



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Graphene oxide (GO) catalyzed transamidation of aliphatic amides: An efficient metal-free procedure

Suchandra Bhattacharya, Pranab Ghosh, Basudeb Basu *

Department of Chemistry, North Bengal University, Darjeeling 734013, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 November 2017

Revised 11 January 2018

Accepted 21 January 2018

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Amide

Amine

Graphene oxide

Metal-free

Transamidation

ABSTRACT

Transamidation involves direct interconversion of an amide with amine, and represents an alternative to the common method of amide formation from the reaction of carboxylic acid with an amine. While the carboxamides have huge potential in biological systems and polymer industries, their formation from carboxylic acids requires activation by a suitable catalyst. A metal-free transamidation of aliphatic amide with aromatic amine catalyzed by graphene oxide (GO) has been developed and established as a general, synthetically useful and selective procedure. Graphene oxide bearing several carboxylic acids on the edges and having large surface area acts as an efficient and recyclable catalyst for transamidation.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The carboxamide function is present in numerous molecules of proteins, pharmaceuticals, polymers and small organic molecules.¹ Synthesis of amides is therefore an extremely important organic reaction. The condensation of carboxylic acid with an amine is considered by far as the most common synthetic procedure.^{2,3} Since amide formation results in the generation of one molecule of water, a suitable catalyst is required to activate the carboxylic acid function.⁴ Without the presence of any catalyst, the acid and the amine usually undergo salt formation or require high temperature.⁵ As a result, several metal-based catalytic systems have been developed to achieve this reaction.^{6,7} Another alternative strategy avoiding direct use of carboxylic function is the interconversion of amides, commonly known as transamidation.^{3,7} Although transamidation is relatively uncommon due to the fact that the amide function is a poor electrophile, suitable catalytic systems could activate and exchange with amines resulting in the formation of new carboxamide derivatives.⁸ The catalytic system and reaction conditions remain the key factors for transamidation of primary, secondary or tertiary amides to establish a synthetically useful procedure. Among different catalytic systems, metal-free catalysts like boronic acid,^{9,10} hypervalent iodosobenzene diacetate,¹¹ hydroxylamine hydrochloride,¹² L-proline,¹³ Et₃N,¹⁴ and K₂S₂O₈¹⁵ are noteworthy, while metal-based catalysts like

Cu(OAc)₂, CeO₂ and Cp₂ZrCl₂ showed better activation of the amide group to undergo transamidation.^{16–20}

Harnessing the catalytic efficiency of sustainable nanomaterials like graphene oxide (GO) in diverse synthetic reactions is an intriguing area of research.^{21,22} GO possesses a rich oxygenated chemical functionality, is somewhat acidic (pH 4.5 at 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ in aqueous suspension),²³ and is recognized as having strong oxidizing properties.²⁴


In connection with our interests in utilizing catalytic function of GO,^{23,25} we disclose herein our studies on the transamidation reaction under solvent-free conditions, which finally constitute a complete metal-free, general and useful protocol to prepare a variety of carboxamides (Scheme 1).

Results and discussion

We began our experiment taking aniline and acetamide (equimolar and 1 mmol scale) with GO (50 mg) in toluene. Monitoring the reaction by TLC reveal multiple spots and after isolation by chromatography, a moderate yield of the desired amide is obtained (Table 1, entry 1). Changing the reaction medium with more polar and low to high-boiling solvents like acetonitrile, DMSO or even water gave moderate to poor yields of the acetanilide (entries 2–4). Considering that solvent might not have much desired influence towards improving the yield, we perform the reaction under solvent-less i.e., in neat conditions at varying temperatures (80–150 °C). In Table 1, entries 5–7 show that the best results are obtained when we take a mixture of aniline, acetamide

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: basu_nbu@hotmail.com (B. Basu).



Scheme 1. Transamidation reaction using graphene oxide (GO) as a heterogeneous catalyst.

and GO and heated at 150 °C affording the desired amide in 76% yield after purification (entry 7). Further optimization of reaction is conducted by varying the catalyst (GO) quantity, as shown in entries 7–9. While doubling the catalyst quantity does not have significant effect (entry 8), lowering its (GO) quantity afforded considerably poor yield (entry 9). In the absence of GO, there was no conversion indicating that GO has definite role in catalyzing the process (Table 1, entry 10). We also performed two experiments taking reacting partners in 1:2 ratios (entries 11 and 12), which however did not give any significant increase in yield of the transamidation product. In order to check any effect of atmospheric air, we conducted the reaction under blanket of N₂ and ended up with 70% isolated yield (Table 1, entry 13). Hence, it can be concluded that there is no such effect of the atmospheric oxygen in the reaction. We therefore considered the optimized reaction condition as in entry 7, i.e.: amine (1 mmol), amide (1 mmol), GO (50 mg), 150 °C, 24 h.


