Structure-Activity Relationships of N-Substituted Dopamine and 2-Amino-6,7-dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene Analogues: Behavioral Effects in Lesioned and Reserpinized Mice

James Z. Ginos,* Janet M. Stevens,*

Department of Neurology, Cornell University Medical College, New York, New York 10021

and David E. Nichols

Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy and Pharmacal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907. Received May 7, 1979

N,N-Disubstituted dopamine and 2-amino-6,7-dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (6,7-ADTN) analogues were synthesized and tested intraperitoneally in mice for dopamine agonism. Compounds inducing asymmetric postures in unilaterally caudectomized mice were further tested in mice treated with reserpine and α -methyl-p-tyrosine methyl ester. ED₅₀ values determined for reversal of reserpine-induced catalepsy were used to rank drug potency and correlated with molecular structure. N-n-Propyl N-substituted compounds were more effective than other N,N-dialkyl homologues. Of these, analogues with one alkyl group larger than propyl became inactive or their dopaminomimetic effect was reduced when the propyl was replaced with a larger group. N-Monosubstituted analogues were inactive as dopamine agonists. N-n-Pr-N-n-Bu-6,7-ADTN was six times more potent than N-n-propyl-N-n-pentyl- and N-n-propyl-N-phenethyldopamine but ten times less potent than apomorphine. The availability of an array of structurally related dopamine analogues with dopaminomimetic properties may make it possible to test the hypothesis that there are more than one type of dopamine receptor and that stereotypy and locomotor activity may have different central nervous system loci. Moreover, they may be of potential use in the treatment of parkinsonism and other extrapyramidal disorders.

We have reported previously¹ that *N*-*n*-propyl-*N*-phenethyl- β -(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethylamine hydrochloride (**29**) was the most effective among N,N-disubstituted dopamine (DA) analogues with respect to longlasting CNS dopaminomimetic effects when given intraperitoneally to unilaterally nigra-lesioned rats and caudectomized mice. By contrast, *N*-*n*-propyl-*N*-*n*-butyl- (**39**) and *N*-*n*-propyl-*N*-*n*-pentyldopamine (**43**) were shown to be more effective in causing a DA-like increase in renal blood flow of the pentobarbital-anesthetized dog when given by arterial infusion.² *N*-*n*-Monosubstituted DA analogues were found to be inactive as DA agonists,¹⁻³ with the exception of epinine, shown to be a vascular DA agonist,² while *N*-methyl N-substituted analogues demonstrated little or no effect.¹⁻³

Of the symmetrically N,N-disubstituted DA derivatives tested only N,N-di-n-propyldopamine (23) was shown to have dopaminomimetic properties when given by arterial infusion to anesthetized dogs,² by peripheral administration, or by direct intracerebral injection into the nucleus accumbens and caudate-putamen of the rat.⁴ For any homologous series in the N,N-disubstituted DA analogues, the presence of the N-n-propyl group appeared to be consistently the optimum substituent for maximum behavioral effects in lesioned animals^{1,3} or for DA-like vascular effects.² This suggests that the N-n-propyl substituent may possess unique functional importance for the drug's intrinsic DA-like activity.

In our present work, we sought supplemental evidence to support this thesis by synthesizing and testing additional appropriate N,N-disubstituted DA analogues, as well as some N,N-disubstituted derivatives of 2-amino-6,7dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (6,7-ADTN). Furthermore, for N-phenylalkyl substituents, we explored the effects on DA agonism by varying the length of the alkyl chain and by replacing the phenyl moiety with a cyclohexyl group.

Newly synthesized N,N-disubstituted DA and 6,7-ADTN analogues (Tables III and IV), as well as many previously reported ones,^{1,3} were screened initially in unilaterally caudectomized mice for CNS dopaminomimetic activity. Those eliciting a positive behavioral response were tested further for direct DA agonism in mice Scheme I

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \parallel \\ RC - CI \end{array} \xrightarrow{R'NH_2} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \parallel \\ RC NHR' \end{array} \xrightarrow{Diborane} RCH_2 NHR' \\ THF \\ B \end{array}$$



pretreated with reserpine and the hydrochloride of dl_{α} -methyl-*p*-tyrosine methyl ester (α -MTM), a tyrosine hydroxylase inhibitor.⁵ ED₅₀ values for reversing reserpine-induced catalepsy, a DA postsynaptic-mediated phenomenon,⁶ were estimated in an effort to rank the relative potencies.

Chemistry. With the exception of the N,N-diphenylethyldopamine (31) derivative, N,N-disubstituted DA analogues were synthesized according to Scheme I. Whenever the appropriate secondary amine was commercially unavailable, it was prepared as indicated by steps A and B of Scheme I and purified either by vacuum distillation or by crystallization of its hydrochloride salt (Table I) before its use in the next step. The preparation of N-monosubstituted DA derivatives and their N-methyl homologues used in the present work has been reported elsewhere.¹ Compound 31 was prepared by the reaction of β -(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamine with an excess of the phenylacetic acid-NaBH₄ complex according to the method of Marchini et al.⁷ Although its synthesis had been previously described,¹ 29 is shown in Table IV with additional melting point data. These correspond to different crystalline forms, each obtained under different crystallization conditions. ¹H NMR and IR spectra of the three different crystalline forms were identical.

