Glucosamine and Glucosamine-6-phosphate Derivatives: Catalytic Cofactor Analogues for the *glmS* Ribozyme

Jeffrey J. Posakony* and Adrian R. Ferré-D'Amaré*

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 50 South Drive, MSC 8012 Bethesda, Maryland 20892-8012, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Two analogues of glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN6P, 1) and five of glucosamine (GlcN, 2) were prepared for evaluation as catalytic cofactors of the *glmS* ribozyme, a bacterial gene-regulatory RNA that controls cell wall biosynthesis. Glucosamine and allosamine with 3-azido substitutions were prepared by S_N2 reactions of the respective 1,2,4,6-protected sugars; final acidic hydrolysis afforded the fully deprotected compounds as their TFA salts. A 6-phospho-2-aminoglucolactam (**31**) was prepared from glucosamine in a 13-step synthesis, which included a late-stage POCl₃-phosphorylation. A simple and widely applicable 2-step procedure with the triethylsilyl (TES) protecting group was developed to selectively expose the 6-OH group in N-



protected glucosamine analogues, which provided another route to chemical phosphorylation. Mitsunobu chemistry afforded 6-cyano (**35**) and 6-azido (**36**) analogues of GlcN-(Cbz), and the selectivity for the 6-position was confirmed by NMR (COSY, HMBC, HMQC) experiments. Compound **36** was converted to the fully deprotected 6-azido-GlcN (**37**) and 2,6-diaminoglucose (**38**) analogues. A 2-hydroxylamino glucose (**42**) analogue was prepared via an oxaziridine (**41**). Enzymatic phosphorylation of **42** and chemical phosphorylation of its 6-OH precursor (**43**) were possible, but **42** and the 6-phospho product (**44**) were unstable under neutral or basic conditions. Chemical phosphorylation of the previously described 2-guanidinyl-glucose (**46**) afforded its 6-phospho analogue (**49**) after final deprotection.

■ INTRODUCTION

The glmS ribozyme is a catalytic RNA domain that resides in the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the mRNA encoding the protein enzyme glucosamine-6-phosphate synthetase (GlmS) in most Gram-positive bacteria.¹ It has attracted considerable attention because it is the first known example of a natural ribozyme that uses a small molecule coenzyme (reviewed in refs 2 and 3). The ribozyme employs the amine of GlcN6P (1) as a general acid-base catalyst to catalyze RNA cleavage through internal transesterification.^{4,5} Binding to the ribozyme lowers the pK, of this functional group by up to 1.6 pH units, improving the catalytic efficiency of the coenzyme.^{6,7} In Grampositive bacteria, intact mRNAs have a triphosphate moiety at their 5' terminus. Ribozyme cleavage of the 5'-UTR of the glmS mRNA exposes a 5'-OH group, which triggers its degradation by RNase J1. Because the GlmS protein is unstable, degradation of its mRNA stops synthesis of 1, thereby allowing negativefeedback regulation.8

Because it controls the synthesis of 1, an essential metabolic precursor to the bacterial cell wall, the *glmS* ribozyme has been considered a potential drug target.^{2,9} Established antibiotics such as the β -lactams and Vancomycin inhibit assembly of the peptidoglycan component of the bacterial cell wall by disrupting the peptide cross-linking. For the glycan portion of the peptidoglycan cell wall biosynthesis, 1 is converted into the

[MurNAc β 1-4GlcNAc β 1-4] repeating disaccharide subunit.¹⁰ Disrupting the peptidoglycan polysaccharide assembly via misregulation of GlmS is a tantalizing prospect, although it is still unclear whether activation or inhibition of the ribozyme by small molecules would be most effective. The Winkler group demonstrated in the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* that the *glmS* ribozyme is indeed essential for peptidoglycan biosynthesis and that bacteria with a cleavage-defective ribozyme, analogous to an inhibited ribozyme, were unable to sporulate or form biofilms.⁸

The 1.7 Å resolution crystal structure of the *glmS* ribozyme in complex with 1 (Figure 1a) shows it binding to a shallow, solvent-exposed pocket of the RNA in the α -axial anomeric conformation, with the amine in van der Waals contact with the 5'O of G1, the leaving group of the transesterification reaction.¹¹ The functional importance of the amine is borne out by crystal structures of glucose-6-phosphate (18) bound to the ribozyme.⁴ Even though this compound is an inhibitor, rather than an activator, of the ribozyme,¹² 18 was found to bind in exactly the same location and also in the α -axial anomeric conformation.

Received: January 28, 2013 Published: April 11, 2013





Figure 1. (a) Detail of the crystal structure of GlcN6P bound to the *glmS* riboyzme.¹¹ Green and red spheres represent a magnesium ion and water molecules, respectively. Dotted lines indicate hydrogen bonds. GlcN6P stacks under the nucleobase of RNA residue G1, with its anomeric oxygen participating in hydrogen bonding interactions with the scissile phosphate (*), G65, and G66. The catalytically critical amine of GlcN6P hydrogen bonds with the 5'-O of G1, the base of U51, and a well-ordered water molecule. The hydroxyls at positions 3 and 4 of GlcN6P make direct and water-mediated hydrogen bonds with backbone atoms of A50 and U51. The O4 of GlcN6P receives a hydrogen bonds to the phosphate of the small molecule. (b) Previously described GlcN6P analogues and their effectiveness as coenzymes for the *glmS* ribozyme. (-) = inactive; (+) = weakly active; (++) = modestly active; (++) = strongly active.

Analogue studies aiming to discover potent unnatural coenzymes of the ribozyme have yielded some insight into requirements for coenzyme function (Figure 1b).^{1,13,14} The phosphate of 1 contributes to its binding to the ribozyme as evidenced by weaker activation by GlcN (2), consistent with the crystal structure. Carba-sugar analogues of 1 and 2, i.e., 3 and 4, are moderate and weak activators, corroborating the importance of O5 and the phosphate for binding. The compound is inactive if the pyranose ring is opened (5). Substituents that distort the chair conformation result in inactive analogues (6). Loss of the anomeric hydroxyl or inversion in configuration at C3 is tolerated (7, 8), but loss of two hydroxyl groups or introduction of a bulky substituent at C1 results in loss of activity (9-12). Although methylation of the amine of GlcN6P (13) or inversion of configuration at C2 (14) is tolerated, activity of the ribozyme requires the amine to be at position 2 (15). Trimethylation of the amine (16) or its acetylation (17) yield compounds as inactive as 18.

With insights from previously published chemistry, biochemistry, and X-ray crystallography studies, we sought improved glmS coenzyme candidates (activators) or inhibitors through the synthesis of five additional analogues of 2, and two additional analogues of 1. The 2-NH₂ group's central role in catalysis led us to analogues with modifications to the 2position (hydroxylamino and guanidinyl) or adjacent positions (3-azido and adjacent amide group in a lactam) that would modify the acid/base properties at the 2-position. Enzymatic phosphorylation was investigated but was of limited utility. A simple, widely applicable procedure for selective exposure of the 6-OH was developed for chemical phosphorylation and functional group transformation at the 6-position. Given the numerous H-bonding opportunities in RNA, changes to the Hbonding between coenzyme candidate and ribozyme active site may change the affinity of the coenzyme candidates relative to 1. In principle, all analogues described here alter that pattern, but azido groups rarely participate in H-bond interactions.^{15,16} The azides, however, provide opportunities for future coenzyme candidates through click chemistry, for instance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3-Azido-2-amino Sugars. The presence of a 3-azido group allows for altered H-bond interactions and 2-NH₂ pK_2 values compared to GlcN6P. Because allosamine-6-P was the most active, non-gluco configured coenzyme described to date,¹³ we also prepared 3-azidoallosamine (22). GlcN-(Cbz) was protected by Fisher glycosylation and subsequent introduction of the 4,6-benzylidene acetal to produce 19 as a mixture of anomers (Scheme 1). The less soluble β -anomer (20 β) was separated from the mixture by fractional crystallization (EtOAc) and cyclohexane trituration from a THF solution. Subsequent recrystallization (EtOAc) and flash chromatography of each anomer afforded 20α and 20β in 22.6% and 27.4% yield for 2 steps, respectively. Compound 20β was converted to its mesylate, which was then displaced by NaN₃ to afford the protected 3-azido-allosamine (21) in 52% yield for 2 steps. Compound 20 α was converted to the allo-sugar (23)¹⁷ that was then converted to the 23-mesylate (87%). Treatment with NaN₃ in DMF led to a mixture of 24 and an elimination side-product that were inseparable by flash chromatography. After deallylation, deallyl-24 (16% for 2 steps) was separated from the side product. Removal of all protecting groups from 21 and 24 was possible with extended heating in 1:1 TFA/ H₂O; however, purer 22 (38% from 21) and 25 (77% from deallyl-24) were isolated when the anomeric allyl group was removed first. Azido-substituted aminosugars 22, and 25 can be

Article

Scheme 1. Preparation of the 3-Azido-2-amino Carbohydrates^a



^aReagents and conditions: (a) CH_2 =CHCH₂OH, H_2SO_4 (cat.), reflux; (b) PhCH(OMe)₂, (±)-camphorsulfonic acid (cat.), DMF; (c) Ms₂O, py; (d) NaN₃, DMF, 120 °C; (e) SeO₂, AcOH, 1,4-dioxane, 120 °C; (f) 1:1 TFA/H₂O, 120 °C; (g) DMSO, Ac₂O; (h) NaBH₄, MeOH.





^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) DPS-Cl, py; (b) PAB-Cl, BaO, Ba(OH)₂·8H₂O, DMF, then PAB-I, KOtBu, toluene; (c) SeO₂, AcOH, dioxane, reflux; (d) Dess–Martin reagent, py; (e) 7 N NH₃ in MeOH; (f) HCOOH, NaBH₃CN, CH₃CN, reflux; (g) TBAF, THF, AcOH; (h) POCl₃, py, 30 min 0 °C, then H₂O; (i) CHCl₃, H₂O, DDQ, 80 °C; (j) Pd(OH)₂/C, NH₄·HCOO, H₂O/MeOH, reflux. PAB = *p*-N-(pivaloyl)aminobenzyl; DPS = *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl. A box indicates the major product component from each step; only the major component was carried through to the next step.

added to the two unprotected hexoses with azido and amino functional groups that have appeared in the literature: 3-azido-mannosamine¹⁸ and 4-azido-galactosamine.¹⁹ Aminosugar **25** could be utilized to image glycans that use GlcN6P and GlcN,²⁰ but given that allosamine is a rare aminosugar,²¹ **22** is unlikely to find such utility.

Chemical and Enzymatic Phosphorylations. The 6-phosphate of GlcN6P is a key feature that improves coenzyme binding to the *glmS* ribozyme, facilitating discrimination among many possible carbohydrate ligands. Chemical phosphorylation of several of the remaining coenzyme candidates was accomplished by POCl₃ treatment in pyridine of a selectively exposed 6-OH group, which was prepared via orthogonal protection groups (lactam synthesis) or via selective removal of the 6-O-triethylsilyl (TES) group from a tetrasilylated sugar. Compounds **22**, **25**, **32**, **42**, and **45** were all investigated as yeast hexokinase (HK) substrates. Only **25** (see Supporting Information) and **42** (see below) were phosphorylated, despite the fact that HK accepts a wide variety of glucose-similar

substrates and that changes to the hexose 2- and 3-positions are well tolerated. $^{\rm 22}$

Phosphoamino Lactam Synthesis. The phosphoamino lactam (31) analogue of GlcN6P was chosen because of its relatively inert nature, which could be an advantage for extended biochemical studies. Lactam 31 lacks an anomeric OH, is unable to undergo mutarotation, and would not be a substrate for the next step in peptidoglycan synthesis, namely, conversion of GlcN6P to its 1-phosphate by phosphoglucosamine mutase.²³ The 2-amino gluconolactam (32) appeared in the literature,^{24,25} but not the phosphorylated analogue (31). Thus the synthesis of 31 was similar to related molecules^{24–26} but also included a late-stage phosphorylation of the primary OH. Protection groups stable to basic (NH_3) and acidic conditions (HCOOH) as well as orthogonality in removing the anomeric and 6-OH protecting groups were necessary. In addition, selective, facile removal of the 3,4-O-groups was desired as to provide an N-Cbz-protected phospho-lactam that could be HPLC-purified before the final, rapid Cbz removal. These criteria appeared to be satisfied by the allyl pyranoside

Scheme 3. Selective 6-Deprotection and Derivatization^a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) TES-Cl (12 equiv), DMF, DIEA (15 equiv), 60 °C; (b) DCM, MeOH, PPTS (cat.); (c) Ph₃P, acetone cyanohydrin, DIAD, THF; (d) Ph₃P, DPPA, DIAD, THF; (e) TFA/H₂O (1:1), 110 °C; (f) Pd(OH)₂/C, NH₄·HCOO, MeOH, H₂O, 60 °C.

with 6-O-DPS, and 3,4-bis(p-N-(pivaloyl)aminobenzyl) (PAB)²⁷ protecting groups.

