## Dalton Transactions

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 2720



# Quenching of tryptophan fluorescence in various proteins by a series of small nickel complexes<sup>†</sup>

Heather F. Crouse,<sup>a</sup> Julianne Potoma,<sup>a</sup> Farhat Nejrabi,<sup>b</sup> Deanna L. Snyder,<sup>a</sup> Balwant S. Chohan<sup>b</sup> and Swarna Basu<sup>\*a</sup>

*Received 13th November 2011, Accepted 22nd November 2011* DOI: 10.1039/c2dt12169g

A series of twelve anionic, cationic, and neutral nickel(II) complexes have been synthesized and characterized. The interaction of these complexes with bovine serum albumin (BSA), human serum albumin (HSA), lysozyme (Lyso), and tryptophan (Trp) has been studied using steady-state fluorescence spectroscopy. Dynamic and static quenching constants have been calculated, and the role played in quenching by the ligand and complex charge investigated. The nickel complexes showed selectivity towards the different proteins based on the environment surrounding the Trp residue(s). Only small neutral complexes with hydrophobic ligands effectively quenched protein fluorescence *via* static quenching, with association constants ranging from  $10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$  (free Trp) to  $10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$  (lysozyme), indicating a spontaneous and thermodynamically favorable interaction. The number of binding sites, on average, was determined to be one in BSA, HSA and free Trp, and two in lysozyme.

#### Introduction

Protein interactions play a fundamental role in many biochemical processes in both the healthy and the diseased state, including critical roles in signal transduction, immune reaction, cell cycle control, differentiation, and protein folding. In order to understand, probe and manipulate biological systems effectively there is a need to develop small molecules that influence or inhibit protein interactions through selective recognition.<sup>1,2</sup> Small metal complexes can potentially combine flexibility in ligand design with access to a wide and diverse range of coordination geometries, optical isomers and electronic states. This flexibility can be used to enable or prevent coordination of the metal complex to protein side chains or substrates.

#### A. Serum proteins

Several different transport proteins exist in blood plasma, but only albumin is able to bind and transport a wide diversity<sup>3–6</sup> of ligands with reversibility and high affinity. For many drugs, binding to serum albumin is a critical determinant of their distribution and pharmacokinetics.<sup>7–9</sup> HSA accounts for 60% of the measured serum proteins, thus is one of the most abundant

proteins in the circulatory system.<sup>10–13</sup> HSA consists of a single polypeptide chain of 585 amino acids, a scarcity of Trp and Met residues, and an abundance of Cys and charged residues.<sup>14–17</sup> BSA is a homologous globular protein, consisting of 583 amino acid residues in the mature form, and the sequence of BSA is 76% identical to that of HSA. While the crystal structure of HSA as well as its binding with fatty acids has been characterized, the crystallographic structure of BSA has not been determined to any sufficient resolution.<sup>16–19</sup> The albumins are composed of three structurally similar domains (I, II and III), each containing two subdomains (A and B) and stabilized by 17 disulphide bridges.<sup>11–15,20–24</sup> The flexible multidomain structures house a variety of binding sites, and allow for cooperativity and allosteric modulation, thus making HSA and BSA similar to multimeric proteins.

Albumins can reversibly bind with a number of molecules in the plasma through various interactions. Of the two major structurally selective binding sites, the binding affinity offered by site I is largely through hydrophobic interactions, whereas site II involves a combination of hydrophobic, hydrogen bonding, and electrostatic interactions.<sup>25,26</sup> Most strongly bound are mediumsized hydrophobic organic anions, including long-chain fatty acids, bilirubin and haematin. Hydrated monovalent cations do not associate to any significant extent, whereas hydrated divalent cations such as Ca<sup>2+</sup> strongly bind at select groups on the albumin molecule.<sup>27</sup> Information about the interaction of ions surrounded by various types of organic ligands with serum albumin can help reveal the role of albumins in the normal state and in the diseased state, and thus provide the basis for the rational design of efficient drugs, sensitizers, and new therapeutic approaches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Susquehanna University, 514 University Avenue Selinsgrove, PA 17870, USA. E-mail: basu@susqu.edu; Fax: 1-570-372-2752; Tel: 1-570-372-4223

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Penn State Harrisburg, 777 West Harrisburg Pike, Middletown, PA 17057, USA

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC reference numbers 830386–830389 and 853856. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c2dt12169g

#### B. Nickel and metal binding

Metals have important roles in biological systems ranging from essential to toxic, and as such the metal-binding capacity of albumins has been widely studied over the years,<sup>28–33</sup> including the affinity, stoichiometry and specificity of Ca(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), Cr(III), V(III), and Mo(VI) ions.<sup>34–46</sup> Although there is a lack of detailed structural information, four different metal sites have been described, and specificity is achieved by exploiting differences in the co-ordination chemistries of the preferred metal ions: (i) ATCUN site (N-terminal Cu/Ni-binding) motif at the N-terminus, the primary site<sup>47</sup> for Cu(II) and Ni(II); (ii) site A, the primary Zn(II)-binding site<sup>6</sup> that can also bind other +2 metal ions; (iii) site B, which displays a high affinity towards Cd(II), the location of which is unknown;<sup>36,38,40</sup> and (iv) the reduced thiol of Cys-34, which binds gold<sup>48</sup> and platinum<sup>49,50</sup> compounds.

Nickel enzymes were first discovered<sup>51</sup> in the 1970's, and although studies in rats indicate that Ni is an essential element for higher animals,<sup>52,53</sup> neither the source of the Ni requirement nor a single mammalian Ni-dependent enzyme has been identified. Although pure Ni itself is not toxic, Ni complexes can be highly toxic especially with long term contact exposure in humans.<sup>54,55</sup> Allergy to Ni is a phenomenon which has assumed growing importance in recent years.<sup>56</sup> According to current models, haptens penetrating the epidermis bind to carrier proteins found just under the skin, leading to an inflammatory reaction within the skin.<sup>57–63</sup> The role played by albumins in translocating Ni<sup>2+</sup> toward deeper epidermal layers has not been satisfactorily resolved, though it is of interest that (1) HSA is particularly abundant in skin, (2) it is a transporter of essential nutrients for epithelial cells in the absence of blood vessels, and (3) it is able to efficiently cross the epidermal basement membrane.<sup>64</sup> The investigation of the interaction of Ni complexes with serum albumins is imperative.

#### C. Fluorimetry

One way to measure the extent and accessibility of protein binding sites to small molecules involves fluorescence quenching. Information concerning the number of binding sites, the binding constant  $K_a$ , and the distance between donor and acceptor can be readily obtained using Stern-Volmer kinetics and Forster's theory.<sup>65–68</sup> The fluorescence signature of a protein derives from the aromatic residues. The fluorescence from the indole group in Trp is extremely sensitive to its environment, and is a convenient spectroscopic probe for the structure and rotational dynamics surrounding the Trp residue.<sup>66,69</sup> These Trp residues can undergo electronic excitation in the visible region, and the emission can be quenched via different mechanisms depending on the degree of "exposure" to the quencher.<sup>70,71</sup> Therefore, changes in the intrinsic fluorescence and the bimolecular quenching rate constant can be used to monitor structural changes in a protein, and reveal information on Trp accessibility and how deep it is buried in the protein.<sup>66</sup> In HSA, a Trp residue at position 214 is buried in the second helix of the second domain, in a hydrophobic fold. BSA has an additional Trp in position 131 which is found on the surface of the protein.13

