Cite this: Green Chem., 2011, 13, 326

www.rsc.org/greenchem



Synthesis of sugar alcohols by hydrolytic hydrogenation of cellulose over supported metal catalysts[†]

Hirokazu Kobayashi,^a Yukiko Ito,^a Tasuku Komanoya,^{a,b} Yuto Hosaka,^{a,b} Paresh L. Dhepe,^{*}₊^a Koji Kasai,^a Kenji Hara^a and Atsushi Fukuoka^{*a}

Received 10th October 2010, Accepted 15th November 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0gc00666a

Cellulose is converted into sorbitol and related sugar compounds over water-tolerant and durable carbon-supported Pt catalysts under aqueous hydrogenation conditions. Pre-treatment of cellulose with ball-milling effectively reduces the crystallinity and particle size of cellulose, which results in high conversion of cellulose to sorbitol and mannitol. The selectivity of sorbitol increases by using Cl-free metal precursors in the catalyst preparation as residual Cl on the catalysts promotes the side-reactions. The transformation of cellulose to sorbitol consists of the hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose *via* water-soluble oligosaccharides and the successive hydrogenation of glucose to sorbitol. The hydrolysis of cellulose is the rate-determining step, and the Pt catalysts promote both the hydrolysis and the hydrogenation steps.

Introduction

Catalytic conversion of biomass to fuels and chemicals has attracted great attention as one of the future technologies for inhibiting the global warming and for building up the lowcarbon society.¹⁻³ In the photosynthesis reaction, a huge amount of carbohydrates and oxygen are produced from carbon dioxide and water in plants using sunlight, and the plant biomass is a potentially abundant and renewable resource. Recently, the use of edible biomass for producing bioethanol has resulted in a competition between food and fuel, and this lesson has given us a consensus that we should use non-food biomass is lignocellulose in cell walls of plants. Lignocellulose is composed of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, in which cellulose is a main component in woods.⁴ Therefore, the first target among non-food biomass is cellulose.⁵

Cellulose is a polymer of D-glucose, and starch is also a polymer of glucose. They have different properties derived from the contrastive configuration of C–O bonding in polymer chains.

In amylose of starch, glucose units are linked by α -1,4-glycosidic bonds, while in cellulose they are joined together through β -1,4-glycosidic bonds (Fig. 1). Although both polymers form crystalline structures with extensive intra- and inter-molecular hydrogen bonds, those of amylose are relatively weak, and amylose is gelatinised in hot water. On the other hand, those of cellulose are remarkably more rigid and impenetrable even by hot-compressed water at <590 K.⁵⁶ As a result, cellulose is greatly more resistant to depolymerisation than starch.



Fig. 1 Structures of cellulose and amylose.

An extensive number of works have been devoted to the degradation of cellulose using enzymes, mineral acids and

^aCatalysis Research Centre, Hokkaido University, Kita 21 Nishi 10, Kita-ku, Sapporo, 001-0021, Japan.

E-mail: fukuoka@cat.hokudai.ac.jp; Fax: +81 11 706 9139

^bDivision of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Graduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Kita 13 Nishi 8, Kita-ku, Sapporo, 060-8628, Japan

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Hydrogenation of sugars, yields of products and time course of temperature in Fig. 9, EDX analyses, curve fitting of the cellulose conversion to determine $k_{\rm G}$. See DOI: 10.1039/c0gc00666a

[‡] Present address: National Chemical Laboratory, Pune 411008, India

supercritical water,^{4,7–9} but these methods have drawbacks such as slow reaction rate, difficult separation of products and catalysts, corrosion hazards, harsh conditions and generation of large amount of neutralisation waste. Heterogeneous catalysis has advantages to overcome these drawbacks. We reported the first catalytic degradation of cellulose into sugar alcohols such as sorbitol and mannitol by supported metal catalysts under hydrogenolysis conditions.¹⁰ The sugar alcohols are useful precursors for the production of plastics and pharmaceuticals. Since then, cellulose conversion by heterogeneous catalysis has rapidly developed for the synthesis of sugar alcohols,¹¹ ethylene glycol¹² and glucose.¹³ The hydrogenolysis reaction using supported metal catalysts has also been investigated on the conversion of lignin and its model compounds.¹⁴ However, there still remains a need to improve the productivity in the synthesis of sugar alcohols. In this work, we studied the several factors controlling the reactivity of cellulose and the catalytic activity to discuss the detail of the reaction mechanism.

Experimental

Catalyst preparation

Catalyst supports used in this study were as follows: carbon blacks BP2000 (Black Pearls 2000) and VULCAN XC72 were supplied from Cabot. Activated carbon denoted as AC (Norit SX Ultra) was purchased from Aldrich. Al₂O₃ (JRC-ALO-2) and ZrO₂ (JRC-ZRO-2) were obtained from Catalysis Society of Japan, and TiO₂ (P-25) from Degussa.