Regioselective protection of the 6-OH of 19 using DPS-Cl proceeded smoothly, producing 26 (93%); however, introduction of the PAB groups was problematic (Scheme 2). A 7-fold excess of PAB-Cl in the presence of BaO and Ba(OH)₂·8H₂O resulted in an intractable mixture of mono- and bis-PAB protected sugars (both anomers) and uncharacterized side products that appeared to originate from the PAB-Cl reagent. The sugar products were partially purified by chromatography, and the mixture was treated with a second round of PAB-Cl and base; unfortunately, the yield of bis-PAB product did not improve substantially. Numerous modifications to the benzylation conditions were investigated to improve the yield. The most successful, PAB-I and KOtBu in toluene, was then applied to the carbohydrate mixture. After partial purification by chromatography, the allyl group was removed, followed by oxidation with Dess-Martin reagent. The result was two separable lactone isomers (3-step yield: 27a, 2.5%; 27b, 15.3%); 27a had $J_{\rm H2/H3}$ = 3.2 Hz and very weak COSY correlation with no scalar coupling between H₃ and H₄. This suggests a lactone with skewed conformation, which is perhaps unsurprising given the steric demands of the protecting groups, or possibly an inversion of configuration at C₂. The ¹H NMR spectra of 27b had too many overlapping peaks to draw any conclusions on the configuration, but it was the major product and the synthesis proceeded with it. Lactone 27b was opened using NH₃, producing 28 as 2 separable amides (28a, 80%, and 28b, 6.4%). When mixed with NH₃ in MeOH, 27a also produced two separable amides 28a (19%) and 28c (63%). Amide 28a was then oxidized with Dess-Martin reagent, producing two 6-hydroxylactams (29a, 29b); the NMR data (¹H, ¹³C, ¹H-COSY) were insufficient to determine configuration of the hydroxylactams. However, these two isomers can be rationalized through epimeric 5-hydroxylactams having the gluco and idoso configurations;²⁶ in addition, steric demands of the protecting groups could result in chromatographically separable hydroxylactams with skewed conformations. Finally, reductive amidation of 29a followed by removal of the DPS group with TBAF afforded the 6-OH glucolactam 30a (9%) and **30b** (43%) as the two principal products. NMR spectra of 30b confirmed the gluco configuration, and it was phosphorylated with POCl₃ in py followed by PAB group removal (DDQ) to afford the N-Cbz phospholactam (31). Final product purification by HPLC was followed the removal of the Cbz group by transfer hydrogenolysis; the solvent was removed by lyophilization, affording 31 (10%, 3 steps). Whether other, minor products of 28 and 29 could have been converted to 31

was not investigated. In conclusion, the chosen protecting groups were adequate for the carbohydrate to lactam conversion, but the combined steric demands of the protecting groups on a carbohydrate scaffold likely led to unsatisfactory product yields.

Modifications at the 6-OH of Hexopyranoses. Chemical phosphorylation of the 6-OH in the GlcN analogues requires N-protection and discrimination between the primary and secondary hydroxyl groups. Orthogonal protection group schemes, like that used for the phospholactam synthesis, were an option for the remaining coenzyme candidates. During the course of this work, however, a simpler, widely applicable OHdiscrimination scheme was developed using the triethylsilyl (TES) protecting group. All hydroxyls of GlcN-(Cbz) were protected using TES-Cl and diisopropylethyamine (DIEA) in DMF (Scheme 3) to produce 33 (62%). Taking into account the slightly more polar, incompletely silvlated carbohydrates, 90% of the starting material could be accounted for; these could be resubjected to silvlation to improve the yield. Conveniently, the primary 6-OH was exposed via transilylation (to MeOH) with catalytic PPTS in MeOH/DCM. Once 33 had been consumed (TLC), the reaction mixture was loaded directly on to a silica cartridge and chromatographed; the least polar product was 34 (58%). In general, the deprotection rate varied with the amount of PPTS and was different for every persilylated substrate. Again, less silylated, more polar carbohydrates could be recovered and converted again to 33.

Selectivity for the 6-position was confirmed, and silyl group migration was ruled out with **35** (64% yield), which was prepared by a modified Mitsunobu coupling with acetone cyanohydrin.²⁸ ¹H, ¹³C, COSY, and HMBC NMR analyses of **35** showed clear correlation between the C_6H (2.9 ppm) and cyano (118 ppm) ¹³C peaks. The azido group was introduced using diphenylphosphorylazide (DPPA)²⁹ and was used to make coenzyme candidates **37** and **38**. Although **36** has not been previously reported, the 27%, 3-step yield from GlcN-(Cbz) is similar to the 23%, 3-step conversion of ManN-(Cbz) to 1,3,4-triacetyl-6-azido-2-N(Cbz)-mannosamine in which N₃⁻ displaced a 6-OTs group.³⁰

Treating **36** with 1:1 TFA/H₂O afforded 6-azido glucosamine **37** (75%). Although the azide of **37** could be reduced via catalytic hydrogenation over Pd/C or Pd(OH)₂/C with NH₄·HCOO or HCOOH, **38** could not be isolated cleanly. Instead, transfer hydrogenation both reduced the azide and removed the Cbz group from **36**; then the TES groups were hydrolyzed to afford **38** in 68% yield as the (TFA)₂ salt.

Modifications to the 2-Amino Group. The 2-amino group is critical for the coenzyme function of GlcN6P in

Scheme 4. 2-Hydroxylamino-2-Deoxyglucose Synthesis^a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) TES-Cl (12 equiv), DIEA (15 equiv), DMF, 70 °C; (b) *p*-anisaldehyde, PPTS, toluene, reflux; (c) *m*CPBA, aq NaHCO₃, DCM; (d) TFA/H₂O (4:1); (e) yeast hexokinase, ATP, MgCl₂, citric acid·H₂O, pH 8.0; (f) cat. CSA, MeOH; (g) POCl₃, 2,6-lutidine, then TFA/H₂O (4:1).

Scheme 5. Preparation of the 2-Guanidinylglucose-6-phosphate Coenzyme Candidate $(49)^a$



^a(a) TES-Cl, DIEA, DMF, 70 °C; (b) cat. PPTS, DCM, MeOH; (c) POCl₃/py, then H₂O, DMF/AcOH followed by 1 N HCl.

activating the *glmS* ribozyme. Available evidence point to it as both a general acid and general base in the cleavage event.^{6,7} Thus, modification of the 2-amino group expands the possibilities of finding an activator or inhibitor. To this end, hydroxylamine- and guanidine-substituted gluco-configured carbohydrates were prepared and phosphorylated at their 6-positions.

The hydroxylamino analogue was prepared from GlcN through an oxaziridine intermediate (Scheme 4). The OH groups of GlcN were protected as their TES-ethers, and 39 (61%) was isolated by chromatography exclusively as the α anomer. This was subsequently converted to the imine (40), which was oxidized (mCPBA) to afford the oxaziridine (41) as one major (51%, 2 steps) and one minor isomer (5%, 2 steps). Conversion of 41 to 42 was accomplished with TFA/H_2O . The NMR spectra of isolated 42 indicated α - and β -anomers and the presence of a putative *p*-OMe benzylidene adduct in the β anomeric configuration in a ratio of 1.6:1.2:1, respectively. Although 42 in this mixture was phosphorylated by HK, a steady loss of 44 by LC-MS was apparent under the phosphorylation conditions. A similar loss of product was apparent with 42 alone under slightly basic conditions. Chemical phosphorylation was pursued through the 6-OTES removal under acidified conditions, MeOH with catalytic ± -camphorsulfonic acid, to afford 43 in 47% yield. Treatment with POCl₃/lutidine then TFA/H₂O afforded 44. Partial purification was achieved by passing through a C18 column (95:5 NH₄·HCOO adjusted to pH ~2.5: MeOH) and 44 eluted at the solvent front, which was subsequently lyophilized. Compound 44 was then purified by "aqueous normal phase"

 $HPLC^{31}$ at pH = 3. Through each purification step, a steady loss of 44 was apparent (LC-MS) even under the mildly acidic conditions. After final lyophilization of the product fractions no 44 was present (LC-MS). The decomposition mechanism is unknown but the presence of the hydroxylamine may facilitate rearrangement or elimination reactions, which are common in carbohydrates. These results suggested that 2-hydroxylamino sugars were stable only under strongly acidic conditions and were not pursued further because the *glmS* ribozyme functions most effectively under slightly basic conditions.

The guanidinylated glucose (45) was prepared using published procedures.³² Chemical phosphorylation of the di-BOC precursor (46) was accomplished through the TES protection, affording 47, and 6-OH deprotection described above to afford 48 (Scheme 5). Treatment with POCl₃/ pyridine followed by acidic TES and BOC removal afforded 49, which may adopt more conformations than the bicyclic α anomer according to NMR and MS (see Supporting Information). This locked-in configuration could be beneficial for ribozyme binding given that only the α -anomer of GlcN6P is bound to the ribozyme at the active site.^{2,6,7}

In conclusion, analogues of GlcN and GlcN6P were prepared that provided altered electronic environments around the 2amino group, modified amino groups, altered H-bonding patterns for the ribozyme's active site, and the scaffold for additional derivatives via azide derivatization. Further characterization and evaluation of the candidates as coenzymes of the *glmS* ribozyme will be reported elsewhere.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2-Propen-1-yl 2-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-deoxy-4,6-O-(phenylmethylene)-D-glucopyranoside (20α , 20β). Allyl alcohol (200 mL), concd H_2SO_4 (30 μ L), and 2-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-deoxyglucose³³ (5.28 g, 16.9 mmol) were combined and then refluxed for 2 h. Then, NaHCO₃ (2 g) was added; 1 h later the solution was filtered and the solvent was removed. The residue was recrystallized (EtOAc), then the filtrate's solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on silica using EtOAc/CH3CN (3:1). The desired product (two anomers, TLC $R_f = 0.45 - 0.5$) was collected; product recrystallization and chromatography of the subsequent filtrate was repeated, followed by a final recrystallization to afford a combined 4.40 g (12.4 mmol, 73.7%) of an off-white powder (19). Under an Ar atmosphere, 19 (4.29 g, 12.1 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd DMF (50 mL). The reaction flask was cooled in an ice bath, then ±-camphorsulfonic acid (100 mg) and benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (5.47 mL, 36.3 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred overnight while the solution warmed to rt. The solvent was removed, and the residue was mixed with DCM (36 mL), H_2O (9 mL), and 5% NaHCO_{3(aq)} (3 mL). After vigorous stirring (5 min), MeOH (6 mL) and hexanes (25 mL) were added. The flask was cooled in the freezer for 4 h, and then the precipitate was filtered off (1st crop); reducing the filtrate volume afforded two more product crops. The first crop was recrystallized (EtOAc) to afford 1.62 g of 20β , a portion of which was chromatographed, which indicated the first crop was 87% pure. The filtrate residue and remaining product crops were combined and dissolved in a minimum volume of THF. The β -anomer was triturated with cyclohexane and filtered off. Both precipitate and filtrate residue were chromatographed, each on a 50 g silica cartridge (3:1 DCM/EtOAc); 20α eluted first. Any fractions with $20\alpha/20\beta$ mixtures were combined and subjected to the trituration and chromatography steps. Isolated yields of purified 20α and 20β , each a white powder, were 1.64 g (3.73 mmol, 22.6% for 2 steps) and 1.99 g (4.52 mmol, 27.4% for 2 steps), respectively.

Data for 20α. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ: 2.7 (s br, 1H), 3.59 (dd, J = 9.0, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, J = 10.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (ddd, J = 9.6, 9.6, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.90 to 4.01 (m, 3H), 4.19 (dddd, J = 12.8, 5.4, 1.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dd, J = 10.1,4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.1 to 5.16 (m, 3H), 5.21 (ddd, J = 10.4, 2.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (ddd, J = 15.7, 2.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (s, 1H), 5.83 to 5.91 (m, 1H), 7.32 to 7.39 (m, 8H), 7.49 to 7.51 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ: 56.0, 63.0, 67.8, 69.0, 69.4, 70.9, 82.4, 97.7, 102.4, 118.6, 126.7, 128.7, 129.0, 129.7, 133.6, 136.5, 137.5, 157.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₈NO₇ 442.1860; found 442.1867.

