

Check for updates

10.1002/ejoc.201800891

WILEY-VCH

Ligand-Enabled γ-C(sp³)–H Acetoxylation of Triflyl-Protected Amines

Wen-Liang Jia and M. Ángeles Fernández-Ibáñez*

Abstract: Palladium-catalyzed γ -C(sp³)–H acetoxylation of triflylprotected amines has been achieved for the first time. The use of pyridine or 2-alkoxyquinoline-type ligands is key to the success of this transformation. The reaction is highly diastereoselective and easily scalable and constitutes a direct approach for the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α -amino acids and β , γ -dihydroxy amines, which are not readily accessible by other routes.

Introduction

the palladium-catalyzed In past decades. C-H functionalization reactions have attracted substantial attention from organic chemists.^[1] The ability to install functionalities into molecules directly from inert C-H bonds can streamline and shorten substantially the synthesis of target compounds. A common practice to increase both the reactivity and selectivity of these processes is the use of directing groups.^[2] In the case of less reactive C(sp3)-H bonds, generally, a bidentate directing group is needed in order to achieve good reactivity.^[3] Recently, the direct and selective functionalization of C(sp3)-H bonds has been achieved combining monodentate directing groups and ligands.^[4-6] In these transformations, the selectivity is controlled by the directing group and the ligand plays a pivotal role in increasing the reactivity of the inert C(sp³)-H bond. For example, triflyl- or nosyl-protected amines can be efficiently y-arylated or alkylated in the presence of mono-protected-amino acid or aminomethyl oxazoline ligands.^[4] Since amines are ubiguitous subunits in organic molecules, the development of new methodologies that permit their direct functionalization are of great interest.^[7] For instance, γ-hydroxy-α-amino acids widely exist in many peptide-based drugs and can be used for native chemical ligation (NCL).[8] Although several examples of direct y-C(sp³)-H acetoxylation of α-amino acids have been reported, they all rely on the use of a bidentate directing group to achieve good reactivity.^[9] In our group, we are interested in the development of new ligands capable of promoting C-H functionalization reactions.^[10] Herein, we report the first example of Pd-catalyzed y-C(sp3)-H acetoxylation of triflyl-protected amines assisted by pyridine-based ligands.[11]

Results and Discussion

We started our investigations using methyl N-triflyl-L-valinate (1a) as the model substrate, $Pd(OAc)_2$ (10 mol%) as the

 [a] Wen-Liang Jia, Prof. M. Ángeles Fernández-Ibáñez Van't Hoff Institute for Molecular Sciences University of Amsterdam Science Park 904, 1098 XH, Amsterdam, the Netherlands E-mail: <u>m.a.fernandezibanez@uva.nl</u> <u>http://www.fernandezibanezgroup.com/</u>

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

palladium catalyst and PhI(OAc)₂ as the oxidant, in 1,2dichloroethane (0.1 M) at 110 °C. Under these conditions, only trace amount of acetoxylated product **2a** were detected after 20 h (Table 1).

Table 1. Screening of ligands for the $\gamma\text{-}C(sp^3)\text{-}H$ acetoxylation of $\textit{N-triflyl-L-valinate}.^{[a,b]}$









 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{L8} (20\% + traces) \quad \textbf{L9} (traces \textbf{2a}) \quad \textbf{L10} (41\% + traces) \quad \textbf{L11} (0\%) \\ \hline \textbf{[a] Reaction conditions: 1a} (0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)_2 (0.01 mmol, 10 mol%), ligand (0.02 mmol, 20 mol%), PhI(OAc)_2 (0.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), DCE \\ (1.0 mL), 110 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}, 20 \ h. \ \textbf{[b]} Yields were determined by \ ^{1}\text{H NMR analysis of the crude mixture using CH_2Br_2 as internal standard.} \end{array}$

