ACCESS

Letter

Total Synthesis of (+)-Galbulin and Unnatural Lignans

Florian Clausen and Armido Studer*



Metrics & More



Article Recommendations

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The total synthesis of (+)-galbulin was achieved in 15% yield and 99% ee over eight steps from commercially available 4-veratraldehyde. The key steps include Meyer's asymmetric tandem addition to a chiral 2-oxazoline-substituted naphthalene, a Pd-catalyzed stereospecific decarboxylative γ -arylation, and a formal anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation. In addition, five unnatural lignans were synthesized using the same synthetic strategy.



G albulin (1) is a naturally occurring tetrahydronaphthalene lignan (THNL) which was isolated from the trees *Himantandra baccata* Bail., found in north Queensland, and *Himantandra belgraveana* F. Muell. found in New Guinea, at subtropical altitudes (Figure 1).¹ THNL and dihydronaph-



Figure 1. Galbulin (1), podophyllotoxin (2), and etoposid (3) are members of the THNL family and are important secondary metabolites.

thalene lignans show broad biological activity including neurotoxic,² antifungal,³ anti-HIV, anticancer,⁴ and antiviral,⁵ rendering these secondary metabolites highly interesting target compounds. Noteworthy, podophyllotoxin (2) and its glycosylated derivative etoposid (3) have previously been used for the treatment of external warts⁶ and numerous types of cancer including lung cancer, testicular cancer, leukemia, and ovarian cancer.^{7,8} The core structure of **2** is related to the backbone of galbulin, and novel strategies for accessing the latter might pave the way to prepare more complex THNL derivatives.

To date, a variety of racemic total syntheses of galbulin (1) have been reported (Scheme 1).⁹⁻¹² However, to the best of our knowledge, only two enantioselective variants have been disclosed. In 2012, Hong et al. investigated an organocatalyzed domino Michael–Michael–aldol condensation in combination with a kinetic resolution as key steps to access the core

Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of Galbulin (1)



structure of 1.¹³ More recently, Li et al. described an alternative strategy using an Evans asymmetric alkylation and a Sharpless epoxidation as key steps for the stereoselective construction of galbulin.¹⁴

The retrosynthetic analysis of our approach is depicted in Scheme 2. The motivation for the design of the key building block 5 for our galbulin synthesis arouse from the total syntheses of podophyllotoxin¹⁵ (2) of Reynolds et al. and epipodophyllotoxin¹⁶ of Engelhardt et al. that use chiral dihydronaphthalenes as intermediates. Guided by their syntheses, we planned to prepare the dihydronaphthalene 5 using Meyers' asymmetric tandem silyl anion addition/

Received: July 10, 2020



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Building Block 5^a



^{*a*}Key: (a) **10** (1.2 equiv), THF, -78 to 0 °C; H₂SO₄ (20%), H₂O/MeOH;²⁴ (b) HCl_(g) (bubbled through solution, 2 h), EtOH, 0 °C; (S)-valinol (2.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C 24 h; (c) Me₂PhSiLi (0.5 M in THF, 3.0 equiv), -20 °C, 24 h; Me₂SO₄ (5.0 equiv); (d) HCl (3 M in H₂O/dioxane, 1 mL/mmol of **9**).

alkylation of the appropriately substituted naphthalene derivative 6,¹⁷ itself accessible from commercially available 4-veratraldehyde (7). The second veratryl moiety should then be installed by applying our stereospecific decarboxylative γ -arylation on acid 5 to give 4.^{18–22} A formal anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation, recently introduced by our group,²³ should finally serve to install the remaining two chirality centers including the methyl group to eventually afford galbulin.