The interaction of metal ions has led to our interest in studying the interaction of transition metal complexes with proteins. The primary focus has been on the effect that different ligand systems have on the interaction, particularly the drug-binding sites of BSA. Secondly, the possibility that fluorescence quenching of the protein is due to FRET (fluorescence resonance energy transfer) from the protein to the complex will lead to the calculation of intermolecular distances to help determine the proximity of the complex to the albumin proteins.<sup>13</sup> A recent study concerning the interaction of a fluorone-Mo(vi) complex with HSA and BSA<sup>72</sup> showed there were strong and significant interactions between the metal complex and the protein.<sup>73</sup> Others have recently reported that a Cr(III) complex, ([ $Cr(salprn)(H_2O)_2$ ] ClO<sub>4</sub>), is capable of quenching BSA via FRET leading to a nonspecific photocleavage of the protein.<sup>74,75</sup> We have recently shown that a Cr(III) complex, (R,R)-N,N'-bis(3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexane-diamino chromium(III), binds very tightly to serum albumins and lysozyme, and that the binding is highly specific and the quenching is static in nature and is not due to energy transfer.<sup>76</sup> Lysozyme is included in our studies since it is well characterized,<sup>77–79</sup> and contains multiple Trp residues that are important for enzymatic activity.<sup>80</sup> It is a single polypeptide chain of 129 amino acid residues, six of which are Trp residues at positions 28, 62, 63, 108, 111, and 123. The residues at 62, 63, and 108 are located deep in the active site. It is expected that each of the residues will be affected differently depending upon their accessibility, and thus contributing independently to the inherent fluorescence intensity.71,77,80-83

In this work, we have studied the interaction between a series of Ni(II) complexes (Fig. 1) and lysozyme, HSA and BSA, using fluorescence and UV-vis spectroscopic techniques under physiological conditions. The interaction between the Ni(II) complexes and free Trp was also investigated to illustrate the affinity of the complex for this particular amino acid residue, irrespective of environment. The results have allowed us to discuss the type, degree and nature of the interaction and binding between the protein and metal complexes with consideration to polarity, hydrophobicity, charge, and spin state of the metal complex.

#### Experimental

#### A. General procedures

Unless otherwise mentioned, all chemicals were of analytical reagent grade (Sigma-Aldrich or VWR). Synthetic procedures and manipulations were conducted using Schlenk techniques, under an Ar atmosphere. The solvents were either HPLC-grade or were dried and distilled using conventional<sup>84,85</sup> methods and stored under an Ar atmosphere. All proteins and Trp were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Stock solutions of proteins, Trp and Ni(II) complexes were prepared in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH 7.4). Synthesis and characterization data for all complexes (except for pertinent X-ray crystallography data) is reported in the SI (#1).†

#### B. Physical measurements: characterization

NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Anasazi-Varian 60 MHz FT spectrometer at Penn State Harrisburg, or a



**Fig. 1** Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes used in this study, 1. Ni-Pr-Et. 2. Ni-Pr-Pr. 3. Ni-Pr-Pr-Iodo. 4. Ni( $pya_2tn$ )Cl<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O. 5. Ni-(en)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. 6. Ni-Pr-Et-Iodo. 7. Ni ( $pya_2tn$ -OH)Cl<sub>2</sub>. 8. Ni(EDTA)-H<sub>2</sub>O-Na. 9. Ni-Et-Et. 10. Ni-Et-Pr. 11. Ni(en)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O. 12. Ni(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. [Pr = propyl, Et = ethyl, en = ethylenediamine, tn = trimethylene diamine, EDTA = ethylenediaminetetraacetate, pya = Schiff base ligand derived from pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde and 1,3-diaminopropane ( $pya_2tn$ )].

Bruker AC200 FT at UMass-Amherst, or a Bruker 500 MHz FT spectrometer at Penn State Hershey Medical Center. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to an internal standard of TMS. FTIR data for solid samples (as KBr disks) and liquid samples (neat) were obtained on a Jasco 4000 or a Perkin Elmer 783 IR spectrometer. The IR spectral peaks were calibrated with polystyrene, and are reported in wavenumbers. Relative intensities of the bands in a spectrum are indicated (w = weak, m = medium, s = strong, vs = very strong, br = broad). Solid-state magnetic susceptibilities were obtained on Johnson Matthey (MK-1 and MSB-1) magnetic susceptibility Gouy balances. The Evans technique for measurement of solution magnetic moments was also employed,<sup>86,87</sup> using coaxial NMR tubes. Electrospray mass spectrometry (ESI-MS and (MS)<sup>n</sup>) measurements were made at UMass using an Esquire LC/MS 32 instrument, or at Penn State using an Applied Biosystems API 150EX instrument. The m/z values are given to 4 significant figures. The reported m/z values in the synthetic section correspond to the most intense peak in the isotopic cluster, and the calculated and theoretical m/z values are based on isotopic masses (on the weight of the most common isotopes, monoisotopic mass). In some cases the 'most intense peak' value and the calculated 'common isotope' value may not necessarily be the same. Samples for ESI-MS analysis were introduced as MeOH/MeCN solutions, and routinely characterized in a combination of both positive and negative modes. Isotopic MS clusters were identified, and all those highlighted were found to be in accordance with their simulations. Selected simulated spectra and the regions that they represent in the original spectra are included in the SI.† Spectra were simulated using various MS calculating software programs. Appropriate coupled MS/MS and (MS)<sup>n</sup> methods were utilized where necessary, and both the parent spectra and the fragmentation patterns were used to elucidate the reaction products. The experimental data indicate the successful preparation of the complexes mentioned. Elemental analyses were performed at the UMass-Amherst Microanalysis Center, and QTI, Whitehouse, NJ. Samples for microanalysis were ground to a fine powder and vacuum desiccated over phosphorus pentoxide prior to analysis.

Single-crystal X-ray crystallographic studies were performed in part by Dr Carl Carrano and Mr J. Hoffman at San Diego State University using a Bruker X8 Apex diffractometer (Complex 2), by Dr H. Yennawar using a Bruker Apex SMART CCD diffractometer at Penn State University (Complexes 3, 8 and 10), and by Dr A. Chandrasekaran at UMass-Amherst using a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer (Complex 6). For each apparatus, a graphite monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å) source was employed. Data were generally collected at 23 ± 2 °C (specific details are given in the SI†). A  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scan mode was used for data collection from about 2° ≤ 2 $\theta_{Mo-K\alpha} \le$ 29°. The data were solved by a full matrix least squares refinement on  $F^2$  using the SHELX suite of programs.<sup>88</sup> The plotting

 Table 1
 A comparison of selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for complexes 1,97 6, 2, 3, 9,98 and 10