Data for 20β. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 600 MHz) δ: 2.26 to 3.33 (m, 2H, over H₂O peak, C₂H, C₅H), 3.43 (dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 3.57 (m, 1H, C₃H), 3.72 (dd, J = 10.1, 10.1 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.99 (dddd, J = 13.7, 5.2 Hz, smaller couplings not resolved, 1H, allyl-C₁H), 4.18 to 4.23 (m, 2H, allyl-C₁H, C₆H), 4.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, C₁H), 4.98 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), [5.06 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, Bn-CH) over 5.07 to 5.15 (m, allyl-C₃H), 2H], 5.23 (ddd, J = 17.2, 3.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₃H), 5.37 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H, C₂-NH), 5.59 (s, 1H, acetal-CH), 5.76 to 5.83 (m, 0.2 H, allyl-C₂H), 7.27 to 7.38 (m, 8H, aromatic-H), 7.42 to 7.45 (m, 2H, aromatic-H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 150 MHz) δ: 57.9, 65.1, 66.0, 67.8, 69.1, 70.3, 81.2, 100.6,

101.4, 116.1, 126.3, 127.6, 127.7, 128.0, 128.3, 128.8, 134.4, 137.3, 137.7, 156.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₂₄H₂₈NO₇ 442.1860; found 442.1867.

2-Propen-1-yl 3-Azido-2-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2,3-dideoxy-4,6-O-(phenylmethylene)- β -D-allopyranoside (21). Compound 20β (1.1 g, 2.5 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd py, and the reaction flask was cooled in an ice bath. Then, Ms₂O (1.09 g, 6.25 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h. The solution was added to H_2O (40 mL) with stirring; 20 min later, the solution was filtered, and the precipitate was air-dried to afford 1.27 g of an off white powder (crude 20β -mesylate), which was used without further purification. NaN₃ (45 mg, 0.75 mmol) and 20β-mesylate (126 mg) were dissolved in anhyd DMF (1 mL). The reaction vial was heated (hot plate at 110 °C), and mixture was stirred for 48 h. Then, the solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 12 g silica cartridge (0 to 50% EtOAc in DCM). The isolated yield of 21 (a white powder, TLC R_f = 0.75 with 1:1 DCM/EtOAc) was 61 mg (0.13 mmol, 52% for 2 steps). The reaction could be scaled up to 1 g of 21 with similar results. A sample of 21 was recrystallized from DCM/hexanes for NMR analysis.

Data for 20\beta-mesylate. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 600 MHz) δ : 2.97 (s, 3H, SO₂-CH₃), 3.56 (ddd, J = 10.0, 9.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H, $C_{5}H$, 3.74 (dd, J = 9.8, 9.1, 8.3 Hz, 1H, $C_{2}H$), 3.88 (dd, J =10.3, 10.3 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.90 (dd, J = 9.5, 9.5 Hz, 1H, C_4H), 4.13 (dddd, J = 13.3, 5.6, 1.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₁H), 4.31 to 4.35 (m, 2H, C_6H , allyl- C_1H), 4.91 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C_1H), 4.95 (dd, I = 9.8, 9.8 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 5.09 to 5.14 (m, 3H, allyl- $C_{3}H$, Bn- CH_{2}), 5.29 (ddd, J = 17.3, 3.5 1.7 Hz, 1H, allyl- $C_{3}H$), 5.76 (s, 1H, acetal-CH), 6.74 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H, C₂-NH), 7.29 to 7.40 (m, 7H, aromatic-H), 7.52 to 7.55 (m, 3H, aromatic-H). Allyl-C₂H multiplet was too broad to observe, but showed up in COSY. ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_{6} , 150 MHz) δ : 38.5, 55.8, 65.2, 65.4, 67.5, 69.5, 78.1, 80.0, 100.08, 100.09, 100.7, 116.5, 125.9, 127.1, 127.5, 127.7, 128.1, 128.3, 128.9, 134.1, 137.0, 137.1, 155.8. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C25H30NO9S 520.1636; found 520.1649.

Data for 21. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 600 MHz) δ: 3.82 (dd, J = 10.1, 10.1 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.87 to 3.93 (m, 2H, C₂H, C₅H), 4.05 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 4.08 (dddd, J = 13.5, 5.5 Hz, remaining coupling unresolved, 1H, allyl-C₁H), 4.28 to 4.33 (m, 2H, C₆H, allyl-C₁H), 4.46 (dd, J = 3.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 4.71 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, C₁H), 5.08 to 5.14 (m, 3H, Bn-CH, allyl-C₃H), 5.27 (ddd, J = 17.3, 3.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₃H), 5.74 (s, 0.7H, acetal-CH), 5.86 to 5.9 (m, 0.01H, allyl-C₂H), 6.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 0.5H, C₂-NH), 7.33 to 7.41 (m, 8H, aromatic H), 7.52 to 7.54 (m, 2H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 150 MHz) δ: 54.6, 63.4, 65.1, 66.8, 69.4, 70.6, 79.0, 100.2, 102.3, 116.7, 127.1, 128.59, 128.61, 128.8, 129.2, 129.7, 135.3, 138.1, 138.7, 156.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₇N₄O₆ 467.1925; found 467.1927.

General Deallylation Procedure. SeO₂ (20 mg, 1.8 mmol) was mixed with AcOH (13.4 μ L, 0.24 mmol) and the allyl pyranoside (0.12 mmol) in anhyd 1,4-dioxane (0.5 mL). The reaction vial was set on a hot plate (120 °C), and the mixture was stirred for 2.5 h. Then, the vial was allowed to cool and the solution was filtered; the solvent was removed from the filtrate and the residue was chromatographed.

General Acid Hydrolysis Procedure. The hydrolysis substrate was mixed with TFA/H₂O (1 mL/1 mL). The reaction vial was heated (hot plate at 120 °C), and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. The heat was removed, then H₂O (3 mL)

was added, and the aq phase was extracted 2× with EtOAc (3 mL). The aq phase was frozen and then lyophilized. The resulting residue was redissolved in H_2O (3 mL) and lyophilized a second time.

2-Amino-3-azido-2,3-dideoxyallose (22). The general conditions for allyl group removal were applied to 21 (55 mg, 0.12 mmol). The residue was chromatographed 2×, each on a 12 g silica cartridge with a 3:1 to 2:3 DCM/EtOAc gradient to afford 24.5 mg of semipurified product. A portion of this product (20.5 mg) was subjected to the general acid hydrolysis conditions, which afforded 12 mg of 22 as the TFA salt (38 μ mol, 38% for 2 steps). Injecting a 1:1 MeOH/H₂O solution of 22 on a semipreparative C18 column and eluting with 95:5 H₂O/MeOH removed the small amount of residual low polarity material. Compound 22 eluted immediately after the void volume; the sample was reacidified with HCOOH and then lyophilized, affording an off white powder. ¹H NMR $(D_2O, 600 \text{ MHz}) \delta$: main product β : α ratio = 6:1, 3.17 (dd, J = 8.4, 3.4 Hz, 6H, $\beta C_2 H$), 3.60 (dd, J = 12.1, 5.6 Hz, 6.5H, $\beta C_6 H$), 3.66 (dd, J = 12.8, 4.7 Hz, 2H, $\alpha C_6 H$), 3.72 to 3.77 (m, 14H, $\beta C_5 H$ in here), 3.87 (dd over m, J = 10.6, 3.5 Hz, 8.2H, $\beta C_4 H / \alpha C_4 H / \alpha C_5 H$, 4.23 (dd, J = 3.8, 3.8 Hz, 1.1H, $\alpha C_3 H$), 4.28 (dd, J = 3.3, 3.3 Hz, 6H, $\beta C_3 H$), 4.86 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 6H, $\beta C_1 H$, 5.16 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H, $\alpha C_1 H$). [Signals corresponding to 2 additional minor carbohydrate products were present: 3.04 (dd, J = 8.7, 3.5 Hz, <0.1H), 3.78 to 3.81 (m, 0.5H), 4.18 (dd, J = 4.5, 4.2 Hz, 0.3H), 4.38 (dd, J = 7.8, 3.5 Hz, 0.3H), 5.36 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 0.1H), 5.49 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 0.25H)]. ¹³C NMR (D₂O/ DMSO- d_6 ; referenced to DMSO CD₃, 150 MHz) δ : 50.2, 54.0, 61.8, 62.1, 62.2, 63.6, 67.8, 68.6, 75.9, 89.6, 92.3, [118.0 (q, J = 291 Hz), 164.3 (q, J = 34.7 Hz), TFA], [172.1 from formic acid]. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_6H_{13}N_4O_4$ 205.0931; found 205.0930.

2-Propen-1-yl 3-Azido-2-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2,3-dideoxy-4,6-O-(phenylmethylene)-α-D-glucopyranoside (24). The conversion of 20α to 23 was carried out as previously described,³⁴ then 23 (0.62 g, 1.4 mmol) was treated with Ms_2O (0.61 g, 3.5 mmol) in anhyd py (5 mL) as described for the production of 21. The residue was chromatographed on a 25 g silica cartridge with 0 to 33% EtOAc in DCM, affording 637 mg of a white powder (23-mesylate, 1.23 mmol, 87.6% yield). Then, 23-mesylate (0.600 g, 1.16 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd DMF (5 mL). NaN₃ (0.228 g, 3.5 mmol at the start; 75 mg, 1.2 mmol at 58 h) was added, and the reaction was heated (oil bath, 120 °C) and stirred for 70 h. Then, after cooling, H_2O (20 mL) was added to the mixture. The precipitate was filtered, washed with H₂O (20 mL), and then air-dried. The precipitate was chromatographed on a 40 g silica cartridge with a 0 to 30% EtOAc in DCM gradient. An elimination product consistent with loss of MsOH coeluted with 24 (TLC $R_f = 0.9$; 10:1 DCM/EtOAc), affording 0.286 g of an off-white solid. A portion of 24 was purified for NMR analysis from the mixture by HPLC on a semipreparative C18 column: $A = H_2O$, B = MeOH, 0 to 1 min (75% B), 1 to 20 min (gradient to 85% B) at 4 mL/min. The product eluting at $t_{\rm R} = 20 \, {\rm min} \, {\rm was} \, 24.$

Data for 23-mesylate. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 600 MHz) δ : 3.03 (s, 3H, SO₂CH₃), 3.82 (dd, J = 10.3, 10.3 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 4.05 to 4.09 (m, 2H, allyl-C₁H, C₄H), [4.16 (ddd, J = 10.1, 10.0, 5.2 Hz, C₃H), 4.20 (ddd, J = 9.1, 4.0, 4.0 Hz, C₂H) overlapping, 2H], 4.29 (dddd, J = 13.4, 4.7, 1.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₁H), 4.33 (dd, J = 10.3, 5.2 Hz, C₆H), 4.97 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H, C₁H), 5.10 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.12 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.17 (unresolved ddd, one J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₃H), 5.24 (unresolved dd, one J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 5.38 (ddd, unresolved ddd, one J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₃H), 5.77 (s, 1H, acetal-CH), 5.93 to 6.0 (m, 0.3H, allyl-CH), 7.31 to 7.41 (m, 7H, aromatic H), 7.52 to 7.55 (m, 3H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 150 MHz) δ: 39.0, 51.3, 58.9, 67.2, 69.2, 69.4, 76.4, 77.4, 96.9, 102.4, 116.8, 127.1, 128.6, 128.9, 129.1, 129.8, 135.0, 137.8, 138.6, 156.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + NH₄]⁺ calcd for C₂₅H₃₃N2O₉S 537.1901; found 537.1900.

Data for 24. ¹H NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 600 MHz) δ: 3.75 (dd, *J* = 9.4, 9.4 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 3.81 to 3.90 (m, 3H, C₂H, C₅H, C₆H), 4.01 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 10.1 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 4.06 (dddd, *J* = 13.3, 7.1, 1.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₁H), 4.25 to 4.30 (m, 2H, allyl-C₁H, C₆H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, C₁H), 5.12 (s, 2H, Bn-CH₂), 5.16 (ddd, *J* = 10.5, 1.4 Hz, remaining coupling not resolved, 1H, allyl-C₃H), 5.37 (ddd, *J* = 17.9, 3.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H, allyl-C₃H), 5.76 (s, 1H, acetal-CH), 5.92 to 5.98 (m, 0.3H, allyl-C₂H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 0.6H, C₂-NH), 7.31 to 7.42 (m, 8H, aromatic H), 7.49 to 7.53 (m, 2H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 150 MHz) δ: 55.2, 62.0, 64.0, 66.9, 69.1, 69.3, 81.3, 97.9, 102.1, 117.3, 127.0, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 129.2, 129.6, 134.9, 138.1, 138.7, 156.8. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₂₇N₄O₆ 467.1925; found 467.1929.

