SYNTHESIS OF BOTH THE ENANTIONERS OF DIHYDROACTINIDIOLIDE, A PHEROMONE COMPONENT OF THE RED IMPORTED FIRE ANT⁺

KENJI MORI* and YUTAKA NAKAZONO⁺⁺

Department of Agricultural Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Yayoi 1-1-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

(Received in Japan 31 August 1985)

Abstract -- Both the enantiomers of dihydroactinidiolide (4,4,7a-trimethyl-2-oxo-2,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydrobenzofuran) were synthesized in 15 steps from (\underline{S})-3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethylcyclohexanone.

The red imported fire ant (<u>Solenopsis</u> <u>invicta</u> Buren) is a widely distributed pest of the southern United States. Attempts to control the insect with conventional pesticides have not been successful so far. There exists a queen recognition pheromone for <u>S. invicta</u> which attracts worker-ants and causes them to move inanimated objects treated with queeen extracts into their nests as if they were real queens. The chemicals responsible for the behaviors were isolated by Rocca <u>et al.</u>¹ They were **A**, **B**, and **C**, and each 5~25µg of these compounds were isolated from 18,000 fire ant queens. **A** and (±)-**B** were synthesized by Rocca <u>et al.</u>^{1,2} As a part of our project to clarify the stereochemistry-pheromone activity relationship, we carried out the synthesis of the enantiomers of **B** and **C**. Herein we describe the synthesis of the enantiomers (1 and 1') of dihydroactinidiolide **C**.

Dihydroactinidiolide 1 was first isolated by Sakan <u>et al</u>. from leaves of <u>Actinidia</u> <u>polygama</u> Miq.^{3,4} It was later obtained from various plant sources, such as tea⁵⁻⁸ and tobacco.^{9,10} Since the first synthesis of (±)-1 by Sakan <u>et al</u>.,^{3,4} many different syntheses of (±)-1 were recorded.¹⁰⁻²²

As early as in 1969, Ribi and Eugster achieved a synthesis of $(3a\underline{R},7a\underline{S})-(+)$ -tetrahydroactinidiolide 2 from $(\underline{R})-(+)-\alpha$ -cyclogeranic acid, establishing the stereochemistry of $(+)-2.^{23}$ Subsequently in 1972, the absolute configuration of (-)-dihydroactinidiolide 1' was assigned by Isoe <u>et al</u>. as <u>R</u> by synthesizing it from natural zeaxanthin.²⁴ This was followed by a synthesis of optically pure $(\underline{R})-(-)-1'$ from $(4\underline{R},6\underline{R})-4$ -hydroxy-2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone by Kienzle <u>et al</u>.²⁵ Conversion of (-)-azafrin Me ester, a carotenoid, to both the enantiomers of 1 was also reported.²⁶ (The amount of the enantiomers of 1 secured by this conversion was so small that only their CD data were reported with no $[\alpha]_D$ values.²⁶) Very recently Fujisawa and his co-workers achieved a synthesis of (-)-1',

[†]Pheromone Synthesis - 83. Part 82, T. Sakai and K. Mori, <u>Agric. Biol. Ches</u>. in press. This work was presented by K. N. as a part of his lecture at the 11th Conference on Isoprenoids, Jachranka, Poland (September, 1985).

TRasearch Fellow on leave from Nitto Danko Co., Ltd. (1984~1986).

starting from cyclohexane-1,2-dione and employing (S)-prolinol Me ether as a chiral auxiliary. 27

We chose (\underline{S}) -3-hydroxy-2,2-dimethylcyclohexanone 4 as our starting material for the synthesis of both (\underline{R}) -1' and (\underline{S}) -1. The ketol 4 was readily obtainable by the yeast reduction of a prochiral diketone 3, and previously had been converted to an alcohol 5 in six steps in 49 % overall yield.²⁸ The remaining two-carbon side chain was attached to the molecule by employing the Claisen-type rearrangement. Our first attempt was to thermolyze the vinyl ether 11, which was prepared in 72 % yield from 5 and EtOCH=CH₂ in the presence of Hg(OAc)₂.^{29,30} The Claisen rearrangement of 11 at 180~190°,^{30,31} however, gave only 22 % yield of the desired 12 together with an unwanted by-product 13. (18 % yield). We then turned our attention to the orthoester Claisen rearrangement.³² Thus a soln of 5 in freshly distilled MeC(OEt)₃ containing a trace amount of EtCO₂H was heated at 140° under conditions for distillative removal of EtOH to furnish 6a in 96 % yield. The stereocontrolling effect of the preexisting chiral center of 5 was so small that the rearrangement proceeded non-stereoselectively, and the product 6a was a mixture of diastereomers due to the stereoisomerism at the newly generated chiral center at C-1 of 6a.



 T^{+} ratio of the two diastereomers was determined as 64:36 by the HPLC analysis of **6b**. At this stage no stereochemical assignment was made for the two diastereomers, although the assignment was later possible. The lack of stereoselectivity in the course of the orthoester Claisen rearrangement was welcome, because the synthesis of both 1 and 1' became possible starting from the single chiral source **4**.

The diastereomeric mixture of **6a** was then hydrolyzed with KOH, and the resulting diastereomeric mixture of the corresponding unsaturated acids **6c** was submitted to iodo-lactonization reaction with I₂-KI and NaHCO₃ in a two-phase mixture of refluxing ether and water to give a mixture of **7a** and **7a**[']. Removal of the THP protective group of **7a** and **7a**['] with p-TsOH in MeOH yielded a mixture of **7b** and **7b**[']. Fortunately these two were complete-ly separable by SiO₂ chromatography. The more polar lactone **7b**, m.p. 136~136.5°; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +35.5° (CHCl₃), was obtained in 49 % yield from **6a**, and the less polar lactone **7b**['], m.p. 134.5~135.0°; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -11.1° (CHCl₃), was obtained in 30 % yield from **6a**.