The N,N-disubstituted 6,7-ADTN derivatives were prepared according to Scheme II. The methoxylated

		R			
no.	\mathbf{R}_{1}	\mathbf{R}_{2}	% yield	bp, °C (mmHg)	mp, °C
1	CH ₃ CH ₂	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	98	79-81 (atm pres) lit. ^a 77-80 (738)	
2	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₄	85	180-182 (atm pres) lit. ^b 180-182 (743)	286-288
3	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	$C_6H_5CH_2$	94	40-42 (0.20) lit. ^c 47-55 (0.2)	160-161
4	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	$C_6H_5CH_2CH_2$	92	104-107 (15-16) lit. ^d 102 (16)	
5	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂),	$C_{4}H_{4}CH_{7}CH_{7}$	81	72-74(0.5)	
6	$n-CH_{3}(CH_{2}),$	C,H,CH,CH,CH,	99	73-76 (1.8-2.0)	
7	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_2$	2-cyclohexylethyl	97	96-100(8-9) lit. ^e 106-107(13)	265 - 267 266 - 267

. .

^aK. N. Campbell, A. H. Sommers, and B. K. Campbell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 66, 82 (1944). ^b H. R. Henze and D. J. Humphreys, *ibid.*, 64, 2878 (1942). ^c A. R. Surrey and M. K. Rukwid, *ibid.*, 77, 3798 (1955). ^d E. J. Schwoegler and H. Adkins, *ibid.*, 61, 3499 (1939). ^e F. F. Blicke and F. B. Zienty, *ibid.*, 61, 93 (1939).

Table II. Physical Properties of the Hydrochlorides of N,N-Disubstituted β -(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamines

		СНз(∼∼ N ^{∼ R} 2 R1		
no.	\mathbf{R}_{1}	R_2	% yield	mp, °C	recrystn solvent	formula ^c
8	CH,CH,	<i>n</i> -CH ₃ (CH ₂),	91	98-99	EtOAc	C ₁₅ H ₂₆ ClNO ₃
9	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_2$	$n \cdot CH_3(CH_2)_2$	87	101.5-103.0	$CHCl_3 + ether$	$C_{16}H_{28}CINO_2$
10	CH ₃ CH ₂	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃	87	81-84	$CHCl_3 + ether$	$C_{16}H_{28}CINO_2$
11	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_3$	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₄	89	91.5 - 93	EtOAc + ether	$C_{19}H_{34}ClNO_2$
12	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_2$	$C_6H_5(CH_2)_2$	98	110.5 - 112	EtOAc	$C_{21}H_{30}CINO_2$
13	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_3$	$C_6H_5(CH_2)_2$	75	a		
14	$C_6H_5(CH_2)_2$	$C_{6}H_{5}(CH_{2})_{2}$	64	$46 - 47^{b}$	pet. ether	$C_{26}H_{31}NO_{2}$
15	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	$C_6H_5CH_2$	87	163.5 - 164.5	EtOH + ether	$C_{20}H_{28}CINO_2$
16	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_2$	$C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$	98	55-57	CH_2Cl_2 + ether	$C_{22}H_{32}CINO_2$
17	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	cyclohexylethyl	55	oil ^c		$C_{21}H_{35}NO_{2}$
18	CH ₃ CH ₂ (CH ₃)CH	CH ₃ CH ₂ (CH ₃)CH	66	83-86	EtOH + ether	$C_{18}H_{32}ClNO_2$

^a Noncrystallizable solid. TLC in three different solvent systems, D, E, and G (see Experimental Section), showed on visualization (UV and I₂ vapors) one spot. ^b Free base. The hydrochloride salt was intractable to crystallization. ^c The free base was distilled and the main fraction collected at 161-163 °C (0.15 mmHg). TLC showed 17 to be homogeneous in three different solvent systems, A, B, and C (see Experimental Section). All, with the exception of compound 17, gave satisfactory analyses for C, H, Cl, and N.



			сн ₃ 0	R ₂		
no.	\mathbf{R}_1	R_2	% yield	mp, °C	recrystn solvent	formula ^a
19 20 21	H n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃	$n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}$ $n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}$ $n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}$	77 80 86	221-222 153-155 155-156	EtOH + ether EtOH + ether acetone + ether	C ₁₆ H ₂ CINO ₂ C ₁₉ H ₃₂ CINO ₂ C ₂₀ H ₃₀ CINO ₂

^a All compounds gave satisfactory analyses for C, H, and N.

tetralone (steps A–C) was prepared according to the procedure of Cannon et al.⁸ Amination was achieved by catalytic hydrogenation of the imine (step E) obtained by the condensation of the tetralone with *n*-butylamine (step D). The secondary amine thus obtained was further al-kylated using the NaBH₄-carboxylic acid complex method⁷ (step F). *N*,*N*-di-*n*-Pr-ADTN-HBr (49) used in our present study was prepared according to the method of Cannon et al.⁸

Pharmacology. (1) **Postural Effects on Lesioned Mice.** Swiss albino, Hale–Stoner male mice had a right caudectomy performed by suction according to the method of Lotti.⁹ Such an operation was deemed successful if 4 weeks after the lesion the mice reacted to apomorphine (APO; 1.0 mg/kg) by assuming a strong asymmetric posture toward the lesion with their contralateral limbs abducted and their ipsilateral ones obducted.