2-Amino-3-azido-2,3-dideoxyglucose (25). The general deallylation procedure was applied to the mixture of 24 and the side product (100 mg) on a 1.9× scale. Then, the crude mixture was chromatographed on a 12 g silica cartridge with a 10 to 50% EtOAc in DCM gradient to afford 34 mg of semipurified deallyl product. Final purification from coeluting Se-related products on a 4 g silica cartridge afforded 27 mg of a white powder, deallyl-24 (16%, two-step yield from 23-mesylate). Then deallyl-24 (15 mg, 35 μ mol) treated with the general acid hydrolysis procedure, affording 25 as the TFA salt (a white solid, 8.6 mg, 27 μ mol, 77%). ¹H NMR (D₂O, 600 MHz) δ : $[\alpha:\beta \text{ ratio} = 2.5:1]$ 2.84 (dd, J = 10.9, 8.5 Hz, 1H, H β_2), 3.14 $(dd, J = 11.2, 3.3 Hz, 2.5H, H\alpha_2), 3.22-3.24 (m, 1 H), 3.48-$ 3.52 (m, 1H), 3.54 to 3.70 (overlapping m's, 9.3H), 3.73 (unresolved dd, J = 12.2 Hz, 2.5H), $\overline{3.79}$ (unresolved dd, J =12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81-3.87 (m, 5H), 4.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, $H\beta_1$), 5.29 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 2.5H, $H\alpha_1$). ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 150 MHz) δ: 55.1, 57.3*, 62.8, 63.0*, 64.5, 66.5*, 72.0, 72.4*, 74.2, 79.5^* , 91.4, 95.5^* , [119.1 (q, J = 290 Hz), 166.0 (q, J = 33 Hz)TFA]; * = less intense peak, consistent with β -anomer signals. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_6H_{13}N_4O_4$ 205.0931; found 205.0928.

2-Propen-1-yl 2-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-6-O-(tertbutyldiphenylsilyl)-2-deoxy-D-glucopyranoside (26). Under an Ar atmosphere, 19 (6.23 g, 17.6 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd py (75 mL). The reaction flask was cooled in an ice bath, then DPS-Cl (5.0 mL, 19.4 mmol) was added. The ice bath was allowed to warm to rt and the reaction progress was monitored by TLC. More DPS-Cl was added at 6 h (0.685 mL, 2.6 mmol) and at 20 h (0.45 mL, 1.7 mmol). After 23 h total, the solvent was removed and the residue was taken up in hexanes (100 mL) and EtOAc (150 mL). The organic phase was extracted $2 \times$ with H₂O (100 mL) and then dried (Na_2SO_4) . The solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed on an 80 g silica cartridge with a 1:1 to 0:1 hexanes/EtOAc gradient to afford a mixture of semipure anomers (TLC R_f = 0.3 and 0.45, 11.02 g, a viscous oil), which was used without further purification. An 85.5 mg sample of the semipure mixture was rechromatographed (1:1 to 1:4 hexanes/ EtOAc) to afford pure 26 (73.5 mg, 91% reaction yield) as a

viscous oil that crystallized over a period of weeks. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ : 1.06 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.45 (m, 2H), 3.65 to 3.74 (m, 2H), 3.89 (ddd, *J* = 10.9, 10.9, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.97 to 4.08 (m, 2H), 4.21 (dd, br, *J* = 12.8, 4.8 Hz, 0.5H), 4.30 to 4.34 (m, 0.4H), 4.44 (s, v br, 0.3H, β C₁H), 4.89 (s br, 0.5 H, α C₁H), 5.07 to 5.16 (m, 3H), 5.23 to 5.31 (m, 1H), 5.88 to 5.95 (m, 0.1H, allyl C₂H), 7.25 to 7.45 (m, 11H, aromatic H), 7.65 to 7.75 (m, 4H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 150 MHz) δ : 20.1, 27.3, 57.2, 59.0, 64.8, 67.4 67.6, 69.2, 70.7, 72.0, 72.2, 73.3, 74.2, 76.1, 78.1, 97.9, 102.1, 117.0, 117.6, 128.6, 128.8, 129.4, 130.7, 134.8, 134.9, 135.4, 135.6, 136.8, 138.2, 138.4, 158.8, 159.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H – allyl alcohol]⁺ calcd for C₃₃H₄₂NO₇Si 534.2306; found 534.2304.

2-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-6-O-(tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-deoxy-3,4-bis-O-(4-(pivaloylamino)benzyl)-D-glucono-1,5-lactone (27a, 27b). Compound 26 (10.8 g, 86% pure, 15.7 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd DMF (100 mL), then BaO (13 g), $Ba(OH)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ (5.1 g) and 4-pivaloylaminobenzyl chloride (PAB-Cl)²⁷ (29 g, 128.5 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. LC-MS analysis indicated a mixture of mono- and bis-PAB sugars of both anomers as well as numerous lower mol wt products that were consistent with PAB-OH, (PAB)₂O, and PAB oligomers. The solid was filtered off and then washed with DCM. The filtrate volume was reduced, and some side products were removed by precipitation in EtOAc. Then filtration of the supernatant through a plug of silica with EtOAc as eluent afforded the semipurified product mixture. This was subjected to the benzylation conditions with PAB-Cl again at 0.5× scale of that above. LC-MS indicated the reaction had not progressed much, and the purification scheme above was applied again. An additional column purification on a 90 g silica cartridge with a 5:1 to 0:1 DCM/EtOAc gradient before proceeding to the modified benzylation conditions.

PAB-I. PAB-Cl (14.75 g, 65.4 mmol) and NaI (25 g, 167 mmol) were added to acetone (250 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. Then, the heat was removed, and EtOAc (100 mL) was added. The solution was filtered, and the precipitate was washed with more EtOAc (100 mL). After solvent removal the filtrate residue was chromatographed on silica column (5 cm × 25 cm (dia × length) with 1:1 EtOAc/hexanes. Fractions with PAB-I (TLC $R_j = 0.75$) were combined and the solvent was removed to afford 20.1 g (63.3 mmol, 97%) of a light yellow powder.

Modified Benzylation Conditions. The mixture of monoand bis-PAB product mixture was dissolved in dry toluene (200 mL). Then $(CH_3)_3COK$ (7.3 g, 65 mmol) and PAB-I (20 g, 63 mmol) were added. After stirring overnight, AcOH (2 mL) was added, and then the solution was filtered. Partial purification was achieved with a silica column (3.5 cm \times 28 cm, dia \times length) using 3:1 to 0:1 DCM/EtOAc. After solvent removal, residual PAB-I was removed by adding SiliaMetS Diamine (Silicycle Inc., 20 g, 1.33 mmol/g) in DCM/MeOH (100 mL:30 mL) and stirring for 24 h.

After filtration and solvent removal, the general deallylation procedure was applied; in dioxane (150 mL), SeO₂ and AcOH were added in 2 portions, (2.23 and 1.1 g) and (1.6 and 0.78 mL), respectively, at the start and at 18 h. At 24 h, the heat was removed, and the mixture was chromatographed on a 220 g silica cartridge, then a 120 g cartridge with 5:1 to 0:1 DCM/ EtOAc gradient. The deallyl product (TLC $R_f = 0.2$ to 0.25; 5:1 DCM/EtOAc) was kept separate from unreacted starting material (TLC $R_f = 0.6$ to $R_f = 0.75$). The latter was subjected

again to the general deallylation conditions in dioxane (35 mL) with SeO₂ (0.24 g) and AcOH (0.16 mL). The combined deallyl product fractions were dissolved in anhyd py (75 mL), then Dess-Martin periodinane (7.5 g, 17.7 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h. Then the solvent was removed; the residue was taken up in DCM (100 mL) and then filtered. The filtrate was chromatographed 2×, each on a 220 g silica cartridge with a 5:1 to 3:1 DCM/EtOAc gradient to afford semipurified **27a** and **27b**. Each was again chromatographed 2× on 120 g silica cartridges with 2:2:1 DCM/hexanes/EtOAc for **27a** (TLC $R_f = 0.4$, 378 mg, 0.41 mmol, 2.6% yield for 3 steps) and 1:1:1 DCM/hexanes/EtOAc for **27b** (TLC $R_f = 0.4$, 2.33 g, 2.51 mmol, 16.0% yield for 3 steps). Both were stiff white foams in appearance.

Data for 27a. An HPLC-purified sample (87.5:12.5 CH₃CN/H₂O isocratic elution on the semipreparative C18 column). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6i} 600 MHz) δ : 1.06 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.29 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.30 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.94 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.99 (dd, J = 11.6, 2.3 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 4.12 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C_4H with very weak COSY correlation to C_3H), 4.24 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, C_3H with very weak COSY correlation to C_4H), 4.44 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.53 (m, 1H, C_5H), 4.59 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.62 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.67 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.89 (dd, J = 7.7, 3.1 Hz, 1H, C₂H), 5.12 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, Bn-CH), 5.14 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, Bn-CH), 6.49 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 0.05 H, C₂-NH), 7.22 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.27 (d, J =8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.33 (dd, J = 7.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H, aromatic *H*), 7.39 (dd, J = 7.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H, aromatic *H*), 7.41 to 7.45 (m, 6H, aromatic H), 7.46 to 7.49 (m, 2H, aromatic H), [7.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz) overlapping with 7.68 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 4H, aromatic H], 7.71 to 7.75 (m, 5H, aromatic H), 8.6 (2 s br, 2H, piv-NH). ¹³C NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 150 MHz) δ: 19.9, 27.3, 27.8, 40.21, 40.23, 53.8, 63.9, 67.1, 72.0, 72.3, 74.4, 78.2, 80.4, 120.81, 120.84, 128.66, 128.70, 128.72, 128.8, 129.25, 129.28, 129.32, 130.8, 133.3, 133.37, 133.83, 134.05, 140.2, 140.3, 156.8, 169.9, 177.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₅₄H₆₆N₃O₉Si 928.4563; found 928.4574.

Data for 27b. An HPLC-purified sample (85:15 CH₃CN/ H_2O isocratic elution on the semipreparative C18 column). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{61} 600 MHz) δ : 1.07 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.29 (s, 9H, $tBu-CH_3$), 1.30 (s, 9H, $tBu-CH_3$), 3.98 to 4.03 (m, 2H), 4.14 to 4.18 (m, 2H), 4.30 to 4.35 (m, 2H), 4.70 (d, J = 10.9Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.74 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.77 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.89 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.10 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.15 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 7.19 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, aromatic *H*), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic *H*), 7.32 (m, 1H, aromatic H), 7.34 to 7.50 (m, 9H, aromatic H), 7.65 to 7.68 (m, 4H, aromatic H), 7.71 to 7.76 (m, 4H, aromatic H), 8.63 (s, 1H, piv-NH), 8.65 (s, 1H, piv-NH). ¹³C NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 150 MHz) δ: 19.8, 27.2, 27.7, 40.2, 57.4, 63.5, 67.0, 74.8, 76.6, 80.3, 80.5, 120.6, 120.7, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 129.2, 130.8, 133.5, 133.8, 133.9, 134.1, 136.4, 136.5, 138.2, 140.0, 140.1, 157.3, 169.2, 177.07, 177.08. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₅₄H₆₆N₃O₉Si 928.4563; found 928.4568.

2-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-6-O-(*tert***-butyldiphenyl-silyl)-2-deoxy-3,4-bis-O-(4- (pivaloylamino)benzyl)-D-gluconamide (28).** Lactone 27b (2.19 g, 2.36 mmol) was dissolved in 7 N NH₃ in MeOH (15 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 120 g silica cartridge (3:1 EtOAc/ hexanes) to afford two products, 28a (TLC $R_f = 0.4$, 1.79g, 1.89

mmol, 80.1%) and **28b** (TLC $R_f = 0.35$, 0.143 g, 0.15 mmol, 6.4%); both were white powders.