The supported metal catalysts prepared from Pt(NH₃)₂- $(NO_2)_2$, $Pt(NH_3)_4Cl_2$, H_2PtCl_6 , $Ru(NO)(NO_3)_3$ and $RuCl_3$ were denoted as Pt(N), Pt(Cl, N), Pt(Cl), Ru(N) and Ru(Cl), respectively. Other metal precursors used in this study were Rh(NO₃)₃, Ir(acac)₃ and $Pd(NO_3)_2$. The typical catalyst, $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ (Pt metal loading 2 wt%) was prepared by a conventional impregnation method as follows: Pt(NH₃)₂(NO₂)₂ aq. (0.202 mmol in 5 mL of water) was dropped into a mixture of carbon black BP2000 and water (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 16 h. After drying in vacuo, the solid was reduced with H₂ (30 mL min⁻¹) at 673 K for 2 h. In the preparation using oxide supports, the catalyst precursors were calcined in O₂ flow (30 mL min⁻¹) at 673 K before the H_2 -reduction, and in the impregnation of $Ir(acac)_3$, CH_2Cl_2 was used as the solvent. The catalyst was analysed by using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX; Shimadzu EDX-720), X-ray diffraction (XRD; Rigaku Miniflex, Cu-Kα radiation) and CO adsorption measurement (Quantachrome, ChemBET-3000).

Pre-treatment of cellulose

Cellulose was pre-treated using a ball-mill (Nitto Kagaku, ANZ-51S). Cellulose (Merck, Avicel microcrystalline, 10 g) was charged in a rotary ceramic container with ZrO_2 balls (1 kg, 1 cm diameter).¹⁵ The container was rotated at a speed of 60 rpm for 2 days (48 h) or 4 days (96 h). The ball-milled cellulose was characterised by XRD, cross polarisation/magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (CP/MAS NMR; Bruker MSL-400, ¹³C 100.6 MHz, rotation rate 4 kHz, external standard: glycine), optical microscope (Keyence VHX-1000) and laser diffraction (Nikkiso Microtrac MT3300EXII). The amount of

physisorbed water was determined by using a total organic carbon analyser (TOC; Shimadzu SSM-5000A) and weight loss in vacuum drying at 373 K, and both experiments indicated that the water content was 5.0 ± 1.0 wt% in the cellulose ball-milled for 2 days.

Hydrogenation of cellulose

The conversion of cellulose under H_2 pressure, denoted as hydrogenation of cellulose, was conducted in a stainless steel (SUS316) high-pressure reactor (OM Lab-Tech MMJ-100, 100 mL). The milled cellulose (324 mg, 1.90 mmol glucose units, containing 5.0 wt% physisorbed water), a supported Pt catalyst (195 mg, Pt 20 µg-atom) and water (40 mL) were charged in the reactor (molar ratio of glucose unit/Pt = 95), and then pressurised with H₂ of 5.0 MPa. The reactor was heated to 463 K and maintained at the temperature for 24 h with stirring at 600 rpm. Products were separated by centrifugation and decantation, and water-soluble products were analysed by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC; Shimadzu LC10-ATVP, refractive index detector). The columns used in this work were a Phenomenex Rezex RPM-Monosaccharide Pb++ column (\emptyset 7.8 × 300 mm, mobile phase: water 0.6 mL min⁻¹, 353 K) and a Shodex Sugar SH-1011 column (\emptyset 8 × 300 mm, mobile phase: water 0.5 mL min⁻¹, 323 K).

Results and discussion

Pre-treatment of cellulose

Cellulose has crystalline and amorphous parts in its structure. It is known that the amorphous region is first attacked by acids in the hydrolysis reaction¹⁶ as cellulose I_{α} crystal, which is a typical crystal phase of natural cellulose, has 8 hydrogen bonds for each glucose unit, while the number of those in amorphous cellulose is 5.3.¹⁷ Likewise, it has been reported that amorphous cellulose is hydrolysed more rapidly than crystalline one by enzyme mixtures,¹⁸ although different cellulase enzymes have different preferential reaction sites on cellulose.¹⁹ The conversion of the crystalline region into an amorphous one gives higher reactivity to cellulose. Various methods have been reported for the pre-treatment of cellulose to reduce the crystallinity, and in our study ball-milling was selected for this purpose. Fig. 2 depicts the change of XRD diffractions of the cellulose after the pre-treatment. The intensity of (002) diffraction at 22.5° clearly decreased by the treatment. The crystallinity index (CrI) of each cellulose was 81% (non-treated), 22% (2-day-milled) and 14% (4-day-milled), estimated from the intensity difference of the (002) diffraction and that of amorphous part.^{7a,20} The CrI of cellulose significantly lowered during the pre-treatment for 2 days, but further milling gave no improvement. Thus, we compared the non-treated and 2-day-milled cellulose in the further characterisation.