In order to increase the reactivity of the C(sp³)-H bond, we decided to evaluate different ligands in this transformation. Thus, under the standard reaction conditions, the addition of 1methylpyrazole (L1, 20 mol%) provided the desired acetoxylated product 2a in 29% yield, together with trace amount of diacetoxylated product 2a'. Encouraged by this result, other protected 1-methylpyrazole-type ligands were tested. The 5methyl substituted ligand L2, exhibited similar behaviour (30% vield) than L1. When the 5-position of the pyrazole ligand beared a methoxy group, only 21% yield of the desired product was detected, while the unprotected 5-hydroxy-1-methylpyrazole (L4) completely inhibited the reaction. Pyridine-type ligands are known to be effective ligands in C-H functionalization reactions.^[12] Therefore, we evaluated their effect in the C(sp³)-H acetoxylation reaction. The model reaction in the presence of pyridine (L5) furnished 2a in 11% yield. The electron-deficient 3nitropyridine ligand (L6) provided improved results compared with pyridine, giving 2a in 24% yield. We also tested different 2substituted pyridine ligands. The use of 2-picoline (L7) improved the yield of 2a up to 40%. The introduction of a methoxy group in the pyridine ring (L8) showed once more negative effects in the reaction (20% yield). Very low conversion was also observed when 2-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (L9) was employed as ligand, but the reaction in the presence of 2,6-lutidine (L10) gave 2a in

WILEY-VCH

41% yield. It is worth mentioning that the reaction proceeds cleanly and mainly the acetoxylated product and starting material were detected in the crude mixture. Finally, we also tried the reaction using a mono-protected amino acid ligand, Boc-L-isoleucine (L11), which was an efficient ligand in the C(sp³)-H γ -arylation and -alkylation of triflyl- or nosyl-protected amines.^[4a-b] However, under our reaction conditions, no acetoxylated product was detected.

Table 2. Optimization of reaction conditions.

NH 	Tf Pd(OAc) ₂ (10 mol%) 2,6-lutidine (20 mol%)	NHTf	OAc NHTf
ζ _H	COOMe PhI(OAc) ₂ (2.5 equiv.) DCE (0.1 M)		Me + COOMe OAc
1a	110 °C, 20 h	2a	2a'
Entry	Variations from standard reaction conditions		Yield ^[a] (2a + 2a')
1	None		41% + traces
2	DCM as solvent		42% + traces
3	Toluene as solvent		26 % + traces
4	CH ₃ CN as solvent		21% + traces
5	AcOH/Ac ₂ O (9:1, v/v) as solvent		< 10%
6	DCM (0.5M)		42% + 3%
7	PhCO ₃ <i>t</i> Bu (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		traces
8	AgOAc (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		58% + 5%
9	K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		53% + 6%
10	PdCl ₂ , AgOAc (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		32% + 2%
11	Na ₂ PdCl ₄ , AgOAc (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		25% + traces
12	Pd(TFA) ₂ , AgOAc (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		41% + 2%
13	$Pd(OPiv)_2$, AgOAc (2.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		62% + 7%
14	Pd(OPiv)₂, AgOAc (1.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		60% + 7% (56% + 7%) ^[b]
15	No Pd-catalyst, AgOAc (1.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		0%
16	No ligand, AgOAc (1.0 equiv.), DCM (0.5M)		17% + traces

[a] The yield was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude mixture using CH₂Br₂ as internal standard. [b] Isolated yield.