Data for 28a. An HPLC-purified sample on the semipreparative C18 column (78.5:21.5 MeOH/H2O, 0 to 3.5 min, then gradient to 100% MeOH over 8 min, 3.5 mL/min). ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ: 1.06 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.27 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.29 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.71 (dd, J = 6.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H, C_4H), 3.75 (dd, J = 10.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.81–3.84 (m, 1H, $C_{s}H$), 3.87 (dd, J = 10.3, 3.6 Hz, 1H, $C_{s}H$), 4.39 (dd, J =5.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H, C_3H), 4.46 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.51 $(d, I = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, C_2H)$, [4.55 (d, I = 10.9 Hz, Bn-CH), 4.56(d, I = 10.9 Hz, Bn-CH), 2H, 4.66 (d, I = 10.8 Hz, 1H, Bn-)CH), 5.06 (s, 2H, Bn-CH₂), 7.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.24 to 7.45 (m, 15H, aromatic *H*), 7.68 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, aromatic *H*), 7.71 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (d_6 -acetone, 125 MHz) δ : 19.8, 27.3, 27.7, 40.1, 57.1, 66.3, 67.1, 73.3, 74.4, 75.1, 80.3, 120.5, 120.6, 128.6, 128.9, 129.16, 129.24, 134.2, 134.3, 134.5, 136.4, 136.5, 137.9, 139.6, 139.9, 157.2, 173.7, 177.0. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₅₄H₆₉N₄O₉Si 945.4828; found 945.4826.

Data for 28b. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ: 1.04 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.28 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.30 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.45 (dd, J = 10.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.80 (dd, J = 10.9, 7.8 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.91 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H, C_4H), 4.12 (ddd, J = 7.7, 4.9, 1.8 Hz, 1H, C_5H), 4.34 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, C_2H), 4.38 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 4.49 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.62 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.65 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.81 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.89 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.03 (d, J = 12.04 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 7.16 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.24 to 7.48 (m, 17H, aromatic H), 7.66 to 7.71 (m, 4H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 125 MHz) δ: 20.2, 27.5, 27.7, 27.8, 30.7, 40.5, 57.7, 63.3, 68.1, 68.2, 75.5, 75.9, 76.0, 76.2, 80.9, 85.2, 122.2, 122.39, 122.43, 128.7, 128.9, 129.0, 129.06, 129.11, 129.5, 129.7, 130.9, 131.0, 134.7, 135.0, 135.7, 136.4, 136.8, 136.9, 137.1, 137.9, 139.0, 139.2, 158.4, 175.8, 179.8. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₅₄H₆₉N₄O₉Si 945.4828; found 945.4835.

Preparation of Gluconamide 28c. Lactone 27a (0.346 g, 0.37 mmol) was dissolved in 7 N NH₃ in MeOH (2 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 25 g silica cartridge (3:1 EtOAc/hexanes) to afford 290 mg 0.3 mmol, 82%) of a mixture of gluconamide 28a and 28c (TLC $R_f = 0.4$) in 19% and 63% yields, respectively; both were white solids. 28c: ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ : 1.06 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.27 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.29 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.79 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.3 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.83 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H, C_4H), 3.89–3.93 (m, 2H, C_5H , C_6H), 4.21 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H, C_3H), 4.38 $(d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH_2), 4.44 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH_2)$ CH_2), 4.55 (s, 2H, Bn- CH_2), 4.60 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, C_2H), 5.03 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH₂), 5.14 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH₂), 6.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.22–7.28 (m, 5H, aromatic H), 7.31-7.38 (m, 8H, aromatic H), 7.40-7.45 (m, 4H, aromatic H), 7.66–7.69 (m, 4H, aromatic H), 7.97 (s, 0.1H, Piv-NH), 8.54 (s, 0.04H, Piv-NH). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 150 MHz) δ: 20.1, 27.5, 27.77, 27.80 40.49, 40.52, 56.3, 66.6, 67.8, 72.4, 74.7, 74.8, 79.0, 79.4, 122.2, 122.3, 128.8, 128.87, 128.91, 129.0, 129.5, 129.7, 129.8, 130.9, 131.0, 134.4, 134.6, 135.0, 135.4, 136.87, 136.93, 138.1, 139.3, 158.2, 170.3, 175.8, 179.78, 179.81. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₅₄H₆₉N₄O₉Si 945.4828; found 945.4833.

2-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-6-O-(*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl)-2-deoxy-3,4-bis-O-(4-(pivaloylamino)benzyl)-D*xylo*-hexo-5-ulosonamide (29). Amide 28a (1.25 g, 1.32 mmol) was combined with Dess-Martin periodinane (0.85g, 2.0 mmol) in anhyd py. The mixture was stirred for 7 h, then diluted with hexanes (40 mL) and EtOAc (40 mL). The solution was filtered, the solvent was removed from the filtrate and then the residue was chromatographed on a 220 g silica cartridge (1:2 to 1:4 hexanes/EtOAc gradient) and then an 80 g silica cartridge (1:1 EtOAc/DCM) to afford 29a (TLC R_f = 0.55 with 1:1 EtOAc/DCM, 0.51 g (0.54 mmol, 41%) and 29b (TLC R_f = 0.35 with 1:1 EtOAc/DCM, 0.14 g, 0.15 mmol, 11%). Compounds 29a and 29b were white powders.

Data for 29a. An HPLC-purified sample on the semipreparative C18 column (80:20 CH3CN:/H2O isocratic elution, 4 mL/min). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 600 MHz) δ : 1.12 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.30 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.31 (s, 9H, tBu- CH_3), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.92 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1H, C₆*H*), 4.09 (m, 1H, C₄*H*), 4.25 to 4.30 (m, 2H, C₂*H* and C₃*H*), [4.57 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, Bn-CH) over 4.58 (s, OH), 2H], 4.74 (s, 2H, Bn-CH₂), 4.90 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.11 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.17 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H Bn-CH), 6.83 (s br, 0.5 H, NH), 6.94 (s, 1H, NH), 7.10 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.27 to 7.35 (m, 3H, aromatic H), 7.40 to 7.50 (m, 8H, aromatic H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H,aromatic H), 7.70 to 7.74 (m, 4H, aromatic H), [8.54 (s), 8.55 (s), 2H, piv-NH].¹³C NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 150 MHz) δ : 19.9, 27.4, 27.8, 40.2, 66.8, 67.3, 75.2, 75.6, 79.1, 79.4, 83.9, 120.6, 120.7, 128.56, 128.60, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 129.1, 129.2, 130.81, 130.84, 133.7, 133.9, 134.2, 134.6, 136.5, 136.7, 138.4, 139.8, 139.9, 170.2, 177.1, 177.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: M + NH_4]⁺ calcd for C₅₄H₇₀N₅O₉Si 960.4937; found 960.4939.

Data for 29b. An HPLC-purified sample on the semipreparative C18 column (80:20 CH₃CN/H₂O isocratic elution, 4 mL/min). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 600 MHz) δ : 1.09 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.30 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.31 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.82 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 4.03–4.05 (m, 2H, C₆H and C₄H), 4.11 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 6.3 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 4.61 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 9.1 Hz, 1H, C_2H), 4.66 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.71 (s, 2H, Bn- CH_2), 4.74 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.10 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.12 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, OH), 5.16 (d, J = 12.6Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 7.15 (d br, 1H, NH), 7.19 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.29 to 7.48 (m, 10 H, aromatic H), [7.63 (d, I = 8.5 Hz) overlapping 7.64 (d, I =8.5 Hz), 4H, aromatic H], 7.75 to 7.78 (m, 4H, aromatic H), 8.58 (s, 1H, piv-NH), 8.60 (s, 1H, piv-NH). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 150 MHz) δ : 19.8, 27.3, 27.7, 40.16, 40.18, 66.7, 67.9, 73.9, 74.3, 80.1, 83.3, 85.2, 120.64, 120.65, 128.5, 128.6, 128.66, 128.68, 129.0, 129.1, 129.2, 130.67, 130.70, 133.7, 133.8, 134.0, 134.4, 136.49, 136.50, 138.4, 138.8, 140.0, 169.1, 177.05, 177.09. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₅₄H₆₇N₄O₉Si 943.4672; found 943.4665.

5-Amino-2-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2,5-dideoxy-3,4-bis-O-(4-(pivaloylamino)benzyl)-p-glucono-1,5-lactam (30). Formic acid (0.5 mL), 29a (0.475g, 0.50 mmol), and NaCNBH₃ (55 mg, 0.88 mmol) were mixed in anhyd CH₃CN (10 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 3.5 h. The solvent was removed and H₂O (50 mL) and hexanes/EtOAc (1:3, 50 mL) were added to the residue. The lactam was then extracted into the organic phase. Two additional washes of hexanes/ EtOAc were performed, the combined organic phases were dried (Na₂SO₄), and then the solvent was removed. Then a 1

M solution of TBAF in THF (625 μ L) was treated with AcOH (250 μ L); the lactam product residue was redissolved in CH₃CN (6 mL), and this was added to the TBAF solution. After 36 h of stirring, the reaction was incomplete (LC–MS). More 1 M TBAF (625 μ L) was mixed with AcOH (250 μ L), and the solution was added to the reaction mixture, which was then heated to 80 °C for 3 h. The solvent was removed, and then the residue was chromatographed on a 80 g silica cartridge (10:0 to 10:1 EtOAc/MeOH), and then fractions with coeluting products were rechromatographed on a 25 g silica cartridge (20:1 to 10:1 EtOAc/MeOH) to afford 30a (TLC R_f = 0.7 with 10:1 EtOAc/MeOH, 30 mg, 44 μ mol, 8.8%, 2 steps) and **30b** (TLC $R_f = 0.45$ with 10:1 EtOAc/MeOH, recrystallized from EtOAc, 147 mg, 0.213 mmol, 43%, 2 steps) and two other minor lactam components that were not characterized. Compounds 30a and 30b were white powders.

Data for 30a. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ: [1.28 (s), 1.29 (s), 18H, tBu-CH₃], 3.74 (dd, J = 10.9, 3.9 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.85 to 3.95 (m, 1H, C₅H), 3.98 to 4.03 (m, 1H, C₆H), 4.17 to 4.22 (m, 1H, C₄H), 4.30 (dd, J = 7.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H, C₃H), [4.50 (d br, J = 10.9 Hz, C₂-NH), 4.55 (d br, J = 9.8 Hz, Bn-CH) over (s br, Bn-CH), 3H], 4.63 (s br, 2H, C₂H, ring NH), [5.11 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), Bn-CH₂), 7.21 (d br, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.26 to 7.36 (m, 7H, aromatic H), [7.48 (d, J = 2.8 Hz), 7.49 (d, J = 2.8 Hz) 4H, aromatic H]. ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 150 MHz) δ: 27.2, 58.5, 59.5, 62.4, 63.0, 63.6, 66.1, 66.3, 70.7, 70.8, 71.6, 79.9, 80.7, 80.8, 81.5, 119.9, 120.0, 126.9, 127.3, 127.6, 127.8, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 132.5, 132.7, 136.7, 138.7, 138.8, 154.2, 154.7, 170.3, 170.6, 176.4. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₃₈H₄₉N₄O₈ 689.3545; found 689.3548.

Data for 30b. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz) δ : [1.28 (s), 1.29 (s) 18H, tBu-CH₃], 3.45 (m, 1H, C₅H), 3.58 (dd, J = 11.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.73 (dd, J = 11.2, 2.7 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.78 (dd, J = 8.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 3.93 (dd, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz, 1H, C₃H), 4.06 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, C₂H), [4.62 (d, J = 11.1 Hz), 4.64 (d, J = 11.0 Hz), 2H, Bn-CH₂], 4.68 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 4.82 (d, below H₂O peak, Bn-CH), 5.04 (d, J = 12.3Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.10 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 7.20 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (d_6 -DMSO, 150 MHz) δ : 27.2, 55.5, 56.0, 60.8, 65.3, 73.1, 73.4, 76.8, 80.1, 119.8, 119.9, 120.0, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 128.1, 128.3, 133.0, 133.1, 137.12, 138.7, 138.8, 156.4, 168.7, 176.36, 176.38. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₃₈H₄₉N₄O₈ 689.3545; found 689.3559.