(2) Reversal of Reserpine-Induced Catalepsy in

Table IV. Physical Properties of the Hydrochlorides of N,N-Disubstituted β -(3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl)ethylamines



				R ₁		
no.	\mathbf{R}_{i}	R ₂	% yield	mp, °C	recrystn solvent	formula ^a
22 23 24 25	$\begin{array}{c} CH_{3}CH_{2}\\ n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}\\ CH_{3}CH_{2}\\ n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}\\ n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}\\ \end{array}$	$n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}$ $n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}$ $n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}$ $n-CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}$	75 90 78 92	158-159 138-140 126.5-129 75-77	EtOH + ether EtOH + ether $CHCl_3 + ether$ methyl ethyl	$\begin{array}{c} C_{13}H_{22}CINO_2\\ C_{14}H_{24}CINO_2\\ C_{14}H_{24}CINO_2\\ C_{14}H_{24}CINO_2\\ C_{17}H_{30}CINO_2\\ \end{array}$
26 27 28	CH ₃ CH ₂ (CH ₃)CH CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂ CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	CH ₃ CH ₂ (CH ₃)CH C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂ C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₃	70 90 90	136-139 175-176 br range ^b	ketone + ether EtOH + ether EtOH methyl ethyl	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16}H_{28}ClNO_2\\ C_{18}H_{24}ClNO_2\\ C_{22}H_{32}ClNO_2 \end{array}$
29	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	$C_{\delta}H_{\delta}(CH_{2})_{2}$	90	51-55 142-145 161-162	ketone + ether EtOH + ether CH_2Cl_2 methyl ethyl	C ₁₉ H ₃₀ ClNO ₂ C ₁₉ H ₃₀ ClNO ₂
30 31 32	$CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{3}$ $C_{6}H_{5}(CH_{2})_{2}$ <i>n</i> -CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ C ₆ H ₅ (CH ₂) ₂ cyclohexylethyl	66 88 87	169.5-171 68-71 126-126	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Ketone + EtOAc} \\ \text{EtOH + ether} \\ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 + \text{ether} \\ \text{EtOH + EtOAc} \end{array}$	C ₂₀ H ₂₈ ClNO ₂ C ₂₄ H ₂₈ ClNO ₂ C ₁₉ H ₃₂ ClNO ₂

^a All compounds gave satisfactory analyses for C, H, Cl, and N. ^b Compound 28 was obtained as an amorphous solid.

Table V.Physical Properties of the Hydrobromides of N,N-Disubstituted2-Amino-6,7-dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalenes



^a All compounds gave satisfactory analyses for C, H, and N.

Mice. Johnson et al.¹⁰ used reserpinized mice to evaluate the antagonistic effects of DA agonists (α -bromocriptine, APO, and *d*-amphetamine) in the reserpine-induced cataleptic state. All three compounds reversed catalepsy, as evidenced by the emergence of movements and stereotypic behavior. Using this model in a pilot study, we were able to reproduce these results using the "rubber stopper test" for catalepsy, as formulated by Tedeschi.¹¹ The forepaws of the test mouse were placed on a rubber stopper (size 7), and the time required for the mouse to move off the stopper was noted. Untreated or saline-treated mice required 1-3 s to get off the stopper. Reserpinized mice in a cataleptic state remained on the stopper for as long as 65 min. Reserpinized mice reacted positively when injected intraperitoneally with APO or with d-amphetamine by getting off the stopper 3-6 min postinjection. However, reserpinized mice, when treated in addition with α -MTM, reacted only to APO (a direct agonist) 3-6 min after its administration. Amphetamine, an indirect agonist,^{12a,b} depends for its action on the presence of newly synthesized endogenous DA. This has been virtually eliminated by treatment with the tyrosine hydroxylase inhibitor. In contrast to the unilaterally caudectomized mice, the use of this model can distinguish between direct and indirect agonists.

Results and Discussion

Attempting to correlate in vivo biological activities of drugs with molecular structure, in terms of intrinsic activity, is at best hazardous speculation, especially when









factors such as absorption, distribution, and metabolic rates play an important role in shaping the biological potency of a drug. Nevertheless, even with the above qualifications in mind, an examination of the results in Table VI reveals that some general observations can be made with regard to the relation between CNS-mediated