The assignment of the structures 7b and 7b' for the lactone isomers was based on the careful examination of their 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra as shown in Table 1. The signals due to the CHOH proton (He) of the more polar lactone 7b appeared as ddd (J=4,5, 4.7 and 10.5Hz). The CHOH proton (He) must be an ax H considering its J-value as large as 10.5 Hz, and therefore the more polar 7b has its OH group in eq orientation. As to the less polar lactone 7b', its He signal again appeared as ddd but with a smaller J-value of 3.5 Hz. Therefore He must be in eq orientation with an ax OH group attached to C-5 of 7b'. The configuration at C-3a of 7b was deduced as follows. A small coupling $(J_{ch}=2 \text{ Hz})$ between Hc and Hh of 7b revealed a coplaner zig-zag configurational relationship between Hc and Hh.³³ This so-called "W-configuration" between Hc and Hh indicated the ax nature of the -CH₂CO- group at C-3. The C-O bond at C-7a must be eq to make the lactone formation possible. Therefore the structure 7b was assigned to the more polar lactone. This assignment was later verified by converting 7b to (3aR,7aS)-(+)-tetrahydroactinidiolide 2^{23} and (S)-(+)-dihydroactinidiolide 1. In the case of the less polar lactone 7b', a long-range coupling due to the "W-configuration" between Hc and Hi was clearly observable in the signals due to Hi (${ar J_1}_{c}$ =1.2 Hz), although it was impossible to observe the same small splitting in the signals due to Hc. The structure 7b' assigned to the less polar lactone was also confirmed by its conversion to (-)-2' and (-)-1'. The ratio of the isolated yield of 7b to that of 7b' was 1.6~1.8:1.

The remaining task was the conversion of 7b and 7b' to 1 and 1', respectively. Our first attempt was to prepare 14 from 7b. Hydrogenolytic removal of I from 14 was expected to give 2. However, 14 could not be prepared from 7b presumably due to the steric hindrance at C-5 caused by the two Me groups at C-4. Our next attempt was to dehydrate 7b to give 8. In a similar system, CF_3SO_2C1 (TfCl) and 4-(<u>N,N</u>-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in CH₂Cl₂ was known to cause dehydration.³⁴ The reaction was best carried out by treating a soln of **7b** and DMAP (5 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ with TfCl (2~3 equiv) under ice-cooling to give a mixture of products. This was separated by SiO2 chromatography. The major product obtained in 78 % yield was the desired olefinic lactone 8. Two by-products were obtained in small amounts (6.0 % of 15 and 6.4 % of 16), of which structures were deduced from their IR and NMR spectra coupled with elemental analyses. Hydrogenation of 8 with either Raney Ni W-7 or Pd-C as a catalyst did not give useful results. We therefore decided to carry out the stepwise reduction of 8 to 2 via 9. Treatment of 8 with $(n-Bu)_3$ SnH in C_6H_6 removed I to furnish 9 almost quantitatively. Hydrogenation of 9 to 2 was accomplished in 80 % yield under high pressure using Adams's PtO₂ in AcOH. The resulting <u>cis</u>-tetrahydroactinidiolide 2, m.p. 74~75°, was dextrorotatory: $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +63.5° (CHCl₃) [lit.²³ [α]_D^{22} +62.5° (CHCl₃)]. Its (3aR,7aS)-stereochemistry as depicted in 2 was thus confirmed on the basis of the work of Ribi and Eugster.²³ Conversion of 2 to the target molecule 1 was executed by employing organoselenium chemistry as had been reported by Hoye and Kurth for Table 1. 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectral data of the lactone isomers 7b and 7b' (Solvent: CDCl₃)





	7Ъ	7b'
Assignment	Chemical shifts (δ) and	Chemical shifts (δ) and
	coupling constants (Hz)	coupling constants (Hz)
CH3y	1.06 (3н, в)	1.02 (3H, s)
СН _З В	1.04 (3H, s)	1.11 (3H, s)
Ha	2.62 (d, <u>J</u> ac=7.5)	3.32 (dd, <u>J</u> =11, 16.5)
Hb	2.61 (d, $\underline{J}_{bc} = 12$)	2.56 (dd, <u>J</u> =8.5, 16.5)
Hc	2.69 (ddd, $\underline{J}=2$, 7.5, 12)	2.49 (dd, <u>J</u> =8.5, 11)
Hd	1.43 (d, <u>J</u> de=4.7)	1.59 (d, <u>J</u> de=3.5)
He	3.68 (ddd, <u>J</u> =4.5, 4.7, 10.5)	3.58 (ddd, <u>J</u> =3.5, 3.5, 3.5)
Ħf	1.56 (dddd, <u>J</u> =4, 10.5, 12, 14)	1.78 (ddd, <u>J</u> =3.5, 4, 7)
Hg	1.80 (dddd, <u>J</u> =4.5, 4.5, 4.5, 14)	1.79 (ddd, <u>J</u> =3.5, 4, 10.5)
Hh	2.24 (dddd, <u>J</u> ≈2.4, 4.5, 15)	2.28 (ddd, <u>J</u> =7, 10.5, 14.5)
HI	1.93 (ddd, <u>J</u> =4.5, 12, 15)	2.00 (dddd, <u>J</u> =1.2, 4, 4, 14.5)
Ħj	∫3.58 (d, <u>J</u> =11.5)	3.55 (d, <u>J</u> =11.5)
Hk	\3.64 (d, <u>J</u> ≖11.5)	\3.68 (d, <u>J</u> =11.5)