The *CrI* can also be estimated by solid-state NMR. Fig. 3 exhibits CP/MAS 13 C NMR spectra of non-treated and 2-daymilled cellulose. C1–C6 carbons of pyranose ring resonate at 60–110 ppm, and the peaks at 82–92 ppm are assigned to C4. The C4-peak is allowed to be divided into two parts; the lower-field peak is assigned to crystalline part and the higher-field one is derived from amorphous part.²¹ The *CrI* calculated from the



Fig. 3 CP/MAS ¹³C NMR of cellulose with or without the pretreatment. Crosses (×): crystalline part, filled circles (●): amorphous part.

120

80

δ/ppm

60

100

(002)

30

201°

Non-treated

2-Day-milled

4-Day-milled

50

40

2-Day-milled cellulose

area ratio of the two peaks were 65% for the non-treated cellulose and 10% for the 2-day-milled cellulose. These CrI values are similar to those determined by XRD. Accordingly, we confirmed that the crystallinity is effectively decreased by the ball-milling treatment.

Non-treated cellulose had various shapes as shown in Fig. 4(a). A typical dimension of needle-type one was $ca. 20 \times$ 50 µm and some parts were aggregated to make large secondary particles. After the ball-milling for 2 days, cellulose turned to smaller particles and their major part was aggregated (Fig. 4(b)).

The primary particles with spherical or ellipsoidal structures in the range of 2-10 µm were observed in a higher magnification image (Fig. 4(c)). The ball-milling treatment changes both the particle size and the morphology.

Fig. 5 shows the distribution of the secondary particle diameter of cellulose in water. We assume that this analysis reflects the valid specific surface area of cellulose to be contacted with bulk solid catalysts. The diameter range of the non-treated cellulose was 10-300 µm and the median diameter (the diameter that the cumulative frequency is 50%) was 67 μ m, which conforms to the particle diameter observed by the microscope. The distribution shifted lower and the median diameter diminished to $42 \,\mu m$ by the pre-treatment for 2 days. Although the ball-milled cellulose is rather aggregated in water as the secondary particles are oneorder of magnitude larger than the primary particles, the size is still smaller than that of non-treated cellulose.



Fig. 5 Distribution of the particle diameter of cellulose in water. Crosses (×) and dashed line: non-treated cellulose. Circles (●) and solid line: 2-day-milled cellulose.

From these results, it is demonstrated that the ball-milling treatment decreases the CrI and the particle size of cellulose, thus enabling us to expect the improvement of the reactivity of cellulose.

Catalytic conversion of cellulose

Effect of the cellulose pre-treatment. The reactivity of cellulose with or without the pre-treatment was compared in the hydrogenation reaction. For this purpose, we used $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst in this experiment because of the highest activity and good durability among the catalysts used in this work (see Optimisation of catalysts). Table 1 summarises the results of the



Fig. 4 Optical microscope images of (a) non-treated cellulose and (b, c) cellulose ball-milled for 2 days.

Table 1	Degradation	of cellulose	with H ₂	by supported	metal catalysts ^a
---------	-------------	--------------	---------------------	--------------	------------------------------

		Yield based on carbon/%						
Entry	Catalyst	Sorbitol	Mannitol	Sum of sorbitol and mannitol	1,4-Sorbitan	C ₂ –C ₃ polyols ^b	Glucose	Cellulose conversion ^c /%
1	$Pt_{(Cl)}/Al_2O_3$	31.6	6.6	38.2 (43)	15.8	8.3	N.d.	89.2
2	$Pt_{(Cl, N)}/Al_2O_3$	36.7	7.7	44.4	7.3	9.2	N.d.	N.d.
3	$Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$	46.0	4.8	50.8 (70)	3.0	0.8	0.2	72.3
4^d	$Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$	35.2	8.2	43.4 (55)	1.2	0.6	0.5	78.9
5 ^d	$Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$	45.1	8.1	53.2 (53)	1.5	2.0	0.7	101
6 ^e	$Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$	37.6	9.6	47.2 (48)	13.6	3.7	0.7	98.0
7 <i>f</i>	Pt _(N) /BP2000	38.8	4.3	43.1 (66)	5.3	0.9	0	65.6
8	Pt _(N) /BP2000	48.7	9.0	57.7 (70)	1.9	1.5	0.1	81.9
9^d	Pt _(N) /BP2000	52.5	11.0	63.5 (63)	2.2	1.8	0.1	101
10^{d}	Pt _(N) /BP2000	52.7	12.1	64.8 (64)	3.0	2.0	0.1	101
11^{g}	Pt _(N) /BP2000	53.4	6.3	59.7 (65)	10.4	1.0	0	92.3
12	$Pt_{(N)}/XC72$	44.3	11.1	55.4 (63)	1.9	1.4	0	87.8
13 ^d	$Pt_{(N)}/XC72$	41.9	9.7	51.6 (59)	1.3	1.7	0	86.9
14	$Pt_{(N)}/AC$	16.8	5.3	22.1 (29)	0	4.3	0.2	75.3
15	$Pt_{(N)}/TiO_2$	20.0	6.2	26.2 (33)	1.3	1.6	0	78.8
16	$Pt_{(N)}/ZrO_2$	35.7	10.0	45.7 (62)	2.7	1.7	0	74.1
17 ^d	$Pt_{(N)}/ZrO_2$	36.1	9.1	45.2 (56)	1.9	0.7	0.2	80.6
18	$Ru_{(CI)}/Al_2O_3$	32.5	5.4	37.9 (40)	15.9	7.8	N.d.	95.5
19	$Ru_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$	53.2	4.1	57.3 (71)	3.2	0.5	0.1	81.1
20	Ru _(N) /BP2000	36.9	12.7	49.6 (57)	1.9	0.5	0.1	86.4
21	Rh/BP2000	5.2	3.2	8.4 (10)	0.3	6.2	0.5	86.8
22	Ir/BP2000	20.7	2.3	23.0 (30)	0.9	8.9	0.3	77.3
23	Pd/BP2000	0.3	0.2	0.5 (1)	0.1	2.2	0.3	76.6