We commenced our investigations by developing a robust protocol for the synthesis of the building block 5 (Scheme 2). In analogy to a literature procedure²⁴ disclosed by Yamada et al., the commercially available 4-veratraldehyde (7) was treated with a slight excess of the alkyllithium compound 10. The corresponding benzylic alcohol thus generated was heated with diluted aqueous sulfuric acid in methanol under reflux to engage in an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts-type reaction. Subsequent elimination of ethanol and water provided the cyanonaphthaline 8 in 81% yield. Nitrile 8 was reacted with gaseous HCl in ethanol to afford the corresponding ethyl imidate, which was further converted to the oxazoline 6 upon treatment with 2.0 equiv of (S)-valinol (82% yield, 99% ee). A variation of Meyers' asymmetric tandem addition strategy allowed to break the aromaticity and to introduce a methyl group α to the oxazoline moiety. Hence, reaction of naphthalene 6 with an excess of dimethylphenylsilyl lithium followed by quenching of the adduct anion with dimethyl sulfate provided the β -silvlated α -methylated oxazoline 9 in 80% yield as a single diastereoisomer. Hydrolysis of the oxazoline ring and simultaneous protodesilylation of the dimethylphenylsilyl group using aqueous HCl in dioxane at elevated temperature led to the carboxylic acid 5 in 68% yield and 99% ee. The ee of acid 5 was determined on its methyl ester readily prepared upon treatment of 5 with iodomethane and potassium carbonate in DMF (see the Supporting Information).

With the desired building block **5** in hand, we started to examine the stereospecific decarboxylative γ -arylation for the introduction of the veratryl ring (Scheme 3). Careful experimentation revealed that the reaction works best using 4-veratryl bromide (12) as the electrophile with Pd(dba)₂ as the catalyst (10 mol %) in toluene at 110 °C using Cs₂CO₃ (1.3 equiv) as the base. However, we found the product 4 to

Scheme 3. Decarboxylative γ -Arylation of 5 and Preparation of Galbulin Precursor 18 via a Hydroboration–Matteson– CH₂ Homologation Sequence^{*a*}



^aKey: (a) 4-veratryl bromide (12) (1.2 equiv), Cs_2CO_3 (1.3 equiv), $Pd(dba)_2$ (10 mol %), PhMe (0.3 M), 110 °C, 18 h; (b) Et₃SiH (3.0 equiv), BCl₃ (1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂, 3.0 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 3 h; 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (3.0 equiv); (c) CH₂BrI (10.0 equiv), *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 8.0 equiv), THF, -78 to rt; NaOH_(aq) (0.2 M), pinacol (5.0 equiv).

be highly sensitive toward oxidation and clean isolation without decomposition was not possible. We therefore decided to carry out the planned hydroboration–Matteson–CH₂ homologation sequence on crude **4** without any further purification. Of note, decarboxylative γ -arylation with 4veratryl iodide (**11**) provided a significant amount of undesired dihydronaphthalene **13**, resulting from Heck-type arylation of targeted **4** (ratio **4**:**13** around 1:1 at 110° and around 3:1 at 90 °C; see the Supporting Information).

Diastereoselective hydroboration of crude 4 was achieved with in situ generated Cl₂BH. To this end, triethylsilane was added to the crude mixture of 4, and the solution was then filtered directly into a solution of boron trichloride in CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C to give the hydroboration product 14 (dr around 5:1, see below).²⁵ Addition of pinacol provided the corresponding boronic ester 15, which was again highly unstable, forcing us to continue the reaction sequence without any further purification. Unfortunately, under standard Matteson-CH₂ homologation conditions with dibromomethane as the source of the carbenoid after a permutational interconversion, 15 could not be converted to the boronic ester 17, likely for steric reasons. Substitution of dibromomethane with chloroiodomethane led to the desired homologation, though the conversion as monitored by GC-FID was not satisfactory. We therefore switched to the sterically less bulky neopentyl boronic ester 16, which is readily obtained from 14 upon treatment with neopentyl glycol. As already noted for the corresponding pinacol ester 15, 16 turned out to be too labile for purification and was therefore directly used in the next step. Pleasingly, in combination with chloroiodomethane as carbenoid source, the homologation proceeded smoothly to give 18 that, unfortunately, was again very unstable. However, after transesterification with pinacol, the pinacol boronic ester 17 could be

isolated in 51% overall yield with a diastereomeric ratio of 5:1 over three steps (one pot).