With a suitable ligand in hand, other reaction conditions were evaluated (Table 2). A systematic screening of solvents revealed that dichloromethane (DCM) gave similar yield (42%) than 1,2dichloroethane (entries 1-2). Other solvents such as toluene or acetonitrile provided the monoacetoxylated product 2a in lower yields (26% and 21% yield, respectively; entries 3-4). When using AcOH as solvent, almost no conversion was obtained (entry 5). When the reaction was performed at higher concentration (0.5M DCM) similar yield for 2a was obtained (entry 6). Having identified the best solvent, we tested other cooxidants in the reaction. Although the use of PhCO3^tBu completely inhibited the reaction (entry 7), the conversion was improved to 63% and 59% when AgOAc and K₂S₂O₈ were employed, respectively (entries 8-9). We further screened other pyridine and 2-alkoxyquinoline-type ligands using DCM as solvent and AgOAc as co-oxidant (see Supporting Information, Table S4). We found out that some 2-alkoxyquinoline ligands (L21–L23) provided comparable yields of acetoxylated products 2a and 2a' than 2,6-lutidine. Given that 2,6-lutidine is easily accessible, we used it as the optimal ligand for further screening of reaction conditions. Different palladium sources were evaluated (entries 10-13), and only Pd(OPiv)₂ furnished slightly better yield than Pd(OAc)₂. The reaction using Pd(OPiv)₂ and 1 equiv. of AgOAc furnished the acetoxylated products in 63% total isolated yield (56% monoacetoxylated product 2a and 7% diacetoxylated product 2a', entry 14). We performed a control experiment in the absence of palladium-catalyst and, as expected, no conversion to the desired product was observed (entry 15). Finally, we executed the reaction in the absence of 2,6-lutidine (L10) under the optimal reaction conditions and only 17% yield was detected, which highlights the crucial role of the ligand in this transformation (entry 16). Important features of the 2,6-lutidine enabled C(sp³)-H γ-acetoxylation of methyl N-triflyl-L-valinate (1a) are that the monoacetoxylated product was obtained with very high diastereoselectivity (dr = 13:1; determined by ¹H-NMR) and that no-gemdiacetoxylated product was observed. The synthetic utility of our methodology was highlighted in a scale-up of the reaction to a 1.0 mmol of 1a. providing the acetoxylated products in comparable yields than to the original value (Table 3).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we sought to explore the substrate scope and limitations of this transformation (Table 3). First, we tested different alkyl N-triflyl amino esters. The reaction with ethyl (1b) or benzyl (1c) N-triflyl-L-valinate provided the desired monoacetoxylated product in 56% and 49% yield, respectively, with very high diastereoselectivity. However, only trace amount of product was detected when tert-butyl NtriflyI-L-valinate (1d) was used. The reaction with the more conformationally flexible methyl N-triflyl-L-homoalaninate (1e) provided the corresponding γ -acetoxylated product in moderate yield (36%). The reaction with N-triflyl isoleucine and alloisoleucine derivatives showed a very different reactivity profile in agreement with the high stereoselectivity observed in the reaction with N-triflyI-L-valinates. While the reaction with the isoleucine derivative 1f provided the acetoxylated product 2f in 39% isolated yield, the diastereoisomeric alloisoleuceine derivative 1g furnished the acetoxylated product 2g in 87% isolated yield. The difference in reactivity between the two diastereoisomers can be attributed to the greater accessibility of the C(sp³)–H bond in the palladation step. Indeed, this behaviour has been previously observed in other C(sp³)–H functionalization reactions of amino acid derivatives.[3e,h] Taking into consideration the outcome of the reaction of N-triflyl isoleucine 1f and alloisoleucine 1g, we propose that the γ acetoxylation of valine derivatives 1a-c occurs mainly at the pro-R methyl group.

The reaction with the *O*-'Bu threonine derivative **1h**, under optimized reaction conditions, provided the γ -acetoxylated product **2h** in 45% isolated yield. When the reaction was performed with the *O*-TBS protected threonine derivative **1i** the product **2i** was obtained in lower yield (23%). Nevertheless, when the reaction was carried out with *O*-TBS allothreonine derivative **1j**, the acetoxylated product was formed in higher yield (49%). Finally, we evaluated if amino alcohols were suitable substrates for this transformation. When we performed the reaction of *O*-acetyl-*N*-triflyl-L-*tert*-leucinol (**1k**) under the optimized reaction conditions, only 20% ¹H-NMR yield was