| Bond lengths (Å) |           |           |            |            |          |                               |  |  |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|--|
|                  | 1         | 6         | 2          | 3          | 9        | <b>10</b> <sup><i>a</i></sup> |  |  |
| Ni–S1            | 2.176(1)  | 2.407(4)  | 2.1605(3)  | 2.4406(12) | 2.166(1) | 2.1679(16)                    |  |  |
| Ni-S2            | 2.174(1)  | 2.446(3)  | 2.1692(3)  | 2.4319(11) | 2.173(1) | 2.1679(16)                    |  |  |
| Ni-N1            | 1.999(3)  | 2.098(10) | 1.9888(9)  | 2.121(3)   | 1.931(4) | 1.939(4)                      |  |  |
| Ni–N2            | 2.006(3)  | 2.118(10) | 1.9844(9)  | 2.128(4)   | 1.942(4) | 1.939(4)                      |  |  |
| Ni-O1            |           | 2.134(8)  |            | 2.042(3)   |          |                               |  |  |
| Ni-O2            |           | 2.035(8)  |            | 2.063(3)   |          |                               |  |  |
| Bond angles (°)  |           |           |            |            |          |                               |  |  |
| S1-Ni-S2         | 85.37(4)  | 96.00(12) | 88.044(14) | 91.32(4)   | 94.01(6) | 96.18(9)                      |  |  |
| S1-Ni-N1         | 89.31(9)  | 87.6(3)   | 88.72(3)   | 86.06(10)  | 89.9(1)  | 90.24(13)                     |  |  |
| S1-Ni-N2         | 173.14(7) | 102.5(3)  | 174.90(3)  | 177.61(10) | 170.9(1) | 163.74(11)                    |  |  |
| S2-Ni-N1         | 172.28(9) | 174.3(3)  | 175.00(3)  | 174.96(10) | 170.3(1) | 163.74(11)                    |  |  |
| S2-Ni-N2         | 88.26(8)  | 86.7(3)   | 88.57(3)   | 86.30(10)  | 89.1(1)  | 90.24(13)                     |  |  |
| N1-Ni-N2         | 97.3(1)   | 97.0(4)   | 95.77(4)   | 96.32(14)  | 88.3(2)  | 87.6(2)                       |  |  |
| O1-Ni-S1         | 80.3(3)   | ~ /       | 89.69(10)  |            |          | ~ /                           |  |  |
| O1-Ni-S2         | 83.8(2)   |           | 83.83(9)   |            |          |                               |  |  |
| O2-Ni-S1         | 166.1(3)  |           | 82.06(9)   |            |          |                               |  |  |
| O2-Ni-S2         | 83.6(2)   |           | 89.78(9)   |            |          |                               |  |  |
| O2-Ni-N1         | 91.9(4)   |           | 94.12(13)  |            |          |                               |  |  |
| O2-Ni-N2         | 91.4(4)   |           | 97.78(13)  |            |          |                               |  |  |
| 02-Ni-01         | 85.8(3)   |           | 169.46(12) |            |          |                               |  |  |
| N1-Ni-O1         | 92.4(4)   |           | 91.84(12)  |            |          |                               |  |  |
| N2-Ni-O1         | 170.3(4)  |           | 90.19(13)  |            |          |                               |  |  |

\_\_\_\_\_

program ORTEP-3 for Windows or CaChe-6 (Fujitsu Ltd, 2004) was used<sup>89</sup> for the structural diagrams.

**Complex 2.** The crystal used to solve complex **2** was a red colored plate (BSC1a) with approximate dimensions  $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}^3$ . A total of 10794 independent reflections were collected at 200 K, and final refinement was based on 6246 observed reflections ( $I \ge 2\sigma_i$ ). Sum formula is NiC<sub>11</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. FW is 307.15. Crystals of **2** form in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  with cell dimensions a = 10.5547(10) Å, b = 12.3943(11) Å, c = 11.5831(11) Å.  $\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 111.126(5)^\circ$ , and Z = 4.  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.087$ . The structure was refined to R = 4.40% and  $R_w = 10.22\%$  ( $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$ ). Pertinent crystallographic data is provided in Table 1. Details are provided in SI (#2).†

**Complex 3.** The crystal used to solve complex **3** was a blue block (BTW5s) with approximate dimensions  $0.21 \times 0.32 \times 0.37 \text{ mm}^3$ . A total of 7086 independent reflections were collected at 298 K, and final refinement was based on XYZ centroids of 6974 reflections above  $20 \sigma(I)$  with  $2.320^\circ < \theta < 28.167^\circ$ . Sum formula is NiC<sub>17</sub>H<sub>40</sub>I<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. FW is 741.16. Crystals of **3** form in the triclinic space group  $P\bar{I}$  with cell dimensions a = 10.4046(12) Å, b = 10.9123(12) Å, c = 13.8838 (16) Å,  $\alpha = 87.671(2)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 78.132(2)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 72.023(2)^\circ$  and  $Z = 2. R_{\text{int}} = 0.013$ . The structure was refined to R = 4.90% and  $R_{\text{w}} = 13.86\%$  ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). Pertinent crystallographic data is provided in Table 1. Details are provided in SI (#3).†

**Complex 6.** The crystal used to solve complex **6** was a blue colored plate (BC39) with approximate dimensions  $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}^3$ . A total of 3113 independent reflections were collected at 293 K, and final refinement was based on 2038 observed reflections ( $I \ge 2\sigma_i$ ). Sum formula is NiC<sub>13</sub>H<sub>28</sub>I<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. S<sub>2</sub>. FW is 649.02. Crystals of **6** form in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  with cell dimensions a = 11.2811(9) Å, b = 12.8465

(8) Å, c = 15.4674(13) Å.  $\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 83.251(4)^{\circ}$  and Z = 4.  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.1173$ . The structure was refined to R = 6.41% and  $R_{\text{w}} = 13.83\%$  ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). Pertinent crystallographic data is provided in Table 1. Details are provided in SI (#4).†

**Complex 8.** The crystal used to solve complex **8** was a bluepurple colored plate (BTW17o) with approximate dimensions  $0.30 \times 0.02 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}^3$ . A total of 3752 independent reflections were collected at 298 K, and final refinement was based on 3556 observed reflections ( $I \ge 2\sigma_i$ ). Sum formula is NiC<sub>10</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. FW is 417.96. Crystals of **8** form in the orthorhombic space group *Pna*2<sub>1</sub> with cell dimensions a = 14.669(5) Å, b = 16.306(5) Å, c = 7.034(2) Å.  $\alpha = \gamma = \beta = 90^\circ$ , and Z = 4.  $R_{int} = 0.029$ . Flack parameter = 0.047 (8). The structure was refined to R =3.68% and  $R_w = 9.41\%$  ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). Details are provided in SI (#5).†

**Complex 10.** The crystal used to solve complex **10** was a redpink colored plate (BSC1o) with approximate dimensions  $0.01 \times 0.12 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}^3$ . A total of 1650 independent reflections were collected at 298 K, and final refinement was based on XYZ centroids of 915 reflections above 20  $\sigma(I)$  with 2.248° <  $\theta$  < 24.842°. Sum formula is NiC<sub>10</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>. FW is 293.13. Crystals of **10** form in the orthorhombic space group *Pbcn* with cell dimensions a = 9.487(6) Å, b = 7.862(5) Å, c = 18.145(13) Å.  $\alpha$  $= \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$ , and Z = 4.  $R_{int} = 0.0863$ . The structure was refined to R = 6.32% and  $R_w = 14.51\%$  ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ). Pertinent crystallographic data is provided in Table 1. Details are provided in SI (#6).†

#### C. Physical measurements: quenching experiments

UV-visible absorbance measurements (UV-vis) were obtained on a HP 8453 Diode Array Spectrometer, or a Cary 4000 UV-vis Spectrophotometer. All experiments were performed at room temperature. The fluorescence emission spectra were obtained using a PE LS50B Spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were normalized and then corrected for wavelength dependent sensitivity using a calibration curve generated using five spectral fluorescence standards (BAM-F001 to F005, Sigma-Aldrich).<sup>90</sup> For each series, the protein (or free Trp) concentration was held constant at  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M and the concentration of each Ni(II) complex was varied (0.0  $\mu$ M to 21.0  $\mu$ M) through the preparation of individual samples. The excitation wavelength was 280 nm, emission wavelength was 345 nm and excitation and emission slit widths were 3.5 nm each. The dynamic quenching constants were determined using the Stern–Volmer equation:<sup>67,71</sup>

$$\frac{F_0}{F} = 1 + K_{\rm SV}[Q] \tag{1}$$

 $F_0$  and F correspond to the fluorescence intensities of the protein without quencher and in the presence of the quencher, respectively. [*Q*] is the concentration of the quencher and  $K_{SV}$  is the Stern–Volmer quenching constant. Also,  $K_{SV}$  is related to the lifetime of the system according to eqn (2):<sup>67</sup>

$$K_{\rm SV} = k_{\rm q} \tau_0 \tag{2}$$

 $k_{\rm q}$  is the bimolecular quenching constant and  $\tau_0$  is the lifetime of the unquenched fluorophore.  $\tau_0$  is short for Trp alone (2.7 ns) but longer in a protein environment (9.8 ns).<sup>91–93</sup> The static quenching constant, also known as the binding constant,  $K_{\rm a}$ , and number of binding sites (*n*) between the protein and metal complexes were calculated using eqn (3):<sup>67,94</sup>

$$\log\left[\frac{F_0 - F}{F}\right] = \log K_a + n \log[Q] \tag{3}$$

The modified Stern–Volmer plot is useful when studying a protein that has Trp in different environments, for example buried residues *versus* residues at the surface, as is the case with both BSA and lysozyme.<sup>66,67</sup> In such cases the Stern–Volmer plot will have a downward curve indicating that some of the fluorescence is not accessible. In this study, the modified Stern–Volmer analysis was not relevant as the complexes were quenching the proteins and free Trp *via* static quenching.

