# M315

# Homogeneous and alumina supported rhodium complex catalyzed hydrogenation

# A.M. Trzeciak, J.J. Ziółkowski\*

Institute of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, 14 F. Joliot-Curie str., 50-383 Wrocław (Poland)

Z. Jaworska-Galas, W. Miśta, J. Wrzyszcz

Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research Polish Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 937, 50-950 Wrocław (Poland)

(Received April 13, 1993; accepted September 14, 1993)

# Abstract

The complex Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in homogeneous as well as in  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported system was used as catalyst precursor for hex-1-ene and benzene hydrogenation at 80°C and 6.5 atm of H<sub>2</sub>. The hydride complexes, HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>), identified by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR studies, were found to be the active forms in the homogeneous system.  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex, activated for 2 h in hydrogen atmosphere does not change its catalytic activity for four weeks. X-ray diffraction spectra show that hydrogenation proceeds with participation of rhodium complexes rather than rhodium metal catalytic centers.

Key words: alumina; hydrogenation; NMR spectroscopy; rhodium

# Introduction

Rhodium complexes are well known as very active homogeneous catalysts of many reactions like hydroformylation, isomerization and hydrogenation [1, 2].

Studies on the hydrogenation of olefins show especially high activity for the following complexes: RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [3], HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [4] and others with polydendate phosphines [5-9]. Rh(acac){P(OPh)<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub> catalyzes hydrogenation of terminal olefins as well as aromatic ring containing compounds [10]. It is well documented that in all cases the catalytically active form is rhodium hydride complex [1, 11-13].

Here we present the results of our studies on activity of  $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ , the catalyst precursor, in hydrogenation of unsaturated and aromatic compounds (hex-1-ene, benzene) in homo- and heterogenized systems.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; tel. (+48-71)229281 ext. 13.

 $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$  was selected as catalyst precursor for two reasons. First, it was expected that the presence of the rather labile OH ligand in the coordination sphere could facilitate the formation of the catalytically active species. Secondly, it was known that OH ligand may also be used for bonding to the alumina  $(\gamma-Al_2O_3)$ .

# **Results and discussion**

## Homogeneous hydrogenation of hex-1-ene

 $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$  complex is quite a good catalyst precursor for the homogeneous hydrogenation of hex-1-ene and benzene in relatively mild conditions (80°C, 6.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>) (Table 1). In one hour, one mole of catalyst hydrogenizes ca. 2000 moles of hex-1-ene and ca. 300 moles of benzene respectively. As predicted for when benzene was used as a solvent for hex-1-ene, only traces of cyclohexane were detected whereas hex-1-ene was totally converted to hexane. Rhodium catalyst precursor can be modified with excess of phosphine, however its activity unexpectedly decreases (Table 1).

The reactive form of the catalyst is relatively stable or easily reproduced during reaction-product separation, therefore the catalyst can be used several times.

#### TABLE 1

| No.                               | [hex-1-ene]<br>(mol)  | time<br>(min)   | [hexane] (%)         | [hex-2-ene]<br>(%)                        |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 1                                 | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$  | 80              | 100                  |   |
| 2<br>2aª<br>2bª                   | $\begin{array}{c} 8.1 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.1 \times 10^{-3} \\ 8.1 \times 10^{-3} \end{array}$     | 60<br>30<br>30  | 100<br>100<br>100    |   |
| 3 <sup>b</sup><br>3a <sup>a</sup> | $8.1 \times 10^{-3}$<br>$8.1 \times 10^{-3}$  | 30<br>40        | 80<br>90             | 20<br>10                                  |
|                                   | [benzene]<br>(mol)  | time<br>(min)   | [cyclohexane]<br>(%) | TON<br>(m <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| 4<br>5<br>6                       | $ \begin{array}{r} 1.7 \times 10^{-2} \\ 2.26 \times 10^{-2} \\ 2.26 \times 10^{-2} \end{array} $ | 140<br>90<br>60 | 25<br>16<br>11       | 204<br>300<br>300                         |
| 7°                                | $1.7 \times 10^{-2}$ 100  |                 | 37                   | 420                                       |

Hydrogenation of hex-1-ene and benzene with Rh (OH) (CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and [Rh ( $\mu$ -CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> in homogeneous system [Rh] = 8.6×10<sup>-6</sup> mol in benzene (0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) 80°C, 6.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>)

<sup>a</sup>Hex-1-ene was added after separation of the reaction products under vacuum, at room temperature. <sup>b</sup>Reaction with  $4.2 \times 10^{-5}$  mol of PPh<sub>3</sub> added.