Final protodeboronation of 17 leading to galbulin (1) turned out to be highly challenging. Several known strategies^{26–28} were tested on 17; however, all these established protocols failed to deliver 1. To our delight, the protodeboronation protocol recently developed by our group²³ was applicable to this substrate. Hence, treatment of 17 with phenyllithium gave the corresponding phenylboron–"ate" complex 19. Subsequent oxidation under photoredox conditions and trapping of the generated primary alkyl radical with thiophenol gave (+)-galbulin (1) in 80% yield with a diastereomeric ratio of 5:1 and an ee of 99% (Scheme 4).



^{*a*}Key: (a) PhLi (1.1 equiv), Et₂O, 0 °C to rt, 1 h; (b) $Ir(dFCF_3ppy)_{2}$ -(dtbbpy)PF₆ (2 mol %), PhSH (1.1 equiv), MeOH/acetone (1:1), blue LED, rt, 18 h.

To further harvest the potential of this newly developed strategy for the synthesis of lignans, galbulin analogues were synthesized starting from the nonmethoxylated precursor **20**.

The carboxylic acid **20** was prepared in four steps, starting from commercially available 2-naphthoyl chloride (21) and racemic *tert*-leucinol, to give oxazoline **22** in 58% yield (Scheme 5). Tandem silylation/methylation provided **23** in

Scheme 5. Synthesis of rac-Carboxylic Acid $(20)^{a}$



^{*a*}Key: (a) *rac-tert*-leucinol (1.5 equiv), NEt₃ (1.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , rt, overnight; SOCl₂, 3 h; (b) Me₂PhSiLi (0.9 M in THF, 3.0 equiv), -20 °C, 24 h; MeI (4.0 equiv); (c) TBAF (6.2 equiv), THF, rt, overnight; (d) H₂SO₄, dioxane/H₂O (4:1).

61% yield. Hydrodesilylation with TBAF in THF delivered the oxazoline **24** (78%), which was hydrolyzed under acidic conditions to afford the key carboxylic acid **20** (64%), ready for further diversification toward lignans.

Decarboxylative γ -arylation was achieved using five different aryl iodides (Scheme 6). As for the galbulin synthesis, these intermediates turned out to be sensitive toward air oxidation and the subsequent hydroboration—homologation was thereScheme 6. Synthesis of Unnatural Lignans from Acid 20^a



^{*a*}Key: (a) aryl iodide (1.1 equiv), Cs_2CO_3 (1.2 equiv), $Pd(dba)_2$ (20 mol %), PhMe (0.3 M), 110 °C, 18 h, then Et_3SiH (3.0 equiv), BCl_3 (1.0 M in CH_2Cl_2 , 3.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 3 h; 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (5.0 equiv). then CH_2ICl (10.0 equiv), *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in hexanes, 8.0 equiv), THF, -78 to rt.; $NaOH_{(aq.)}$ (0.2 M), pinacol (5.0 equiv); (b) PhLi (1.1 equiv), THF, -78 °C to rt, 1 h, then $Ir(dFCF_3ppy)_2$ -(dtbbpy)PF₆ (2 mol %), PhSH (1.1 equiv), MeOH/ acetone (1:1), blue LED, rt, 18 h.

fore conducted without further purification to give the boronic esters 25-29 in 30-55% isolated yields with diastereomeric ratios varying from 1.3:1 to 10.5:1. Our protodeboronation protocol afforded the lignans 30-34 in moderate to good overall yields (41%-85%). The protodeboronation is a stereospecific process and the varying diastereoisomeric ratio in 30-34 as compared to the starting boronic esters 25-29 is caused either by the different reactivity of the two isomeric esters toward the protodeboronation or by isomer enrichment during purification.