the synthesis of (±)-1 from (±)-2,²¹ except that we used PhSeBr instead of Ph_2Se_2 for the selenylation reaction. Treatment of 2 with $LiN(\underline{i}-Pr)_2$ (LDA) and PhSeBr in THF-HMPA gave 10, which was oxidized with H_2O_2 to give in 46 % yield (\underline{S})-(+)-dihydroactinidiolide 1, m.p. 67~68°; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +120.9° (CHCl₃). Its IR and NMR data were in complete accord with the published data of dihydroactinidiolide.³⁻¹⁰ The overall yield of (+)-1 was 5.7 % in 15 steps from 4.

(<u>R</u>)-(-)-Dihydroactinidiolide 1' was similarly synthesized from 7b'. In this case, dehydration of 7b' with TfCl-DMAP in CH₂Cl₂ was very smooth owing to the ax nature of the OH group of 7b'. The desired 8' was the only isolable product obtained in 94 % yield. Reduction of 8' to 9' was follwed by hydrogenation to give $(3a\underline{S},7a\underline{R})-(-)$ -tetrahydroactinidiolide 2', m.p. $80 - 81^{\circ}$; $[\alpha]_{2}^{24} - 66.1^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃), in 79 % yield from 8'. Finally introduction of a double bond to 2' <u>via</u> 10' afforded in 64 % yield (<u>R</u>)-(-)-dihydroactinidiolide 1', m.p. $70 - 71^{\circ}$; $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} - 121.0^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃) [lit.²⁴ $[\alpha]_{D}^{15} - 86.3^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃); Lit.²⁵ m.p. 69-71°; $[\alpha]_{2}^{20} - 119.9^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃); lit.²⁷ $[\alpha]_{D} - 85.8^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃)]. The overall yield of (-)-1 was 5.8 % from 4 in 15 steps.

The optical purities of our 1 and 1' were checked by the method of Pirkle <u>et al.</u>³⁵ The NMR nonequivalence induced by a chiral solvating reagent (<u>R</u>)-(-)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(9anthryl)ethanol 17 upon its addition to 1 or 1' was carefully examined. The NMR nonequivalence of the olefinic proton of C-3 of (<u>S</u>)-1 and that of (<u>R</u>)-1' was sufficiently large ($\Delta \delta = 0.04$ p.p.m.) as to enable us to estimate the optical purities of our 1 and 1' to be both ca. 100 % e.e.

In conclusion, we synthesized the optically pure enantiomers of dihydroactinidiolide in amounts sufficient for biological study. Comparison of both the m.p.'s and the $[\alpha]_D$ values of our 1 [m.p. 67~68°; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +120.9° (CHCl₃)] and 1' [m.p. 70~71°; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -121.0° (CHCl₃)] with those reported for the naturally occurring ones [m.p. 40~41°; $[\alpha]_D^{15}$ +7.1° (from <u>Actinidia polygama</u>)^{3,4} or m.p. 43.5~44°; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -36.5° (EtOH) (from Manila cigar leaves)⁹ suggests that the samples of dihydroactinidiolide isolated from plant sources must be a mixture of the two enantiomers. It is obscure whether this was caused by racemization in the course of its isolation and purification or this reflected racemization in the course of its formation from carotenoid precursors in plants. The pheromone activity of 1 and 1' on the red imported fire ant will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL

All bus and mups were uncorrected. IR spectra were measured as films for oils or as KBr discs for solids on a Jasco IRA-102 spectrometer. NDR spectra were recorded with TMS as an internal standard at 60 MHz on a Hitachi R-24A spectrometer or at 100 MHz on a JBOL JNN PX-100 spectrometer or at 400 MHz on a JBOL JNN FX-400 spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco DIP 140 polarimeter. Mass spectra were recorded on a JBOL DX-300 spectrometer at 70 eV. Puji Davison BM-820 MH was used for SiO₂ column chromatography.

 $\frac{(48)-3,3-\text{Dimethyl}-4-\text{tetrahydropyramyloxy-1-vinyloxymethyl}-1-cyclohexene 11. A suspansion of (45)-3,3-Dimethyl-4-tetrahydropyramyloxy-1-hydroxymethyl-1-cyclohexene 5 (0.20 g, 0.83 mmol, prepared from (5)-2,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxycyclohexenone of 98,3 % e.e.²⁸) and Hg(GMc)₂ (0.044 g, 0.14 mmol) in EtOCH=CH₂ (10 ml) was stirred and heated under reflux for 2 days under Ar. After cooling, sat NaHCO₃ soln was added to the mixture, and the mixture was extracted with ether (20 ml x 2). The ether layer was washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over SiO₂ (10 g). Elution with <u>n</u>-hexene=EtOAc (10:1) gave 0.158 g of 11 (72,3 %). This was distilled to give 0.113 g of pure 11, bap. 120-130°/0.25 Torr; ng² 1.4822; (a)g² -3.07°(c=0.99, CHCl₃); vmax 1640 (s), 1615 (s), 1200 (s), 1060 (s), 1035 (s) cm⁻¹; 5 (CDCl₃) 0.99, 1.02, 1.11 (total 6H, each s), 1.25-2.31 (10H, m), 3.26-3.80 (3H, m), 4.00 (1H, dd, J=2 Hz, 7 Hz), 4.08 (2H, s), 4.24 (1H, dd, J=2 Hz, 14 Hz), 4.72 (1H, m), 5.43 (1H, br s), 6.52 (1H, dd, J=7 Hz, 14 Hz). (Found: C, 72.01; H, 9.85. Calc for C₁₆H₂₆O₃: C, 72.14; H, 9.84 %).$