<sup>c</sup>Catalyst:  $[Rh(\mu-CO)(PPh_3)_2]_2$ .

The post-distillation residue is benzene-soluble, which means that metallic rhodium forms are not present in the system. However, the Rh(0) complex, [Rh( $\mu$ -CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> was identified and isolated in solid from the reaction mixture. Its catalytic activity in hydrogenation of benzene is similar or even higher than that of starting complex Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Table 1).

#### Spectroscopic studies of the catalytic system

A <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of good quality could not be well recorded for Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> because of its poor solubility in benzene or toluene. It shows two sets of broad signals at  $\delta$ =30 and 50 ppm respectively. A better spectrum was obtained in chloroform where a rather weak doublet at 48.3 ppm (J(Rh-P) 182.3 Hz and very strong doublet at 29.8 ppm (J(Rh-P) 133.5 Hz), assigned to the starting complex Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, were observed (<sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh)<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [14] is the following: 36.5 ppm, J(Rh-P) 138 Hz).

The doublet at 48.3 ppm with relatively high coupling constant J(Rh-P) may suggest partial dimerization of rhodium complex through OH groups (Scheme 1). When heated in benzene in nitrogen atmosphere (1 h at 80°C)



Scheme 1.

all complexes are completely dissolved, and a well resolved doublet in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum ascribed to the monomer, Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, is observed. Simultaneously, partial dimerization proceeds during heating, as indicated the appearance of a new doublet at 49.5 ppm (J(Rh–P) 162.8 Hz) and the formula, [Rh( $\mu$ -CO)(OH)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, for dimeric complex could be proposed. The IR spectrum of the heated solution (in cyclohexane) shows a very strong band at 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup> derived from bridging carbonyl groups; (for monomeric Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex in Nujol, the  $\nu$ (CO) frequency was found at 1965 cm<sup>-1</sup>).

Alternatively, the rhodium(0) dimeric complex,  $[Rh(\mu-CO)(PPh_3)_2(S)_2]_2$  (S=solvent), was considered. However the <sup>31</sup>P NMR of specially prepared  $[Rh(\mu-CO)(PPh_3)_2(EtOH)_2]_2$  complex shows signals in another region (36.6 ppm, J(Rh-P) 195.8 Hz), therefore we suggest that the complex under discussion is rather the Rh(I) dimer with carbonyl bridges (Scheme 1).

In the hydrogenation reaction conditions  $(80^{\circ}C, 6.5 \text{ atm } H_2, \text{ benzene as solvent})$ , Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is converted into a the mixture of three complexes: HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>) and  $[Rh(\mu-CO)(PPh_3)_2]_2$ . HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H NMR -9.1 ppm, m) was found in the solution of Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> which had been heated for less than 1 h in H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. When heated for longer, coordinatively unsaturated species, HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>) (<sup>31</sup>P NMR 29.4 ppm, J(Rh-P) 127.8 Hz; <sup>1</sup>H NMR -8.6 ppm, m) was detected as a main product. The similar extensive phosphine dissociation leading to HRh(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>) formation was proposed for the rhodium hydrido complexes [15]:

$$\mathrm{HRh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{PPh}_3)_3 \xrightarrow[]{2}{\mathrm{PPh}_3}^{-\mathrm{PPh}_3} \mathrm{HRh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{PPh}_3)_2 \xrightarrow[]{2}{\mathrm{PPh}_3}^{-\mathrm{PPh}_3} \mathrm{HRh}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{PPh}_3)$$

Attempts to obtain HRh (CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> or HRh (CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>) as solids led only to isolation of the dimeric species,  $[Rh(\mu\text{-CO})(PPh_3)_2]_2$ , (<sup>31</sup>P NMR 29.4 ppm, J(Rh-P) 197 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J(Rh-P) 17 Hz;  $IR/cm^{-1} \nu$ (CO) 1965, molecular weight determined in CHCl<sub>3</sub> by the osmometric method M=1310, calc. 1310). This complex was earlier described as the reaction product of  $[Rh(\mu-CO)(PPh_3)_2(EtOH)_2]_2$  with H<sub>2</sub> [16].

The results obtained suggest that in hydrogenation reaction condition  $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$  is easily converted into hydride species which are catalytically active. Dihydrogen activation proceeds also in presence of free triphenylphosphine ligand.

HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was identified and isolated in solid (IR/cm<sup>-1</sup> 2040  $\nu$ (Rh-H), 1920  $\nu$ (CO)) from the solution of Rh(OH)(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> containing excess of PPh<sub>3</sub> after heating in H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was the only product of that reaction, however the presence of HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in reaction mixture cannot be excluded. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> are identical. This was proved by comparison of <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and that of the H<sub>2</sub>-saturated solution of [Rh( $\mu$ -CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(EtOH)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> complex. The latter dimer [16], reacts with H<sub>2</sub> to give HRh(CO) (PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [16].

Catalytic activity of alumina supported Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex

### Catalyst preparation and examination

The Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex was supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> pellets by impregnation from a toluene solution. The absorbance measurements of the

complex solution at 361 nm ( $\epsilon 3 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ) before and after impregnation were used for determination of rhodium complex concentration in heterogenized catalyst. Such prepared pellets were carefully washed with toluene and dried in a vacuum before use. Results of hydrogenation of hex-1-ene with several heterogenized catalysts are collected in Table 2.

Freshly prepared heterogenized rhodium catalyst is practically inactive and the induction period was observed in all reactions. Hex-1-ene hydrogenation and isomerization products (hexane and hex-2-ene respectively) appear after 2-3 h of the reaction course. The induction period in which catalytic activity of the initial form of catalyst appears, does not depend on the rhodium concentration in a pellet. Especially arranged experiments proved that the catalytically active form of the catalyst can be obtained by maintaining freshly prepared heterogenized samples at 80°C in H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (6.5 atm) during ca. 2 h. The above procedure can be realized in two ways: (i) heating dry pellets (ii) covered with a solvent (benzene or heptane can be used). Heating of the pellets in nitrogen atmosphere does not produce active catalyst. The above observation permits the assumption that in both the heterogeneous and ho-

TABLE 2

| 1       | $5 \times 10^{-6}$   | 60  | _  | _   |
|---------|----------------------|-----|----|-----|
| 1a      | -                    | 120 |    |     |
| 2       | $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 60  | -  | -   |
| 2a      | -                    | 140 | 44 | 56  |
| 3       | $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ | 60  | -  | 10  |
| 3a      |                      | 180 | 18 | 13  |
| 4       | $2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | 60  | -  | -   |
| 4a      |                      | 120 | 5  | 14  |
| 4b      |                      | 210 | 15 | 70  |
| 4c      |                      | 180 | -  | 100 |
| 5       | $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | 60  | -  | -   |
| 5a      |                      | 180 | -  | 8   |
| 6 (i)   | $6.3 \times 10^{-5}$ | 60  | -  | 95  |
| 7 (ii)  | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | 60  | 50 | 36  |
| 8 (iii) | $6.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 60  | -  | 100 |
| 9 (iv)  | 6.3×10 <sup>-6</sup> | 60  | -  | 100 |
|         |                      |     |    |     |

Hydrogenation<sup>a</sup> of hex-1-ene and hex-2-ene with  $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2/Al_2O_3$  ([hex-1-ene]  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  mol in toluene (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) 80°C, 6.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>)

<sup>a</sup>For catalyst activation: the pellets were heated during 2 h at 80 °C in following conditions: (i) 6.5 atm of H<sub>2</sub> without solvent, [hex-1-ene]  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  mol; (ii) 1.5 atm of N<sub>2</sub> without solvent, [hex-1-ene]  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  mol; (iii) 6.5 atm of H<sub>2</sub> in heptane, [hex-2-ene]  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  mol; (iv) 6.5 atm of H<sub>2</sub> in heptane, 4 h, [hex-2-ene]  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  mol.

<sup>b</sup>For a, b, c, d, the same pellet was used.

#### TABLE 3

| No.             | <i>t</i> (h) | hexane (%)       |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--|--|
| 1               | 3            | 32               |  |  |
| 2               | 2            | 88               |  |  |
| 3               | 1            | 98               |  |  |
| 4               | 1            | 97               |  |  |
| 5               | 1            | 95               |  |  |
| 6               | 1            | 100              |  |  |
| 7               | 1            | 98               |  |  |
| 8ª              | 3            | 11               |  |  |
| 9 <sup>b</sup>  | 3            | 10               |  |  |
| 10°             | 1            | 100              |  |  |
| 11 <sup>d</sup> | 1            | 7 (9% hex-2-ene) |  |  |

Hydrogenation of hex-1-ene and benzene with Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (the same pellet) ([Rh]  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  mol [hex-1-ene]  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  mol in benzene (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) 80°C, 6.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>)

\*Hydrogenation of benzene  $(2.25 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol})$ ; product: cyclohexane.

