported for flattened pseudo-tetrahedral Cu(II) complexes [34]. The spectra of **17** and **25** are rhombic in character, exhibiting three clearly resolved peaks. The calculated R values indicate a d_{z^2} ground state for **17** and suggest $d_{x^2-y^2}$ for **25** [7,35]. The lowest g value of **17** is very close to 2.00; this may suggest a compressed rhombic octahedral stereochemistry [35,36]. The X-band room temperature polycrystalline ESR spectra of **20**, **21**, **23** and **24** are typical of those reported for axial type Cu(II) complexes, with two g values $g_{\parallel} > g_{\perp} > 2.00$, suggesting a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ (or d_{xy}) ground state and consistent with elongated tetragonal octahedral stereochemistries [7,36]. The calculated G values lie in the 4.6–5.2 region and, thus, the extent of interaction between Cu(II) centres is negligible [37]. The ESR spectrum obtained for **19** is quite different from that normally observed for tetragonally distorted octahedral Cu(II) complexes. Indeed, an axial “reversed” spectrum was observed with $g_{\perp} > g_{\parallel}$. Two possibilities exist [38]. One is that the single-ion electronic ground state of the Cu(II) ions is largely d_{z^2} ; the other possibility is that the single-ion ground state is largely $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and the observed resonance is due to sites which are not equivalent within the unit cell and misalignment of the tetragonal axes. Because powder spectra can only yield information about the crystal g tensors [38] and as the molecular structure of **19** is not known, an additional analysis of the observed “reversed” spectrum is not possible.

We observed no transitions in the $M = 2$ region of the spectra (i.e. at about 1600G) for any of the complexes discussed above. Thus, binuclear structures can be ruled out [35,36]. The X-band ESR spectrum of **22**, not

TABLE 4

Solid state magnetic moments and electronic spectral data in the 29400–11230 cm^{-1} region for the Mn(II), Fe(III), Ni(II) and Cu(II) complexes of 2-acetylpyridine hydrazone

| Complex | μ_{eff} (BM) | Solid state ^b electronic (diffuse reflectance) spectra (10 ³ cm ⁻¹) | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---------|
| Octahedral Mn(II) | | | | | | | |
| complexes | | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ E _g (D) | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ T _{2g} (D) | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ T _{2g} (G) | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ T _{1g} (G) | | |
| 1 | 6.06 | 28.99 | 26.53sh | 21.28 | 18.52sh | | |
| 2 | 5.91 | 28.01 | | 20.92 | | | |
| 3 | 5.85 | | | 21.74sh | 15.80sh | | |
| 4 | 5.76 | | | 21.60 | 15.81sh | | |
| 5 | 6.05 | 28.90 | | 20.70sh | 15.55sh | | |
| Octahedral Fe(III) | | | | | | | |
| complexes | | LMCT | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ T _{2g} (D) | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ A _{1g} , ⁴ E _g (G) | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ T _{2g} (G) | ⁶ A _{1g} → ⁴ T _{1g} (G) | |
| 6 | 5.97 | 22.88 | 27.78 | 24.81 | 20.41sh | 12.00 | |
| 7 | 5.71 | 23.26 | 27.02 | 24.85 | 20.40 | | |
| Octahedral Ni(II) | | | | | | | |
| complexes | | ³ A _{2g} → ³ T _{1g} (P) | ³ A _{2g} → ¹ T _{2g} ^d | ³ A _{2g} → ³ T _{1g} (F) | ³ A _{2g} → ¹ E _g ^d | ³ A _{2g} → ³ T _{2g} | β |
| 8 | 3.30 | 25.00 | | 13.89 | | ^c | 0.90 |
| 9 | 3.14 | 28.17 | 18.52sh | 16.95 | | ^c | 0.89 |
| 10 | 3.18 | 25.06 | 20.70sh | 14.26 | | ^c | 0.88 |
| 11 | 3.16 | 27.78 | 19.05sh | 16.81 | | ^c | 0.86 |
| | | | | | | 10Dq (cm ⁻¹) | |
| | | | | | | 8360 | |
| | | | | | | 929 | |
| | | | | | | 10460 | |
| | | | | | | 8590 | |
| | | | | | | 10415 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----|------|
| 12 | 3.08 | 28.98 | 20.31 | 12.51 | 12510 | 719 | 0.70 |
| 13 | 3.36 | 28.74 | 17.70 | c | 11050 | 884 | 0.86 |
| 14 | 3.10 | 29.00 | 17.45 | c | 10730 | 942 | 0.91 |
| 15 | 3.18 | 28.24 | 18.87 | 12.12, 11.69 | 11905 | 735 | 0.71 |
| Cu(II) complexes | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 2.61 | LMCT 27.78, 25.00sh | d-d 14.92sh, 12.99, 12.12sh | Other bands | | | |
| 17 | 1.88 | 27.47, 24.09sh | 13.42 | | | | |
| 18 | 2.06 | 27.40sh, 20.62, 19.80 | 14.81, 13.70, 12.19 | | | | |
| 19 | 1.90 | 26.67, 25.10sh, 20.83 | 12.82 | | | | |
| 20 | 1.93 | 29.41 | 13.80 | | | | |
| 21 | 1.83 | 28.17 | 15.50 | | | | |
| 22 | 1.57 | 26.67 | 13.99 | | | | |
| 23 | 1.91 | | 15.49 | 28.95, 27.03sh | | | |
| 24 | 1.86 | 27.03, 24.69 | 14.49 | | | | |
| 25 | 2.07 | | 18.96, 15.04 | 25.97 | | | |