#### **Results and discussion**

The series of small water soluble Ni(II) complexes (Fig. 1) represents molecules that vary in charge (neutral, cationic, anionic), spin state (low, high), and degree of hydrophilicity by virtue of the nature of the ligands.

#### A. Synthesis and characterization of the nickel complexes

**Complexes 1, 2, 9, and 10.** These four Ni(II) compounds are *cis* diamino dithiolate square planar complexes. Sulfur containing ligands readily form  $[NiL_2]^{2-}$  type four coordinate complexes in the presence of a large excess of dithiolato ligand (L<sub>2</sub>). At lower ligand concentrations, the propensity of thiolato-S to form bridges between metal centers gives rise to multinuclear species, an inconvenience that is also dependent on reaction

conditions, such as temperature and protic solvent conditions..<sup>95,96</sup> One way to prevent such oligomerizations is to use bulky and/or aromatic substituents and/or chelate ligands that readily undergo template reactions. The latter method was used to synthesize four of the complexes reported herein. The synthesis of each of the low spin neutral complexes **1**, **2**, **9**, and **10** are analogous (SI #1†). Complexes **1** and **9** have been previously synthesized, and crystal structures reported..<sup>95,97,98</sup> All characterization data, including ESI-MS spectra and elemental microanalysis are consistent with the formulations and structures given for each complex (see SI #1†). Selected bond lengths and angles for **1**, **2**, **9**, and **10** are given in Table 1. The molecular structures of each of these four complexes reveal discreet mononuclear units, with no intermolecular Ni–S interactions.

Complexes 1.97 and 9.98 have been previously studied by Xray crystallography. Complexes 2 (Fig. 2) and 10 (Fig. 3) are novel. A comparison of the crystal structures of 1 and 2, and that of 9 and 10 show that there is very little difference in bond lengths and angles when a methyl group is placed on the carbon alpha to the thiolates. A slight opening of the S1-Ni-S1 angle and a slight closing of the N1-Ni-N2 angle is observed. The most striking difference between complexes 1 and 9 is in the Nmethyl groups: in 1 they are cis in configuration, (axial to the N1, N2, C3, C5 plane) whereas in 9 they are trans (to the N1, N2, C4, C5 plane), a result of the steric requirements of a fivemembered ring, and the tetrahedral requirement of the nitrogen atoms. The S-Ni-S bond angles in complexes 1 and 2 are more acute (by about 9°) compared to the corresponding angles in complexes 9 and 10. Conversely the N-Ni-N angle in complexes 1 and 2 are more obtuse (by about 9°) compared to the corresponding angles in 9 and 10. These angular differences would be expected given the smaller chelate bite angle of the 'ethyl strap' in complex 9.



Fig. 2 ORTEP plot of complex 2, with ellipsoids at 50% probability. The H atoms have been excluded for clarity. Full structural details are given in SI (#2). $\dagger$ 



Fig. 3 ORTEP plot of complex 10, with ellipsoids at 50% probability. The H atoms have been excluded for clarity. Full structural details are given in SI (#6). $\dagger$ 

**Complexes 3 and 6.** The alkylation of complex 1 or 2 with two equivalents of iodoacetamide gives complex 6 or 3, respectively (see SI #1†). These alkylated complexes are high spin (S = 1) dications, blue to purple in color, with pseudo octahedral Ni(II) centers, each with a N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> donor ligand set, and iodide counterions. The iodoacetamide derivatives were readily crystallized (as beautiful gems) from MeOH/MeCN solutions and are very stable in the solid state. ORTEP plots of the crystal structures are shown in Fig. 4 and 5.



**Fig. 4** ORTEP plot of complex **3**, with ellipsoids at 50% probability. The H atoms and the two iodide counterions have been excluded for clarity. Full structural details are given in SI (#3).†



Fig. 5 ORTEP plot of complex 6, with ellipsoids at 50% probability. The H atoms and the two iodide counterions, have been excluded for clarity. Full structural details are given in SI (#4). $\dagger$ 

The most notable structural difference between the iodoacetamide derivatives is that complex **6** contains Ni(II) with *cis-cis-cis* geometrical N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> donor ligands, whereas complex **3** contains *cis-cis-trans* geometry with the O's being *trans*: these large atomic rearrangements, in going from 4 to 6 coordinate avoids *cis* configuration of the N-methyl groups. The expansion of the coordination sphere also leads to expansion of all Ni-N and Ni– S bond lengths. The most pronounced is that for the Ni–S bonds, which (on average) goes from 2.17 Å to 2.43 Å. This is similar to that observed by others in the literature for a similar Ni(II) environment..<sup>99</sup>

**Complexes 4 and 7.** Both of these neutral high spin complexes were synthesized according to published procedures..<sup>100,101</sup> These Schiff base complexes are hydrolytically stable over a wide pH range, due in part to the 5-6-5 membered chelate rings..<sup>100,102,103</sup> The only significant difference between complexes **4** and **7** is the presence of a hydroxyl group on the second carbon of the NN' propyl strap. It is expected that the additional feature will enhance the polar solubility of complex **7**.

**Complexes 5, 11, and 12.** The synthesis of each of these high spin Ni(II) amine/ammine complexes is well documented. Each of the complexes is very soluble in polar solvents, intensely colored, and make for an interesting comparison with the other complexes in this series. Complex 5 is neutral, and complexes 11 and 12 are dications in solution.

**Complex 8.** The key role of the Ni( $\pi$ ) complex of EDTA in our studies is that it is anionic. The complex is stable and X-ray diffraction studies suggest that the complex in the solid state is a quinquedentate octahedral complex, with one non-complexed protonated acetate, and a water molecule bonded to the Ni. The structure, as shown in Fig. 6, is similar to that reported in early literature,.<sup>104</sup> however some differences are noticeable [*as would be expected 52 years later*], and for that reason an updated



Fig. 6 ORTEP plot of complex 8 monoanion, with ellipsoids at 50% probability. The H atoms and the Na<sup>+</sup> counterion have been excluded for clarity. The ligand labeled O9 is water. Full structural details are given in SI (#4). $\dagger$ 

crystal structure is presented here. A careful study of the packing relations between molecules strongly indicates that hydrogen bonding contributes significantly to the stability of the crystal.

#### B. Fluorescence quenching by nickel complexes

The fluorescence quenching observed in our studies is a result of the interaction between the ligand that is bound to the Ni complex, the Trp residue and its immediate vicinity in the protein. Table 2 lists the Trp environment in the proteins studied. The extent of quenching observed varied with protein and with complex, and can be narrowed to some key factors: (i) the hydrophobicity of the ligand, (ii) presence or absence of complex charge, and (iii) the nature of the amino acids surrounding the Trp. While it has been reported in the literature that Ni ions can bind to BSA and form either square planar or octahedral complexes,<sup>28</sup> the quenching observed is dependent on the nature of the ligand.



Fig. 7 Fluorescence spectra of BSA  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  in the presence of different concentrations of complex 2.