compd pomorphine hydrochloride 5 3 3 3 3 5 6 6 6 6 6	R ₁ H CH3 CH3 CH3 CH3 CH3 CH3 CH3 CH3 CH3 C	22 R ₁ CH ₃ d CH ₃ d CH ₃ d CH ₃ d CH ₃ (CH ₂), d n-CH ₃ (CH ₃), d n-CH ₃	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \text{duration,} \\ \text{duration,} \\ \text{min } \pm SE \\ 12.8 \pm 2.0 \\ 24 \pm 11 \\ 6.3 \pm 2.1 \\ 11.2 \pm 2.5 \\ 13.9 \pm 0.6 \\ 21.9 \pm 1.2 \\ 3.7 \pm 3.7 \\ 3.7 \pm 3.7 \\ 2.3 \pm 2.3 \\ 2.4 \pm 1.6 \\ 19.4 \pm 2.6 \\ 19.4 \pm 2.6 \\ 19.4 \pm 2.6 \\ 20.6 \pm 2.6 \\ 39 \pm 5 \\ 39 \pm 5 \\ \end{array} $	reversal of catalepsy: ED_{so} , $mg/kg \pm SE$, ip (mmol/kg $\pm SE$) 0.11 \pm 0.04 (3.6 \times 10 ⁻⁴ \pm 1.2 \times 10 ⁻⁴) 248 \pm 14 (1.37 \pm 0.08) 55 \pm 4 (0.22 \pm 0.03) 55 \pm 4 (0.12 \pm 0.01) 16.0 \pm 0.9 (0.058 \pm 0.003) 16.0 \pm 0.9 (0.058 \pm 0.003) 61 \pm 7 (0.23 \pm 0.03) 8.6 \pm 0.0030 \pm 0.003) 8.6 \pm 0.9 (0.030 \pm 0.003) 8.6 \pm 0.9 (0.030 \pm 0.002) 8.7 \pm 0.7 (0.022 \pm 0.002) 7.4 \pm 0.6 (0.026 \pm 0.002)	
	H CH,	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{CH}_{2}), ^{\mathrm{b}}\\ \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{CH}_{2}), ^{\mathrm{b}}\end{array}$	780 (0.161) 92.0 (0.261) 92.0 (0.304) 105.1 (0.348) 102.2 (0.348) 26.8 (0.087) 107.2 (0.348)	11.8 ± 1.8 5.2 ± 1.8 5.0 ± 1.9 ni 6.9 ± 1.1	7.0 ± 2.6 7.0 \pm 2.6 10.8 \pm 5.3 21.2 \pm 5.0	neg neg 101 ± 7 (0.31 ± 0.02)	

29	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	$C_6H_s(CH_2)_2$	29.2 (0.087)	$\begin{array}{c} 4.4 \pm 0.6 \\ 2.2 & 2.2 \\ 2.2 & 2.2 \end{array}$	19.8 ± 2.2	$7.4 \pm 0.9 \ (0.022 \pm 0.003)$
30 31	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂), C.H.(CH ₂).	C,H,(CH,), C,H,(CH,),	58.4 (0.174) 91.2 (0.261) 51.9 (0.131)	3.2 ± 0.6 nil nil	41.4 ± 1.7	
27	$n^{-}CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{2}$	C,H,CH,	28.0 (0.087)	5.2 ± 0.7	7.8 ± 2.2	$21 \pm 3 \ (0.065 \pm 0.008)$
96				5.7 ± 1.1	26.6 ± 6.1	
07	<i>n</i> -Un ₃ (Un ₂) ₂	Uens(Un2)3	30.4 (0.057) 60 9 /0171)	7.1 ± 0.7	0.4 ± 1.9	9.0 ± 1.3 (0.026 ± 0.004)
32	<i>n</i> -CH,(CH,),	cvclohexvlethvl	29.8(0.087)	3.0 ± 1.2 4.2 ± 0.4	14.1 ± 7.0	$18 \pm 2 \ (0.054 \pm 0.005)$
	111		44.6(0.131)	toxic		
49	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_2$	29.9 (0.087)	2.8 ± 0.4	17.0 ± 3.0	$1.6 \pm 0.1 \ (0.0046 \pm 0.0004)$
			59.8(0.174)	2.0 ± 0.4	31.5 ± 5.6	
33	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₂	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂)	27.3 (0.087)	2.8 ± 0.4	17.0 ± 2.8	$1.3 \pm 0.3 (0.0038 \pm 0.0008)$
		1	41.0(0.131)	2.0 ± 0.4	31.5 ± 5.6	
			54.6(0.174)	toxic		
34	n-CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₃	$n-CH_3(CH_2)_3$	32.3 (0.087)	1.0 ± 1.0	1.6 ± 1.6	$44.0 \pm 5.0 (0.12 \pm 0.01)$
			64.6(0.174)	5.3 ± 1.3	8.8 ± 2.3	
			96.9(0.261)	4.1 ± 0.6	13.7 ± 1.0	
^a See ref 3 for prepares See ref 1 for prepares	paration and physical chan ration and physical chara	racteristics. Maximum d cteristics. Onset and dur	ose used was 40% of the ation for conjugate curv	drug's LD _{so} . Le	sioned mouse res ted. ^c HI salt of	ults reported as negative or positive at this dose. 40 prepared and tested. d See ref 13 for
ь. ,				•		

Substituted Dopamine and Naphthalene Analogues

T

preparation and physical characteristics

q

behavioral effects, the degree of N-substitution, and the nature and the size of the N substituent.