 $\frac{(1RS,3S)-2,2-Dimethyl-6-methylene-3-tetrahydropyranyloxycyclohexylacetaldehyde 12 and (15,3RS)-2,2,3-trimethyl-4-methyl$ eme-1-tetrahydropyranyloxycyclohexane 13. 11 (0.096 g, 0.36 mmol) was heated for 2 h at 180-190° under Ar. This waschromatographed over SiO₂ (2 g). Elution with <u>m</u>-hexane-ether (10:1) gave 13 (0.015 g, 18 %), vmax 3100 (w), 1645 (w), 1025(s) cm⁻¹, 6 (CDCl₃) 0.6-1.2 (9H, m), 1.2-2.5 (11H, m), 3.2-4.1 (3H, m), 4.5-4.9 (3H, m), MS <u>m/z</u> 238 (M⁺), 137 (M⁺-101).Elution with <u>m</u>-hexane-ether (8:1) gave 12 (0.021 G, 22 %), vmax 3100 (w), 2725 (w), 1730 (s), 1650 (w), 1025 (s), 900 (m)cm⁻¹, 6 (CDCl₃) 0.81, 0.87, 0.98, 1.08 (total 6H, each s), 1.30-2.70 (13H, m), 3.11-4.10 (3H, m), 4.47-4.88 (3H, m), 9.74(H, t, J=3 Hz); MS <u>m/z</u> 266 (M⁺), 164 (M⁺-102), 121 (M⁺-145).

Ethyl [(1R5,35)-2,2-dimethyl-6-methylene-3-tetrahydropyranyloxycyclohexyl]acetate 6a. A soln containing 5 (9.45 g, 39.3 mmol) and EtCO₂H (0.187 g, 2.50 mmol) in freshly distilled MaC(OBt)₃ (51.0 g, 314 mmol) was stirred at 140° for 6 h under the conditions for distillative removal of EtCH. Then the mixture was concentrated to remove MeC(OBt)₃. After cooling, the residue was diluted with ether. The ether soln was washed with sat NaHOO₃ soln and brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over SiO₂ (200 g). Elution with n-hexane-EtCAC (20:1) gave 6a (11.7 g, 37.7 mmol, 96 e) as a mixture of (18,38)-6a and (18,38)-6a, n_{0}^{22} 1.4774; [a] f^{2} +25.5°(c=OAB, CHC1₃); wmax 3100 (w), 1740 (e), 1650 (m), 1030 (s), 890 (m) cm⁻¹; 6 (CDC1₃) 0.73~1.08 (6H, m), 1.23 (3H, t, J=7 Hz), 1.36~2.78 (13H, m), 3.18~4.20 (3H, m), 4.10 (2H, q, J=7 Hz), 4.48~4.86 (3H, m). (Found: C, 69.31; H, 9.72. Calc for C18H3004; C, 69.64; H, 9.74 %). The ratio of (18,35)-6a and (18,35)-6a was determined to be 64:36 by the HFLC analysis of corresponding 3-benzoyloxy derivatives 6b; HFLC (Column, NCLERDEH^{50,50}, 56, 54 %), 61, 64 %), 65, 36 %].

 $(1^{RS}, 3'S)-2', 2'-Dimethyl-6'-methylene-3'-tetrahydropyranyloxycyclohexylacetic acid 6c. 6a (11.7 g, 37.7 mmol) was dissolved in 2.5 % ROB-EDG soln (120 ml) and the mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to remove EDG. The residue was diluted with water, acidified with N-HCl (pB 4) and extracted with ether (x2). The ether soln was washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give crude 6c (10.6 g), vmax 3100 (br,s), 1725 (s), 1025 (s) cm⁻¹. This was employed for the next step without further purification.$

 $\frac{(3aR5,55,7aR3)-4,4-Dissthyl-7a-iodomethyl-2-cmo-3-tstrahydropyranylonyootshydrobensofuram 7a + 7a⁴. 0.5 M NaHCO₃ soln (120 ml) was added to a soln of 6c (10,6 g, 37,7 mmol) in ether (120 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temp for 20 min. To the refluxing mixture was gradually added a soln of KI (37,8 g) and I₂ (19.2 g) in water (150 ml). The mixture was stirred overnight under reflux. After cooling, the mixture was washed with Na₂So₃ soln, sat NaHCO₃ soln and brine, dried (NgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give crude 7a + 7a⁴ (14.5 g, 35.5 mmol). waax 1780 (s), 1460 (s), 1160 (s), 1030 (s) (s⁻¹, s (CDCl₃) 0.83~1.20 (6H, m), 1.30~2.45 (11H, m), 2.60 (2H, s), 3.21~4.11 (5H, m), 4.66 (1H, m). This was employed for the$

next step without further purification.