^a Per metal ion.

^b Measured at room temperature.

^c Below the lowest energy limit of the instrument used.

^d Spin-forbidden bands frequently observed in octahedral Ni(II) complexes.

LMCT = ligand-to-metal charge transfer; sh = shoulder.

TABLE 5

X-band room temperature ESR data for the polycrystalline Cu(II) complexes of 2-acetylpyridine hydrazone

| Complex | g_1 | g_{\perp} | g_2 | g_{\parallel} | g_3 | $\langle g \rangle^a$ | G^b | R^c |
|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| 16 | | 2.020 | | 2.130 | | 2.056 | | |
| 18 | | 2.031 | | 2.153 | | 2.071 | | |
| 17 | 2.017 | | 2.199 | | 2.284 | 2.167 | | 2.14 |
| 25 | 2.060 | | 2.183 | | 2.274 | 2.172 | | 1.35 |
| 19 | | 2.157 | | 2.041 | | 2.118 | | |
| 20 | | 2.042 | | 2.201 | | 2.095 | 4.79 | |
| 21 | | 2.038 | | 2.196 | | 2.091 | 5.16 | |
| 23 | | 2.065 | | 2.299 | | 2.143 | 4.60 | |
| 24 | | 2.053 | | 2.245 | | 2.117 | 4.62 | |

$$^a \langle g \rangle = \frac{1}{3}g_{\parallel} + \frac{2}{3}g_{\perp} \text{ or } \frac{1}{3}(g_1 + g_2 + g_3).$$

$$^b G = \frac{g_{\parallel} - 2}{g_{\perp} - 2}.$$

$$^c R = \frac{g_2 - g_1}{g_3 - g_2}.$$

included in Table 5, is very similar to those of $[\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_2$ and $[\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2\text{L}]_2$ [33,39], where L is a monodentate N-donor ligand, thus confirming that this complex has an acetate-type binuclear structure with axial symmetry. The absorption at 1600G is assigned to the $\Delta M_s = \pm 2$ in the copper(II) dimer.

Thermal studies

The thermal decomposition of the prepared complexes was also studied using mainly TG/DTG techniques. The data for the Mn(II), Fe(III), Cu(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) compounds present no special interest as their decomposition is characterized by rather complex degradation mechanisms; all the complexes decompose without the formation of stable intermediates, as no stoichiometric compounds can be assigned to the curves' inflections. CAUTION: complex **20** explodes violently at around 135°C.

Some of the Ni(II) complexes of APH decompose via stable intermediates. Data are presented in Table 6. The TG and DTG curves of **9** show a first mass loss between 250 and 297°C, which exactly corresponds to the release of one ligand molecule. The IR and d-d spectra, the μ_{eff} value and the X-ray powder pattern of the product obtained after a TG experiment up to 300°C (**9a**) are exactly the same as the corresponding data for complex **8** isolated by synthetic procedures. The DTA profile for the transformation of bis \rightarrow mono species, being an endotherm followed by an exotherm, suggests that the decomposition may take place with geometrical isomerization [41]. A clear plateau is not reached above 300°C, because a new decomposition starts immediately. There is a second very distinct inflection in the TG curve