In summary, we have reported an enantioselective total synthesis of (+)-galbulin (1) starting from commercially available 4-veratraldehyde (7). A Meyers' asymmetric tandem addition, a highly stereospecific γ -arylation, and a diastereoselective formal anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation were successfully used as key steps. The same strategy was also applied to the synthesis of a small series of unnatural lignans 30-34.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02294.

General experimental procedures and characterization of compounds including NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

 Armido Studer – Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany;
orcid.org/0000-0002-1706-513X; Email: studer@wwu.de

Letter

(28) Povie, G.; Villa, G.; Ford, L.; Pozzi, D.; Schiesser, C. H.;

Renaud, P. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 803-805.

Author

Florian Clausen – Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c02294

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). We thank Dr. Grete Hoffmann (WWU Münster) for fruitful discussions.

REFERENCES

- (1) Hughes, G.; Ritchie, E. Aust. J. Chem. 1954, 7, 104-112.
- (2) Xu, P.; Sun, Q.; Wang, X.; Zhang, S.; An, S.; Cheng, J.; Gao, R.; Xiao, H. NeuroToxicology 2010, 31, 680-686.
- (3) Anil Kumar, K.; Kumar Singh, S.; Siva Kumar, B.; Doble, M. Cent. Eur. J. Chem. 2007, 5, 880-897.
- (4) Lv, M.; Xu, H. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2011, 11, 901-909.
- (5) Ayres, D. C.; Loike, J. D. Lignans: Chemical, Biological and Clinical Properties; Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- (6) Beutner, K. R.; Ferenczy, A. Am. J. Med. 1997, 102, 28-37.
- (7) Fitzharris, B. M.; Kaye, S. B.; Saverymuttu, S.; Newlands, E. S.;
- Barrett, A.; Peckham, M. J.; McElwain, T. J. Eur. J. Cancer 1980, 16, 1193-7.
- (8) Loike, J. D. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1982, 7, 103-11.
- (9) Müller, A.; Vajda, M. J. Org. Chem. 1952, 17, 800-806.
- (10) Landais, Y.; Lebrun, A.; Lenain, V.; Robin, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5161-5164.
- (11) Kasatkin, A. N.; Checksfield, G.; Whitby, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3236-3238.
- (12) Datta, P.; Yau, K. C.; Hooper, T. S.; Yvon, B. L.; Charlton, J. L. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8606-8611.
- (13) Hong, B. C.; Hsu, C. S.; Lee, G. H. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2385-2387.
- (14) Li, X.; Jiao, X.; Liu, X.; Tian, C.; Dong, L.; Yao, Y.; Xie, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 6324-6327.
- (15) Reynolds, A. J.; Scott, A. J.; Turner, C. I.; Sherburn, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12108-12109.
- (16) Engelhardt, U.; Sarkar, A.; Linker, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2487-2489.
- (17) Meyers, A. I.; Roth, G. P.; Hoyer, D.; Barner, B. A.; Laucher, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4611-4624.
- (18) Chou, C. M.; Chatterjee, I.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8614-8617.
- (19) Klotter, F.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2473-2476.
- (20) Klotter, F.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8547-8550.
- (21) Scheipers, I.; Koch, E.; Studer, A. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1741-1743.
- (22) Scheipers, I.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C.; Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 6545-6548.
- (23) Clausen, F.; Kischkewitz, M.; Bergander, K.; Studer, A. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 6210-6214.
- (24) Yamada, H.; Hirayama, F.; Koshio, H.; Matsumoto, Y.; Yanagisawa, I. Production of Naphthalene Derivative and Its Production Intermediate. JP2000219665 (A), 2000.
- (25) Soundararajan, R.; Matteson, D. S. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 2274-2275.
- (26) Rasappan, R.; Aggarwal, V. K. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 810-814.
- (27) Pozzi, D.; Scanlan, E. M.; Renaud, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14204-14205.