(328,56,728)-4,4-Dimethyl-3-hydroxy-7a-iodomethyl-2-one-octahydrobensofuran 7b, and (328,56,728)-7b'. The crude 7a + 7a' (14.5 g, 35,5 Bmol) was dissolved in 0.5 % p-TeOH-NeOH (150 ml) and the mixture was stirred at room temp for 8 h. The mixture was concentrated in vecto to remove MeOH. The residue was diluted with HEOMC. The ECOAC soln was washed with sat NaHCO3 soln and brine, dried (MgSO4) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was diluted with HEOMC. The ECOAC soln was washed with sat NaHCO3 soln and brine, dried (MgSO4) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over SiO₂ (150 g). Elution with <u>m-betane-StOAC</u> (7:3) gave 7b' (3.50 g, 11.1 mmol, 30 % from 6b). This was recrystallized from <u>n-betane-StOAC</u> to give 7b' (3.23 g), m.p. 134.5-135.0°; (a) g^{22} -11.1°(c=0.075, CHC1₃); wmax (KBr) 3490 (s, sh), 1755 (vs), 1180 (s), 1155 (m), 1140 (s), 1020 (m), 980 (s), 960 (s) cm⁻¹. For 400 MHz ¹H NNR spectrum of <u>7b</u>, see Table 1. (Found: C, 40.75; H, 4.99. Calc for C₁₁H₁₇O₃I: C, 40.75; H, 5.28 %). Elution with <u>m-betane-StOAC</u> (1:1) gave crystalline (328,5,7,8,5)-7b (6.00 g, 18,5 mmol, 49 % from 6b). This was recrystallized from ether to give 7b (4.22 g), m.p. 136,0-135,5°, (a) g^{22} =35,5°(c=0.095, CHC1₃); weak (KBr) 3450 (m, br), 1780 (va, sh), 1165 (s), 1000 (m), 965 (m) cm⁻¹. For 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of <u>7b</u> (4.22 g), m.p. 136,0-135,5°, (a) g^{22} =35,5°(c=0.095, CHC1₃); weak (KBr) 3450 (m, br), 1780 (va, sh), 1165 (s), 1000 (m), 965 (m) cm⁻¹. For 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of <u>7b</u>, see Table 1. (Found: C, 40.75; K, 5.28 %).

(388,788)-4,4-Dimethyl-7a-iodomethyl-2-coo-2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hamahydrobanzofuran 8, (388,488,785)-7a-iodomethyl-5-methyl-4methylene-2-com-octahydrobanzofuran 16 and (388,58,785)-5-chloro-4,4-dimethyl-7a-iodomethyl-2-com-octahydrobanzofuran 15. ffCl (450 g, 26.7 mmol) was added dropwise to the stirred soln of 7b (400 g, 12.3 mmol) and DNAP (7.50 g, 61,5 mmol) in dry GH₂Cl₂ (80 nl) at 5-15° under Ar. The mixture was stirred for 10 min at 5° and for 1 h at room tamp. Natar was added to the mixture. After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was washed with water and hrine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in Vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over SiO₂ (150 g). The first fraction eluted with <u>n</u>-hexane-EtOAc (40:1) gave 16 (0.240 g, 6.4 al. $n_3^{3.5}$ 1,5578; [a] $\beta^{3.5}$ +16.0°(c=0.82, CHCl₃), wmax 3100 (w), 3050 (w), 1780 (s), 1685 (s) cm⁻²; 6 (CDCl₃) 1.25 (38, d, J=7 Hz), 1.00-3.30 (8H, m), 3.35 (1H, d, J=12 Hz), 3.55 (1H, d, J=12 Hz), 5.00 (2H, br.s). (Found: C, 43.42; H, 4.87. Calc for C₁₁H₃Go₂T: C, 43.15; H, 4.94 a), the second fraction eluted with <u>n</u>-hexane-EtOAc (40:1) gave 6 (2.94 g, 78 a), n_{p}^{22} 1.5542; [a] β^{2} +1248°(c=1.56, CHCl₃); wmax 3050 (m), 1780 (s), 1660 (w) cm⁻¹; 6 (CDCl₃) 1.05 (3H, s), 1.10 (3H, s), 2.58 (5H, m), 3.38 (1H, d, J=12 Hz), 3.68 (1H, d, J=12 Hz), 5.65 (2H, br.s). (Found: C, 43.02; H, 4.94, Calc for C₁₁H₃Go₂T: C, 43.15; H, 4.94 a). The third fraction eluted with <u>n</u>-hexane-EtOAc (40:1) gave crystalline 15 (0.253 g, 6.0 a), m₃1 27.0-127.5°, [a] β^{4} -19.2°(c=1.57, CHCl₃); wmax (IER) 3010 (s), 3000 (s), 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 1780 (w), 1190 (w), 1000 (s), 955 (s) cm⁻¹; s (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.16 (3H, s), 1.18 (3H, s), 1.93-3.41 (7H, m), 3.49 (1H, d, J=12 Hz), 3.64 (1H, d, J=12 Hz), 3.99 (1H, dd, J=4 Hz), 4 Hz). (Found: C, 38.50; H, 4.74, Calc for C₁₁H₁₀O2LI: C, 38.56; H, 4.71 a).