TABLE 6

Thermal decomposition data ^a for the Ni(II) complexes of APH

| Decomposition reaction | Temperature range (°C) | E_a^c (kJ mol ⁻¹) |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ni(APH)Cl ₂ (8) → Ni(APH) _{0.5} Cl ₂ (8a) | 300–335 | |
| Ni(APH) _{0.5} Cl ₂ (8a) → NiO | 375–537 | d |
| Ni(APH) ₂ Cl ₂ (9) → Ni(APH)Cl ₂ (9a) | 250–297 | |
| Ni(APH)Cl ₂ (9a) → Ni(APH) _{0.5} Cl ₂ (9b) | 300–337 | d |
| Ni(APH) _{0.5} Cl ₂ (9b) → NiO | 380–551 | |
| Ni(APH)Br ₂ (10) → Ni(APH) _{0.5} Br ₂ (10a) | 285–332 | |
| Ni(APH) _{0.5} Br ₂ (10a) → NiO | 373–572 | d |
| Ni(APH) ₂ Br ₂ (11) → Ni(APH)Br ₂ (11a) | 279–307 | |
| Ni(APH)Br ₂ (11a) → Ni(APH) _{0.5} Br ₂ (11b) | 308–336 | d |
| Ni(APH) _{0.5} Br ₂ (11b) → NiO ^b | 381–569 | |
| Ni(APH) ₃ Br ₂ (12) → Ni(APH) ₂ Br ₂ (12a) ^b | 220–260 | |
| Ni(APH) ₂ Br ₂ (12a) → Ni(APH)Br ₂ (12b) | 285–321 | d |
| Ni(APH)Br ₂ (12b) → NiO ^b | 390–626 | |
| Ni(APH)(NCS) ₂ (14) → Ni(NCS) ₂ | 275–330 | 122 |
| Ni(APH) ₂ (NCS) ₂ (15) → Ni(APH)(NCS) ₂ (15a) | 225–271 | 98 |
| Ni(APH)(NCS) ₂ (15a) → Ni(NCS) ₂ | 280–328 | 128 |

^a Based on TG/DTG data.^b These decompositions occur in two steps.^c Determined as described in ref. 40.^d No data available.

From the overall study it is concluded that from a structural point of view **8** = **9a**, **8a** = **9b**, **10a** = **11b**, **11** = **12a**, **14** = **15a**, but **10** ≠ **12b** (see also text).

between 300 and 337°C. The weight loss in this step corresponds very well with the formation of Ni(APH)_{0.5}Cl₂. A plateau is reached at about 340 up to 370°C. The product obtained after a TG experiment up to 350°C (**9b**) was isolated. It has the same spectroscopic (IR, d–d) and magnetochemical characteristics as those of the analogous product (**8a**) obtained from the thermal decomposition of **8**; **8a** could also be isolated. The magnetochemical ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 3.80$ BM at 17°C) and spectral d–d ($10Dq = 4310$ cm⁻¹) and far-IR ($\nu(\text{Ni–Cl})_t$ at 296 cm⁻¹ and $\nu(\text{NiCl})_b$ at 207 cm⁻¹) data indicate pseudo-tetrahedral stereochemistry with both terminal and bridging chlorides. The last curve inflection of **9** gives a final plateau above 550°C corresponding to the formation of NiO. The probable decomposition mechanism of **9** is shown in Fig. 1. Similar mechanistic paths have been proposed for the thermal decomposition of Ni(II) complexes with bidentate N-donor ligands [41,42]. Completely analogous thermal data were obtained for the pair of 1 : 2 and 1 : 1 bromo complexes **11** and **10**. It is a matter of interest that the intense blue hemi-species Ni(APH)_{0.5}X₂ (X = Cl, Br) have been isolated in pure form from solid-state reactions, whilst attempts for their preparation from solution have been unsuccessful (see Table 1).




Fig. 1. The thermal decomposition of $\text{Ni}(\text{APH})_2\text{Cl}_2$ (9).

