This article was downloaded by: [Stony Brook University] On: 18 December 2014, At: 19:21 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lsyc20

# Lactam Coupling as a Versatile Route to Indoprofen Analogs

Keith D. Barnes<sup>a</sup>, Ping Chen<sup>a</sup>, Yingyan Chang<sup>a</sup>, Robert M. Lewis<sup>a</sup>, Christopher M. Manley<sup>a</sup>, Nicholas J. Mayhew<sup>a</sup>, Stephen H.

Steffke  $^{\rm a}$  , Guixing Wang  $^{\rm a}$  , Yi Wang  $^{\rm a}$  , Yuh-Lin A. Yang  $^{\rm a}$  & John W. Lippert III  $^{\rm a}$ 

<sup>a</sup> Medicinal Chemistry Department , AMRI , Albany, New York, USA Published online: 14 Dec 2010.

To cite this article: Keith D. Barnes , Ping Chen , Yingyan Chang , Robert M. Lewis , Christopher M. Manley , Nicholas J. Mayhew , Stephen H. Steffke , Guixing Wang , Yi Wang , Yuh-Lin A. Yang & John W. Lippert III (2010) Lactam Coupling as a Versatile Route to Indoprofen Analogs, Synthetic Communications: An International Journal for Rapid Communication of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, 41:1, 67-72, DOI: 10.1080/00397910903531813

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397910903531813</u>

#### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>



Synthetic Communications<sup>(®)</sup>, 41: 67–72, 2011 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 0039-7911 print/1532-2432 online DOI: 10.1080/00397910903531813

## LACTAM COUPLING AS A VERSATILE ROUTE TO INDOPROFEN ANALOGS

Keith D. Barnes, Ping Chen, Yingyan Chang, Robert M. Lewis, Christopher M. Manley, Nicholas J. Mayhew, Stephen H. Steffke, Guixing Wang, Yi Wang, Yuh-Lin A. Yang, and John W. Lippert III Medicinal Chemistry Department, AMRI, Albany, New York, USA

A convergent synthesis of indoprofen via a Buchwald coupling approach is reported. Using this methodology, indoprofen and a set of analogs of indoprofen were readily prepared.

Keywords: Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling; indoprofen; palladium

#### INTRODUCTION

Indoprofen (1), a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug that was withdrawn from the world market in the 1980s after postmarketing reports of severe gastrointestinal bleeding, has resurfaced within the drug discovery realm.<sup>[1]</sup> In 2004, Stockwell and coworkers found through high-throughput screening that indoprofen increases production of the survival motor neuron protein, suggesting that it may provide insight into the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy.<sup>[1]</sup>

A number of syntheses of indoprofen (1) have been reported,<sup>[2]</sup> but we felt none of these were attractive for readily preparing an array of analogs. To obtain a variety of derivatives of indoprofen (1), new synthetic methods were explored. Herein we report a new convergent approach to obtain the indoprofen scaffold via a palladium-mediated Buchwald–Hartwig cross-coupling reaction.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The preparation of indoprofen and a small set of analogs substituted on the aryl portion of isoindolin-1-one was accomplished using a carbon–nitrogen cross-coupling. Buchwald, Hartwig, and coworkers<sup>[3]</sup> have previously described both the palladium- and copper-catalyzed coupling of amides and aryl halides. Using the Buchwald–Hartwig palladium-catalyzed coupling method, we found that the indoprofen core structure could be constructed in a quick and efficient manner by

Received September 16, 2009.

Address correspondence to John W. Lippert III, Medicinal Chemistry Department, AMRI, 26 Corporate Circle, P.O. Box 15098, Albany, NY 12212-15098, USA. E-mail: John.Lippert@ amriglobal.com



Figure 1. Indoprofen.

coupling the appropriately substituted isoindolin-1-ones with 2-(4-bromophenyl)propanoate. The preparations of the coupling partners were carried out using standard synthetic organic transformations, and in most cases the isoindolin-1-ones were commercially available unless otherwise noted in this text. The synthesis of methyl 2-(4-bromophenyl)propanoate has previously been reported.<sup>[4]</sup>

Table 1 illustrates the results from the palladium-mediated cross-coupling conditions of isoindolin-1-ones 2a-i utilizing  $Pd_2(dba)_3/Xantphos$ . The methyl ester of indoprofen 3a was obtained in 56% yield, and products containing the methoxy groups 3b-e on all positions of the benzo ring gave comparable yields (57–78%) in the palladium coupling system investigated. With the chloro groups, however, the yields ranged considerably. In the case of the 4-Cl 3f and 7-Cl 3i analogs, the yields were poor, 8% and 36%, respectively. In contrast, the 5-Cl 3g and 6-Cl 3h analogs were obtained in 73% and 59% yields, respectively.

Based on the encouraging biological activities<sup>[5]</sup> demonstrated by the C-6 substituted analogs, we further explored substitution at this position with a variety of substituents (Table 2).

The C-6 substituted isoindolin-1-ones 4c-e, **h**, and **i** have been previously described in the literature, and isoindolin-1-ones 4a, **b**, **f**, and **g** were prepared by similar methods.