 $\frac{(3aR,7aS)-2-Ouc-4,4,7a-trimethyl-2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydrobensofuran 9. (n-Bu)_3ShH (5.60 g, 19,2 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred and ice-cooled soln of 8 (2.90 g, 9.47 mmol) in dry C₆H₆ (7.5 ml) under Ar. The mixture was stirred overnight at toom tomp and then chromatographed over SiO₂ (130 g). Elution with n-hexane-EtORc (10:1) gave 9 (1.70 g, 99.6 %). An analytical sample of 9 was obtained by distillation, b,p. 100~110° (bath temp)/0,2 Torr; nf^{3,5} 1.4815; (a)f^{3,5} +170,0° (o-L09, CHC1₃); whas 3060 (m), 1780 (s), 1660 (w), 1385 (m), 1230 (m), 1180 (m), 1095 (m), 950 (m), 725 (m) cm⁻¹; 6 (CDC1₃) 1.02 (3H, s), 1.55 (3H, s), 200~2.85 (SH, m), 540 (2H, m), CIC (column, OV-1, 1 m x 2 mm at 140°; carrier gas, N₂, 1.3 kg/cm²) Rt 2.33 min (100 %); (Found: C, 73.06) H, 8.92, Calc for C₁₁H₁₆O₂: C, 73.30; H, 8.95 %).$

 $\frac{(3aR,7aS)-2-Oxo-4,4,7a-trimethyloctahydsobenzofuran 2. PtO_2 (0.10 g) was added to a soln of 9 (1.00 g, 5.55 mmol) in AcOH (60 ml) and the mixture was stirred under H₂ (30 atm) for 23 h. Subsequently the catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was diluted with EtOAc. The BtOAc soln was washed with sat NeHOO₃ soln , water and brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was diluted with EtOAc. The BtOAc soln was washed with sat NeHOO₃ soln , water and brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was chromatographed over SiO₂ (10 g). Elution with <u>n</u>-hexane-EtOAc (10:1) gave crystalline (3aR,7aS)-2 (0.910 g, 80 %). This was recrystallized from <u>n</u>-hexane to give (3aR,7aS)-2 (0.669 g), m₁p. 74-75°, [a]g⁴ +63.5°(cmO₂95, CHCl₃), wax (REr) 1770 (s), 1265 (s), 1100 (s), 945 (s) cm⁻¹; 6 (400 MHz, CDCl₃) O.91 (3H, s), 1.05 (3H, s), 1.53 (3H, s), 1.28-1.65 (5H, m), 1.86 (1H, br d, J=12.5 Hz), 2.07 (1H, dd, J=8.7 Hz, 12.5 Hz), 2.43 (1H, dd, J=8.7 Hz, 15.4 Hz), 2.51 (1H, dd, J=12.5 Hz, 15.4 Hz). GLC (column, OV-101, 50 m x 0.25 mm at 200°; carrier gaa, N₂, 1.2 kg/cm² Rt 22.13 min (98 %); (Found: C, 72.40; H, 9.74. Calc for C₁₁H₁gO₂: C, 72.49; H, 9.95 %).$

(3aR, 7aS)-2-CMCO-3-phenylselenyl-4,4,7a-trimethyloctahydrobenzofuran 10. A soln of LDA was prepared by the addition of <u>n</u>-BuLi in <u>n</u>-hexame (1.52 N, 2.2 ml) to a stirred and cooled soln of $(\underline{i}$ -Pr)_2MH(0.45 g, 4.4 mmol) in dry THF (8 ml) at -70~-55° under Ar. After the addition, the mixture was stirred for 10 min at -10°. It was then cooled to -70°. A soln of (3aR, 7aS)-2 (0.40 g, 2.20 mmol) in dry THF (8 ml) was added slowly to the stirred soln during 1 h. After the addition, the mixture was stirred for 30 min at -70°. HNFA (0.7 ml) was added to the mixture. Subsequently a soln of PhSeBr in dry THF (3.5 M, 1.6 ml) prepared by the addition of Br₂ (0.45 ml, 6.8 mmol) to a stirred soln of PhSeBer in dry THF (5 ml) at room temp was added rapidly to the mixture. After the addition, the reaction temp was gradually raised to room temp. Then N-HCl soln (5 ml) was added to the mixture and the mixture was diluted with ether. The ether soln was washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated <u>in vacuo</u>. The residue was chromatographed over 6iO₂ (20 g). Elution with <u>n</u>-hexame=EtOMc (20:1) gave (3aB,7aE)-10 (0.70 g), wmax 3080 (m), 1765 (s), 1580 (m), 1480 (m), 1480 (m), 1380 (m), 1100 (s), 960 (m), 785 (s), 740 (s), 690 (s) cm⁻¹, δ (CDCl₃) 1.00 (3H, s), 1.19 (3H, s), 1.32 (3H, s), 0.80~2.40 (6H, m), 1.92 (1H, d, J=10 Hz), 7.10~7.52 (3H, m), 7.52~7.80 (2H, m). This was employed for the next step without further purification.

 $\frac{(8)^{-}(+)^{-}2^{-}Oxc^{-}4,4,7a^{-}trimsethyl^{-}2,4,5,6,6,7a^{-}hexahydrobensofuran [(+)^{-}Dihydroactinidiolide] 1. 35 & H_{2}O_{2} soln (0,8 ml, 9,15 mmol) was added to a stirred and ice-cooled soln of (3aR,7aS)^{-}10 (0,62 g) and AcOH (1 drop) in THF (10 ml). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 5°. A small ansumt of Pt black was added to the mixture to decompose success H_{2}O_{2}. Sat MAHCO_{3} soln was added to the mixture and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temp. The mixture was diluted with ether. The other soln was washed with eat MAHCO_{3} soln, water and brine, dried (MgSO_{4}) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed over SiO_{2} (20 g). Elution with <u>n</u>-hexane-EtOR. (20:1) gave (S)^{-}(+)^{-}(0,120 g, 48 % from 2), mag. 67-68°; [<math>\alpha$] β^{3} +120.9°(c=1.00, CHCl₃); vmax (KBr) 3040 (m), 3020 (m), 2980 (s), 2960 (s), 2880 (s), 1750 (vs, br), 1635 (s), 1465