The TG and DTG curves of **12** show a first mass loss in two steps between 220 and 260 °C, which corresponds to the release of one ligand molecule. A plateau is reached at 265 °C up to 282 °C. The stable intermediate **12a** was isolated by the temperature arrest technique in nitrogen atmosphere. The magnetic, spectral and powder X-ray data of **12a** are the same as the corresponding data of **11** isolated by the synthetic procedure. There is a second very distinct inflection in the TG curve between 285 and 321 °C. The weight loss in this step corresponds to the formation of a product with the formula Ni(APH)Br₂. A plateau is reached at 325 °C up to about 385 °C. The product isolated after a TG experiment up to 340 °C (**12b**) has different magnetic, spectral and powder X-ray diffraction characteristics compared with those of **10**, which was isolated by synthesis. This indicates that the two solids with the Ni(APH)Br₂ formula (**10,12b**) have different structures. It is worth noting, however, that the two solids **10** and **12b** have d–d spectra and μ_{eff} values characteristic of octahedral environments around Ni(II). The product **12b** starts to decompose at 390 °C and transforms into NiO at 626 °C in two steps through a stable (between 418 and 485 °C) non-stoichiometric intermediate.


The mono ligand complex **14** starts to decompose at 275 °C and transforms into Ni(NCS)₂ at 330 °C, possibly through an unstable non-isolable intermediate Ni(APH)_{0.5}(NCS)₂ as is indicated by a poorly resolved inflection at 305 °C in the TG curve; this inflection is not clearly seen in the TG curve of **15**. The complex **15** is converted into Ni(NCS)₂ in two steps via the formation of Ni(APH)(NCS)₂. This intermediate was unstable; however, it could be isolated at about 275 °C by keeping the rate of heating at 1 °C

min^{-1} . The isolated solid (**15a**) has the same magnetic and spectral characteristics as those of **14**. Similar decomposition processes have been observed with diamine complexes of nickel(II) thiocyanate [5,43]. Finally, the complex **13** decomposes without the formation of stable intermediates; so, the 1:1 nitrate complex, which was not isolated by synthesis, cannot be prepared from the 1:2 complex by thermal decomposition.

CONCLUSIONS


From the overall study presented above, it is concluded that in all the new complexes prepared, except **22**, APH behaves as a bidentate chelate ligand bonding through both the pyridine and methine (N^1) nitrogen atoms. The N^1 coordination is the usual bonding mode of hydrazone ligands to metals; coordination from N^2 is known to occur when very bulky substituents occur on the iminic carbon atom [44]. In **22** the ligand exhibits a monodentate behaviour, the bonding site being N^1 .

Several stereochemistries are assigned for the APH complexes in the solid state. The tetrahedral complexes **16**, **18** and **27** are believed to have the following structure:



16, 18, 27


Synthetic and spectroscopic data suggest that **28** consists of the monomeric pseudo-tetrahedral CdN_2N_2 entity formed by two chelating APH ligands without coordination of the iodide ions. The complexes, **2**, **4**, **5**, **9**, **11**, **13**, **15**, **21** and **30** are almost certainly neutral bis-chelates; the monomeric *trans*-octahedral structural type shown below



2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 21, 30; M =

Mn, Ni, Cu, Cd; X = Cl, Br, ONO_2 , NCS


is assigned for them. All data for **12** and **23** suggest a monomeric *cis*-octahedral stereochemistry with a MN_6 chromophore ($M = Ni, Cu$); **23**, although *cis*-octahedral, appears to have a *trans* elongated distortion [45]. The compounds **17** and **25** have monomeric six-coordinate rhombic geometries with *cis*- CuN_4Cl_2 and *cis*- CuN_6 chromophores respectively. The complex ions $[Cu(APH)_3]^{2+}$ of **23** and **25** are probably distortion isomers [36]. Monomeric *cis*-octahedral stereochemistries with N_2O_4 (**20,29**) and N_4O_2 (**24**) environments are proposed for **20**, **24** and **29**; the $Cu(II)$ complexes appear to be axially elongated. For **22**, a dimeric structure is assigned with two $Cu(II)$ atoms bridged in pairs by four acetato groups to form a binuclear molecule, similar to that found in copper(II) acetate monohydrate [46], the oxygen of water being replaced here by the methine nitrogen of monodentate APH at the apical position of the coordination polyhedron. The complex **6** has a dimeric or polymeric pseudo-octahedral structure with the presence of both terminal and bridging chlorides. The d-d spectrum of



Scheme 1.

the dark brown complex **7** is indicative of an octahedral stereochemistry but its confused far-IR spectral region did not allow us to assign a more specific structural type. Polymeric octahedral structures are proposed for **1**, **3**, **8**, **10**, **14**, **26**, **31** and **32** formed by chelating APH molecules and exclusively bridging (**1**, **3**, **8**, **10**, **14**) or simultaneously bridging and terminal (**26**, **31**, **32**) anionic ligands.