2,5-Diamino-2,5-dideoxy-p-glucono-1,5-lactam-6phosphate (31). Lactam 30b (66 mg, 96 μ mol) was dissolved in anhyd py (1 mL), and the reaction vial was cooled in an ice bath. Then, POCl₃ (13.4 µL, 0.14 mmol) was added; 45 min later H_2O (0.25 mL) was added. After 30 min, the solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 40 g SiliaBond-C18 with a 20:1 to 0:1 (50 mM NH₄·COO_(a0)/ MeOH) gradient. Fractions containing monophospho-30b (determined by LC-MS) were pooled, and the mixture was lyophilized; some unreacted 30b and a bis-phospho adduct of 30b coeluted with the desired product. Then 40% of the lyophilized residue was mixed with DDQ (41 mg, 0.18 mmol) were combined with CHCl₃ (3 mL), H₂O (1 mL) and 5% aq NaHCO₃ (300 μ L). The mixture was heated for 6 h at 70 °C and then stored at -20 °C for 1h. The solution was filtered, and then the aq phase was separated and lyophilized. The residue was chromatographed on a 40 g SiliaBond-C18 with

20:1 to 0:1 (50 mM NH₄·COO_(aq)/MeOH); product fractions with 31-N-(CBz) (determined by MS) were pooled and then lyophilized. The residue was dissolved in H₂O (4 mL) and this was passed through a DEAE-Sepharose Fast Flow column (1.5 cm dia \times 8 cm length). The flow-through was collected to afford 32-N-(CBz) (1.5 mg, 4.8 µmol, 12% after lyophilization), then eluted with 140 mM NH₄·HCO₃; 31-N-(CBz) eluted in the first column volume of NH4·HCO3 and the DDQ-related compounds were retained on the column. Final purification on the analytical anion exchange column using an identical elution profile afforded 31-N-(CBz)·NH₄ (4.0 mg, 10 μ mol, 26% for 2 steps) after lyophilization. Then, 31-N-(CBz)·NH₄ (4 mg, 10 μ mol), ammonium formate (20 mg), and Pd(OH)₂/C (5 mg) were combined in $H_2O(1 \text{ mL})$. The mixture was heated for 20 min at 80 $^{\circ}$ C, then the solution was filtered, and the filtrate was lyophilized 2× to afford **31** (2.6 mg, 10 μ mol, 99%), which was a white powder. ¹H NMR (D_2O_1 , 600 MHz): 3.58 (ddd, J = 8.6, 5.3, 2.7 Hz, 1H, C_5H , 3.94 (ddd, J = 8.4, 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H, C_4H), 3.96–4.03 (m, 3H, C_2H , C_3H , C_6H), 4.09 (ddd, J = 11.1, 5.5, 2.8 Hz, 1H, C₆H). ¹³C NMR DEPTQ (D₂O, 150 MHz) δ: 56.9 (+), 59.2 (+, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 66.4 (-, d, J = 4.1 Hz), 71.1 (+), 73.0 (+), 170.7 (-). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₆H₁₄N₂O₇P 257.0533; found 257.0528.

General Silylation Conditions. Under an Ar atmosphere, the silylation substrate (3 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (7.8 mL, 45 mmol, 15 equiv) were mixed in dry DMF (20 mL). Then, chlorotriethylsilane (6 mL, 36 mmol, 12 equiv) was added, and the reaction flask was heated in an oil bath at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed.

Triethylsilyl 2-Deoxy-2-((phenylmethoxy)carbonyl)amino-3,4,6-tris-O-(triethylsilyl)-D-glucopyranoside (33). The general silvlation conditions were used with GlcN-(CBz) (0.107 g, 0.34 mmol), DMF (2.5 mL), diisopropylethylamine (1.25 mL), and TES-Cl (0.8 mL). Chromatography on a 40 g silica cartridge (1:1 to 0:1 hexanes/DCM then 1:1 to 1:1 DCM/EtOAc) afforded 33 (TLC $R_f = 0.5$; 10:1 hexanes, E-tOAc, 0.16 g, 0.21 mmol, 62%). A tris-TES product (incompletely silvlated) product (TLC $R_f = 0.25$) was also collected (45 mg), and this was set aside for recovery by resilvlation; additional bis-TES products can also be isolated and recovered, accounting for an additional 20-30% of the starting material. The reaction could be scaled to 3 mmol with similar yields. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 600 MHz) δ : [anomeric H-signals indicate a 4:1 ratio $\alpha:\beta$ anomers], 0.62 to 0.72 (m, 18H, Si-CH₂), 0.73 to 0.79 (m, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.94 to 1.03 (m, 36H, CH₃), 3.25 to 3.30 (m, 0.2H, $\beta C_5 H$), 3.31 to 3.37 (m, 0.2H, $\beta C_2 H$), 3.63 (ddd, J = 9.7, 9.7, 3.2 Hz, 0.8 H, $\alpha C_2 H$), 3.67 to 3.75 (m, 1.8H), 3.81 to 3.85 (m, 1.2H), 3.88 (dd, J =9.3, 7.7 Hz, 0.8H, $\alpha C_3 H$), 3.92 (dd, J = 11.2, 3.3 Hz, 1H, $C_6 H$), 4.84 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 0.2 H, $\beta C_1 H$), [5.06 (d, J = 12.4 Hz), 5.07 (s), 5.11 (d, J = 12.4 Hz), 2H, Bn-CH₂], 5.16 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 0.8H, $\alpha C_1 H$), 5.77 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 0.01H, αC_2 -NH), 6.31 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 0.03H, βC_2 -NH), 7.31 to 7.41 (m, 5H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 150 MHz) δ : 5.15, 5.19, 5.4, 5.9, 6.01, 7.0, 7.10, 7.2, 7.4, 58.8, 57.8, 60.8, 62.6, 63.3, 66.7, 66.9, 72.8, 72.9, 74.4, 74.9, 76.9, 78.0, 92.9, 96.7, 128.55, 128.63, 128.90, 128.94, 129.03, 129.07, 138.0, 138.1, 155.8, 156.8. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H - TES-OH]⁺ calcd for C₃₂H₆₀NO₆Si₃ 638.3723; found 638.3731.

Triethylsilyl 2-Deoxy-2-((phenylmethoxy)carbonyl)amino-3,4-bis-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (34). Compound 33 (0.5 g, 0.65 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of

DCM (7.5 mL) and MeOH (2.5 mL). Then, PPTS (2 mg) was added, and the reaction was monitored by TLC (10:1 DCM/ EtOAc). After 1 h, no 33 (TLC $R_f = 0.5$) remained and a new spot had appeared (TLC $R_f = 0.2, 34$). The mixture was loaded directly on a 40 g silica cartridge and chromatographed (1:1 to 0:1 hexanes/DCM, then 1:0 to 1:1 DCM/EtOAc gradient). Compound 34 was a colorless oil (0.25 g, 0.38 mmol, 58%); the α -anomer was the sole, isolated product. More polar monoand bis-silvlated products from this reaction can be subjected again to the silvlation conditions to reclaim another 30% of the product as 33. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 600 MHz) δ : 0.65 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.69 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.76 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.96 (overlapping t's, J = 8.0 Hz, 18H, CH_3), 1.01 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 9H, CH_3), 3.56 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H, OH), 3.62 (ddd, J = 9.8, 9.8, 3.2 Hz, 1H, C₂H), 3.69 (ddd, J = 8.8, 2.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H, C₅H), 3.70 to 3.79 (m, 3H, C₄H, C₆H, C_6H), 3.88 (dd, J = 9.5, 7.8 Hz, 1H, C_3H), 5.07 (J = 12.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.11 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.14 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, $\alpha C_1 H$), 5.76 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 0.04 H, C_2 -NH), 7.30 to 7.39 (m, 5H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_{6i} 150 MHz) δ : 5.1, 5.9, 6.0, 6.9, 7.4, 57.9, 61.6, 67.0, 72.9, 74.4, 74.8, 93.0, 128.7, 128.9, 129.2, 138.0, 156.9). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H – TES – OH]⁺ calcd for $C_{26}H_{46}NO_6Si_2$ 524.2858; found 524.2866.

Triethylsilyl 6-Cyano-2,6-dideoxy-2-((phenylmethoxy)carbonyl)amino-3,4-bis-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (35). Under an Ar atmosphere, 34 (44 mg, 67 μ mol) was dissolved in anhyd THF (2 mL). Then, five additions of Ph_3P (39 mg, 0.15 mmol), diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (29.5 µL, 0.15 mmol), and acetone cyanohydrin (9.2 μ L, 0.1 mmol) each were made. The first addition was at the reaction start, and the remaining additions were made over the next 36 h. The reaction progress was monitored by LC-MS. At 38 h, the solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 25 g silica cartridge with a 0 to 25% EtOAc in DCM gradient. The fractions with unreacted 34 and those with 35 were identified by MS. Solvent removal from these fractions afforded 34 (8 mg, 18%) and 35 (23.5 mg, 35 μ mol; 64% yield on 82% conversion). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 600 MHz) δ : 0.67 to 0.75 (overlapping q's, J = 7.9, 7.8 Hz, 12H, Si-CH₂), 0.78 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.95 to 0.99 (overlapping t's, J = 7.9, 7.8 Hz, 18 H, CH_3), 1.03 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H, CH_3), 2.84 (dd, J = 17.0, 6.7 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 2.90 (J = 17.0, 4.1 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.58 (dd, J = 8.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H, C_4H), 3.70 $(ddd, J = 10.0, 10.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H, C_2H), 3.92 (dd, J = 8.9, 7.7 Hz)$ 1H, C_3H), 3.98 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.7, 4.1 Hz, 1H, C_5H) 5.09 (d, J =12.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.12 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.21 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H, $\alpha C_1 H$), 5.85 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 0.75 H, C_2 -NH), 7.32 to 7.42 (m, 5H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 150 MHz) δ: 5.1, 5.99, 6.02, 6.9, 7.27, 7.32, 21.6, 57.7, 67.1, 70.0, 74.4, 76.1, 92.6, 118.7, 128.8, 129.0, 129.2, 137.9, 156.9. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{33}H_{60}N_2O_6Si_3$ 665.3832; found 665.3826. See Supporting Information for HMBC and HMQC data.

Triethylsilyl 6-Azido-2,6-dideoxy-2-((phenylmethoxy)carbonyl)amino-3,4-bis-O-(triethylsilyl)-α-p-glucopyranoside (36). Under an Ar atmosphere, 34 (0.25 g, 0.38 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd THF (11 mL). Then, Ph₃P (0.39 g, 1.5 mmol), diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (0.275 mL, 1.4 mmol), and diphenylphosphorylazide (0.3 mL, 1.4 mmol) were added in two equal portions, the first to initiate the reaction, and the second was added 60 min later. Stirring was continued for 6 h. Then the solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed on a 40 g silica cartridge using a 20:1 to 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc gradient. The first product to elute from the column was 36 affording an isolated yield of 0.196 g (a colorless oil, 0.29 mmol, 76%). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 600 MHz) δ : 0.66 to 0.73 (m, 12H, Si-CH₂), 0.75 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.95 (t, I = 7.9 Hz, 9H, CH₃), 0.98 (t, I = 8.0 Hz, 9H, CH_3), 1.01 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9H, CH_3), 3.47 (dd, J = 13.1, 5.5 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.58 (dd, J = 13.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 3.63 (dd, J= 8.6, 8.3 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 3.68 (ddd, J = 9.9, 9.9, 3.2 Hz, 1H, C_2H), 3.87 to 3.90 (m, 2H, C_2H , C_5H), 5.08 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.12 (d, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H, Bn-CH), 5.20 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H, αC_1 H), 7.33 to 7.39 (m, 5H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR (acetone-d₆, 150 MHz) δ: 5.1, 5.97, 6.02, 6.9, 7.3, 7.4, 52.4, 57.9, 67.2, 73.2, 74.4, 74.6, 92.9, 128.8, 129.0, 129.2, 137.9, 156.9. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₃₂H₆₀NO₆Si₃ 638.3723; found 638.3727.

2-Amino-6-azido-2,6-dideoxy-D-glucose (37). Compound 36 (30 mg, 44 μ mol) was treated with the standard acid hydrolysis conditions on 1/4-scale and heating and stirring were applied for only 3 h; the yield of 37, a white powder, was 10.5 mg (33 μ mol, 75%) as the TFA salt. ¹H NMR (D₂O, 600 MHz) δ : [anomeric H-signals indicate a 2.5:1 ratio $\alpha:\beta$ anomers and less prominent peaks for other forms of this sugar, e.g., furanoses], 2.91 (dd, J = 10.6, 8.5 Hz, 1H, $\beta C_2 H$), 3.21 (dd, I = 10.6, 3.6 Hz, 2.6H, $\alpha C_2 H$), 3.39 (dd, I = 9.5, 9.5 Hz, 3.6 H, $\alpha C_2 H$), [overlapping dd's: 3.45 (J = 13.4, 5.8 Hz), 3.47 (J = 13.6, 5.5 Hz) 3.6H, 3.50 to 3.61 (m, 6H), 3.77 (dd, J =10.6, 9.2 Hz, 2.4 H, $\alpha C_3 H$), 3.97 to 4.08 (m, 0.75 H), 4.21 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 0.24 H), 4.29 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 0.24 H), 4.84 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, $\beta C_1 H$), 5.33 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 2.1 H, $\alpha C_1 H$), [6.16 (d, J =5.4 Hz), 6.18 (d, J = 5.5 Hz) 0.2H]. ¹³C NMR (D₂O/DMSO d_{6i} 150 MHz; referenced to DMSO) δ : 52.2, 55.6, 55.7, 58.2, 65.6, 68.4, 70.9, 71.85, 71.91, 72.0, 73.3, 74.7, 76.2, 82.1, 90.7, 94.3, 105.3, [117.9 (q, J = 292.4 Hz), 164.0 (q, J = 35.5 Hz), TFA]. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_6H_{13}N_4O_4$ 205.0931; found 205.0932.