All secondary DA analogues tested were found to be inactive when given intraperitoneally to lesioned mice (compounds 35, 38, 42, 45, and 47, Table VI). This was also shown to be true, with the exception of epinine, when these were tested for effects on renal blood flow in the pentobarbital-anesthetized dog.² This appears to be consistent to some extent with the findings of others. Thus, Cannon et al.¹³ have shown that N-Me- and Nn-Bu-5,6-ADTN and N-Me- and N-n-Pr-6,7-ADTN failed to induce stereotypy in mice when given subcutaneously but were active, with the exception of N-n-Bu-5,6-ADTN, when given intrastriatally. Also, McDermed et al.¹⁴ have shown that, in contrast to N-n-Pr-5,6-ADTN, N-n-hexyland N-Bzl-5,6-ADTN were similarly inactive when given subcutaneously to mice. Their results suggest that some of our N-monosubstituted compounds may be inactive because of their inability to cross the blood-brain barrier. Of the N,N-disubstituted DA analogues, the N-n-propyl N-substituted were, in general, the most effective in inducing asymmetric postures in caudectomized mice. Thus, in ranking them in decreasing order of potency according to the molar ED_{50} values for reversal of catalepsy and within the limits of accuracy of the method, compounds 44, 29, 28, and 46 were shown to be about equipotent, while 40 was slightly less potent than 44 and 29 but equipotent with 28 and 46. In general, 27, 32, and 23 were about two times less potent than the aforementioned ones, while 24 and 22, both with an N-ethyl substituent, were four to five times less potent than 44 and 29. In contrast, the Nmethyl N-substituted homologues showed little or no effect. Moreover, the symmetrically N,N-disubstituted DA analogues were found to be inactive as direct DA agonists, with the exception of 23. Although 26 did induce an asymmetric posture in lesioned mice when given in high doses (0.348 mmol/kg, ip), it failed to reverse catalepsy in reserpinized mice, suggesting that it may be an indirect DA agonist.

Because of its two asymmetric centers, 26 is a mixture of the d, l, and meso forms. It is not known at this time which form is the pharmacologically active one. Compound 31 unexpectedly induced dose-dependent tremors, followed by, apparently, myoclonic seizures in lesioned as well as in intact mice. The pharmacological properties of this compound are being further investigated in mice.

In the 6,7-ADTN series, compound 33 appears to be about equipotent with compound 49, both being homologues of N-substituted N-n-Pr-6,7-ADTN, and about 8 and 15 times more potent, respectively, than 40 and 23 of the DA analogues. On the other hand, 34, in which the length of the *n*-propyl substituent of 33 was increased by one carbon atom, was shown to be about 30 times less active than 33. This is consistent with our findings in the DA analogue series and parallels the findings of Kohli et al.^{15a,b} in the dog. Similarly, 44, 29, and 40 were rendered inactive by increasing the length of the N-n-propyl substituent to yield the corresponding compounds 25, 30, and 41. McDermed et al.¹⁴ have also shown in their structure-activity studies of N-substituted 5,6-ADTN derivatives that the N,N-di-n-Bu-5,6-ADTN was about 130 times less potent than N,N-di-n-Pr-5,6-ADTN. Cannon et al.¹³ have shown that compound 36 and 41 in the N,-N-disubstituted DA series were inactive when injected intrastriatally in the nucleus accumbens.

Increasing the alkyl chain of the *N*-phenethyl substituent of **29** by one carbon failed to change significantly its ED_{50} . In contrast, decreasing the alkyl chain by one carbon

(compound 27) increased the ED_{50} by a factor of about three but also produced a striking change in the behavioral effects in lesioned as well as in intact mice in comparison with those of other DA analogues (40 and 29). In addition to the ipsilateral asymmetric posture, 27 induced a continuous fast and tight circular movement ipsilateral to the lesion accompanied by occasional stereotypy, such as gnawing and/or biting. A comparison under controlled conditions of locomotor activity induced by 27 in intact mice with that of 40 in Opto-Varimex activity cages has shown that animals treated with 27 displayed higher motility. A comparative study of drug-induced locomotor activity is presently being continued with other DA analogues.

The mean latency to onset of postural effects in lesioned mice varied from 3 to 7 min for all the compounds assayed, with the exception of L-Dopa with a mean latency of 25 min. The latency to onset of reversal of catalepsy in reserpinized mice ranged from 4 to 10 min for all the DA analogues tested and about 18 min for L-Dopa.

APO and L-Dopa, a drug currently used in the treatment of parkinsonism,¹⁶ were used as reference compounds. APO was the most potent (ED₅₀ = $3.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mmol/kg}$) of the DA agonists in reversing reserpine-induced catalepsy. It was about 10 times more potent than 33, while L-Dopa (ED₅₀ = 1.3 mmol/kg) was found to be about 350 times less potent than 33. The longer onset to latency of behavioral effects for L-Dopa and its large ED_{50} may be attributed to its dependency on L-Dopa decarboxylase for its conversion to the effective metabolite dopamine.¹⁷ Large amounts of L-Dopa must be administered, unless a peripheral decarboxylase inhibitor is used, to overcome the metabolic attrition of the drug in the periphery.¹⁸ Unlike DA, 2-NH₂-6,7-ADTN, and 2-NH₂-5,6-ADTN, their N,-N-disubstituted derivatives cross the blood-brain barrier. As tertiary amines they are resistant to deamination by monoamine oxidase.¹⁹

Our results confirm and strengthen previous findings that the N-n-propyl substituent in a homologous series of DA analogues is a requirement for optimum dopaminomimetic action. Increasing the length of the propyl group by one carbon, the other N-substituent being either an alkyl group larger then n-propyl or a phenethyl group, abolishes dopaminergic effects or sharply reduces them as in the case of 34. One may speculate in the light of the evidence available that the N-n-propyl group, because of its optimum size and its hydrophobic nature, contributes favorably to the binding of these agonists to the receptor.

