## 9-Alkylanthracene radical cations. An alternate route to apparent products of deprotonation

## J. M. Tanko\* and Yonghui Wang

Department of Chemistry, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0212, USA

## Side-chain oxidation products formed in the oxidation of 9-alkylanthracenes in MeOH–MeCN do not arise from radical cation deprotonation.

The removal of an electron from a hydrocarbon dramatically increases its acidity. For example, the  $pK_a$  of toluene drops from 41 to *ca.* -13 with the removal of a single electron. Consequently, there is a great deal of interest in the thermodynamic and kinetic acidity of radical cations.<sup>1-4</sup>

For an alkylaromatic radical cation, there are two competing pathways for reaction with a base (B:); side chain deprotonation (yielding a benzyl radical), and/or nucleophilic addition to the aromatic ring.<sup>5–8</sup> For alkylbenzenes, the side-chain deprotonation predominates. The effect of structure (of either the alkylbenzene or the base) on the rate of deprotonation has been extensively studied, and the deprotonation is believed to proceed *via* an early (reactant-like) transition state. Rate constants were successfully correlated to the Brønsted equation, with  $\alpha \approx 0.25-0.30$ .<sup>4,9</sup> The rate constant for deprotonation of PhCH<sub>3</sub><sup>++</sup> (H<sub>2</sub>O as base) is approximately  $1.0 \times 10^7$  m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1.6</sup>

In the case of 9-alkylanthracenes, the situation is more complicated. Thermodynamically, these radical cations are quite acidic (9-methylanthracene is estimated to have a  $pK_a$  of *ca.* -6.4),<sup>2</sup> however the literature is somewhat contradictory in terms of the extent to which these radical cations undergo deprotonation. In 1970, Parker reported that radical cations generated from 9-alkyl- and 9,10-dialkyl-anthracenes lead mainly to products derived from nucleophilic addition of solvent (water, alcohol, acetic acid, *etc.*) to the aromatic ring.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, when HOAc was the solvent and at elevated temperatures, the initially formed addition products were observed to eliminate HOAc and rearrange to yield a 'sidechain oxidation' product [eqn. (1)].<sup>10</sup> Similarly, Camaioni and



co-workers also found that a significant fraction of 'side-chain oxidation' products were derived from HOAc-addition products.<sup>7,11</sup> Others have generally ascribed the side-chain oxidation products as arising exclusively from deprotonation of a radical cation.<sup>12,13</sup>

We became interested in this problem as the result of a continuing study of the chemistry of radical cations derived from cyclopropylarenes.<sup>14</sup> Initially, using the radical cation generated from 9-cyclopropyl-10-methylanthracene **1a**, we had hoped to use the deprotonation reaction in an intramolecular competition to clock the rate of methanol-induced cyclopropane ring opening (Scheme 1).

Treatment of **1a** with 1.9 equiv. of ceric ammonium nitrate in MeCN–MeOH at 45 °C led to side-chain oxidation product **2a** and ring-oxidation product **3a** (Scheme 2) in 37 and 57% yield, respectively. However, when the reaction was conducted at 0 °C, **3a** was formed in 91% yield, and no **2a** was detected (mass



balances > 95%). This dramatic effect of temperature on the product ratio suggested that **2a** was *not* arising from the direct deprotonation of a radical cation.

In order to test this hypothesis, 9,10-dimethylanthracene **1b** (Scheme 2) was treated with 1.9 equiv. of Ce<sup>IV</sup> under a variety of conditions (Table 1), and essentially the same results were obtained: at 0 °C, only ring-oxidation product **3b** was found; at 45 °C approximately equal amounts of **2b** and **3b** were formed. In order to determine whether **2b** was formed from **3b**, the following control experiment was run: **1b** was allowed to react



Table 1 Products arising from treatment of 9,10-dimethylanthracene with  $Ce^{IV}$  under various conditions

Reaction conditions	<b>2b</b> (%)	<b>3b</b> (%)	
0 °C; 30 min	0.0	98.3	
45 °C; 30 min	40.8	53.0	
0 °C; 30 min	0.0	94.3	
then	12.0	10.0	
45 °C; 30 min	43.0	49.0	



Scheme 3 Note: To conserve space, only the central ring of the 9,10-disubstituted anthracene is shown

with Ce<sup>IV</sup> at 0 °C for 30 min, and the reaction mixture was divided into two portions. The first portion was subject to workup, and analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The only product detected was **3b**. The second portion, which was warmed to 45 °C for 30 min and subsequently subject to the same work-up, was found to contain **2b** and **3b** in approximately the same ratio as when **1b** was reacted with Ce<sup>IV</sup> at 45 °C.