A typical plot of Trp fluorescence quenching with increasing complex concentration is shown in Fig. 7. In this case (BSA with complex **2**), the fluorescence decreases by approximately 26% at the highest complex concentration used. The fluorescence quenching ranged from 0-56% in this study (Table 3), with the upper limit being slightly lower than the 60% quenching observed in our recently reported study with a Cr(III) complex.<sup>76</sup>

Two common mechanisms for fluorescence quenching are dynamic quenching and static quenching. In order to determine the type of quenching taking place, two approaches are available and commonly used. The first involves a temperature-dependent study, as diffusion increases at higher temperatures, and collisional quenching and subsequent dissociation of weakly bound complexes leads to a decrease in static quenching. In the second approach, as used in this work, the linearity of the Stern-Volmer plot is interpreted. The Stern–Volmer plot of  $F_0/F$  against [Q] should be linear (eqn (1)), and this is usually the case for gasphase and liquid-phase systems where either  $k_q$  or  $\tau_0$  is large. In the case of the proteins and free Trp,  $\tau_0$  is small (10<sup>-8</sup> to 10<sup>-9</sup> s), which leads to Stern-Volmer plots that curve upwards.67,76 Sample plots for BSA quenching by complex 4 are given in Fig. 8. The dynamic quenching plot (Fig. 8B) is curving slightly upward even at low complex concentrations. The deviation from linearity is more prominent at higher complex concentrations as illustrated in the case of lysozyme and complex 4 (Fig. 9). For

 Table 2
 Trp environments in BSA, HSA and lysozyme.<sup>105</sup>
 Tyr residues are partially hydrophobic

| Protein |                | Surrounding amino acid residue(s)  |                    |                 |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | Trp residue(s) | Positively charged and amphipathic | Negatively charged | Polar-uncharged | Hydrophobic   |  |  |  |  |  |
| BSA     | Trp-134        |                                    | Glu                | Ser             | Tyr, Val      |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Trp-212        | _                                  | _                  |                 | Tyr, Leu, Phe |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSA     | Trp-214        | _                                  | _                  |                 | Tyr, Val      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lyso    | Trp-28         | Lys                                | _                  | Asn, Thr        | Val           |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Trp-62,63      | Arg                                |                    | Ser             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Trp-108        | _                                  |                    | Asn, Gln, Thr   | Val           |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Trp-111        | Arg                                | Glu                | Asn             |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | Trp-123        | _                                  | —                  |                 | Ile           |  |  |  |  |  |

| D ( '             | Nickel complexes |      |      |      |    |    |      |    |      |      |    |    |
|-------------------|------------------|------|------|------|----|----|------|----|------|------|----|----|
| Protein           | 1                | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5  | 6  | 7    | 8  | 9    | 10   | 11 | 12 |
| BSA               | 17.2             | 26.3 | No   | 49.4 | No | No | No   | No | 43.4 | 31.3 | No | No |
| HSA               | 20.3             | 23.7 | 13.0 | 54.5 | No | No | 40.6 | No | 48.3 | 28.4 | No | No |
| Lyso              | 17.2             | 21.1 | No   | 55.6 | No | No | 19.3 | No | 43.3 | 41.3 | No | No |
| Trp               | 22.1             | No   | No   | 55.9 | No | No | 36.5 | No | 48.0 | 42.0 | No | No |
| Charge on complex | 0                | 0    | +2   | 0    | 0  | +2 | 0    | -1 | 0    | 0    | +2 | +2 |

Table 3 Percent decrease in fluorescence intensity of fluorophore  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  after addition of highest amount of quencher  $(21 \,\mu\text{M})$ 



Fig. 8 Stern–Volmer plots for (A) static quenching ( $R^2 = 0.993$ ) and (B) dynamic quenching of BSA by complex 4 ( $R^2 = 0.9884$ ).



Fig. 9 Dynamic quenching plot for lysozyme  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  quenching by complex 4. (A) The fluorescence of the protein is fully quenched when [4] approaches 120  $\mu$ M. (B) Expansion of the enclosed area in A. Deviation from linearity is evident at complex concentrations less than 21  $\mu$ M.

static quenching, the binding constant  $(K_a)$  for the formation of an adduct between the Ni(II) complexes and BSA was determined using a double logarithmic plot (Fig. 8A). The binding site values (n) were also determined from the slope using eqn (3).

Another important consideration is that for dynamic quenching, the maximum value of  $k_q$  is normally in the range of 2.0 × 10<sup>10</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>28,73,105</sup> However, in this study, we found values of  $k_q$  that are of the order of 10<sup>12</sup> to 10<sup>13</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, which are

surprisingly consistent with our recent study involving a Cr(III) complex where a value of  $1.8 \times 10^{13}$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was determined.<sup>76</sup> These large Stern–Volmer constants strongly suggest that static quenching is predominant in these systems. Even though the evidence points towards static quenching, the  $K_{\rm SV}$  and  $k_{\rm q}$  values merit some discussion: The  $k_{\rm q}$  values provide a sensitive measure of the exposure of Trp residues in proteins. A low  $k_{\rm q}$  value indicates that the protein is sterically shielding the Trp from the solvent.<sup>13,67,74</sup> In the systems we have studied, that

clearly is not the case as the  $k_q$  values are much greater. The  $k_q$  values reported in this work are in good agreement with values reported in the literature for BSA-baicalin (~1.7 × 10<sup>13</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), BSA-kaempferol (~8.5 × 10<sup>12</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and BSA-[Cr (salprn)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (~1.9 × 10<sup>12</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>13,74,106</sup> Fluorescence quenching is often a result of energy transfer from a donor (Trp) to an acceptor (metal complex).<sup>74</sup> However, in all the systems and combinations studied, the quenching of Trp fluorescence is static and Forster's long-range energy transfer is not taking place. Also, the spectral overlap between Trp emission and complex absorption is negligible (Fig. 10) compared to other systems.<sup>45,72,73,76,106</sup> The presence of an overlap between the emission band of the donor and the absorption band of the acceptor is a requirement for FRET. Therefore, the Ni(II) complexes are quenching the fluorescence *via* a thermodynamically favorable ( $\Delta G < 0$ ) interaction with a high binding constant.

### C. Nature of the interaction between the proteins and nickel complexes

The proteins used in this study have complex structures and therefore many types of interactions are possible between the protein and a small complex or molecule. Van der Waals, electrostatic, hydrophobic and hydrogen bonding interactions are all possible.<sup>106</sup> The resulting fluorescence quenching of Trp occurs only when there is interaction with the hydrophobic ligands, and the interaction is either promoted or stabilized by the surrounding amino acid residues (Table 2).

The most noticeable correlation from data in Table 3 is that small neutral alkyl Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes (1, 2, 9 and 10) quench fluorescence better than the bulky charged complexes, such as 3, 6, and 8. The spin state (high spin for the hexacoordinate, and low spin for the tetracoordinate complexes) appears to play little part in the interaction. The hexacoordinate complexes studied are for the most part charged, and only complexes 4, 5 and 7 are neutral. Of these three neutral complexes, 4 and 7 possess hydrophobic aromatic ligands and are amongst the strongest fluorescence quenchers. The significant decrease in quenching observed between BSA and lysozyme with complex 7 is due to

9 was the greatest in this group (Table 3, 43% for BSA and 48%

bind strongly is evident. A close examination of the immediate environment of each Trp (Table 2) indicates that there is at least one hydrophobic, partially hydrophobic or amphiphilic amino acid in the immediate vicinity. In both BSA and HSA, the partially hydrophobic Tyr is buried in the hydrophobic core. Hydrophobic amino acids such as Leu and Val are capable of recognizing and binding hydrophobic ligands. The Tyr residue is also capable of interacting with ligands via stacking interactions, which appears to be the nature of the interaction with the pyridinium rings in complexes 4 and 7. In the case of complex 4, the fluorescence quenching is the greatest (> 49%) and the binding constants are of the order of 10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>. Interestingly, HSA showed a strong association with complex 7 ( $K_a \sim 10^5$ ) but BSA did not. The ligand in complex 7 differs from that in complex 4 in only one regard, it contains an OH group. Trp-134 in BSA has a polar amino acid (Ser) in its vicinity and so it is possible that an H-bonding interaction occurs between this residue and complex 7, in addition to the