WILEY-VCH

obtained. Fortunately, the use of the 2-alkoxyquinoline ligand **L22**, which had proved equally efficient than 2,6-lutidine in the C-H acetoxylation of amino acid derivatives (see supporting information, Table S10), provided the acetoxylated products in 47% isolated yield. Similarly, the reaction of *O*-acetyl-*N*-triflyl-L-valinol (11), using **L22** as external ligand, provided 38% total yield of acetoxylated products, being the monoacetoxylated product **2**I obtained with high diastereoselectivity (dr = 17:1; determined by ¹H-NMR).^[13]

Table 3. Substrate scope.^[a,b]



[a] See supporting information for detailed reaction conditions. [b] Isolated yields. [c] The reaction was performed on a 1.0 mmol scale. [d] From ¹H NMR analysis of the crude mixture, the conversion to diacetoxylated product was *ca* 5%. The diacetoxylated product could not be isolated pure by column chromatography. [e] 20 mol% of Pd(OPiv)₂ and 40 mol% of ligand were used. [f] **L22** was used instead of 2,6-lutidine.

On the basis of previous reports, a plausible mechanism for this transformation is presented in Figure 1.^[4c,14] First, we postulate that the palladium(II) coordinates to the nitrogen followed by the γ -C(sp³)–H activation and oxidative addition of PhI(OAc)₂ to form organopalladium(IV) complex. This palladium(IV) complex can then undergo a C–O bond reductive elimination to form the acetoxylated product and the palladium(II) catalyst.

Figure 1. Proposed catalytic cycle



Conclusions

In summary, we have developed the first palladiumcatalyzed γ -C(sp³)–H acetoxylation of *N*-trifyl protected amines. Key to the success of this transformation is use of pyridine or 2alkoxyquinoline-type ligands. The reaction is highly diastereoselective and takes place with a variety of amino acid and amino alcohol derivatives in moderate to good yields. This protocol is easily scalable and constitutes a direct approach for the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α -amino acids and β , γ -dihydroxy amines, which are not readily accessible by other routes. Future efforts will focus on improving the efficiency of these transformations by ligand design.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from NWO through a VIDI grant (723.013.006). Wen-Liang Jia gratefully acknowledges the financial support from China Scholarship Council (CSC).

Keywords: C–H activation • amines • ligand design • palladium • acetoxylation

- For general reviews on palladium-catalyzed C-H functionalization, see:

 a) D. Alberico, M. E. Scott, M. Lautens, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, *107*, 174; b)
 O. Daugulis, H.-Q. Do, D. Shabashov, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009, *42*, 1074;
 c) J.-Q. Yu, Z. Shi, C-H activation; Springer, 2010; Vol. 292; For a special issue dedicated to this topic, see: d) *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2012, *45*, 777; e) J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 2; f) H. M. L. Davies, D. Morton, *J. Org. Chem.* 2016, *81*, 343; g) T. Gensch, M. J. James, T. Dalton, F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 2296; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 2318.
- [2] a) T. W. Lyons, M. S. Sanford, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 1147; b) Z. Chen, B. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Yu, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2015, *2*, 1107; c) W. Ma, P. Gandeepan, J. Li, L. Ackermann, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2017, *4*, 1435. For a review about non-directed C–H activation of aromatic compounds, see: d) N. Kuhl, M. N. Hopkinson, J. Wencel-Delord, F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 10236; *Angew. Chem.* 2012, *124*, 10382.
- [3] For a general review on C-H functionalization by using bidentate directing groups, see: a) G. Rouquet, N. Chatani, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.

