



Table I. Summary of Biochemical and Growth Studies with Rodent Neoplastic Cells in Vitro<sup>a</sup>

compd	IC <sub>50</sub> , nM (L1210 cells)	L1210 DHFR inhibn: K <sub>i</sub> , nM	membrane transport				
			influx: K <sub>m</sub> (K <sub>i</sub> ), μM				efflux: k, min <sup>-1</sup> L1210
			epithelial	S180	Ehrlich	L1210	
8a	2.2	0.003	422	3.5	4.2	3.2	0.26
8b	217	17.5	1294	1.8	1.3	5.6	0.89
8c	1.4	0.5	385	2.1	2.4	3.2	0.26
aminopterin	1.2	0.004			2.1	1.3	0.21
MTX	2.7	0.006	339	11.4	10.1	3.7	0.23

<sup>a</sup> The methods used in these studies have been described; see ref 3 and 9.

Table II. Summary of Data For S180 Ascites Tumor

compd	Rx (schedule)	Rx, <sup>b</sup> mg/kg	mice (no. × expts)	wt. at term., g ± SE	MST, days ± SE	ILS, %
	e2d × 5sc		5 × 2	25.5 ± 4.9	11.6 ± 1.2	
MTX		15	5 × 2	24.4 ± 3.1	21.4 ± 3.6	82
8c		288	3 × 2	22.4 ± 5.5	19.8 ± 5.9	67
	e1d × 5sc		5 × 2	27.5 ± 4.9	10.0 ± 1.2	
MTX		6	5 × 2	24.1 ± 5.6	17.8 ± 1.6	78
8c		36	3 × 2	23.9 ± 4.0	22.9 ± 5.1	129

<sup>a</sup> Methods are described in ref 9. <sup>b</sup> Approximate LD<sub>10</sub> dosage for MTX on both schedules and for 8c on a schedule of e1d × 5.

Table III. Summary of Therapy Data For L1210 Leukemia<sup>a</sup>

compd	Rx, <sup>b</sup> mg/kg	mice (no. × expts)	wt at term., g ± SE	MST, days ± SE	ILS, %
control		5 × 12	20.7 ± 1.6	6.8 ± 0.6	
MTX	15	5 × 12	19.8 ± 3.2	17.1 ± 1.9	+152
8a	288	3 × 2	21.3 ± 4.6	15.8 ± 2.4	133
8b	144	3 × 2	22.7 ± 0.1	8.1 ± 0.3	16
8c	288	3 × 3	22.3 ± 0.6	16.6 ± 3.1	143

<sup>a</sup> Methods used are described in ref 10. <sup>b</sup> e2d × 5 of approximate LD<sub>10</sub> sc dosage for MTX.

Table IV. Plasma Clearance of Folate Analogues in Mice<sup>a</sup>

compd <sup>b</sup>	plasma level, <sup>a</sup> mg/mL × 10 <sup>2</sup>						
	0.2 h	1 h	2 h	4 h	7 h	16 h	24 h
MTX	76	8.2	0.93	0.31	0.19	0.09	0.06
8a	54	5.1	0.37	0.16	0.13	0.05	0.03
8b	82	6.4	0.45	0.20	0.16	0.08	0.05
8c	68	3.2	0.12	0.05	0.03	0.01	

<sup>a</sup> Methods described in ref 10 and 11. <sup>b</sup> n = 2-4 mice per point (av ± 18% SE). <sup>c</sup> Dosage = 12 mg/kg sc.

ported data from membrane transport studies in L1210 cells showing that introduction of aralkyl substituents as well as small alkyl groupings at the 10-position of aminopterin results in only small reductions in influx.<sup>3</sup> The transport data for the propyl compound 8a, the propargyl compound 8c and methotrexate (MTX) listed in Table I are in keeping with those earlier results in that K<sub>m</sub> (K<sub>i</sub>) values are increased by only 2- to 3-fold compared with

aminopterin. (Test results from 8a included in the earlier study are repeated here for comparison with 8c.) The octyl compound 8b displayed a somewhat greater reduction in influx. The efflux of the compounds studied earlier bearing bulky aralkyl groupings was about the same as aminopterin, but 8b showed a distinct increase.