The conversion  $3\mathbf{b} \rightarrow 2\mathbf{b}$  is apparently favored by higher reaction temperatures, and requires the presence of a Lewis acid. (3b is stable at 50 °C indefinitely. However, in the presence of a small amount of H<sup>+</sup>, 3b is quantitatively converted into 2b within 15 min.)

In the absence of MeOH, oxidation of **1b** at 0 °C, followed by work-up, leads exclusively to side-chain oxidation product **2c**. However, the failure to isolate **3c** is likely because of its instability. Indeed, when the reaction was conducted in  $CD_3CN$ and analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR *prior* to work-up, we found no resonances attributable to either **1b** or **2c**. We tentatively assign the observed resonances to **3c**. After work-up of the NMR sample, **2c** was the only observed product (85% yield). The presumed mechanism for these reactions is summarized in Scheme 3.

These results demonstrate that deprotonation (base = MeOH or  $NO_3^{-}$ ) of 9-alkylanthracene radical cations is slow<sup>+</sup> relative

to nucleophilic addition to the aromatic ring, and that little of the observed side-chain oxidation product can be attributed to deprotonation of the radical cation. The observed side-chain oxidation products actually arise from further reaction of the nucleophillic addition product. Conversion of this initially formed adduct to the side-chain oxidation product is facile in the presence of a Lewis acid and at higher reaction temperatures. Consequently, it is dangerous to draw mechanistic inferences pertaining to the deprotonation of 9-alkylanthracene radical cations based upon product analyses.<sup>‡</sup>

We gratefully acknowledge the National Science Foundation (CHE-9412814) for financial support

## **Footnotes and References**

\* E-mail: jtanko@vt.edu

† The fact that deprotonation of radical cations is sluggish despite a potent thermodynamic driving force has been noted in the literature, see refs. 9 and 12.

‡ A reviewer has noted that our reaction conditions are non-aqueous, and that these observations may not apply to aqueous systems.

- A. M. Nicholas and D. R. Arnold, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1982, **60**, 2165;
  A. M. Nicholas, R.J. Boyd and D. R. Arnold, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1982, **60**, 3011.
- F. G. Bordwell and J. Cheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 1792;
  X. Zhang and F. G. Bordwell, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 4163; X. Zhang,
  F. G. Bordwell, J. E. Bares, J. Cheng and B. C. Petrie, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 3051.
- 3 V. D. Parker, Y. Chao and B. Reitstöen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 2336.
- 4 E. Baciocchi, T. D. Giacco and F. Elisei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 12 290, and references therein.
- 5 V. D. Parker and L. Eberson, Acta. Chem. Scand., 1970, 24, 3542.
- 6 K. Sehested and J. Holcman, J. Phys. Chem., 1978, 82, 651.
- 7 L. A. Deardurff, M. S. Alnajjar and D. M. Camaioni, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3686.
- 8 E. Baciocchi, C. Rol and G. V. Sebastiani, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 1982, **112**, 513.
- 9 C. J. Schlesener, C. Amatore and J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 3567; C. J. Schlesener, C. Amatore and J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 7472, and references therein.
- 10 V. D. Parker, Acta Chem. Scand., 1970, 24, 3455; V. D. Parker, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1969, 873.
- 11 D. M. Camaioni, personal communication.
- L. M. Tolbert and R. K. Khanna, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 3477;
  L. M. Tolbert, R. K. Khanna, A. E. Popp, L. Gelbaum and L. A. Bottomley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 2373; P. Anzenbacker, T. Niwa, L. M. Tolbert, S. R. SIrimanne and F. P. Guengerich, Biochemistry, 1996, 35, 2512.
- 13 Z. Li and L. M. Tolbert, *Gaodeng Xuexiao Huaxue Xuebao*, 1995, 16, 380; Z. Li and L. M. Tolbert, *Acta Chimica Sinica*, 1995, 53, 1204; Z. Li and L. M. Tolbert, *Youji Huaxue*, 1995, 15, 303.
- 14 Y. Wang and J. M. Tanko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 8201.

Received in Corvallis, OR, USA, 3rd July 1997; 7/04730D