^{*a*} Reaction conditions; cellulose 324 mg (ball-milled for 2 days), catalyst 20 μ g-atom-metal (metal 2 wt%), water 40 mL, reaction time 24 h, *T* = 463 K, *P*(H₂) = 5.0 MPa at r.t. Selectivity based on the substrate conversion is shown in parenthesis. ^{*b*} Sum of glycerine, propylene glycol and ethylene glycol. ^{*c*} Based on the loss of weight during the reaction. ^{*d*} The reuse experiment using the residue of the experiment of the previous entry number and fresh cellulose of 324 mg. The conversion and yields are based on the fresh cellulose. ^{*c*} HCl of 59 µmol was added. ^{*f*} Cellulose was used without the pre-treatment. ^{*s*} 4-Day-milled cellulose was used.

hydrogenation under pressurised H₂ conditions at 463 K. The major products were sugar alcohols (sorbitol 39% and mannitol 4%, total 43%, entry 7) and turnover number (TON) based on bulk Pt for the production of the sugar alcohols was 42. The conversion of the non-treated cellulose, determined by the weight loss in the reaction, was 66%. Therefore, the selectivity for the sugar alcohols based on the converted cellulose was 66%. Other identified products were 1,4-sorbitan, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol and glycerine. By ball-milling cellulose for 2 days, the conversion of cellulose was raised from 66% to 82%, and the total yield of the sugar alcohols reached 58% with 70% selectivity and 54 TON of Pt (entry 8). From these results, it is clearly shown that the reduction of the CrI and the particle size of cellulose by the ball-milling treatment enhances the reactivity of cellulose. No further improvement was observed for the 4day-milled cellulose (60% yield, entry 11) as suggested in the XRD measurement shown in Fig. 2.

Accordingly, we conclude that the 2-day-ball-milling is effective for the pre-treatment of cellulose under our catalytic conditions, and hereafter this pre-treatment is used as the standard method.

Optimisation of catalysts. In the previous report, we used $Pt_{(CI)}/Al_2O_3$ and $Ru_{(CI)}/Al_2O_3$ catalysts for the hydrogenation of non-treated cellulose; however, the yields of the sugar alcohols (sorbitol 25%, mannitol 6%) need to be improved.¹⁰ It is known that a small amount of Cl on supported metal catalysts may affect the hydrogenation activity,²² which prompted us to study

the effect of Cl in catalyst precursors on the conversion of the pretreated cellulose. In this work, we used a Cl-free alumina support (JRC-ALO-2). Pt_(Cl)/Al₂O₃ gave sorbitol (32%) and mannitol (6.6%), and the conversion of cellulose was 89% (Table 1, entry 1). The selectivity for the sugar alcohols based on the conversion was 43%. Large amounts of 1,4-sorbitan and C-C and/or C-O dissociated compounds such as ethylene glycol and propylene glycol were also generated (total 50% yield). The yield of the sugar alcohols was improved to 44% by using a less Clcontaining precursor [Pt(NH₃)₄Cl₂, entry 2] and to 51% by a Clfree precursor $[Pt(NH_3)_2(NO_2)_2, entry 3]$. In the latter case, 1,4sorbitan and other by-products significantly decreased, thereby raising the selectivity for the sugar alcohols up to 70% (entry 3). Ru catalysts also showed the same tendency; $Ru_{(C)}/Al_2O_3$ prepared from RuCl₃ produced the sugar alcohols in 38% yield and 40% selectivity (entry 18), whereas Ru_(N)/Al₂O₃ provided 57% yield and 71% selectivity (entry 19). These results indicate that Cl-free precursors are suitable for the transformation of cellulose to sugar alcohols.