After optimization, we extended the method for various amine components and amides (Table 2). Diverse functionalities on the amine moiety including electron donating and withdrawing groups e.g., CH₃, OCH₃, Cl, Br, COOH and also naphthyl system gave products in the range of 60–79% yields. Changing the amide from acetamide to formamide produced *N*-formylated products (Table 2, entries 4–7). In these cases, a mixture of rotamers was achieved as evident from NMR data and also supported by literature reports.^{13,26} However, 2-chloroaniline afforded the single

compound, which can be attributed to the presence of a halogen in *ortho* position (Table 2, entry 7). *N*-Substituted aliphatic amides like *N*-methylacetamide, *N,N*-dimethylacetamide also worked efficiently affording the desired products (Table 2, entries 8–10). In the case of aromatic amides (Table 2, entries 11 and 12) however no product was formed. This could be attributed to the additional conjugation of the amide carbonyl with the benzene ring, which also reduces the electrophilicity of the centre. We have performed one reaction using a long carbon chain amide (Table 2, entry 13) and obtained the corresponding carboxamide in 70% isolated yield. On the other hand, aliphatic amine (Table 2, entry 18) in spite of being more nucleophilic in nature no reaction was observed. This could be attributed to possible attachment of aliphatic amines on the surface of graphene oxide, as reported previously,^{27,28} and also discussed in the mechanism section.

Mechanism

The carbonyl carbon of amide is unreactive and should be activated for further reaction. It is believed that the –COOH groups present on the edges of GO initially form H-bonding with the amide and the epoxide oxygen with the amine hydrogen (1) as shown in the Scheme 2. Then the nucleophile, the –NH₂ function attacks at the carbonyl centre to form the intermediate 2. Finally elimination of the primary/secondary amine (deamination) generates the product 3 (Scheme 2). Activation of the amide group is important for the transamidation, which is corroborated by the fact that aromatic amide bond being sufficiently stable through conjugation could not be activated by the GO (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). From the literature reports, the reason behind unreactive nature of aliphatic amines in the presence of GO is assumed to be (a) amine forms H-bond with the oxygen functionalities present on GO, (b) it may attack the epoxide ring before it attacks the amide carbonyl, (c) some sort of ionic bonding is also described by forming –COO[–] + NH₃R⁺.^{27,28}

Table 1
Optimization of reaction conditions.



Entry	Catalyst amount (mg)	Solvent	Temperature (°C)	Yield (%) ^a
1	50	Toluene	100	55
2	50	DMSO	120	Trace
3	50	CH ₃ CN	80	43
4	50	Water	90	Trace
5	50	Neat	80	50
6	50	Neat	120	64
7 ^b	50	Neat	150	76
8	100	Neat	150	78
9	20	Neat	150	39
10	–	Neat	150	NR
11 ^c	50	Neat	150	77
12 ^d	50	Neat	150	70
13 ^e	50	Neat	150	70

^a Isolated yield of product by column chromatography.
























^b Optimized condition.

^c Aniline (1 mmol), acetamide (2 mmol).

^d Aniline (2 mmol), acetamide (1 mmol).




^e Reaction was done under N₂ in a sealed tube. All reactions were carried out up to 24 h and 1 mmol of each reactant were used unless mentioned.

Table 2
Transamidation of various amides using amines^a

Entry	Amine	Amide	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		76
2		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		75
3		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		65
4		$\text{H}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$		69
5		$\text{H}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$		61
6		$\text{H}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$		70
7		$\text{H}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$		60
8		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$		67
9		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$		60
10		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NHCH}_3$		60
11 ^c			NR	–
12 ^c			NR	–
13		$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{10}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		70
14		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		72
15		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		75
16		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		79

(continued on next page)


Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Amine	Amide	Product	Yield (%) ^b
17		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$		71
18		$\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}_2$	NR	–

^a Reaction condition: amine (1 mmol), amide (1 mmol), GO (50 mg), neat condition, 150 °C, 24 h.

^b Isolated yield after purification by column chromatography.

^c No reaction.



Scheme 2. Possible mechanism of transamidation using GO.

Table 3
Recyclability test of the catalyst.

No. of run	Yield (%)
1 st	76
2 nd	75
3 rd	72
4 th	70

Recyclability of the catalyst

The main advantage of using a heterogeneous catalyst is the ease of separation along with its reusability. To test the reusability, we set up a reaction using aniline (2 mmol), acetamide (2 mmol) and GO (100 mg) at the optimized condition. After completion of the reaction, ethyl acetate was added to the reaction mixture and the catalyst was filtered off. Then the catalyst was washed with ethyl acetate and finally with water and acetone, dried under vacuum and used in the next runs in the same manner. No significant drop in the isolated yield was observed up to four consecutive runs (Table 3).

Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that GO acts as an effective heterogeneous catalyst for the transamidation of aliphatic amides with aromatic amines. Graphene-based sustainable nanomaterials provide a clean and practical procedure for the formation of amides in good yields. Aliphatic amines however do not react under the condition and offers the selectivity. The carboxylic groups present

on the edges of GO nanosheets play as the active acidic sites to activate the poorly electrophilic amide group and facilitate further attack of the amine nucleophile via hydrogen bonding. The reaction proceeds under solvent-less conditions and the catalyst can be recovered and reused for three more runs. The present protocol could be useful to the synthetic and pharmaceutical chemists.

Experimental: Representative procedure for transamidation: In a typical procedure, a mixture of amine (1 mmol), amide (1 mmol) and 50 mg GO was taken in a round-bottom flask (50 mL) and stirred the mixture using a magnetic stirring bar under open air at 150 °C for 24 h. After the reaction was completed, ethyl acetate (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the catalyst was filtered off. The filtered catalyst was further washed with ethyl acetate and the combined organic layer was evaporated to afford nearly pure product. The residue was further purified by passing through a silica gel column and eluting with ethyl acetate–petroleum ether mixture.

Acknowledgments

Financial support under the SERB – India project (No. EMR/2015/000549) is gratefully acknowledged. SB is thankful to CSIR – India, India for awarding JRF-NET fellowship.

A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental procedure, catalyst preparation, characterization data of products and scanned spectra (¹H, ¹³C NMR)) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.01.060>.

References

1. Sana A, Khan S, Zaidi J, Ambreen N, Khan K, Perveen S. *Nat Sci.* 2011;3:855–861.
2. Pattabiraman VR, Bode JW. *Nature.* 2011;480:471–479.
3. Lanigan RM, Sheppard TD. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2013;7453–7465.
4. Allen CL, Chhatwal AR, Williams MJM. *Chem Commun.* 2012;48:666–668.
5. de Figueiredo RM, Suppo J-S, Campagne J-M. *Chem Rev.* 2016;116:12029–12122.
6. Allen CL, Williams MJM. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2011;40:3405–3415.
7. Ojeda-Porras A, Gamba-Sánchez D. *J Org Chem.* 2016;81:11548–11555.
8. Dineen TA, Zajac MA, Myers AG. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006;128:16406–16409.
9. El Dine TM, Evans D, Rouden J, Blanchet J. *Chem Eur J.* 2016;22:5894–5898.
10. Wang C, Yu H-Z, Fu Y, Guo Q-X. *Org Biomol Chem.* 2013;11:2140–2146.
11. Vanjari R, Allam BK, Singh KN. *RSC Adv.* 2013;3:1691–1694.
12. Allen CL, Atkinson BN, Williams MJM. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2012;51:1383–1386.
13. Rao SN, Mohan DC, Adimurthy S. *Org Lett.* 2013;15:1496–1499.
14. Liu Y, Shi S, Achtenhagen M, Liu R, Szostak M. *Org Lett.* 2017;19:1614–1617.
15. Srinivas M, Hudwekar AD, Venkateswarlu V, et al. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015;56:4775–4779.
16. Zhang M, Imm S, Bähn S, Neubert L, Neumann H, Beller M. *Angew Chem.* 2012;124:3971–3975.
17. Tamura M, Tonomura T, Shimizu K, Satsuma A. *Green Chem.* 2012;14:717–724.
18. Atkinson BN, Chhatwal AR, Lomax HV, Walton JW, Williams MJM. *Chem Commun.* 2012;48:11626–11628.
19. Sheng H, Zeng R, Wang W, et al. *Adv Synth Catal.* 2017;359:302–313.
20. Sonawane RB, Rasal NK, Jagtap SV. *Org Lett.* 2017;19:2078–2081.
21. Mohammadi O, Golestanzadeh M, Abdouss M. *New J Chem.* 2017;41:11471–11497.
22. Majumdar B, Sarma D, Bhattacharya T, Sarma TK. *ACS Sustainable Chem Eng.* 2017;5:9286–9294.
23. Basu B, Kundu S, Sengupta D. *RSC Adv.* 2013;3:22130–22134.
24. Sengupta D, Ghosh S, Basu B. *Curr Org Chem.* 2017;21:834–854.
25. Roy B, Sengupta D, Basu B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2014;55:6596–6600.
26. Shah N, Gravel E, Jawale DV, Doris E, Namboothiri INN. *ChemCatChem.* 2014;6:2201–2205.
27. Bourlinos AB, Gournis D, Petridis D, Szabó T, Szeri A, Dékány I. *Langmuir.* 2003;19:6050–6055.
28. Shanmugharaj AM, Yoon JH, Yang WJ, Ryu SH. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2013;401:148–154.