The availability of an array of structurally related DA analogues with dopaminomimetic properties makes it possible to test the notion that there is more than one type of DA receptor^{20a,b} and that stereotypy and locomotor activity may have different CNS loci.²¹ Moreover, they may be of potential use in the treatment of parkinsonism, offering the following advantages over L-Dopa: (1) their action is not dependent on the presence of L-Dopa decarboxylase which may be deficient in the brains of patients with parkinsonism, particularly ones in whom the disease has progressively destroyed a large number of their nigro-striatal pathways;²² (2) as tertiary amines they are poor substrates for MAO and, in contrast to DA, cross the blood-brain barrier.

Experimental Section

Uncorrected melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover apparatus. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian T-60 in CDCl₃ with (Me)₄Si as an internal standard. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 267 infrared spectrophotometer. Eastman chromatogram sheets (6060 silica gel with fluorescent indicator) were used. The following TLC solvent systems were used: (A) cyclohexane–EtOAc, 1:1; (B) 1:4; (C) 4:1; (D) CHCl₃–MeOH–AcOH, 17:2:1; (E) *n*-BuOH–H₂O–AcOH, 4:1:1; (F) C₆H₆–EtOAc, 4:1; (G) *n*-PrOH–H₂O, 89:28. Visualization was done with UV and/or I₂ vapors. Elemental analyses were done by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc.

Dimethylformamide (DMF) was fractionally distilled under reduced pressure and under anhydrous conditions after drying over KOH pellets. After discarding the forerun, the major fraction distilling within a range of 4 °C was collected and stored over 4Å molecular sieves and under N₂ in a tightly sealed brown bottle and kept under refrigeration. Prior to use, DMF was tested for the presence of dimethylamine with 3,4-dinitrofluorobenzene.²³ Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled over LiAlH₄ and stored over 4Å molecular sieves under refrigeration. The pyridine that was used was reagent grade and stored over 4Å molecular sieves.

¹H NMR spectra were obtained routinely for each of the preparations described below, and, unless otherwise stated, they were consistent with the structures of the compounds synthesized.

The following preparations are described as representative illustrations of synthetic Schemes I and II.

Carboxylic Acid Chloride 50. 3,4-Dimethoxyphenylacetyl chloride (50) was prepared as described elsewhere.¹ Hydrocinnamyl chloride [59–61 °C (0.26–0.28 mmHg)]²⁴ and cyclohexylacetyl chloride [68–72 °C (13 mmHg)] used in the corresponding preparations of *N*-*n*-propylhydrocinnamide and *Nn*-propyl- β -cyclohexylacetamide were prepared similarly.

N-Phenethylbutyramide (51). To a stirred solution of 100 mL of DMF and 21.8 g (0.18 mol) of 2-phenylethylamine was added dropwise 12.1 g (0.08 mol) of freshly distilled n-butyryl bromide under anhydrous conditions; the temperature was kept below 55 °C and then cooled to ice-water temperature. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel with water, followed by extraction with Et_2O (5 × 50 mL). The Et_2O extracts were combined and washed successively with water, aqueous 1 N HCl, aqueous 1 N NaOH, and finally with water to a neutral pH. The organic layer, after drying over anhydrous $MgSO_4$, yielded upon removal of the solvent 12.7 g (93%) of an oil: TLC (solvent systems A, B, and C) showed a single spot; IR (film) showed a strong band at 1640 cm⁻¹ (C=O stretch, secondary amide); the COCl stretching band was absent. The crude product was fractionated by a short-path distillation apparatus and a colorless liquid was collected at bp 129–133 °C (0.45–0.50 mmHg) [lit. 155-160 °C (0.8 mmHg);²⁵ 187 °C (12 mmHg)²⁶].

N-n-Butyl-N-2-phenylethylamine (52). To a stirred solution of 130 mL of B_2H_6 -THF (1.0 M) was carefully added, at below 20 °C and under anhydrous conditions, 9.9 g of 51 (0.135 mol) dissolved in 30 mL of THF. The reaction was carried out under N_2 . After refluxing for 1.5 h, the major portion of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the liquid residue was refluxed with 80 mL of 6 N HCl-MeOH for 0.5 h. The solvent was removed and the acid residue was extracted with ether several times. The Et_2O extracts were combined and evaporated, and the oil residue was treated again as above with HCl-MeOH. The remaining aqueous phase was combined with aqueous NaOH, followed by extraction of the oily precipitate with Et_2O (4 × 40 mL). The combined ether extracts were washed with water to a neutral pH and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, followed by the evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure. The oily residue was dried to constant weight under high vacuum to give 8.0 g (89.9%): TLC (solvent systems A, B, and C) showed one major spot and a minor one. Because short-path fractional distillation failed to effect the desired degree of purification, the crude product was converted into its hydrochloride salt in Et₂O saturated with HCl and crystallized from absolute EtOH-Et₂O, yielding colorless crystals weighing 5.65 g (79%), mp 160–161 °C.