Results from the L1210 DHFR inhibition and L1210 cell growth inhibition studies (also listed in Table I) appear to correlate with respect to one another. Tighter binding to DHFR by 8a and 8c compared with 8b is reflected in more pronounced inhibition of cell growth.

All three of the new analogues (8a-c) are more effectively transported into S180 and Ehrlich cells (Table I) than MTX but are less effectively transported in epithelial cells of the gut—in the case of the octyl compound 8b only about one-fourth as well. Unfortunately, the more rapid efflux of 8b from L1210 cells, and probably other tumor cells, could offset any potential therapeutic advantage. Preliminary evidence, however, suggests that 8c may have a better therapeutic index than MTX against S180 (Table II). On the schedule employed, 8a and 8c appear to be as effective against L1210 in mice as MTX but at much higher dose levels (Table III). The octyl compound, on the other hand, is ineffective.

Although, as mentioned above, all three of these analogues showed somewhat lower transport into gut epithelial cells than MTX, the extremely low toxicity of these compounds in mice was unexpected. This can be partially explained by their more rapid plasma clearance (Table IV). In the case of 8b, ineffective binding to DHFR and more rapid efflux in normal tissue might be anticipated from the L1210 data, which also accounts for its lack of activity against L1210 cells in vitro and in vivo.

Table V. Summary of Bacterial Studies

compd	IC <sub>50</sub> , ng/mL				IC <sub>50</sub> , μM	
	<i>S. faecium</i> <sup>a</sup>		<i>L. casei</i> <sup>a</sup>		<i>L. casei</i> enzyme <sup>b</sup>	
	ATCC 8043	/MTX	ATCC 7469	/MTX	DHFR	TS
8b	0.41	670	0.017	>10 000	0.012	>80
8c	0.21	>10 000	0.014	>10 000	0.010	20
MTX	0.09	7 200	0.013	>10 000	0.012	75

<sup>a</sup> Methods described in ref 12. <sup>b</sup> See ref 13.

Table VI

no.	yield, %	mp, °C	mol formula
1a	62	oil <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>23</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S
2a	92	147	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S <sup>b</sup>
3a	100	oil <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>18</sub> ClNO <sub>3</sub> S
4a	81	oil <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>34</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> S
5a	61	65-67	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>28</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Used directly in the succeeding step. <sup>b</sup> Anal. C, H, N.

The bacterial data (Table V) contrasts with the leukemia data in that there is little difference in the inhibition of DHFR from *Lactobacillus casei* or in the IC<sub>50</sub> values for the growth of this bacterium. In the case of *Streptococcus faecium*, the IC<sub>50</sub> of **8c** is about twice that of MTX and one-half that of **8b**, but both compounds are effective. As might be expected, little activity was seen against the bacteria resistant to MTX, although the activity of **8b** against the MTX-resistant *S. faecium* is of some interest. Since compound **8c** was synthesized in the hope that it might be an effective inhibitor of both DHFR and TS, the relatively weak inhibition of TS exerted by **8c** was disappointing, although it is about four times as effective as MTX. The differential in IC<sub>50</sub> values for DHFR and TS (2000-fold) would indicate that inhibition of the latter enzyme plays little part in the growth-inhibiting properties of **8c**.