2,6-Diamino-2,6-dideoxy-D-glucose (38). Compound 36 (50 mg, 73 μ mol) was mixed with ammonium formate (26 mg) and $Pd(OH)_2/C$ (10 mg) in MeOH (1 mL). The mixture was heated (60 $^{\circ}$ C) and stirred for 1 h, and then the Pd(OH)₂ was filtered off and washed with MeOH (0.5 mL). Then, H_2O (5 mL) and TFA (1 mL) were added to the filtrate. The mixture was stirred for 16 h and then extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$. The aqueous phase was lyophilized 2×, affording a viscous resin, $38 \cdot (TFA)_2$ with $(NH_4 \cdot O_2 C_2 F_3)_6 \cdot TFA_{0.5} \cdot H_2 O_{0.5}$ (MW = 1258.56 g/mol; 50 μ mol, 68%) based on NMR and elemental analyses. ¹H NMR (D₂O, 600 MHz) δ : [2:1 ratio of α : β anomers] 3.16 (dd, I = 10.6, 8.5 Hz, 0.5H, H β_2), 3.22 to 3.30 (m, 1.5H), 3.45 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H α_2), 3.49 to 3.62 (m, 3H), 3.78 to 3.83 (m, 1H), 4.01 (dd, J = 10.4, 9.2 Hz, 1H, $H\alpha_3$), 4.18 (ddd, J = 9.5, 9.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H, $H\alpha_5$), 5.08 (d, J = 8.5Hz, 0.5 H, H β_1), 5.57 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H α_1). ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 150 MHz) δ: 43.2, 57.0, 59.4, 70.3, 72.2, 74.3, 74.5, 74.8, 92.0, 95.6, [119.2 (q, J = 290.0 Hz), 165.7 (q, J = 35.0 Hz), from TFA]. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H_{41.5}F_{25.5}N₈O_{21.5}: C, 21.95; H, 3.32; N, 8.90; F, 38.49. Found: C, 21.76; H, 3.17; N, 8.89; F, 38.55. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₆H₁₅N₂O₄ 179.1026; found 179.1028.

Triethylsilyl 2-Amino-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tris-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (39). The general silvlation conditions were applied to glucosamine-HCl (2× scale, 1.29 g, 6 mmol); a second addition of *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (5.2 mL, 30 mmol) and chlorotriethylsilane (4 mL, 24 mmol) was made at 90 min. After another 60 min at 60 °C, solvent removal followed by chromatography on an 80 g cartridge (10:1 to 0:1 of hexanes/EtOAc gradient) afforded **39** (TLC $R_f = 0.45$ with 5:1 hexanes/EtOAc, a colorless oil, 2.33 g, 3.66 mmol, 61%), exclusively as it is *α*-anomer. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6 , 500 MHz) *δ*: 0.60 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 6H), 0.715 (overlapping q's, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H), 0.83 to 0.94 (m, 12H), 0.98 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9H), 1.07 to 1.05 (overlapping t's, J = 8.0 Hz, 27H), 2.55 (dd, J = 8.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86 to 3.92 (m, 4H), 4.08 (dd, J = 11.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 125 MHz) *δ*: 5.0, 5.1, 5.8, 6.4, 6.9, 7.1, 7.4, 7.5, 58.1, 62.5, 72.5, 74.0, 78.0, 95.4. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₃₀H₇₀NO₅Si₄ 636.4326; found 636.4318.

Triethylsilyl 2-Deoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenylmethylidene)-amino-3,4,6-tris-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (40). Compound 39 (2.33 g, 3.66 mmol) was mixed with p-anisaldehyde (0.67 mL, 5.5 mmol) and PPTS (15 mg) in toluene (40 mL). The mixture was refluxed 2 h; water was removed using a Dean-Stark trap. Then, the solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 50 g silica cartridge (10:1 to 5:1 hexanes/EtOAc gradient). The first compound to elute (TLC $R_f = 0.4$; 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc, some hydrolysis of the imine occurred on the TLC plate) was 40 (a colorless oil, 2.52 g, 3.34 mmol, 91.3%). ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆, 500 MHz) δ: 0.58 to 0.76 (m, 18H), 0.81 to 0.86 (m, 6H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H), 1.01 to 1.22 (m, 27H), 3.16 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.1Hz, 1H), 3.75 (dd, I = 9.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), [3.94 (s) overlapping 3.85 to 3.96 (m), 6H], 4.44 (dd, J = 9.4, 8.0 Hz, 1H), [α anomeric H: 5.02 (d, J = 3.2 Hz); β -anomeric H: 4.82 (d, J =7.3 Hz); α : β ratio =17:1, 1H], 7.08 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.34 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 125 MHz) δ: 5.17, 5.21, 5.99, 6.00, 6.88, 6.95, 7.0, 7.2, 7.4, 56.0, 63.1, 73.2, 74.1, 75.9, 77.9, 96.0, 114.7, 130.4, 130.9, 162.6, 163.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{38}H_{76}NO_6Si_4$ 754.4744; found 754.4739.

Triethylsilyl 2-Deoxy-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)-oxaziridin-2-yl)-3,4,6-tris-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (41). Imine 40 (2.52 g, 3.34 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (20 mL), and then saturated aq NaHCO₃ (3.3 mL) and m-CPBA (70%, 1.14 g) were added. The solution was stirred vigorously for 2 h; the organic and aqueous layers were separated, and the latter was extracted with DCM (20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄); after solvent removal the residue was chromatographed on a 50 g silica cartridge (1:1 to 1:0 DCM/hexanes gradient). A minor product of the reaction with the same MS as **41** eluted first ($R_f = 0.65$, typically 5% yield) and was discarded. The yield of the main product of 41 was 1.44 g (a viscous oil, TLC $R_f = 0.5$ with 2:1 DCM/hexanes, 1.86 mmol, 56%). ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{61} 500 MHz) δ : 0.38 to 0.54 (m, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.65 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.77 to 0.84 (m, 15H, Si-CH₂, SiCH₂CH₃), 0.91 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 6H, Si- CH_2), 1.00 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.04 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.10 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 9H, SiCH₂CH₃), 2.17 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.1 Hz, 1H, C₂H), 3.65 (dd, J = 9.2, 8.3 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 3.72 (ddd, J = 9.3, 3.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H, C₅H), 3.80 (dd, J = 11.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.88 (dd, J = 11.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H, C_6H), 4.42 (dd, J = 9.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H, C_3H), 4.89 (s, 1H, oxaziridine CH), 5.42 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H, $\alpha C_1 H$), 6.97 (d, J =8.7 Hz, 2H, aromatic *H*), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H, aromatic *H*); ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 125 MHz) δ : 5.1, 5.2, 5.9, 6.1, 6.8, 7.1, 7.4, 7.6, 56.6, 62.7, 73.0, 74.9, 75.0, 76.7, 76.8, 91.1, 114.4, 128.3, 130.4, 162.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₃₈H₇₆NO₇Si₄ 770.4693; found 770.4691.

Triethylsilyl 2-Deoxy-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)-oxaziridin-2-yl)-3,4-bis-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (43). Oxaziridine 41 (100 mg, 0.13 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (2.5 mL). Then DL-10-camphorsulfonic acid (100 μ L of a 1 mg/mL solution in MeOH) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. The solvent volume was reduced to 0.5 mL, and then the mixture was loaded directly on to a 25 g silica cartridge and chromatographed (DCM/EtOAc gradient: 100:0 to 9:1 in 2.5 column volumes then to 0:1 in 4 column volumes). The first compound to elute was unreacted 41 (4.5 mg, 4.5%; TLC R_f = 0.95 with 20:1 DCM/EtOAc), which was followed by 43 (a viscous oil, TLC $R_f = 0.85$, 38 mg, 58 μ mol, 45%). Another band (TLC $R_f = 0.45$, 20 mg) was consistent (MS) with bis-O-(triethylsilyl) sugar(s). Subsequent elution with 4:1 EtOAc/MeOH eluted the final product(s) from the column that were consistent (MS) with mono-O-(triethylsilyl) sugar(s) (10 mg, 23 μ mol, 18%). 43: ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{60} 600 MHz) δ : 0.37 to 0.52 (m, 6H, Si-CH₂), 0.76 to 0.83 (m, 15H, SiCH₂CH₃ and Si-CH₂), 0.87 to 0.92 (m, 6H, Si-CH₂), 1.03 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H, SiCH₂CH₃), 1.10 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H, SiCH₂CH₃), 2.16 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.0 Hz, 1H, C₂H), 3.41 (dd, J =6.7, 5.2 Hz, 1H, C₆H), 3.66 (dd, J = 8.6, 8.6 Hz, 1H, C₄H), 3.69 to 3.74 (m, 3H, OH, C₆H, C₅H), 3.83 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 4.43 $(dd, J = 9.2, 8.3 Hz, 1H, C_3H), 4.84 (s, 1H, oxaziridine CH),$ 5.38 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H, $\alpha C_1 H$), 6.97 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, aromatic H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR $(\text{acetone-}d_{6}, 125 \text{ MHz}) \delta: 5.1, 5.9, 6.1, 6.8, 7.4, 7.6, 29.6, 55.6,$ 61.6, 73.0, 74.86, 74.91, 76.7, 76.8, 93.2, 114.4, 128.3, 130.4, 162.1. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C32H62NO7Si3 656.3829; found 656.3830.

2-Deoxy-2-(N-hydroxyl-amino)-D-glucose (42). Oxaziridine 41 (125 mg, 0.162 mmol) was dissolved in TFA/H₂O (2 mL/0.5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 5 h, then another 2.5 mL of H₂O was added, and stirring was continued overnight. Then DCM (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The organic phase was discarded, and the aq phase was lyophilized $(2\times)$ to afford 30 mg of a mixture of 42 and a benzylidene acetal of 42; the mixture was an off-white powder. NMR analysis showed one α -anomeric and two β -anomeric sugars. ¹H NMR (D₂O, 600 MHz) δ : the C₁H, C₂H, and C₃H signals, respectively, inside []: α -anomer [5.69 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 0.8H), 3.61 (dd, J = 10.8, 3.5 Hz, 0.8H)], β -anomer no. 1 [5.33 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 0.5 H), 3.85 (in m), 4.29 (dd, J = 10.0, 8.8 Hz,0.5H)], β -anomer no. 2 [5.22 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 0.6H), 3.25 (dd, J= 10.7, 8.2 Hz, 0.6H), 4.1 (dd, J = 10.7, 8.7 Hz, 0.6H)], 7.92 (s, 0.5 H). Aromatic H's: 7.19 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H). Based on integration values, β -anomer no. 1 corresponds with the putative p-OMe benzylidene acetal of 42, which is in a 1:3 ratio with 42. The remaining signals [3.56-3.65 (m, 4.4H), 3.81-3.88 (m, 3H), 3.91-4.06 (m, 6.5H)] overlapped and no further interpretation was possible. ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 150 MHz) δ: 58.2, 63.0, 63.2, 63.3, 66.4, 67.6 70.3, 70.5, 72.4, 72.6, 72.8, 73.3, 74.1, 78.66, 78.73, 82.0, 90.2, 93.2, 95.3, 117.1, 118.1, 120.0, 124.3, 135.3, 145.5. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₆H₁₄NO₆ 196.0816; found 196.0813. Putative *p*-OMe benzylidene acetal: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C14H20NO7 314.1234; found 314.1232.