**Table 4** Summary of binding constant,  $K_a$ , binding site number, n, and  $\Delta G$  for BSA and HSA

|                                       | BSA   |  | HSA                           |   |                                     |                                |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Complex                               | $K_{\rm a}/{ m M}^{-1}$   | п  | $\Delta G/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ | $K_{\rm a}/{\rm M}^{-1}$  | п                                   | $\Delta G/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$ |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>7<br>9<br>10 | $\begin{array}{c} 3.2 \times 10^4 \\ 2.7 \times 10^5 \\ \hline \\ 3.2 \times 10^5 \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ 3.8 \times 10^7 \\ 5.8 \times 10^5 \end{array}$ | $     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       1 \\       \\       1 \\       \\       1.5 \\       1     \end{array} $ | -26<br>-31<br>                | $5.7 \times 10^9 \\ 1.4 \times 10^4 \\ 9.1 \times 10^4 \\ 2.2 \times 10^5 \\ \hline \\ 1.8 \times 10^5 \\ 1.3 \times 10^7 \\ 2.4 \times 10^4 \\ \hline \end{cases}$ | $2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ - \\ 1 \\ 1.5 \\ 1$ | -56<br>-24<br>-28<br>-30<br>   |
|                                       |   |  |                               |   |                                     |                                |

300 320 340 360 380 400 Wavelength (nm) Fig. 10 Overlap of Trp emission of  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M Trp (solid line) with the absorption of  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  M complex 1, showing negligible absorbance of the complex in the region of Trp fluorescence emission.

View Online

hydrogen-bonding interactions resulting from the presence of an OH group in 7. The high binding constants ( $K_a$ ) correlate with the negative values obtained for  $\Delta G$ , confirming that binding is spontaneous. Similar values have been observed in other studies involving BSA, HSA and lysozyme.<sup>45,46,72,73,76,106–108</sup> The  $\Delta H$  value is zero for hydrophobic interactions, and so  $\Delta S$  is positive.  $\Delta G$  values range from -5 to -40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> when one binding site is involved (n = 1), and previous studies<sup>76</sup> have yielded values in the 15 to 35 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> range. When more than one binding site is involved, the association is even more thermodynamically favorable, with  $\Delta G$  from -40 to -60 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

i. BSA and HSA. Both serum albumin proteins were

quenched by complexes 1, 2, 9 and 10. These complexes are

similar in structure, with what appear to be subtle differences.

The binding constants,  $K_{\rm a}$ , for these four complexes were of the

order of 10<sup>3</sup> and higher. The fluorescence quenching by complex

for HSA) and the  $K_a$  values were as high as  $10^7$ , indicating

strong binding by this particular complex to the Trp region of the proteins (Table 4). Complex 9, with its 5-5-5 membered chelate

rings, is slightly smaller than complexes 1, 2 and 10 thereby

lending itself to a slightly stronger interaction with the protein.

The quenching by the slightly larger complex 10 was signifi-

cantly lower at 30%, with  $K_a$  values of the order of  $10^4 - 10^5$ 

 $M^{-1}$ . For complex 2, the quenching was about 25% in both

cases, and the  $K_a$  values were of the order of 10<sup>4</sup> to 10<sup>5</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>. A

correlation between complex size and the ability to quench and



H-bonding involving Trp. The H-bonding interaction is stronger than any stacking interaction that would be expected between Tyr (or Trp) and the pyridinium group(s) in the complex. The Hbonding between the OH group in the complex and the Ser (and/ or Trp) residue is also stronger than hydrophobic interactions promoted by the Leu and Val residues that are in the vicinity of Trp-134. This rationale explains the absence of fluorescence quenching and absence of binding of complex 7 to BSA. On the other hand, HSA does not have a polar amino acid near its Trp-214 so the hydrophobic interactions predominate, leading to fluorescence quenching.

HSA weakly interacts with the neutral complexes 1 and 2 (about 20% quenching), very weakly with the cationic complex 3 (13% quenching), and not at all with complex 6. These weak or non-existent interactions with 3 and 6 are most likely due to the presence of NH<sub>2</sub> groups in the respective ligands, the interaction with Trp-214, and the influence of the nearby tyrosine residues in HSA. Once again, H-bonding is possible between the NH<sub>2</sub> groups and the amino acids. No interaction was detected for 3 and 6 with BSA due to the presence of more hydrophobic groups, and of course the lower hydrophobicity of the ligands in these complexes.

No fluorescence quenching was observed for any proteins with complexes 11 and 12. The ligands on complexes 11 and 12 are strongly hydrophilic, and therefore not exhibiting the type of interaction that would lead to quenching of protein fluorescence. In general, interaction between complexes and proteins occurred only with complexes containing hydrophobic ligands. This observation underscores the nature of the Trp residue, and the importance of the immediate vicinity being occupied by one or more hydrophobic residues, or at least partially hydrophobic amino acid residues.

ii. Lysozyme. Lysozyme fluorescence was quenched by complexes 1, 2, 4, 7, 9 and 10. These are all neutral complexes, with hydrophobic ligands. Significant quenching was observed with complex 4. Given that lysozyme has six Trp residues, a variety of interactions are possible between the protein and the Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes. Trp-28, Trp-62, Trp-63 and Trp-111 are close to amphiphilic amino acids like Lys and Arg, while Trp-108 and Trp-123 are close to hydrophobic Val and Ile, respectively. The hydrophobic interactions are the most important. In terms of binding constants, the interaction between lysozyme and complex 4 was exceptionally strong while the interaction with 7 was the weakest (Table 5). The ligand in complex 7 differs from that in 4 in only one regard in that it contains an OH group,

**Table 5** Summary of binding constant,  $K_a$ , binding site number, n, and  $\Delta G$  for lysozyme and free Trp

|                             | Lysozyme  |                         |                                     | Free Trp   |                       |                                 |  |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Complex                     | $K_{\rm a}/{\rm M}^{-1}$  | п                       | $\Delta G/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$       | $K_{\rm a}/{\rm M}^{-1}$   | п                     | $\Delta G/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$  |  |
| 1<br>2<br>4<br>7<br>9<br>10 | $\begin{array}{c} 6.7 \times 10^{7} \\ 5.1 \times 10^{7} \\ 1.6 \times 10^{10} \\ \hline \\ 5.4 \times 10^{6} \\ 2.8 \times 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 2<br>2<br>2<br>1.5<br>1 | -45<br>-44<br>-58<br><br>-38<br>-31 | $\begin{array}{c} 1.4 \times 10^{3} \\ \hline \\ 2.0 \times 10^{5} \\ 4.6 \times 10^{2} \\ 9.8 \times 10^{4} \\ 2.4 \times 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | -18<br>-30<br>-15<br>-28<br>-31 |  |

which leads to a disruption in binding and quenching. There was no change in fluorescence intensity when 3.0  $\mu$ M of complex 4 was added but further addition of the complex resulted in significant static quenching over the 3.0 to 21  $\mu$ M range (Fig. 9). The high fluorescence quenching resulted in a higher than average binding constant (~10<sup>10</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>) and a binding site number of 2.

iii. Free tryptophan. Free Trp showed very similar behavior towards the Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes as the three proteins. The interaction was strongest with neutral complexes 1, 4, 7, 9 and 10 (Table 5). The absence of quenching with complex 2 is anomalous, and could be attributed to the steric effects resulting from the slightly larger size of the ligand when compared to the alkyl ligands in complexes 1, 9 and 10. The interaction with 7 is significantly weaker due to the presence of the OH group on the ligand in the complex. This observation opens up the possibility of non-hydrophobic interactions taking precedence over hydrophobic interactions between the Trp and the pyridinium groups in the complex. This is similar to what was observed with BSA where there was no interaction with 7, but a strong interaction with 4.