(s), 1390 (m), 1375 (m), 1370 (s), 1330 (m) 1300 (w), 1265 (vs), 1230 (m), 1195 (s), 1185 (s), 1155 (s), 1125 (s), 1070 (w), 1035 (s), 1015 (w), 995 (s), 985 (s), 960 (vs), 950 (s), 915 (s), 885 (s), 860 (vs), 790 (w), 730 (s), 685 (s), 660 (w) cm⁻¹; 6 (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.22 (3H, s), 1.28 (3H, s), 1.28 (1H, ddd, J=5 Hz, 12.5 Hz, 12.5 Hz, 1.46 (1H, ddd, J=5 Hz, 12.5 Hz, 1.55 (3H, s), 1.62~1.81 (3H, m), 2.24 (1H, ddd, J=5.5 Hz, 12.5 Hz), 5.65 (1H, s); GLC (column, PBG-20M, 50 m x Q.25 mm at 200°; carrier gas, N₂, 1 kg/cm²), Rt 12.29 min (98.4 %); (Found: C, 73.60; H, 9.01. Calc for C₁₁H₁₆O₂: C, 73.30; H,8.95 %).

 $\frac{(3aS,7aR)-4,4-Dimethyl-7a-iodomethyl-2-oxo-2,3,3e,4,7,7a-hexahydrobenzofuran (3eS,7aR)-8'. In the same manner as described for the preparation of (3aB,7aR)-8, 3,99 g of 7b' yielded 3,54 g (94 %) of (3aS,7aR)-8', ng³ 1.5535; (x)g³ -130,7'' (c=1.58, CHCl₃); (Found: C. 43.09; H, 4.94. Calc for C₁₁H₁₅O₂I: C, 43.15; H, 4.94 %). Its IR and NMR spectra were identical with those of (3aR,7ag)-(+)-8.$

 $\frac{(3aS,7aR)-2-0xo-4,4,7a-trimethyl-2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydrobensofuran (3aS,7aR)-(-)-9". In the same manner as described for preparation of (3aR,7aS)-9, 3.30 g of (3aS,7aR)-8" yielded 1.69 g (87 %) of (3aS,7aR)-(-)-9". An analytical sample of (3aS,7aR)-9" was obtained by distillation, bp. 100-105" (bath temp)/0.2 Torr, ng⁴ 1.4812; [a]g⁴ -183,2"(c=1,02, CHCl₃); GLC (column, OV-1, 1 m x 2 mm at 132"; cerier gas, N₂, 1.2 kg/cm²) Rt 4.03 min (100 %). (Found: C, 73,12; H, 8.80, Calc for C₁₁H₁₆O₂: C, 73.30; H, 8.95 %). Its IR and NNR spectra were identical with those of (3aR,7aS)-(+)-%.$

 $\frac{(3aS,7aR)-2-Oxo-4,4,7a-trimethyloctahydrobanzofuran}{(3aS,7aR)-(-)-2^2}$. In the same manner as described for the preparation of (3aR,7aS)-(+)-2, L20 g of $(3aS,7aR)-(-)-9^2$ yielded L10 g (91 %) of $(3aS,7aR)-(-)-2^2$. This was recrystallized from m-hexame to give $(3aS,7aR)-(-)-2^2$ (0.960 g), mp. 80-81°, $[x_1]_2^{4}$ -66.1°(c-0.97, CHCl₃); GLC (column, OV-101, 50 m x 0.25 mm at 200°; carrier gas, N₂, 1.2 kg/cm² Rt 22.15 min (99.6 %). (Found: C, 72.74; H, 9.90, Calc for $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$: C, 72.49; H, 9.95 %). Its IR and NMR spectra were identical with those of (3aR,7aS)-(+)-2.

(3aS,7aR)-2-0x0-3-phenylselenyl-4,4,7a-trimethyloctahydrobenzofuran (3aS, 7aR)-10". In the same manner as described for the preparation of (3aR, 7aS)-10, 0.40 g of (3aS, 7aR)-2" yielded 0.60g of (3aS,7aR)-10". Its IR and NNR spectra were identical with those of (3aR,7aS)-10. This was employed for the next step without further purification.

 $\frac{(R)-(-)-2-Caco-4,4,7a-trimethyl-2,4,5,6,6,7a-hexahydrobenzofuran}{(1-)-Dihydroactinidiolide]} 1'. In the same manner as described for the preparation of (<u>S</u>)-(+)-1, 0.55 g of (3a<u>S</u>,7a<u>R</u>)-10' yielded 0.23 g (64 % from (3a<u>S</u>,7a<u>R</u>)-2') of (<u>R</u>)-(-)-1'. This was recrystallized from <u>m</u>-pentame to give (<u>R</u>)-(-)-1' (0.19 g), m.p. 70-71'; [a]<math>\beta^4$ -121,0°(c=1.05, CHCl_3); GLC (column, PEG-20M, 50 m x 0.25 mm at 200°; carrier gas, N₂, 1 kg/cm²), Rt 13,72 min (98.9 %). (Found: C, 73,39; H, 8.93, Calc for C₁₁H₁₆O₂: C, 73,30; H, 8.95 %). Its IR and NNR spectra were identical with those of (<u>S</u>)-(+)-1.