Triethylsilyl 2-[[Bis[[(1,1-dimethylethoxy)carbonyl]amino]methylene]amino]-2-deoxy-3,4,6-tris-O-(triethylsilyl)- α -D-glucopyranoside (47). The general silylation conditions were applied to 45³² (0.505g, 1.2 mmol, 0.6× scale) with the addition of DMAP (50 mg). After solvent removal, the mixture was chromatographed 3× on 90 g silica cartridges (100:7.5 to 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to separate the main product of 47 (TLC $R_f = 0.5$ 100:7.5 hexanes/EtOAc) exclusively as the α -anomer from two minor products of 47 (TLC $R_f = 0.55$, 27 mg; $R_f = 0.45$, 45 mg). The isolated yield of the main 47 isomer was 675 mg (a viscous oil, 0.77 mmol, 64%); the minor products were discarded. ¹H NMR (acetone d_{6i} 500 MHz) δ : 0.62 to 0.71 (m, 18H, Si-CH₂), 0.74 to 0.79 $(m, 6H, Si-CH_2)$, 0.94 to 1.02 $(m, 36H, SiCH_2CH_3)$, 1.42 (s,9H, tBu-CH₃), 1.55 (s, 9H, tBu-CH₃), 3.70 to 3.75 (m, 2H), 3.84 (dd, J = 11.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 to 3.96 (m, 2H), 4.22 $(ddd, J = 9.4, 9.4, 3.2 Hz, 1H, C_2H)$, 5.19 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H) $\alpha C_1 H$), 8.56 overlapping d's, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, C₂-NH), 11.80 (s, 0.2 H, guanidine NH(BOC)). ¹³C NMR (acetone- d_6 , 125 MHz) δ: 5.1, 5.8, 5.9, 6.9, 7.1, 7.3, 7.4, 28.0, 28.4, 56.5, 62.5, 72.5, 74.8, 74.9, 79.0, 84.0, 92.2, 153.8, 157.1, 164.6. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₄₁H₈₈N₃O₉Si₄ 878.5592; found 878.5591.

Triethylsilyl 2-[[Bis[[(1,1-dimethylethoxy)carbonyl]amino]methylene]amino]-2-deoxy-3,4-bis-O-(triethylsilyl)-α-D-glucopyranoside (48). Compound 47 (0.65 g, 0.74 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of CH2Cl2 (10 mL) and MeOH (20 mL). Then PPTS was added (20 mg), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on a 90 g silica cartridge (10:1 to 5:1 hexanes/EtOAc). Unreacted 47 (TLC 0.182 g, 28%, $R_f =$ 0.85 with 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc) and 48 (a viscous oil, 0.25 g, 0.33 mmol, $R_f = 0.25$, 45%, or 61% on 72% conversion) were isolated. ¹H NMR (C_6D_{67} 500 MHz) δ : [NMR data suggest the presence of two species, both α -anomers, consistent with 2 conformers and in a 1:0.16 ratio] 0.55 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Si-CH₂), 0.63 to 0.75 (m, 2H, Si-CH₂), 0.79 to 0.88 (m, 12H, Si- CH_2), 0.94 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, Si CH_2CH_3), [1.02 to 1.08 (m) overlapping with 1.11 (t, J = 8.0 Hz), 21H, SiCH₂CH₃], [1.20 (s) and 1.24 (s), 9H, tBu-CH₃], [1.44 and 1.45 (s), 9H, tBu-CH₃], [3.74 to 3.80 (m) and 3.83 to 3.88 (m) and 3.91 to 3.95 (m), 4H], [4.04 (dd, J = 10.3, 5.6 Hz), 4.11 (dd, J = 10.2, 5.2)Hz), 4.16 (dd, J = 9.9, 8.1 Hz) and 4.26 (m), 2H], [4.59 (ddd, J = 8.5, 6.5, 2.7 Hz, and 4.71 (ddd, J = 9.7, 9.8, and 3.4 Hz), 1H, C_2H], [5.30 (d, J = 3.4 Hz) over 5.31 (d, J = 2.7 Hz), 1H, $\alpha C_1 H$], [8.75 (d, J = 9.7 Hz) and 9.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz) 1H, C_2 -NH], [12.27 (s) and 12.51 (s), 1H]. ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 125 MHz) δ: 4.8, 4.9*, 5.3*, 5.4*, 5.7, 6.7, 7.1*, 7.2*, 7.3, 7.4, 27.6, 27.7*, 28.3, 31.9, 55.4*, 56.4, 61.8, 62.5*, 70.9*, 72.5, 73.3, 73.8*, 74.6, 77.3*, 78.7*, 78.8, 82.4*, 82.6, 90.7*, 92.3, 153.0*, 153.6, 156.8, 157.3*, 164.2*, 164.7. * = smaller peaks adjacent to larger peaks, supporting the presence of a conformer. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₃₅H₇₄N3O₉Si₃ 764.4727; found 764.4729.

2-[(Aminoiminomethyl)amino]-2-deoxy-D-glucose-6phosphate (49). Compound 48 (0.23 g, 0.30 mmol) was dissolved in anhyd py (3 mL). Then POCl₃ (35 μ L, 0.375 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 40 min, at which point H₂O (0.5 mL) was added. After 5 min, the solvent was removed; then the residue was mixed with DMF/H₂O/ AcOH (3.2 mL/1.6 mL/0.8 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed, and the mixture was taken up in H₂O (5 mL) and EtOAc (5 mL); the pH of the aq phase was adjusted to 7 with 1 N NaOH. The biphasic mixture was shaken, and after separation, the organic phase was discarded; the aq phase was washed 2× more with EtOAc (5 mL ea). Then 49, as the mono-BOC adduct (49-BOC), was isolated by anion exchange chromatography on a DEAE-Sepharose Fast Flow column (1.5 cm dia × 8 cm length) with 6 injections of 2 mL injections per run and a gradient of 0-200 mM NH_4 ·HCO₃. The fractions with 49-BOC were lyophilized 2× to afford 0.251 g of an off-white powder. Then 49-BOC (125 mg) was dissolved in 0.25 M HCl (5 mL), the reaction vial was heated to 95 °C for 1 h, and then the mixture was allowed to cool. The solution was neutralized with saturated NaHCO₃; TLC analysis (5:4:1 nPrOH/concd NH₄OH/H₂O) indicated the conversion from 49-BOC ($R_f = 0.75$) to 49 ($R_f = 0.25$). The sample was lyophilized and then purified by "Aqueous Normal Phase" HPLC³¹ on a semipreparative Cogent Diamond Hydridecolumn (Microsolv, 1×25 cm, 4μ m; A = 50 mM aq NH_4 ·HCO₃, B = CH₃CN; 0 to 3 min (95% B), 3 to 13 min (gradient to 30% B)). The CH₃CN was removed from product containing fractions on a rotary evaporator with a water bath at 50 °C, and then the remaining solution was lyophilized to afford purified 49 (a white powder, 13.1 mg, 46 μ mol, 30% from 48). ¹H NMR (D₂O, 600 MHz) δ : 3.70 (m, 0.2H), 3.91 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.93 to 4.05 (m, 1.6 H), 4.06 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.3 Hz, 0.2 H), 4.08 to 4.20 (m, 2.8H), 4.25 to 4.28 (m, 0.2H), 5.02 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 0.2 H), 5.06 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 0.8 H), 5.07 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 0.2H), 6.87 (s, 0.8H), 6.90 (s, 0.2H). ¹³C NMR DEPTQ (D₂O, 150 MHz) δ: (+) 66.8, (+) 66.9, (+) 68.3, (-) 69.0 (d, J = 5.0 Hz), (-) 69.1 (d, J = 5.3 Hz), (+) 72.6 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), (+) 73.7 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), (+) 74.3 (d, J = 6.3 Hz), (+) 74.9, (+) 75.2, (+) 77.8 (d, J = 5.7 Hz), (-) 113.1, (-) 130.1, (-) 150.7. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^+$ calcd for C₇H₁₄N₃O₇P 284.0642; found 284.0637

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

G Supporting Information

General experimental information, copies of 1D and 2D NMR spectra, MS/HRMS and HPLC analyses. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jeff.posakony@nih.gov; adrian.ferre@nih.gov.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank N. Tjandra and his group (National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, NHLBI) for assistance with NMR experiments. D.-Y. Lee of the NHLBI Biochemistry Core Facility, R. Levine (NHLBI), P. Gafken (Proteomics Core at Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, FHCRC) and D. Shen's group (University of Washington) assisted with MS and LC–MS experiments. We also thank M. Roth and J. Simon (both FHCRC) for the generous loan of equipment and reagents. This research was supported in part by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, the Bill and Melinda Gates foundation and the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute.

REFERENCES

(1) Winkler, W. C.; Nahvi, A.; Roth, A.; Collins, J. A.; Breaker, R. R. *Nature* 2004, 428, 281–286.

(2) Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. Q. Rev. Biophys. 2010, 43, 423-447.

(3) Ferre-D'Amare, A. R.; Scott, W. G. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol. 2010, 2, a003574–a003574.

(4) Klein, D. J.; Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. Science 2006, 313, 1752-1756.

(5) Viladoms, J.; Fedor, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19043–19049.

- (6) Davis, J. H.; Dunican, B. F.; Strobel, S. A. Biochemistry 2011, 50, 7236–7242.
- (7) Gong, B.; Klein, D. J.; Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R.; Carey, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14188-14191.
- (8) Collins, J. A.; Irnov, I.; Baker, S.; Winkler, W. C. Genes Dev. 2007, 21, 3356–3368.

(9) Deigan, K. E.; Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 1329–1338.

(10) Esko, J. D. D., T.L.; Raetz, C. R. H. In *Essentials of Glycobiology*, 2nd ed.; Varki, A., Cummings, R. D., Esko, J. D., Freeze, H. H., Stanley, P., Bertozzi, C. R., Hart, G. W., Etzler, M. E., Eds.; Cold

Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, 2009.

(11) Klein, D. J.; Wilkinson, S. R.; Been, M. D.; Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. J. Mol. Biol. 2007, 373, 178–89.

(12) Mccarthy, T. J.; Plog, M. A.; Floy, S. A.; Jansen, J. A.; Soukup, J. K.; Soukup, G. A. *Chem. Biol.* **2005**, *12*, 1221–1226.

(13) Lim, J.; Grove, B. C.; Roth, A.; Breaker, R. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6689-6893.

(14) Lünse, C. E.; Schmidt, M. S.; Wittmann, V.; Mayer, G. ACS Chem. Biol. 2011, 6, 675–678.

(15) Tanrikulu, I. C.; Schmitt, E.; Mechulam, Y.; Goddard, W. A.; Tirrell, D. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2009, 106, 15285–15290.

- (16) Tchertanov, L. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1999, 55, 807-809.
- (17) Hanessian, S.; Massé, R.; Nakagaw, T. Can. J. Chem. 1978, 56, 1509–1517.
- (18) Kok, G. B.; Campbell, M.; Mackey, B. L.; Von Itzstein, M. Carbohydr. Res. 2001, 332, 133–139.
- (19) Paulsen, H.; Grage, U. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 2016-2026.
- (20) Laughlin, S. T.; Bertozzi, C. R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2009, 106, 12–17.
- (21) Andersen, O. A.; Dixon, M. J.; Eggleston, I. M.; Van Aalten, D. M. F. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2005**, *22*, 563–579.
- (22) Chenault, H. K.; Mandes, R. F.; Hornberger, K. R. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 331–336.
- (23) Barreteau, H.; Kovaä, A.; Boniface, A.; Sova, M.; Gobec, S.; Blanot, D. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2008**, *32*, 168–207.
- (24) Panday, N.; Meyyappan, M.; Vasella, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 513-538.
- (25) Terinek, M.; Vasella, A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2005**, *16*, 449–469.
- (26) Overkleeft, H. S.; van Wiltenburg, J.; Pandit, U. K. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 4215–4224.
- (27) Fukase, K.; Yoshimura, T.; Hashida, M.; Kusumoto, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4019–4022.
- (28) Tsunoda, T.; Uemoto, K.; Nagino, C.; Kawamura, M.; Kaku, H.; Itô, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 7355–7358.
- (29) Thompson, A. S.; Humphrey, G. R.; DeMarco, A. M.; Mathre, D. J.; Grabowski, E. J. J. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 5886–5888.
- (30) Murakami, M.; Ikeda, K.; Achiwa, K. Carbohydr. Res. 1996, 280, 101–110.
- (31) Matyska, M. T.; Pesek, J. J.; Duley, J.; Zamzami, M.; Fischer, S. M. J. Sep. Sci. **2010**, 33, 930–938.
- (32) Baker, T. J.; Luedtke, N. W.; Tor, Y.; Goodman, M. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9054–9058.
- (33) Kamst, E.; Zegelaar-Jaarsveld, K.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H.; Lugtenberg, B. J. J.; Spaink, H. P. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1999**, 321, 176–189.
- (34) Sugawara, T.; Narisada, M. Carbohydr. Res. 1989, 194, 125-138.