WILEY-VCH

2013, 52, 11726; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 11942; For a general review on C(sp3)-H functionalization, see: b) J. He, M. Wasa, K. S. L. Chan, Q. Shao, J.-Q. Yu, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8754; For a general review on C(sp3)-H functionalization by using bidentate directing groups, see: c) O. Daugulis, J. Roane, L. D. Tran, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 1053; For selective examples on C(sp3)-H functionalization by using bidentate directing groups, see: d) V. G. Zaitsev, D. Shabashov, O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13154; e) B. V. S. Reddy, L. R. Reddy, E. J. Corey, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3391; f) D. Shabashov, O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3965; g) L. D. Tran, O. Daugulis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5188; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 5278; h) N. Rodríguez, J. A. Romero-Revilla, M. Á. Fernández-Ibáñez, J. C. Carretero, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 175; i) F.-J. Chen, S. Zhao, F. Hu, K. Chen, Q. Zhang, S.-Q. Zhang, B.-F. Shi, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 4187; j) Q. Zhang, K. Chen, W.-H. Rao, Y. Zhang, F.-J. Chen, B.-F. Shi, Angew, Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13588; Angew, Chem. 2013, 125, 13833; k) J.-W. Xu, Z.-Z. Zhang, W.-H. Rao, B.-F. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10750; I) G. He, G. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5192; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 5298; m) G. He, G. Lu, Z.-W. Guo, P. Liu, G. Chen, Nature Chem. 2016, 8, 1131; n) S. Guin, A. Deb, P. Dolui, S. Chakraborty, V. K. Singh, D. Maiti, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2664.

- [4] For references of C(sp³)–H functionalization by using sulfonyl-protected amines as directing group, see: a) K. S. L. Chan, M. Wasa, L. Chu, B. N. Laforteza, M. Miura, J.-Q. Yu, *Nature Chem.*2014, *6*, 146; b) K. S. L. Chan, H.-Y. Fu, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 2042; c) H. Jiang, J. He, T. Liu, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 2055; d) Q. Shao, J. He, Q.-F. Wu, J.-Q. Yu, *ACS Catal.* 2017, *7*, 7777; e) Q. Shao, Q.-F. Wu, J. He, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 5322.
- [5] For references on C(sp³)-H functionalization by using carboxylic acids as directing group, see: a) R. Giri, N. L. Maugel, J.-J. Li, D.-H. Wang, S. P. Breazzano, L. B. Saunders, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 3510; b) G. Chen, Z. Zhuang, G.-C. Li, T. G. Saint-Denis, Y. Xiao, C. L. Joe, J.-Q. Yu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 1506; *Angew. Chem.* 2017, *129*, 1528; c) P.-X. Shen, L. Hu, Q. Shao, K. Hong, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 6545.
- For selected examples on C(sp3)-H activation by using monodentate [6] carboxylic derivatives as directing group, see: a) M. Wasa, K. M. Engle, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9886; b) M. Wasa, K. M. Engle, J-.Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3680; c) M. Wasa, K. M. Engle, D. W. Lin, E. J. Yoo, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19598; d) M. Wasa, K. S. L. Chan, X.-G. Zhang, J. He, M. Miura, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18570; e) J. He, M. Wasa, K. S. L. Chan, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3387; f) J. He, S.-H. Li, Y.-Q. Deng, H.-Y. Fu, B. N. Laforteza, J. E. Spangler, A. Homs, J.-Q. Yu, Science 2014, 343, 1216; g) J. He, R. Takise, H.-Y. Fu, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4618; h) S.-H. Li, R.-Y. Zhu, K.-J. Xiao, J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4317; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 4389; i) R.-Y. Zhu, T. G. Saint-Denis, Y. Shao, J. He, J. D. Sieber, C. H. Senanayake, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5724; j) Q.-F. Wu, P.-X. Shen, J. He, X.-B. Wang, F. Zhang, Q. Shao, R.-Y. Zhu, C. Mapelli, J. X. Qiao, M. A. Poss, J.-Q. Yu, Science 2017, 355, 499.
- a) A. Ricci, Amino Group Chemistry: From Synthesis to the Life Sciences, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008; b) C. Sánchez, C. Méndez, J. A. Salas, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2006, 23, 1007; c) R. Hili, A. K. Yudin, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2006, 2, 284.
- [8] a) D. J. Craik, D. P. Fairlie, S. Liras, D. Price, Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 2013, 81, 136.
- [9] For a review on C-H functionalization of amino acids and peptides, see: a) A. F. M. Noisier, M. A. Brimble, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 8775. For references on γ-C(sp³)-H acetoxylation of α-amino acids, see reference [3e] and: b) Q. Li, S.-Y. Zhang, G. He, W. A. Nack, G. Chen, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014, *356*, 1544; c) X.-H. Ye, Z.-R. He, T. Ahmed, K. Weise, N. G. Akhmedov, J. L. Petersena, X.-D. Shi, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, *4*, 3712; d) K. K. Pasunooti, R.-L. Yang, B. Banerjee, T. Yap, C.-