N-n-Butyl-N-phenethyl- β -(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acetamide (53). To a stirred solution of 4.6 g (0.026 mol) of 52, 2.6 g (0.033 mol) of pyridine, and 45 mL of DMF was added slowly 5.2 g (0.024 mol) of freshly distilled 50 under anhydrous conditions with temperature maintained below 50 °C. After heating the reaction mixture at 55 °C for 1 h, it was diluted with 60 mL of water, and the product was extracted with Et₂O in a manner similarly described for the extraction of 51. The fractionation of the crude product by a short-path distillation apparatus yielded 5.7 g (67%) of a colorless liquid: bp 204-206 °C (0.20 mmHg);

Substituted Dopamine and Naphthalene Analogues

TLC (solvent systems A, B, and C) showed only one spot; IR (film) 1640 cm⁻¹ (C=O stretch, tertiary amide), NH and COCl stretching bands were completely absent.

N-n-Butyl-N-phenethyl- β -(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamine (13). 13 was prepared in the same manner as 52: yield (a viscous liquid) 2.7 g (75%); TLC (solvent systems A, B, and C) showed only one spot. The HCl salt, a viscous liquid, could not be crystallized.

N, N-Bis(phenylethyl)- β -(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethylamine (14). To a stirred solution of 20.4 g (0.15 mol) of phenylacetic acid and 45 mL of dry C_6H_6 was added over a period of 25 min 1.89 g (0.05 mol) of NaBH₄ under anhydrous conditions; temperature was maintained at 10-15 °C. One hour after the addition of NaBH₄, a solution of 1.9 g (0.01 mol) of 3,4-dimethoxyphenylethylamine and 5 mL of dry benzene was added and refluxed for 19 h. The cooled reaction solution was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with 2 N NaOH (3 \times 40 mL). The aqueous phase was discarded. The organic phase was then extracted with aqueous 1 N HCl $(3 \times 40 \text{ mL})$. The extracts and the oily precipitate were combined and made basic with aqueous NaOH and then extracted with ether $(4 \times 40 \text{ mL})$. The Et₂O extracts were combined and washed with water to a neutral pH. Removal of the Et₂O under reduced pressure, after drying over anhydrous MgSO₄, yielded 1.98 g (51%) of an oil which gradually crystallized as small colorless needles, mp 45-46 °C. Recrystallization from petroleum ether (bp 35.8-36.8 °C) twice, yielded 1.6 g: mp 46-47 °C; TLC (solvent systems D, F, and J) yielded one spot. Anal. (C₂₆H₃₁NO₂) C, H, N. The hydrochloride salt yielded material with no definite melting point. The picrate salt, mp 133-134 °C, was prepared from a saturated solution of picric acid in EtOH-Et₂O.

N-n-Butyl-2-amino-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene Hydrochloride (19). To a solution of 1.85 g (0.009 mol) of 6,7-dimethoxy-2-tetralone in 40 mL of dry C₆H₆ was added 2 g of n-C₄H₉NH₂ and 2 g of anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The mixture was stirred under N₂ for 18 h and filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The resulting oil was dissolved in 45 mL of absolute EtOH and shaken over 150 mg of 5% Pt/C at 50 psig of H₂ until absorption ceased (about 2 h). The reduction mixture was filtered, acidified with 5% HCl-EtOH, and concentrated. Dilution of the liquid residue with Et₂O or EtOAc afforded the crystalline HCl salt of the secondary amine: yield 77%; mp 221-222 °C.

 $N \cdot n$ -Propyl- $N \cdot n$ -butyl-2-amino-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4tetrahydronaphthalene Hydrochloride (21). To a solution of 5.13 g (0.069 mol) of propionic acid in 100 mL of dry C₆H₆ was added, in three portions, 0.80 g (0.021 mol) of NaBH₄ while the temperature was kept below 20 °C. After H₂ evolution had ceased (ca. 1 h), a solution of 1.29 g (4.8 mmol) of the free base of 19 in 15 mL of dry C₆H₆ was added all at once. The mixture was refluxed under N₂ for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled and the C₆H₆ solution was successively washed with 5% NaOH and saturated NaCl and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After filtration, the solvent was removed, and the oily residue was taken up into EtOH, acidified with 5% HCl–EtOH, and Et₂O was added. The solution was chilled at 5 °C overnight, and the salt was collected by filtration and dried to yield 1.32 g (80%) of the tertiary amine, mp 150 °C.

Demethylation of the *O*-**Methyl Derivatives.** The ether cleavage of N-substituted *O*-methyldopamine derivatives was accomplished by reflux in 57% HI and acetic anhydride^{1,27} for 0.75–1.0 h and the *O*-methyl derivatives of 6,7-ADTN by reflux in 48% HBr⁸ for 1.0 h.

Tests with Caudectomized Mice (Table VI). A total of 18 Swiss albino, Hale–Stoner male mice were used for each compound tested, with 5- to 6-day intervals elapsing before the same ones were retested. Animals were selected and injected intraperitoneally at random in groups of six. The mean and SE of the onset and duration of the asymmetric posture induced by each compound in a total of six animals were calculated. The maximum injected dose was 0.348 mmol/kg. All compounds tested were dissolved in normal saline (0.9%) with total volumes of injection equal to 0.4–0.6 mL. APO and L-Dopa were used as reference compounds.