### Experimental Section

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (determined in Me<sub>2</sub>SO-*d*<sub>6</sub> with a Varian XL-100-15 spectrometer) and UV spectra (determined in 0.1 N HCl, pH 7 buffer, and 0.1 N NaOH with a Cary 17 spectrophotometer) obtained for final products **8a-c** were consistent with assigned structures. Analytical results indicated by element symbols were within ±0.4% of the theoretical values. Spectral determinations and some of the elemental analyses were performed in the Molecular Spectroscopy Section of Southern Research Institute under the direction of Dr. W. C. Coburn, Jr. Elemental analyses were also performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Knoxville, TN. Examinations of intermediates (including esters of final products) by TLC were performed on Analtech precoated (250 μm) silica gel G(F) plates. Examinations of final products by TLC were performed on Analtech Avicel F plates developed with standard pH 7 buffer solution (Sargent-Welch). Assays by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were done with equipment from Waters Associates (Model 6000A pump, U6K injector, differential UV detector monitored at 254 nm, 30 × 0.39 cm C<sub>18</sub> μBondapak column) using a reverse-phase system with a mobile phase of 15% MeCN in 0.1 M NaOAc, pH 3.6. Evaporations were performed with a rotary evaporator and a H<sub>2</sub>O aspirator, and products were dried in vacuo (<1 mm) at room temperature over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NaOH pellets. Final products **8a-c** were dried and then allowed to equilibrate with ambient conditions of the laboratory.

**Diethyl N-[4-(Propylamino)benzoyl]-L-glutamate (5a).** The five-step sequence via intermediates **1a-4a** beginning with NaH-promoted alkylation of ethyl 4-[[[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]amino]benzoate was carried out as described for the synthesis of the Me homologue.<sup>5</sup> Results are listed in Table VI. 4-[[[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]propylamino]benzoic acid (**2a**) was obtained crystalline, but **1a**, **3a**, and **4a** were clear oils. Detosylation of **4a** led to **5a** as a white crystalline residue following evaporation of the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution used in the direct adaptation

Table VII

no.	yield, %	mp, °C	mol formula
1b	81	oil <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>33</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S
2b	61	178-179	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>29</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> S <sup>b</sup>
3b	100	oil <sup>a</sup>	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>28</sub> ClNO <sub>3</sub> S
4b	82	68-70	C <sub>31</sub> H <sub>44</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> S <sup>b</sup>
5b	43	69	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>38</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Used directly in the succeeding step. <sup>b</sup> Anal. C, H, N. <sup>c</sup> Anal. C, H.

of the reported isolation procedure. Further purification was not necessary; the sample of **5a** thus obtained was homogeneous by TLC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH, 95:5).

**Diethyl N-[4-(octylamino)benzoyl]-L-glutamate (5b)** was obtained via intermediates **1b** through **4b** as indicated above for **5a**. Results are listed in Table VII. Following treatment of **4b** with 30% dry HBr-AcOH solution containing C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, the reaction solution was combined with Et<sub>2</sub>O, but no precipitate formed. Addition of hexane, however, caused separation of a yellow oil. Decantation of the supernatant followed; then treatment of the oil with petroleum ether (bp 38-55 °C) caused solidification. Subsequent purification steps were like those reported for the Et<sub>2</sub>O-precipitated Me homologue,<sup>5</sup> except **5b** was recrystallized from EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O.

**Diethyl N-[4-(Propargylamino)benzoyl]-L-glutamate (5c).** A mixture of diethyl N-(4-aminobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (8.06 g, 25.0 mmol), propargyl bromide (3.80 g as 80% solution in toluene, 25.5 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.66 g, 31.7 mmol), and anhydrous EtOH (50 mL) was refluxed with stirring for 16 h. Examination by TLC (EtOAc-cyclohexane, 1:1) revealed unchanged amine, desired product, and a coproduct presumed to be the dipropargyl compound; *R<sub>f</sub>* values 0.23, 0.47, and 0.60, respectively. The dark mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was added dropwise to stirred 1 N HCl (100 mL) to cause precipitation of a dark oil. The supernatant was largely removed by decantation, and the oil was then stirred with 1 N HCl (25 mL) for about 10 min. Supernatant was again decanted off, and the residue, now semisolid and free of starting amine, was dried in vacuo. This crude product mixture was dissolved in the minimum of EtOAc, and the solution was applied to a dry silica gel column (Silica Woelm TSC), which was developed with EtOAc-cyclohexane (1:1). Dark impurities remained near the top of the column. Examination by TLC at intervals along the column revealed an intermediate region enriched in desired product but not free of the presumed dipropargyl coproduct. This section was removed and extracted with EtOH. Evaporation gave a pale-yellow, viscous oil (4.5 g). A reprecipitation from EtOAc (20 mL) by addition of cyclohexane (200 mL) gave a crude solid, which was dissolved in warm 2-ProH (25 mL). This solution was kept in a refrigerator for about a week while pure crystalline **5c** gradually separated; yield 22% (2.03 g); mp 98-100 °C (lit.<sup>1</sup> mp 98-99 °C).