We comment further on the possible structure of $\text{Ni}(\text{APH})(\text{NCS})_2$ (**14**), because thiocyanate-bridged transition-metal octahedral polymers of the type $\text{ML}(\text{NCS})_2$, where L is bidentate chelating N-donor ligand, exhibit very interesting and quite variable magnetic-exchange behaviour [47]. Our studies by optical and IR spectroscopy, as well as by the μ_{eff} value at room temperature, indicated that **14** is a polymer with six-coordinate metal centres and bridging thiocyanate ions. So, structures consisting of (a) discrete molecules of $\text{Ni}(\text{APH})(\text{NCS})_2$, i.e. a four-coordinate Ni(II) monomer, either



Scheme 2.

square planar or more likely pseudo-tetrahedral, or (b) discrete dimers containing pairs of five-coordinate Ni(II) centres resulting from both bridging and terminal thiocyanates, i.e. a dimer [(APH)(SCN)Ni(SCN)₂Ni(NCS)(APH)] (a dimer containing six-coordinate Ni(II) requires the presence of four thiocyanato bridges which has not ever been observed and will not be considered) can be ruled out with certainty. The two remaining reasonable general structural possibilities are as follows: (c) an infinite zigzag-type polymer structure. The first possibility within c is the zigzag chain *cis*-thiocyanate-N, *cis*-thiocyanate-S (Scheme 1), proposed [47] for M(bpy)(NCS)₂ (M = Mn, Fe, Co and bpy = 2,2-bipyridine). There are two other zigzag-type structural possibilities that can be proposed based on our data (Scheme 2).

With the assumption that the nitrogens from APH lie in the equatorial plane of the metal octahedra with the requirements that the N atoms from APH are *cis* to each other and the N and S atoms from different thiocyanate groups that bridge the same metal atoms also lie *cis* to each other, the differences between the zigzag chains shown are the result of differences in the coordination position of the S atoms of bridging thiocyanato groups that bond to different metal atoms. In *trans*-thiocyanate-N, *cis*-thiocyanate-S, the S atoms lie in the plane of the octahedra, while in *cis*-thiocyanate-N, *trans*-thiocyanate-S they are located in the *trans* positions above and below the equatorial plane of the octahedra; the symmetry of the local coordination environment for both formulae is C_{2v}; and (d) an infinite helical polymer chain containing six-coordinate Ni(II) centres similar to that found for Ni(tam)₂(NCS)₂ (tam = thioacetamide) [47] which has the same bridging groups as the above linear chain polymers, but they are arranged in a near-orthogonal orientation forming a helical chain along the 3₁ screw axis. If Ni(APH)(NCS)₂ does have a type of helical chain similar to that of Ni(tam)₂(NCS)₂, one APH molecule can be thought of as replacing the two thioacetamide ligands giving a local coordination environment similar to that of the second zigzag-type structure. The present results do not allow for further refinement of our choice between (c) and (d).

Finally, it is clear from the foregoing work that the thermal decomposition of monomeric Ni(II)/APH complexes results in condensation to polymeric species. This is fully consistent with metal centres avoiding coordinative unsaturation through anion bridging in the absence of steric hindrance from the organic ligand.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The far-IR results of this work were obtained at the Laboratorium Anorganische Scheikunde, Rijksuniversitair Centrum Antwerpen, Belgium; we wish to thank Prof. H.O. Desseyen for these conveniences.