6 R 1 5 4 <b>2a-i</b>	$ \begin{array}{c}  & Br \\ \hline  & CO_2CH_3 \\ \hline  & Pd_2(dba)_3, Xantphos \\ CS_2CO_3, 1,4-dioxane \\ 120 ^{\circ}C \\ \end{array} $	I-CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> Ba-i
Compound	R	Yield (%)
3a	Н	56
3b	4-OCH <sub>3</sub>	73
3c	5-OCH <sub>3</sub>	57
3d	6-OCH <sub>3</sub>	78
3e	7-OCH <sub>3</sub>	66
3f	4-Cl	8
3g	5-Cl	73
3h	6-Cl	59
3i	7-Cl	36

Table 1. Palladium coupling products

R NH 4a-i	H $\xrightarrow{\text{Br}}_{\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3}^{\text{R}}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3}_{\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{R}}_{\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{R}}_{\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{R}$	о N
Compound	R	Yield (%)
5a	N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	78
5b	OCH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	63
5c	CH <sub>3</sub>	72
5d	$C_6H_5$	70
5e	F	57
5f	CF <sub>3</sub>	51
5g	CN	16
5h	$SO_2CH_3$	8

Table 2. Palladium coupling products of C-6 substituted isoindolin-1-ones

From the results tabulated in Table 2, it was evident that as one moved from electron-donating to electron-withdrawing groups, the overall yield of the palladium-catalyzed coupling decreased to the point where no reaction occurred, as shown in entry **5i**. One possible explanation is that strongly electron-withdrawing groups affect the pKa of the amide, resulting in increased acidity and therefore reduced nucleophilicity, leading to poor reaction efficiency. Shakespeare<sup>[3d]</sup> noted this with the cross-coupling of aliphatic amides.

NO<sub>2</sub>

Indoprofen (1) and the substituted indoprofen analogs were readily obtained by saponification of the coupled products under conventional conditions using lithium hydroxide in yields of 72-98%.

In conclusion, a new convergent method based on C-N cross-coupling for the synthesis of indoprofen and its analogs has been developed. The desired coupling partners can be prepared in a straightforward manner using standard organic transformations.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

5i

Unless otherwise noted, reagents and solvents were used as received from commercial suppliers. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 300 spectrometer at 300 MHz and Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer at 500 MHz. Spectra are given in parts per million ( $\delta$ ), and coupling constants, *J* values, are reported in hertz. Tetramethylsilane was used as an internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained on a Thermo Finnigan AQA Mass Spectrometer (electrospray ionization) or a Finnigan LCQ Duo liquid chromatograph-mass spectrometer (APCI). All compounds were purified using ISCO combi-flash column chromatography.

0

#### **General Palladium Coupling Procedure**

A mixture of the appropriately substituted isoindolin-1-one (1.2 mmol), 2-(4-bromophenyl)propanoate (1 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium (3 mol%), Xantphos (8 mol%), cesium carbonate (1.4 mmol), and 1,4-dioxane (2 mL) was heated under argon in a sealed tube at 120 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by chromatography to afford the desired product. The data for the compounds in Tables 1 and 2 are shown.

#### Selected Data

**Compound 3a.** White solid: mp 110–111 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.86 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (m, 2H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.01 (s, 2H), 3.82 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.41 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 296 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3b.** Off-white solid: mp 105–106 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.81 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.40 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 326 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3c.** White solid: mp 114–115 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.82 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.81 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.41 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 326 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3d.** White solid: mp 130–131 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.84 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 2H), 4.93 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.82 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 1.41 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 326 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3e.** Off-white solid: mp 105–107 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.83 (m, 2H), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.34 (m, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (s, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.73 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 326 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3f.** White solid: mp 160–162 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.83–7.81 (m, 3H), 7.56 (dd, J = 7.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.36 (m, 2H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 3.75 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 330 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3g.** Off-white solid: mp 160–162 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85–7.78 (m, 3H), 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.37 (m, 2H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 3.75 (q, *J*=7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 3H); ESI MS *m*/*z* 330 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3h.** White solid: mp 160–161 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.89 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 6.8, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 4.83 (s, 2H), 3.75 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 330 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 3i.** Off-white solid: mp 110–113 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.82 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.66–7.63 (m, J = 7.5, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 3.82 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 1.41 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 330 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5a.** Off-white solid: mp 169–170 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.82 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (s, 2H), 3.74 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 6H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 339 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5b.** White solid: mp 71 – 74 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.81 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (m, 4H), 7.12 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (s, 2H), 4.65 (m, 1H), 3.74 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.37 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 6H); ESI MS m/z 354 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5c.** White solid: mp 139–142 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.79 (s, 2H), 3.74 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 310 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5d.** White solid: mp 155–158 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.15 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (m, 3H), 7.66 (m, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (m, 3H), 4.89 (s, 2H), 3.75 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.53 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 372 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5e.** White solid: mp 134–136 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (td, J = 4.3, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 3.75 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 314 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5f.** White solid: mp 105–107 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.20 (s, 1H), 7.86 (dd, J=7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.66 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.37 (m, 2H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 3.76 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 364 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5g.** Off-white solid: mp 186–187 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.21 (s, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J=7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J=8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J=4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.93 (s, 2H), 3.76 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.52 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 321 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound 5h.** Off-white solid: mp 196–197 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.50 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 3.76 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 1.53 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ESI MS m/z 374 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge Drs. Jill Heemskerk (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke), John M. McCall (PharMac LLC), Sabina Robinson (Science Applications International Corporation), Amelie Gubitz (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke), and James A. Romano Jr. (Science Applications International Corporation) for their advice, direction, and support on this project. This project has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institute of Health, under Contract No. N01-NS-3-2356.