<sup>b</sup>Hydrogenation of toluene  $(1.41 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol})$ ; product: methylocyclohexane.

<sup>c</sup>[hex-1-ene]:  $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$  mol.

<sup>d</sup>Catalyst deactivated by heating (500 °C) in oxygen atmosphere.

mogeneous systems, the rhodium hydride complex is responsible for catalytic activity.

The active form of the heterogenized catalyst can be applied both for hex-1-ene and hex-2-ene hydrogenation (Table 2). Repeatedly used pellets for hydrogenation of hex-1-ene, benzene and toluene confirmed the stability of the heterogenized catalyst. The catalyst, tested for dozens of hours, revealed constant activity and strong attachment of complex to the support. Four weeks storage in ambient conditions in oxygen atmosphere did not change the catalytic activity, however, at higher temperature ( $500^{\circ}$ C) the catalyst undergoes deactivation. Such behaviour was demonstrated in experiment when highly active catalyst (heated for 6 h at  $80^{\circ}$ C in H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere) was next overheated at ca.  $500^{\circ}$ C in oxygen atmosphere (Table 3, exp. 11d).

## Structure of rhodium alumina supported catalyst

Selection of Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> for heterogenization was dictated by the assumption that presence of OH group will facilitate attachment to the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface. As a matter of fact this complex is easily and strongly bonded to the alumina from its benzene or toluene solutions producing heterogenized catalyst containing rhodium complex practically not elutable with benzene or toluene.

Our attempts to attach another rhodium complex to  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (like Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub>) failed. However, when  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface was first functionalized with HP(O)(OPh)<sub>2</sub>, its ability to interact with Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub> dissolved in benzene or toluene, increases and the heterogenized catalyst obtained showed

#### TABLE 4

Hydrogenation of hex-1-ene with Rh (acac)  $(CO)_2/Al_2O_3$  functionalized with HP(O) (OPh)<sub>2</sub> (the same pellet ([Rh]  $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$  mol [hex-1-ene]  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  mol in benzene (1 cm<sup>3</sup>)  $80^{\circ}$ C, 6.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>)

| No. | t (h) | Reaction products (%) |               |  |
|-----|-------|-----------------------|---------------|--|
|     |       | hex-2-ene<br>(%)      | hexane<br>(%) |  |
| 1   | 3     | 14                    | 34            |  |
| 2   | 3     | 1 <del>9</del>        | 3 <b>9</b>    |  |
| 3   | 3     | 21                    | 52            |  |
| 4   | 2     | 18                    | 36            |  |
| 5   | 1     | 24                    | 13            |  |

activity in hex-1-ene hydrogenation (Table 4). It was expected, that diphenylphosphite  $HP(O)(OPh)_2$  which exists in two forms according to the possible equilibrium:

 $HP(O)(OPh)_2 \rightleftharpoons P(OH)(OPh)_2$ 

will first interact with the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> surface and then permits attachment of Rh(acac)(CO)<sub>2</sub> complex via CO substitution:



## X-ray diffraction studies of alumina supported $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$

The diffraction spectra of pure alumina support shows a typical  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase (Fig. 1(1)). After heterogenization of the Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex low intensity peaks in the low angle region  $(2\theta = 7.30^{\circ}, 9.66^{\circ}, 14.00^{\circ})$  were observed (Fig. 1(2)). This kind of spectrum may suggest that  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> supported complex exists in a quasi-two-dimensional layer structure.

This structure did not change after calcination of pellets in hydrogen atmosphere (at 80°C, 6.5 atm H<sub>2</sub>, during 2 h) or during hydrogenation reaction carried out ca. 8 h. This is proved by the appearance only very low intensity peak at  $2\theta = 18.00^{\circ}$  (Fig. 1(3)). The calcination of pellets at 500°C in oxygen atmosphere leads to the drastic decrease of catalytic activity and to a large increase of intensity of the peak at  $2\theta = 18^{\circ}$  (Fig. 1(4)). It may suggest that the rhodium atoms arrangement increases in one of the planes but the absorbed layer still has quasi-bi-dimensional character. All of the observed peaks cannot be attributed to crystalline phases of Rh<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, RhO<sub>2</sub> or Rh metal. Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complex used as a catalyst precursor does not undergo reduction to metallic rhodium in either homo- or the heterogenized systems.