**Diethyl N-[4-[[[(2,4-Diamino-6-pteridiny)methyl]propylamino]benzoyl]-L-glutamate (7a).** A stirred mixture of 6-0.5i-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>OH (2.49 g, 6.80 mmol) and **5a** (2.70 g, 7.42 mmol) in Me<sub>2</sub>NAC (30 mL) was kept at 50-55 °C for 4 h; solution occurred after 1 h. Dropwise addition of the cooled solution to rapidly stirred H<sub>2</sub>O (150 mL) containing Et<sub>3</sub>N (15 mmol) gave a yellow solid (3.8 g), which was recrystallized from EtOH to give pure **7a**·H<sub>2</sub>O: mp 192 °C and homogeneous by TLC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH, 9:1); yield 54% (2.05 g). Anal. (C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O)C, H, N.

**Diethyl N-[4-[[[(2,4-Diamino-6-pteridiny)methyl]octylamino]benzoyl]-L-glutamate (7b).** A mixture of 6-0.5i-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>OH (3.02 g, 8.25 mmol) and **5b** (4.0 g, 9.20 mmol) with Me<sub>2</sub>NAC (50 mL) was stirred at 50-55 °C for 6 h; solution occurred after 5 h. The solution was left at 25 °C for 18 h and then treated with (*i*-Pr)<sub>2</sub>NEt (2.38 g, 18.5 mmol). This solution was combined with H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) to give crude **7b** as a light-brown solid. Recrystallization from EtOH followed, and pure **7b**, mp 145 °C and homogeneous by TLC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH, 9:1), was obtained in 67% yield (3.38 g) as a yellow crystalline solid. Anal. (C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>44</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>6</sub>)C, H, N.

**Diethyl N-[4-[[[(2,4-Diamino-6-pteridiny)methyl]propargylamino]benzoyl]-L-glutamate (7c).** A mixture of 6-0.5i-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>OH (330 mg, 0.90 mmol), **5c** (400 mg, 1.11 mmol), and

- (8) Cf. Nair, M. G.; Bridges, T. W.; Henkel, T. J.; Kisliuk, R. L.; Gaumont, Y.; Sirotnak, F. M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1981, 24, 1068, and citations therein.  
 (9) Sirotnak, F. M.; Donsbach, R. C. *Cancer Res.* 1976, 36, 1151.  
 (10) Sirotnak, F. M.; Donsbach, R. C. *Cancer Res.* 1975, 35, 1737.  
 (11) Sirotnak, F. M.; Donsbach, R. C.; Dorick, D. M.; Moccio, D. M. *Cancer Res.* 1976, 36, 4672.  
 (12) Horwitz, S. B.; Kisliuk, R. L. *J. Med. Chem.* 1968, 11, 907.  
 (13) Kisliuk, R. L.; Gaumont, Y.; Baugh, C. M. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1974, 244, 4100.