REFERENCES

- 1 M. Katyal and Y. Dutt, *Talanta*, 22 (1975) 151.
- 2 J. Markopoulos, O. Markopoulou, D. Bethell and D. Nicholls, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 122 (1986) L15.
- 3 M. Mohan, N.S. Gupta, A. Kumar and M. Kumar, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 135 (1987) 167, and references therein.
- 4 A.E.-A.E.-S. Fouda, A.E.-M. El-Asklany, L.H. Madkour and K.M. Ibrahim, *Acta Chim. Hung.*, 124 (1987) 377, and references therein.
- 5 L.K. Singh and S. Mitra, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1987) 2089.
- 6 D. Kovala-Demertzi, D. Nicholls and K. Tracey, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 85 (1984) 143, and references therein.
- 7 A.M. Hindawey, A. El-Dissouky and A. Abdel-Salam, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 113 (1983) 61.
- 8 S.K. Jain, B.S. Garg and Y.K. Bhoom, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 42 (1986) 959, and references therein.
- 9 A. El-Dissouky, A.M. Hindawey and A. Abdel-Salam, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 119 (1986) 207, and references therein.
- 10 D. Kovala-Demertzi and D. Nicholls, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 73 (1983) 37.
- 11 V. Hondrellis, S.P. Perlepes, Th.A. Kabanos and J.M. Tsangaris, *Synth. React. Inorg. Met-Org. Chem.*, 18 (1988) 83.
- 12 S.P. Perlepes, P. Jacobs, H.O. Desseyn and J.M. Tsangaris, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 43 (1987) 771.
- 13 L.F. Lindoy and S.E. Livingstone, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2 (1967) 173.
- 14 E. Uhlig, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 10 (1973) 227.
- 15 W.J. Geary, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 7 (1971) 81.
- 16 S.P. Perlepes, Th. Kabanos, V. Lazaridou and J.M. Tsangaris, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 117 (1986) 27.
- 17 R.J. Clark and C.S. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4 (1965) 350.
- 18 K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 4th edn., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1986, pp. 248–251, 254–257, 282–287, 324–331.
- 19 J.R. Ferraro, *Low-Frequency Vibrations of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, Plenum, New York, 1971, pp. 77–84, 111–182.
- 20 U. Casellato, P.A. Vigato and M. Vidali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 36 (1981) 183.
- 21 G.B. Deacon and R.J. Phillips, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 33 (1980) 227.
- 22 F. Cariati, G. Ciani, L. Menabue, G.C. Pellacani, G. Rassu and A. Sironi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22 (1983) 1987.
- 23 M. Goldstein, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 31 (1978) L425, and references therein.
- 24 M. Goldstein and R.J. Hughes, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 40 (1980) 229.
- 25 J.G. Vos, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 99 (1985) 117.
- 26 M. Massaccesi, G. Paschina, G. Ponticelli, M.N. Chary and B.A. Sastry, *Spectrochim. Acta*, 36A (1980) 143.
- 27 A. El-Dissouky, A.M. Hindawey and A. Abdel-Salam, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 118 (1986) 109.
- 28 M. Nonoyama, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 7 (1982) 281.
- 29 F.A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry*, 5th edn., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988, pp. 702, 720, 744–748.
- 30 A.B.P. Lever, *Inorganic Electronic Spectroscopy*, 2nd edn., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 448–453, 507–544, 554–572.
- 31 J.A. Anten, D. Nicholls, J.M. Markopoulos and O. Markopoulou, *Polyhedron*, 6 (1987) 1075.
- 32 E.M. Gouge and J.F. Geldard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17 (1978) 270.
- 33 I.Y. Ahmed and A.L. Abu-Hijleh, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 61 (1982) 241.
- 34 W.M. Davis, A. Zask, K. Nakanishi and S.J. Lippard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 24 (1985) 3737.

- 35 E.W. Ainscough, A.M. Brodie and N.G. Larsen, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1982) 815.
- 36 B.J. Hathaway, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 35 (1981) 211.
- 37 B.J. Hathaway and A.A.G. Tomlinson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 5 (1970) 1.
- 38 W.E. Estes, D.P. Gavel, W.E. Hatfield and D.J. Hodgson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17 (1978) 1415.
- 39 A.C. Fabretti, G.C. Franchini and G. Peyronel, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 7 (1982) 306.
- 40 J.H. Flynn and L.A. Wall, *Polym. Letters*, 4 (1966) 323.
- 41 G. De, P.K. Biswas and N.R. Chaudhuri, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 56 (1983) 3145.
- 42 A. Ghosh, G. De and N.R. Chaudhuri, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 11 (1986) 81.
- 43 G. De and N.R. Chaudhuri, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 10 (1985) 476.
- 44 B. Galli, F. Gasparri, L. Maresca, G. Natile and G. Palmieri, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1983) 1483.
- 45 A. O'Leary, S. Tyagi and B.J. Hathaway, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 76 (1983) L89.
- 46 P. De Meester, S.R. Fletcher and A.C. Skapski, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1973) 2575.
- 47 B.W. Dockum and W.M. Reiff, *Inorg. Chem.*, 21 (1982) 2613, and references therein.