Determination of the optical purities of (S)-(+)-1 and $(R)-(-)-1^*$. The optical purities of (S)-(+)-1 and $(R)-(-)-1^*$ were estimated by 400 MHz ¹H-NMR in the presence of chiral solvating reagent 17^{35} ; § [10 mg of $(\underline{S})-(+)-1$ and 46 mg of 17 in CDCl₃ (0.3 ml)] 5.31 (s, vinylic H); [10 mg of $(\underline{R})-(-)-1^*$ and 46 mg of 17 in CDCl₃ (0.3 ml)] 5.36 (s, vinylic H). The optical purities of $(\underline{S})-(+)-1$ and $(\underline{R})-(-)-1^*$ were therefore both 100 % e.e.

Acknowledgements — We thank Messres H, Watanabe and H. Nori for discussion. Our thanks are due to Mr. K. Furihata for the measurement of 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra. We are indebted to Mr. T. Sugai for his help in preparing the camera-ready manuscript. Financial support of this work by Nitto Denko Co., Ltd. is acknowledged with thanks.

REFERENCES

- 1 J. R. Rocca, J. H. Tumlinson, B. M. Glancey and C. S. Lofgren, Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 1889 (1983).
- 2 J. R. Rocca, J. H. Tumlinson, B. M. Glancey and C. S. Lofgren, Tstrahedron Lett. 24, 1893 (1983).
- 3 T. Sakan, S. Isoe and S. B. Hyeon, Tetrahedon Lett. 1623 (1967).
- 4 T. Sakan, F. Murai, S. Isoe, S. B. Hyeon and Y. Hayashi, Nippon Kagaku Zasshi (J. Chem. Soc. Jpn.) 90, 507 (1969).
- 5 J. Bricout, R. Viani, F. Muggler-Chavan, J. P. Marion, D. Reymond and R. H. Egli, <u>Helv. Chim. Acta</u> 50, 1517 (1967).
- 6 S. Fukushima, Y. Akahori and T. Tsuneya, Yakugaku Zasshi (J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn.) 89, 646 (1968).
- 7 K. Ina, Y. Sakato and H. Fukami, Tetrahedron Lett. 2777 (1968).
- 8 S. Fukushima, Y. Akahori and T. Tsuneya, Yakugaku Zasshi (J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn.) 89, 1729 (1969).
- 9 H. Kaneko and K. Ijichi, Agric. Biol. Chem. 32, 1337 (1968).
- 10 W. C. Bailey, Jr., A. K. Bose, R. M. Ikeda, R. H. Newman, H. V. Secor and C. Varsel, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 33, 2819 (1968).
- 11 M. Mousseron-Canet, J. C. Mani and J. P. Dalle, Bull, Soc. Chim. France 668 (1967).
- 12 E. Demole and P. Enggist, Helv. Chim. Acta 51, 481 (1968).
- 13 S. Isce, S. B. Hyeon, R. Ichikawa, S. Katsusura and T. Sakan, Tetrabedon Lett. 5561 (1968).
- 14 S. Isos, S. B. Hyson and T. Sakan, Tetrahadon Lett. 279 (1969).
- 15 2. Horii, M. Ito, I. Minami, M. Yamaguchi, M. Hanaoka and T. Momose, Cham. Pharm. Bull. 18, 1967 (1970).
- 16 K. Ina, T. Takano, Y. Imai and Y. Sakato, Agric. Biol. Chem. 36, 1033 (1972).
- 17 B. R. von Wartburg and H. R. Wolf, Helv. Chim. Acta 57, 916 (1974).

- S. Torii, K. Uneyama and M. Kuyama, Tetrahedron Lett. 1513 (1976). 18
- D. Behr, I. Wahlberg, T. Nishida and C. R. Enzell, Acta Chem. Scand. B 31, 609 (1977). 19
- B. Goyau and F. Rouessac, Bull. Soc. Chim. Prance II 590 (1978). 20
- T. R. Hoye and M. J. Kurth, J. Org. Chem. 43, 3693 (1978). 21
- 22 T. K. Chakraborty and S. Chandrasekaran, Tetrahedron Lett. 25, 2895 (1984).
- 23 M. Ribi and C. H. Eugster, Helv. Chim. Acta 52, 1732 (1969).
- S. Isoe, S. B. Hyeon, S. Katsumura and T. Sakan, Tetrahedron Lett. 2517 (1972). 24
- 25 F. Kienzle, H. Meyer, R. E. Ninder, H. Thommen, Helv. Chim. Acta 61, 2616 (1978).
- 26 W. Rachenmoser, P. Uebelhart and C. H. Bugster, Helv. Chim. Acta 65, 353 (1962).
- 27 T. Sato, M. Funahora, M. Watanabe and T. Fujisawa, Abstract of Papers, 50th Spring Meeting of Chem. Soc. Jpn (Tokyo) II, p.916 (3M12) (1985).
- K. Mori and H. Mori, Tetrahedron in press. 28
- W. H. Watanabe and L. E. Conlon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79, 2828 (1957). 29
- 30 W. G. Dauben and T. J. Dietsche, J. Org. Chem. 37, 1212 (1972).
- 31
- P. Kocienski and M. Todd, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I, 1783 (1983). W. S. Johson, L. Werthemann, W. R. Bartlett, T. J. Brocksch, T.-t. Li, D. J. Faulkner and M. R. Petersen, J. Am. 32 Chem. Boc. 92, 741 (1970).
- L. M. Jackman and & Sternhell, Application of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry (2nd 33 Ed.) pp 334~341, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1969).
- 34 K. Mori and H. Watanabe, Tetrahedron, in press.
- W. H. Pirkle, D. L. Sikkenga and M. S. Pavlin, J. Org. Chem. 42, 384 (1977). 35