We performed EDX analysis of the Pt catalysts to determine the content of Cl (Fig. S1†). Residual Cl in $Pt_{(Cl)}/Al_2O_3$ was 1.1 wt%, but Cl in $Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$ was less than 0.01 wt%. Fig. (6b, c) shows the XRD patterns of these catalysts, and both catalysts showed the diffraction lines of γ -Al₂O₃ with no distinct peaks of Pt, indicating that Pt is highly dispersed. The mean diameters of Pt particles, determined from CO-uptake, were 3.3 nm for $Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$ and 4.5 nm for $Pt_{(Cl)}/Al_2O_3$, respectively, which represents that the structural properties of the catalysts



Fig. 6 XRD patterns of (a) Al_2O_3 , (b) $Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$, (c) $Pt_{(C)}/Al_2O_3$, and (d) $Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$ after the hydrogenation of cellulose. Circles (\bullet): γ -Al₂O₃, triangles (\blacktriangle): boehmite.

are analogous. Therefore, a clear difference is the content of Cl, and the residual Cl may adsorb on the metal surface to cause the C–C and C–O cleavage reactions by inhibiting the selective hydrogenation sites and/or promoting the hydrogenolysis reactions. In addition, since the yield of 1,4-sorbitan, which is generated by the cyclodehydration of sorbitol, increased with the Cl-content in the catalyst precursors, another possible function of Cl is to accelerate acid-catalysed reactions by the formation of HCl or AlCl_x species. In fact, the addition of HCl (Cl 1.1 wt%) to $Pt_{(N)}/Al_2O_3$, which corresponded to the same Cl amount as that contained in $Pt_{(Cl)}/Al_2O_3$ (entry 1), reduced the selectivity for the sugar alcohols (48%) and increased the formation of byproducts like 1,4-sorbitan (entry 6). It is thus shown that the use of Cl-free precursors is one of the key factors to gain higher yields of sugar alcohols.

The durability of Pt_(N)/Al₂O₃ catalyst was tested in reuse experiments; the catalyst was reused after drying at 383 K by adding fresh cellulose of 324 mg, where the yields and the conversion were calculated based on the fresh cellulose. Total yields of the sugar alcohols in the reuse experiments were 43% (2nd run, entry 4) and 53% (3rd run, entry 5), which were comparable to that in the first run (51%). The conversion of cellulose increased from 72% to 101% in the reuses because of the consumption of remaining cellulose in the previous runs. Therefore, the selectivity for the sugar alcohols decreased from 70% to 53%, indicating the reduction of the hydrogenation activity of the catalyst. In the XRD measurement of the spent catalyst after the first reaction, diffraction patterns of γ -Al₂O₃ completely disappeared, and sharp peaks of AlO(OH) (boehmite) were observed (Fig. 6(d)). The reduction of the catalytic activity is raised by the hydration of the support, perhaps causing burial of some Pt particles by the morphology change.

We carried out the screening of water-tolerant supports such as carbons, TiO_2 and ZrO_2 (entries 8–10, 12–17). Among these supports, a carbon black BP2000 was found to provide the highest catalytic activity and good durability; the yields of the sugar alcohols in the reuse tests were 58%, 64% and 65%, respectively, and the total TON of Pt was 175 (entries 8–10). Furthermore, no change was seen for the XRD patterns of



Fig. 7 XRD patterns of (a) BP2000, (b) $P_{t_{(N)}}/BP2000$ catalyst before the hydrogenation of cellulose, and (c) $P_{t_{(N)}}/BP2000$ after the reaction. Circles: Pt metal.

 $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst before and after the reaction [Fig. 7(b,c)], and the mean Pt diameters calculated with Scherrer's equation were both 2.6 nm. These results indicate the water-tolerant property of this catalyst. Other supports such as XC72 and ZrO₂ were less effective than BP2000, and AC and TiO₂ were not suitable as the support (entries 12–17). It is therefore concluded that BP2000 is a useful support for Pt to produce the sugar alcohols in water. BP2000-supported Ru, Rh, Ir and Pd catalysts were prepared from Cl-free precursors, but they were less active than the Pt catalyst (entries 20–23). This finding led us to choose $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ as the typical catalyst to study the kinetics of this reaction.