Test with Reserpinized Mice. Swiss albino, Charles River

(CD 1) male mice, 5 weeks old, were injected with reserpine (5 mg/kg, ip) and 17 h later with α -MTM (250 mg/kg, ip), 4 h prior to the injection of the test compound. To determine the ED₅₀ value for each compound tested, a minimum of four dose levels were used, and for each dose at least eight mice were treated. For each compound tested, the percent of animals with a positive reaction was plotted against its corresponding dose on logarithmic probit graph paper.²⁶ Reserpine was dissolved in a minimum amount of glacial acetic acid and then diluted with water; final pH 3.0.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant NS 12776).

References and Notes

- J. Z. Ginos, G. C. Cotzias, and D. Doroski, J. Med. Chem., 21, 160 (1978).
- (2) J. Z. Ginos, J. D. Kohli, and L. I. Goldberg, Fed. Proc., Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol., 3, 683 (1978).
- (3) J. Z. Ginos, G. C. Cotzias, E. Tolosa, L. C. Tang, and A. LoMonte, J. Med. Chem., 18, 1194 (1975).
- (4) J. G. Cannon, F.-L. Hsu, J. P. Long, and J. R. Flynn, B. Costall, and R. J. Naylor, J. Med. Chem., 21, 248 (1978).
- (5) N.-E. Anden, H. Corrodi, and K. Fuxe, in "Metabolism of Amines in the Brain", G. Hooper Ed., MacMillan, London, 1969, pp 38-47.
- (6) S. D. Iversen, Handb. Psychopharmacol., 8, 333-344 (1977).
- (7) P. Marchini, G. Liso, A. Reho, F. Liberatore, and F. M. Moracci, *J. Org. Chem.*, 40, 3453 (1975).
 (8) J. G. Cannon, T. Lee, H. D. Goldman, B. Costall, and R.
- (8) J. G. Cannon, T. Lee, H. D. Goldman, B. Costall, and R. J. Naylor, J. Med. Chem., 20, 1111 (1977).
- (9) V. Lotti, Life Sci., 10 (1), 781 (1974).
- (10) A. M. Johnson, D. M. Loew, and J. M. Vigouret, Br. J. Pharmacol., 56, 59 (1976).
- (11) D. H. Tedeschi, R. E. Tedeschi, and E. J. Fellows, "Extrapyramidal System and Neuroleptics", J. M. Bordeleau, Ed., Editions Psychiatriques, Montreal, 1961, p 113.
- (12) (a) M. Besson, A. Cheramy, P. Feltz, and J. Glowinski, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 62, 741 (1969); (b) J. T. Coyle and S. H. Snyder, Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 170, 221 (1969).
- (13) J. G. Cannon, B. Costall, P. M. Laduron, J. E. Leysen, and R. J. Naylor, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 27, 1417 (1978).
- (14) J. D. McDermed, G. M. McKenzie, and A. P. Phillips, J. Med. Chem., 18, 362 (1975).
- (15) (a) J. D. Kohli, L. I. Goldberg, and D. E. Nichols, Eur. J. Pharmacol., in press (1979); (b) J. D. Kohli, D. E. Nichols, J. Z. Ginos, and L. I. Goldberg, 7th Int. Pharmacol. Congr., 7th, 1978.
- (16) G. C. Cotzias, M. H. Van Woert, and L. Schiffer, N. Engl. J. Med., 276, 374 (1967).
- (17) T. L. Sourkes, Pharmacol. Rev., 18, 53 (1966).
- (18) G. Bartholini and A. Pletscher, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 21, 323 (1961).
- (19) G. C. Cotzias, L. C. Tang, and J. Z. Ginos, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 71, 2715 (1974).
- (20) (a) A. R. Cools and J. M. van Rossum, *Psychomarmacologia*, 45, 243 (1976); (b) L. J. Thal, M. H. Makman, H. S. Ahn, R. K. Mishra, S. G. Horowitz, B. Dvorkin, and R. Katzman, *Life Sci.*, 23, 629 (1978).
- (21) B. Costall, R. J. Naylor, J. G. Cannon, and T. Lee, Eur. J. Pharmacol., 41, 307 (1977).
- (22) R. Kartzinel, P. Teychenne, M. M. Gillespie, M. Perlow, A. C. Gielen, D. A. Sadowsky, and D. B. Calne, *Lancet*, 2, 272 (1976).
- (23) J. M. Stewart and J. D. Young, "Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis", Freeman, San Francisco, 1969, p 31.
 (24) H. Bergs, C. Wettfeld, and H. Frank, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.
- (24) H. Bergs, C. Wettfeld, and H. Frank, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. A, 67, 1617 (1934).
- (25) J. G. Cannon and G. L. Webster, J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., Sci. Ed., 47, 353 (1958).
- (26) A. Marie and A. Barbier, Lab. Bellevue, 32, 319 (1955).
- (27) J. L. Neumeyer, B. R. Neustadt, and K. K. Weinhardt, J. Pharm. Sci., 59, 1950 (1970).
- (28) L. C. Miller and M. L. Tainter, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 57, 261 (1944).