Fig. 1. X-ray powder spectra of (1) alumina carrier; (2) freshly supported  $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$  complex; (3) after use 8 h in  $H_2$  atmosphere; (4) after calcination at 500°C in air.

This permits the conclusion that hydrogenation of unsaturated hydrocarbons is catalyzed by the rhodium complex and not the rhodium metal.

# Experimental

The rhodium complexes were prepared by the methods described in the literature:  $Rh(OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2$  [14],  $HRh(CO)(PPh_3)_3$  [15],  $[Rh(\mu - CO)(PPh_3)_2(EtOH)_2]_2$  [15],  $Rh(acac)(CO)_2$  [19]. Benzene, hex-1-ene and hex-2-ene were carefully distilled.

The hydrogenation reaction was carried out in thermostated steel autoclave of 40 cm<sup>3</sup> or 200 cm<sup>3</sup> volume. Reagents were introduced into the autoclave in nitrogen atmosphere and then 5 atm of  $H_2$  pressure was applied. After the reaction was complete, the autoclave was cooled and a sample was taken for analysis.

The reaction products were analyzed with <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Tesla BS 567A, 100 MHz spectrometer) and GC-MS (Hewlett-Packard instrument) methods. The NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P) were recorded on Bruker 300 spectrometer; the UV-Vis spectra were measured on Specord M-40 and IR spectra on Specord M-80 spectrophotometers.

The pellets of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were obtained by the wet pressing at 550 MPa of transition alumina powder prepared by flash calcination of gibbsite at 575–600°C [20, 21]. Next, the pellets were rehydrated in water vapour at 20°C during 20 h, and in water at 70°C during 5 h. After such treatment the pellets were dried at 105°C and calcined at 480°C during 3 h. The specific surface area determined with nitrogen by BET method using Perkin Elmer 212 Sorptiometer was 296 m<sup>2</sup>/g and the pore volume  $V_{\rm p} = 0.34$  cm<sup>3</sup>/g.

The X-ray diffraction measurements were recorded on X-ray Powder Diffractometer DRON-3 (CuK<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> and Ni filter).

# References

- A. Yamamoto, Organotransition Metal Chemistry, Publication, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- 2 B. Cornils, in J. Falbe (ed.), New Syntheses with Carbon Monoxide, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- 3 J.A. Osborn, F.H. Jardine, J.F. Young, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., (1966) 1711.
- 4 C. O'Connor, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 2665.
- 5 B. James, D. Mahajan, Can. J. Chem., (1979) 180.
- 6 M.M. Taqui Khan, B. Taqui Khan, S. Begum, J. Mol. Cat., 34 (1986) 9.
- 7 M.M. Taqui Khan, E. Rama Rao, M.R.H. Siddiqui, B. Taqui Khan, S. Begum, M. Ali, J. Reddy, J. Mol. Cat., 45 (1988) 35.
- 8 M.M. Taqui, B. Taqui Khan, J. Reddy, J. Mol. Cat., 54 (1989) 171.
- 9 J.M. Brown, P.A. Chaloner, in L.H. Pignolet (ed.), Homogeneous Catalysis with Metal Phosphine Complexes, Plenum Press, New York, London, 1983, chapt. 4; A.R. Sanger, ibid., chapt. 6, R.H. Crabtree, ibid., chapt. 9.
- 10 D. Pieta, A.M. Trzeciak, J.J. Ziółkowski, J. Mol. Cat., 18 (1993) 193.
- 11 J. Halpern, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 50 (1981) 11.
- 12 J.M. Brown, P.L. Evans, A.R. Lucy, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II, (1987) 1589.
- 13 B.R. James, in G. Wilkinson (ed.), Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Pergamon Press, New York 1982, vol. 8, chapt. 51.
- 14 R.A. Jewsbury, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 49 (1981) 141.
- 15 D. Evans, G. Yagupsky, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 2660.
- 16 M. Yagupsky, C.K. Brown, G. Yagupsky, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1970) 937.
- 17 S. Fuentes, F. Figueras, J. Catal., 61 (1980) 443.
- 18 A. Rocheford, F. Le Peltier, Rev. Institut Fr. Petrol., 46 (1991) 221.
- 19 F. Bonati, G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., (1964) 3156.
- 20 Polish Pat. Appl. 278321 (1989).
- 21 J. Wrzyszcz, Z. Jaworska-Galas, W. Miśta, M. Zawadzki, S. Janiak, J. Mater. Sci., in press.