Mechanistic study using $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst. Fig. 8(a) shows the time course of the hydrogenation of cellulose using $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst. The "reaction time" denotes the duration time at 463 K with excluding the heating and the cooling time (Fig. S2†), thereby showing that the reaction slightly proceeded even at 0 h. The amount of cellulose gradually decreased with the reaction time and reached 14% at 16 h. Although the conversion rate of cellulose is not expressed by a simple kinetic equation, we applied the first-order approximation in eqn (1) to evaluate the reaction rate, where k_c is the pseudo first-order rate constant.²³ Eqn (1) is transformed to an integral form as eqn (2), where X is the conversion of cellulose. The plot showed good linearity in the first 6 h (7–76% conversion), and k_c was determined to be 0.21 h⁻¹ [Fig. 8(b)].

$$d[Cellulose]/dt = -k_{C}[Cellulose]$$
(1)

$$\ln([\text{Cellulose}]/[\text{Cellulose}]_0) = \ln(1-X) = -k_C t \qquad (2)$$

In focusing on the products, glucose was formed in 6.7% yield at 0.2 h as a major product [Fig. 8(a) inset], whereas watersoluble oligosaccharides were negligible (less than 1% yield in total, data not shown). The yield of glucose decreased to 0.4%at 16 h, while that of sorbitol smoothly increased after a short induction period and reached 45% at 16 h. These facts show that the conversion of cellulose to sorbitol consists of the hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose *via* water-soluble oligosaccharides, which is quickly hydrolysed to glucose, and the hydrogenation of glucose to sorbitol (Scheme 1 steps A–C). The maximum yield





Fig. 8 (a) Time course of the hydrogenation of cellulose by $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst and (b) the first-order plot of the remaining cellulose. The inset shows the initial period of 2 h. Reaction conditions: cellulose 324 mg (1.9 mmol, 2-day-milled), $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ 195 mg (Pt 20 µg-atom), water 40 mL, $P(H_2)$ 5.0 MPa at r.t., T = 463 K. Each plot is the result of each batch reaction with different reaction times.



Scheme 1 Reaction pathway of the hydrogenation of cellulose using $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst.

of glucose was only 6.7%, indicating that the hydrogenation of glucose is faster than the formation of glucose. To discuss the reaction rates of these steps quantitatively, we estimated the hydrogenation rate by using following hypothesis: glucose is hydrogenated by the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism with the adsorption of glucose and the dissociative adsorption of H_2 .²⁴ Assuming a pseudo first-order reaction (eqn (3)) because of the sufficiently low concentration of glucose (<4 mM) and the large excess amount of H_2 , the rate constant (k_G) was calculated to be 2.8 h⁻¹ by the curve fitting using the experimental results (Fig. S3†), which is 13 times higher than that of the hydrolysis step (0.21 h⁻¹). From these results, it is concluded that the ratedetermining step is the hydrolysis of cellulose, as expected.

$$d[\text{Sorbitol}]/dt = k_G[\text{Glucose}]$$
(3)

A small amount of mannitol formed along with sorbitol. We also investigated the formation route of mannitol by assuming two possible pathways: (1) the hydrogenation of fructose and/or mannose and (2) the isomerisation of sorbitol.

Regarding the first hypothesis, small amounts of fructose (0.4%) and mannose (0.3%) were detected at 0.5 h, which were generated from glucose by Lobry de Bruyn and van Ekenstein transformation²⁵ (Scheme 2), but they decreased with reaction time. In our experiments, the hydrogenation of fructose proceeded 2.2 times more rapidly than that of mannose, determined by an initial rate method, and produced sorbitol and mannitol in a ratio of 1 : 1 by using Pt_(N)/BP2000 catalyst (Scheme 1 steps E, F, Table S1†). As to the second hypothesis, if the isomerisation of sorbitol to mannitol is the major pathway, the formation rate of mannitol is correlated with the concentration of sorbitol, but it is not the case in our reactions as shown in Fig. 8(a). Therefore, the main intermediate for mannitol formation can be ascribed to fructose (steps D, F).



Scheme 2 Lobry de Bruyn and van Ekenstein transformation of glucose.

Fig. 9(a) represents the time course of the cellulose degradation with no catalyst under H₂ pressure. The amount of cellulose smoothly decreased with the reaction time and the unreacted quantity was 29% at 16 h. It is proposed that H₃O⁺ from water catalyses the hydrolysis of cellulose under these reaction conditions,^{11a,11c,26} because the ionic product of water (pK_w) decreases with the temperature from 14.0 (298 K) to 11.3 (463 K) as shown in Fig. S2⁺.²⁷ Therefore, higher temperatures have an advantage to accelerate the hydrolysis of cellulose in the reaction without catalysts in terms of the concentration of H₃O⁺. The first-order plot of the remaining cellulose showed a linear line with a gradient of 0.082 h⁻¹ [Fig. 9(b)], which was lower than the rate constant of the reaction using the Pt catalyst (0.21 h⁻¹)

Table 2 Hydrolysis of cellulose under aerial conditions by $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst^{*a*}

		Yield base		
Entry	Catalyst	Glucose	Oligosaccharides ^b	Cellulose conv. ^c (%)
24	No catalyst	4.6	14.1	24.2
25	BP2000	4.7	12.3	18.5
26	Pt _(N) /BP2000	12.9	12.1	38.0

^{*a*} Reaction conditions; cellulose 324 mg (ball-milled for 4 days), catalyst 50 mg, water 40 mL, T = 503 K, reaction time <1 min,^{13e} under air. ^{*b*} Dimer-octamer. ^{*c*} Based on the loss of weight during the reaction.