Our data suggests that in most of the systems studied, one binding site is present in each, the exceptions include all the interactions with lysozyme (except with complex 10), HSA with complex 1 (n = 2), HSA with complex 9 (n = 1.5), and BSA with complex 9 (n = 1.5). A binding site number of 1 is typical when static quenching of proteins occurs as a result of hydrophobic interactions with transition metal complexes and other molecules. The presence of two binding sites in lysozyme is not unusual as there are six Trp residues in the protein, and complex 4 can associate with the different Trp residues via stacking interactions through the pyridinium groups. The small size of complexes 1, 2 and 9 is also an important factor, allowing these complexes to squeeze into additional binding sites. Complex 9 is the smallest of these complexes, and is found to bind more strongly to both BSA and HSA compared to any of the other complexes, therefore a higher binding site number is not unexpected. BSA has two and HSA has only one Trp residue, and so the presence of two binding sites in HSA raises the possibility that multiple small quencher molecules may be involved since they would have easy access to the Trp residue via hydrophobic interactions.

Quantum chemical computational studies using *ab initio* methods (Gaussian09) are currently under way to determine the energetic contribution of the interactions between the Trp residues and the surrounding amino acids in HSA, BSA, and lyso-zyme, with these Ni(II) complexes.

#### Conclusions

We have synthesized and fully characterized a series of Ni(II) complexes. Fluorescence quenching studies with albumins and lysozyme proteins clearly suggest that the Ni(II) complexes featuring hydrophobic ligands exhibit strong and favorable interactions. These interactions take place between the hydrophobic pocket of the protein and the ligand. The poorest interactions are with charged bulky Ni(II) complexes. Static quenching was found to be the predominant form of quenching taking place in these systems, and generates high binding constants. The small

neutral square planar Ni( $\pi$ ) complexes (1, 2, 9 and 10) and the neutral aromatic complexes (4 and 7) are currently being studied as possible DNA intercalators and quadruplex DNA promoters.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr Carl Carrano and Mr J. Hoffman at San Diego State University, Dr H. Yennawar at Penn State University, and Dr A. Chandrasekaran at UMass-Amherst for help with the single crystal crystallographic studies. We thank Susquehanna University and Penn State Harrisburg for financial support for this project.

#### References

- H. J. Bohm, Protein–ligand Interactions: From Molecular Recognition to Drug Design, Wiley VCH, Weinheim, 2003.
- 2 H.-J. Schneider, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 3924-3977.
- 3 H. Vorum, K. Fisker and B. Honore, J. Pept. Res., 1997, 49, 347-354.
- 4 G. Zolese, G. Falcioni, E. Bertoli, R. Galeazzi, M. Wozniak, Z. Wypych, E. Gratton and A. Ambrosini, *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet.*, 2000, 40, 39–48.
- 5 J. P. Laussac and B. Sarkar, Biochemistry, 1984, 23, 2832-2838.
- 6 W. Bal, J. Christodoulou, P. J. Sadler and A. Tucker, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1998, 70, 33–39.
- 7 A. Sulkowska, B. Bojko, J. Równicka, D. Pentak and W. Sulkowski, J. Mol. Struct., 2003, 651–653, 237–243.
- 8 Y. Li, W. He, J. Liu, F. Sheng, Z. Hu and X. Chen, *Biochim. Biophys.* Acta, Gen. Subj., 2005, **1722**, 15–21.
- 9 Y. Q. Wang, H. M. Zhang, G. C. Zhang, W. H. Tao, Z. H. Fei and Z. T. Liu, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2007, 43, 1869–1875.
- 10 P. Gosling, Care Critical, 1995, 11, 57-61.
- 11 T. Peters, Jr., Adv. Protein Chem., 1985, 37, 161-245.
- 12 T. Peters, All about Albumin: Biochemistry, Genetics and Medical Applications, Academic Press, San Diego, 1995.
- 13 J. Tian, J. Liu, X. Tian, Z. Hu and X. Chen, J. Mol. Struct., 2004, 691, 197–202.
- 14 T. Peters, *The albumin molecule: Its structure and chemical properties, in All About Albumin. Biochemistry, Genetics And Medical Applications,* Academic Press, San Diego, 1996.
- 15 X. M. He and D. C. Carter, Nature, 1992, 358, 209-215.
- 16 D. C. Carter and X. M. He, Science, 1990, 249, 302-303.
- 17 D. C. Carter, X. M. He, S. H. Munson, P. D. Twigg, K. M. Gernert, M. B. Broom and T. Y. Miller, *Science*, 1989, **244**, 1195–1198.
- 18 K. Kim and W. Kauzmann, J. Phys. Chem., 1980, 84, 163-165.
- H. C. Tai, Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada, 2004.
   D. C. Carter, B. Chang, J. X. Ho, K. Keeling and Z. Krishnasami, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1994, 226, 1049–1052.
- 21 D. C. Carter and J. X. Ho, *Adv. Protein Chem.*, 1994, **45**, 153.
- 22 S. Curry, P. Brick and N. P. Frank, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1999, 1441, 13125.
- 23 I. Petitpas, T. Grune, A. A. Battacharya and S. Curry, J. Mol. Biol., 2001, 314, 955.
- 24 E. L. Grelamo, C. H. T. P. Silva, H. Imasato and M. Tabak, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol., 2002, 1594, 84.
- 25 V. Lhiaubet-Vallet, Z. Sarabia, F. Bosca and M. A. Miranda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 9538–9539.
- 26 M. C. Jimenez, M. A. Miranda and I. Vaya, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 10134–10135.
- 27 J. Koch-Weser and E. M. Sellers, N. Engl. J. Med., 1976, 294, 526-531.
- 28 S. H. Laurie and D. E. Pratt, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1986, 28, 431-439.
- 29 E. Nieboer, R. T. Tom and W. E. Sanford, *Metal ions in biological systems: Nickel and its role in biology*, Dekker, NY, 1988.
- 30 J. D. Glennon and B. Sarkar, Biochem. J., 1982, 203, 15-23
- 31 W. M. Callan and F. W. Sunderman, Jr., Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol., 1973, 5, 459–472.
- 32 R. W. Hay, M. M. Hassan and C. You-Quan, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1993, 52, 17–25.
- 33 E. L. Giroux and R. I. Henkin, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.*, 1972, 273, 64–72.