#### REFERENCES

- (a) Lunn, M. R.; Root, D. E.; Martino, A. M.; Flaherty, S. P.; Kelley, B. P.; Coovert, D. D.; Burghes, A. H.; Man, N. T.; Morris, G. E.; Zhou, J.; Androphy, E. J.; Sumner, C. J.; Stockwell, B. R. Indoprofen upregulates the survival motor neuron protein through a cyclooxygenase-independent mechanism. *Chem. Biol.* 2004, *11*, 1489–1493; (b) Spinal Muscular Atrophy Foundation; www.smafoundation.org/pdf/White\_Paper.pdf (accessed March 2007); (c) Sangiuolo, F.; Botta, A.; Filareto, A.; Spitalieri, P.; Novelli, G. Therapeutic strategies for the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) disease. *Cur. Genom.* 2006, *7*, 381–386; (d) Wolstencroft, E. C.; Mattis, V.; Bajer, A. A.; Young, P. J.; Lorson, C. L. A non-sequence-specific requirement for SMN protein activity: The role of aminoglycosides in inducing elevated SMN protein levels. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2005, *14*, 1199–1210.
- 2. (a) Kametani, T.; Kigasawa, K.; Hiiragi, M.; Ishimaru, H.; Haga, S.; Shirayama, K. Studies on the syntheses of analgesics, part 50: Synthesis of optically active 2-[4-(1-oxo-2-isoindolinyl)phenyl]propanoic acid. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1978, 15, 369-375; (b) Damodar, J.; Mohan, S. K.; Lateef, S. K. K.; Reddy, S. J. Electrosynthesis of 2-arylpropionic acids from α-methylbenzyl chlorides and carbon dioxide by [Co(Salen)]. Synth. Commun. 2005, 35, 1143–1150; (c) Prasad, C. S. N.; Varala, R.; Adapa, S. R. A facile preparation of phthalimides and a new approach to the synthesis of indoprofen via carbonylation. Heterocycl. Commun. 2002, 8, 281–286; (d) Lawrence, N. J.; Liddle, J.; Bushell, S. M.; Jackson, D. A. A three-component coupling process based on vicarious nucleophilic substitution  $(VNS_{AB})$ -alkylation reactions: An approach to indoprofen and derivatives. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 457–464; (e) Takahashi, I.; Hirano, E.; Kawakami, T.; Kitajima, H. Application of the phthalimidine synthesis with use of 1,2,3-1 H-benzotriazole and 2-mercaptoethanol as dual synthetic auxiliaries, part 1: A simple synthesis of  $(\pm)$ -indoprofen. Heterocycles 1996, 43, 2343–2346; (f) Rufer, C.; Bahlmann, F.; Kapp, J. F.; Forschungslab; Schering, A.-G. New acylated 2-(4-aminophenyl)-propionic acids as potential anti-inflammatory agents. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1978, 13, 193-198.
- (a) Yin, J.; Buchwald, S. L. Pd-catalyzed intermolecular amidation of aryl halides: The discovery that Xantphos can be trans-chelating in a palladium complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6043–6048; (b) Klapars, A.; Huang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. A general and efficient copper catalyst for the amidation of aryl halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7421–7428; (c) Yin, J.; Buchwald, S. L. Palladium-catalyzed intermolecular coupling of aryl halides and amides. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1101–1104; (d) Shakespeare, W. C. Palladium-catalyzed coupling of lactams with bromobenzene. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2035–2038; (e) Mann, G.; Hartwig, J. F.; Driver, M. S.; Fernfindez-Rivas, C. Palladium-catalyzed C-N(sp<sup>2</sup>) bond formation: N-Arylation of aromatic and unsaturated nitrogen and the reductive elimination chemistry of palladium azolyl and methyleneamido complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 827–828.
- Yamauchi, T.; Nakao, K.; Fujii, K. Oxidative rearrangement of aryl ethyl ketones to alkyl 2-arylpropanoates by lead(IV) acetate. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1987, 1433–1436.
- Heemskerk, J.; Barnes, K. D.; McCall, J. M.; Johnson, G.; Fairfax, D.; Johnson, M. R. 1-Oxo-isoindoline compounds for the treatment of spinal muscular atrophy and other uses. PCT Intl. Patent Appl. WO 2007/109211, Sept. 27, 2007.