Fig.9 (a) Time course of the degradation of cellulose under H₂ pressure by no catalysts and (b) the first-order plot of the remaining cellulose. Reaction conditions: cellulose 324 mg (1.9 mmol, 4-day-milled), water 40 mL, $P(H_2)$ 5.0 MPa at r.t., T = 463 K. Each plot is the result of each batch reaction with different reaction times.

in the conversion range of 10–70% (Fig. 8). These results suggest that $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ enhances the hydrolysis of cellulose; *i.e.*, the supported Pt catalyst could hydrolyse the glycosidic bonds, and other possibility might be that Pt generates protons by the heterolysis of H_2 .^{10,11c} As shown in Table 2, $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ catalyst gave a higher yield of glucose (13%) than BP2000 (5% yield) and no catalyst (5% yield) in the hydrolysis of cellulose without H_2 pressure under a rapid heating and cooling conditions at 503 K.²⁸ This result also indicates that $Pt_{(N)}/BP2000$ itself has the hydrolysis activity.

The reaction mixture without catalysts turned brownish with the reaction time, and black solids precipitated at ≥ 16 h, which is in contrast to the colourless solution in the reactions using the Pt catalyst. In the HPLC analysis of the solution, oligosaccharides were found as the principal products in the initial stage [Fig. 9(a), Scheme 3 Step A'], which is different from the reaction using the Pt catalyst. This result also supports that the Pt catalyst hydrolyses the glycosidic bonds. After the formation of the oligosaccharides, glucose was generated with large amounts



Scheme 3 Reaction pathway of the degradation of cellulose without catalysts.

of various isomerisation and dehydration by-products such as fructose, mannose, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), furfural, levoglucosan and organic acids, whose detail is shown in Table S2[†], because of high chemical reactivity of glucose and the reducing terminals of the oligosaccharides (steps B', G, H). Among the by-products, 5-HMF was obtained as a major component after passing 4 h in Fig. 9. No formation of the hydrogenated products shows that supported metal catalysts are essential for the reduction reactions.

Conclusions

To achieve the effective production of sorbitol and mannitol from cellulose, we studied the pre-treatment of cellulose and developed new catalysts for the hydrogenation of cellulose. The reduction of the CrI and the particle size of cellulose by ball-milling treatment for 2 days increased the reactivity and gave higher yields of the sugar alcohols with keeping the selectivity. Secondly, we found that Pt_(N) supported on a carbon black BP2000 is a selective and durable catalyst, compared to the previous Pt catalysts such as $Pt_{(C)}/Al_2O_3$. A key point is the Cl-free catalyst precursors, as residual Cl on the catalysts derived from precursors induces side-reactions such as the C-C cleavage and the dehydration. Another point is the water-tolerant supports which allow catalyst reuse with good durability. The hydrogenation of cellulose consists of the hydrolysis of cellulose to glucose via oligosaccharides and hydrogenation of glucose to sorbitol. The kinetic constant of each reaction was determined, which demonstrated that the Pt catalyst promotes both the hydrolysis and the hydrogenation steps and that the rate-determining step is the hydrolysis step.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI, 20226016) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

- G. W. Huber, S. Iborra and A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 4044–4098;
 A. Corma, S. Iborra and A. Velty, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2411–2502.
- 2 B. Kamm, P. R. Gruber and M. Kamm, *Biorefineries Industrial Processes and Products*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006.
- 3 J. N. Chheda, G. W. Huber and J. A. Dumesic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7164–7183.
- 4 Y. Sun and J. Cheng, Bioresour. Technol., 2002, 83, 1-11.