F. Liu, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 2696; d) Y. Zheng, W.-B. Song, Y.-F. Zhu, B.-L. Wei, L.-J. Xuan, *J. Org. Chem.* **2018**, *83*, 2448.

- [10] a) C. Valderas, K. Naksomboon, M. Á. Fernández-Ibáñez, ChemCatChem 2016, 8, 3213; b) K. Naksomboon, C. Valderas, M. Gómez-Martínez, Y. Álvarez-Casao, M. Á. Fernández-Ibáñez, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 6342; c) K. Naksomboon, Y. Álvarez-Casao, M. Uiterweerd, N. Westerveld, B. Maciá, M. Á. Fernández-Ibáñez, Tetrahedron Lett. 2018, 59, 379; d) Y. Álvarez-Casao, C. A. M. R. van Slagmaat, G. K. M. Verzijl, L. Lefort, P. L. Alsters, M. Á. Fernández-Ibáñez, ChemCatChem. 2018, Accepted (DOI: 10.1002/cctc.201701973R1).
- [11] For an example of C(sp²)–H acetoxylation of amino acids directed by triflyl-protected amine, see, C. Vickers, T.-S. Mei, J.-Q. Yu, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2511.
- [12] For selective examples, see: a) Y.-H. Zhang, B.-F. Shi, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5072; b) Y. Izawa, S. S. Stahl, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 3223; c) C. Wang, S. Rakshit, F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14006; d) M. H. Emmert, A. K. Cook, Y. J. Xie, M. S. Sanford, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9409; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 9581; e) A. K. Cook, M. H. Emmert, M. S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5428; f) J. B. Gary, A. K. Cook, M. S. Sanford, ACS Cat. 2013, 3, 700.
- [13] For the removal of the triflamide group, several procedures described in the following references were tested. Unfortunately, all attempts were unsuccessful. a) W.-Z. Zhang, Q.-Y. Wang, A.-P. Zhang, Z.-B. Tong, Z.-C. Yin, W. Huang, Z.-X. Cai, S. Gao, Faming Zhuanli Shenqing, 2011, 102070480; b) L. Chu, X.-C. Wang, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 16344; c) K. S. L. Chan, H.-Y. Fu, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 2042; d) Q. Shao, Q.-F. Wu, J. He, J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 5322.
- a) J. B. Gary, M. S. Sanford, *Organometallics* 2011, *30*, 6143; b) M. C.
 Nielsen, E. Lyngvi, Schoenebeck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 1978;
 c) A. K. Cook, M. S. Sanford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 3109.



WILEY-VCH

10.1002/ejoc.201800891

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

COMMUNICATION



Palladium-catalyzed γ -C(sp³)–H acetoxylation of triflyl-protected amines has been achieved for the first time. The use of pyridine or 2-alkoxyquinoline-type ligands is key to the success of this transformation. The reaction is highly diastereoselective and easily scalable and constitutes a direct approach for the synthesis of γ -hydroxy- α -amino acids and β , γ -dihydroxy amines.

*Direct γ -functionalization amines

C-H activation*

Wen-Liang Jia and M. Ángeles Fernández-Ibáñez*

Page No. – Page No.

Ligand-Enabled γ-C(sp³)–H Acetoxylation of Triflyl-Protected Amines

10.1002/ejoc.201800891