Me<sub>2</sub>NAC (4 mL) was stirred at 25 °C for 18 h, then at 60 °C for 6 h, and finally at 80 °C for 1 h; solution occurred during the last period. The cooled solution was added dropwise to stirred EtOAc (50 mL), and the yellow solid that formed was collected by filtration. The dried solid (wt 0.57 g) was stirred with EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (25 mL of each), and the solution that formed was treated with 0.3 N NH<sub>4</sub>OH until neutral. The yellow precipitate that formed (wt 0.29 g) gave a thin-layer chromatogram (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH, 3:1) that revealed the major component as a UV-absorbing spot of approximate R<sub>f</sub> 0.87 and also showed at least two minor components; one that gave a pale fluorescent spot of R<sub>f</sub> 0.68 and the other a weak UV-absorbing spot of R<sub>f</sub> 0.19. The sample (0.29 g) was dissolved in the minimum of MeOH, applied to a 20 × 20 cm Analtech 2-mm thickness silica gel GF plate, and then developed once with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (1:1). The upper band, strongly UV absorbing, was scraped from the plate and stirred with EtOH (200 mL) at 25 °C for 18 h. Evaporation of the clarified EtOH solution gave pure **7c**·H<sub>2</sub>O, mp 165–168 °C, as a yellow solid homogeneous according to TLC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH, 1:1 or 3:1): yield 52% (0.26 g). Anal. (C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N. Its IR spectrum (KBr) revealed the acetylenic absorption band at 2140 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In another run, instead of using preparative TLC, the product was purified by a reprecipitation from MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, followed by two recrystallizations from MeOH: yield 32% (1.05 g from 6.20 mmol of **6** and 6.90 mmol of **5a**).

**N-[4-[(2,4-Diamino-6-pteridinyl)methyl]propylamino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic Acid (8a)**. A solution of **7a**·H<sub>2</sub>O (900 mg, 1.63 mmol) in EtOH (170 mL) at 35 °C was treated with 1 N NaOH (4.2 mL), and the solution was kept at 35 °C for 4.5 h and then left at 25 °C for 16 h. The mixture, now containing yellow solid, was treated with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL), and the solution was evaporated (H<sub>2</sub>O aspirator, bath to 30 °C) to remove EtOH. The residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (50 mL), and the solution was treated with 1 N HCl to pH 3.7 to precipitate **8a**, which was collected after 2 h in a refrigerator: yield 89% (750 mg); homogeneous by HPLC. Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·1.8H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**N-[4-[(2,4-Diamino-6-pteridinyl)methyl]octylamino]-**

**benzoyl]-L-glutamic Acid (8b)**. The ester **7b** (3.36 g, 5.51 mmol) was converted to **8b** as described for the preparation of **8a**. The yield of pure **8b**·H<sub>2</sub>O, homogeneous by TLC, was 89% (2.8 g). Anal. (C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N.

**N-[4-[(2,4-Diamino-6-pteridinyl)methyl]propargylamino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic Acid (8c)**. A solution of **7c** (1.05 g, 1.96 mmol) in MeOH (115 mL) was treated with 1 N NaOH (4.7 mL), and the solution was left at 25 °C for 18 h and then boiled under reflux for 1 h. Evaporation under reduced pressure (H<sub>2</sub>O aspirator, bath 20–25 °C) followed, and the residue was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (40 mL). The solution was treated with Norit, filtered (Celite mat), and acidified to pH 3.6 by dropwise treatment with 1 N HCl with stirring. The mixture was kept in an ice-H<sub>2</sub>O bath for 2 h before the yellow solid was collected: yield 81% (0.82 g). Anal. (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>5</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O) C, H, N. Assay by TLC and HPLC showed the sample to be homogeneous: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 2.0 (m, CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.3 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H), 3.20 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>C≡CH), 4.4 (m, NHCHCO<sub>2</sub>H), 4.47 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>C≡CH), 4.82 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>N), 6.7 (s, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.9 and 7.8 (2d, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.6 (br s, NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.2 (d, CONH), 8.69 (s, C<sub>7</sub>H).

Under the HPLC conditions used, **8c** gave a peak with time of retention near 7.7 min, while folic acid and aminopterin had retention times near 3.5 and 3.8 min, respectively. In a deliberate mixture under these conditions, folic acid and aminopterin are barely resolved from one another, but each is distinctly resolved from **8c**. Hydrolysis of pure **7c** as described was carried out three times with the same results; assay of each of these samples by HPLC showed **8c** thus prepared to be homogeneous.

**Acknowledgment.** This investigation was supported by the National Cancer Institute through the following CA grants: 25236 (work at Southern Research Institute); 10914 (work at Tufts University); 08748, 18856, and 22764 (work at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center). Support for the work at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center was also provided by a grant from the Pardee Foundation.