Notes and references

- 5 D. Klemm, B. Heublein, H.-P. Fink and A. Bohn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3358–3393.
- 6 S. Deguchi, K. Tsujii and K. Horikoshi, Chem. Commun., 2006, 3293-3295.
- 7 (a) Y.-H. P. Zhang and L. R. Lynd, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2004, 88, 797–824; (b) Y.-H. P. Zhang, J. Cui, L. R. Lynd and L. R. Kuang, *Biomacromolecules*, 2006, 7, 644–648.
- 8 R. Rinaldi and F. Schüth, *ChemSusChem*, 2009, **2**, 1096–1107; C. Li and Z. K. Zhao, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1847–1850; K. Shimizu, H. Furukawa, N. Kobayashi, Y. Itaya and A. Satsuma, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 1627–1632.
- 9 M. Sasaki, Z. Fang, Y. Fukushima, T. Adschiri and K. Arai, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **39**, 2883–2890.
- 10 A. Fukuoka and P. L. Dhepe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 5161–5163; P. L. Dhepe and A. Fukuoka, ChemSusChem, 2008, 1, 969–975.
- 11 (a) C. Luo, S. Wang and H. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 7636–7639; (b) W. Deng, X. Tan, W. Fang, Q. Zhang and Y. Wang, Catal. Lett., 2009, 133, 167–174; (c) V. Jollet, F. Chambon, F. Rataboul, A. Cabiac, C. Pinel, E. Guillon and N. Essayem, Green Chem., 2009, 11, 2052–2060; (d) S. Van, de Vyver, J. Geboers, M. Dusselier, H. Schepers, T. Vosch, L. Zhang, G. Van Tendeloo, P. A. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, ChemSusChem, 2010, 3, 698–701; (e) L. Ding, A. Wang, M. Zheng and T. Zhang, ChemSusChem, 2010, 3, 818–821.
- 12 N. Ji, T. Zhang, M. Zheng, A. Wang, H. Wang, X. Wang and J. G. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8510–8513; M. Zheng, A. Wang, N. Ji, J. Pang, X. Wang and T. Zhang, *ChemSusChem*, 2010, **3**, 63–66; Y. Zhang, A. Wang and T. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 862–864.
- 13 (a) S. Suganuma, K. Nakajima, M. Kitano, D. Yamaguchi, H. Kato, S. Hayashi and M. Hara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 12787-

12793; (*b*) D. Yamaguchi, M. Kitano, S. Suganuma, K. Nakajima, H. Kato and M. Hara, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 3181–3188; (*c*) A. Onda, T. Ochi and K. Yanagisawa, *Green Chem.*, 2008, **10**, 1033– 1037; (*d*) R. Rinaldi, R. Palkovits and F. Schüth, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8047–8050; (*e*) H. Kobayashi, T. Komanoya, K. Hara and A. Fukuoka, *ChemSusChem*, 2010, **3**, 440–443; (*f*) S. Van, de Vyver, L. Peng, J. Geboers, H. Schepers, F. de Clippel, C. J. Gommes, B. Goderis, P. A. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 1560–1563; (*g*) K. Matsumoto, H. Kobayashi, K. Ikeda, T. Komanoya, A. Fukuoka and S. Taguchi, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2010, DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2010.09.098.

- 14 N. Yan, C. Zhao, P. J. Dyson, C. Wang, L.-T. Liu and Y. Kou, *Chem-SusChem*, 2008, 1, 626–629; C. Zhao, Y. Kou, A. A. Lemonidou, X. Li and J. A. Lercher, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 3987–3990; J. Zakzeski, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, A. L. Jongerius and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 110, 3552–3599.
- 15 H. Zhao, J. H. Kwak, Y. Wang, J. A. Franz, J. M. White and J. E. Holladay, *Energy Fuels*, 2006, **20**, 807–811.
- 16 E. Kontturi and T. Vuorinen, Cellulose, 2009, 16, 65-74.
- 17 K. Mazeau and L. Heux, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2003, 107, 2394-2403.
- 18 M. Hall, P. Bansal, J. H. Lee, M. J. Realff and A. S. Bommarius, *FEBS J.*, 2010, 277, 1571–1582.
- 19 S. D. Mansfield, C. Mooney and J. N. Saddler, *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 1999, **15**, 804–816.
- 20 L. Segal, J. J. Creely, A. E. Martin and C. M. Conrad, *Text. Res. J.*, 1959, **29**, 786–794.
- 21 H. Kono, S. Yunoki, T. Shikano, M. Fujiwara, T. Erata and M. Takai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 7506–7511.
- 22 T. Nakamura, M. Ohshima, H. Kurokawa and H. Miura, *Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **39**, 62–63.
- 23 N. S. Mosier, C. M. Ladisch and M. R. Ladisch, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 2002, **79**, 610–618.
- 24 E. Crezee, B. W. Hoffer, R. J. Berger, M. Makkee, F. Kapteijn and J. A. Moulijn, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2003, **251**, 1–17.
- 25 J. C. Speck Jr., Adv. Carbohydr. Chem., 1958, 13, 63-103.
- 26 T. Minowa, F. Zhen and T. Ogi, J. Supercrit. Fluids, 1998, 13, 253-259.
- 27 W. L. Marshall and E. U. Franck, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1981, 10, 295–304.
- 28 The detail of the hydrolysis method is described in reference 13e.

Published on 13 December 2010 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C0GC00666A

Downloaded by University of Sussex on 24 September 2012