- 34 E. Ohyoshi, Y. Hamada, K. Nakata and S. Kohata, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1999, 75, 213–218.
- 35 E. Giroux and J. Schoun, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1981, 14, 359-362.
- 36 W. Goumakos, J. P. Laussac and B. Sarkar, *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 1991, 69, 809–820.
- 37 J. C. Vidal, G. Cepria and J. R. Castillo, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1992, 259, 129–138.
- 38 J. Masuoka, J. Hegenauer, B. R. Van Dyke and P. Saltman, J. Biol. Chem., 1993, 268, 21533–21537.
- 39 J. Masuoka and P. Saltman, J. Biol. Chem., 1994, 269, 25557-25561.
- 40 P. J. Sadler and J. H. Viles, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 4490-4496.
- 41 Y. Zhang and D. E. Wilcox, JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 2002, 7, 327–337.
- 42 Z. Yongqia, H. Xuying, D. Chao, L. Hong, W. Sheyi and S. Panwen, *Biophys. Chem.*, 1992, **42**, 201–211.
- 43 H. Liang, J. Huang, C. Q. Tu, M. Zhang, Y. Q. Zhou and P. W. Shen, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2001, 85, 167–171.
- 44 E. G. Ferrer, A. Bosch, O. Yantorno and E. J. Baran, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2008, 16, 3878–3886.
- 45 Q. Wei, D. Wu, B. Du, Y. Li and C. Duan, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2006, 63, 532–535.
- 46 Y. Zhang, Z. Qi, D. Zheng, C. Li and Y. Liu, *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.*, 2009, **130**, 172–184.
- 47 C. Harford and B. Sarkar, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, 30, 123-130.
- 48 J. Christodoulou, P. J. Sadler and A. Tucker, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1994, 225, 363–368.
- 49 B. P. Espósito and R. Najjar, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2002, 232, 137-149.
- 50 L. Trynda-Lemiesz, H. Kozlowski and B. K. Keppler, J. Inorg. Biochem., 1999, 77, 141–146.
- 51 N. E. Dixon, T. C. Gazzola, R. L. Blakeley and B. Zermer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 4131–4133.
- 52 S. Nomoto, M. D. McNeely and F. W. Sunderman, Jr., *Biochemistry*, 1971, 10, 1647–1651.
- 53 E. Denkhaus and K. Salnikow, Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol., 2002, 42, 35– 56.
- 54 G. M. Gadd, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 1992, 79, 197-203.
- 55 S. Zhicheng, Y. Zhiming, A. Lata and H. Yuhua, Br. J. Ind. Med., 1986, 43, 642–643.
- 56 J. P. Thyssen, A. Linneberg, T. Menne and J. D. Johansen, *Contact Dermatitis*, 2007, 57, 287–299.
- 57 B. Roediger and W. Weninger, Immunol. Cell Biol., 2011, 89, 1-2.
- 58 M. Schmidt, B. Raghavan, V. Muller, T. Vogl, G. Fejer, S. Tchaptchet, S. Keck, C. Kalis, P. J. Nielsen, C. Galanos, J. Roth, A. Skerra, S. F. Martin, M. A. Freudenberg and M. Goebeler, *Nat. Immunol.*, 2010, 11, 814–819.
- 59 H. J. Thierse, C. Moulon, Y. Allespach, B. Zimmermann, A. Doetze, S. Kuppig, D. Wild, F. Herberg and H. U. Weltzien, *J. Immunol.*, 2004, 172, 1926–1934.
- 60 M. Miyazawa, Y. Ito, Y. Yoshida, H. Sakaguchi and H. Suzuki, *Toxicol.* in Vitro, 2007, 21, 428–437.
- 61 F. Boisleve, S. Kerdine-Romer and M. Pallardy, *Toxicology*, 2005, 206, 233–244.
- 62 T. Rustemeyer, I. M. W. van Hoogstraten, B. M. E. von Blomberg and R. J. Scheper, *Textbook of Contact Dermatitis*, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- 63 J. J. Hostynek, F. Dreher, A. Pelosi, A. Anigbogu and H. I. Maibach, *Acta Derm.-Venereol.*, 2001, 212, 5–10.
- 64 T. Rabilloud, D. Asselineau and M. Darmon, *Mol. Biol. Rep.*, 1988, 13, 213–219.
- 65 Y.-J. Hu, Y. Liu, L.-X. Zhang, R.-M. Zhao and S.-S. Qu, J. Mol. Struct., 2005, 750, 174–178.
- 66 J. R. Lakowicz, Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Springer Science, U.S.A, 2004.
- 67 M. R. Eftink and C. A. Ghiron, Anal. Biochem., 1981, 114, 199-227.
- 68 K. M. Sanchez, D. E. Schlamadinger, J. E. Gable and J. E. Kim, J. Chem. Educ., 2008, 85, 1253–1256.
- 69 J. M. Beechem and L. Brand, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 1985, 54, 43-71.
- 70 M. R. Eftink and C. A. Ghiron, *Biochemistry*, 1976, 15, 672–680.
- 71 M. R. Eftink and C. A. Ghiron, Anal. Biochem., 1981, 114, 199-227.
- 72 D. Wu, B. Du, H. Ma, Q. Wei and G. Xu, Spectrosc. Lett., 2006, 39, 399–408.
- 73 D. Wu, Q. Wei, Y. Li, B. Du and G. Xu, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2005, 37, 69–72.
- 74 H. Y. Shrivastava and B. U. Nair, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2004, 98, 991-994.
- 75 K. H. Gustavson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 4608-4611.
- 76 H. F. Crouse, E. M. Petrunak, A. M. Donovan, A. C. Merkle, B. L. Swartz and S. Basu, *Spectrosc. Lett.*, 2011, 44, 369–374.

- 77 T. Imoto, L. S. Forster, J. A. Rupley and F. Tanaka, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1972, 69, 1151–1155.
- 78 T. Imoto, L. N. Johnson, A. T. C. North, D. C. Phillips and J. A. Rupley, *Lysozyme in Enzymes*, Academic Press, NY, 1972.
- 79 M. J. Kronman and L. G. Holmes, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 1971, 14, 113–134.
- 80 M. R. Effink and C. A. Ghiron, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1975, 72, 3290–3294.
- 81 S. S. Lehrer, Biochemistry, 1971, 10, 3254-3263.
- 82 C. Formoso and L. S. Forster, J. Biol. Chem., 1975, 250, 3738-3745.
- 83 M. Eftink, Biophys. J., 1983, 43, 323-334.
- 84 A. J. Gordon and R. A. Ford, *The Chemist's Companion*, J. Wiley and Sons, NY, 1972.
- 85 J. A. Riddick, W. B. Bunger and T. K. Sakano, Organic Solvents, J. Wiley and Sons, NY, 1986.
- 86 D. H. Grant, J. Chem. Educ., 1995, 72, 39-40.
- 87 D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 2003-2007.
- 88 G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 1990, 46, 467–473.
- 89 L. Farrugia, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1997, 30, 565.
- 90 C. M. Schneck, A. J. Poncheri, J. T. Jennings, D. L. Snyder, J. L. Worlinsky and S. Basu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2010, **75**, 624– 628.
- 91 J. S. Johansson, J. Biol. Chem., 1997, 272, 17961-17965.
- 92 R. Swaminathan, G. Krishnamoorthy and N. Periasamy, *Biophys. J.*, 1994, 67, 2013–2023.

- 93 H. M. Rawel, S. K. Frey, K. Meidtner, J. Kroll and F. J. Schweigert, *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*, 2006, **50**, 705–713.
- 94 K. D. Karlin and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 6951– 6957.
- 95 M. A. Turner, W. L. Driessen and J. Reedijk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, 29, 3331–3335.
- 96 H. J. Krueger and R. H. Holm, Inorg. Chem., 1989, 28, 1148-1155.
- 97 G. J. Colpas, M. Kumar, R. O. Day and M. J. Maroney, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 4779–4788.
- 98 E. R. Hosler, R. W. Herbst, M. J. Maroney and B. S. Chohan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 804–816.
- 99 J. J. Smee, D. C. Goodman, J. H. Reibenspies and M. Y. Darensbourg, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **1999**, 539–546.
- 100 T. G. Campbell and F. L. Urbach, Inorg. Chem., 1973, 12, 1836-1840.
- 101 J. L. Corbin, K. F. Miller, N. Pariyadath, S. Wherland, A. E. Bruce and E. I. Stiefel, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1984, **90**, 41–51.
- 102 D. C. Weatherburn, E. J. Billo, J. P. Jones and D. W. Margerum, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, 9, 1557–1559.
- 103 Y. Nakao, H. Ishibachi and A. Nakahara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 1970, 43, 3457–3461.
- 104 G. S. Smith and J. L. Hoard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 556-561.
- 105 K. I. Sugae and B. Jirgensons, J. Biochem., 1964, 56, 457–464.
- 106 J. B. Xiao, J. W. Chen, H. Cao, F. L. Ren, Y. Yang, C. S. Chen and M. Xu, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2007, 191, 222–227.
- 107 H. Wu, Y. S. S. Lian and Y. Wan, Anal. Sci., 2007, 23, 419-422.
- 108 J. Tian, J. Liu, X. Tian, Z. Hu and X. Chen, J. Mol. Struct., 2004, 691, 197–202.