

pubs.acs.org/OPRD

Article

Ruthenium-Catalyzed Ester Reductions Applied to Pharmaceutical Intermediates

Youssef Shaalan, Lee Boulton,* and Craig Jamieson

Cite This: https	s://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.0	c00410	Read Online		
ACCESS	III Metrics & More		E Article Recommendations		Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Ruthenium pincer complexes were synthesized and used for catalytic ester reductions under mild conditions (~5 bar of hydrogen). An experimental design approach was used to optimize the conditions for yield, purity, and robustness. Evidence for the catalytically active ruthenium dihydride species is presented. Observed intermediates and side products, as well as time-course data, were used to build mechanistic insight. The optimized procedure was further demonstrated through scaled-up reductions of two pharmaceutically relevant esters, both in batch and continuous flow.

KEYWORDS: ester reduction, ruthenium catalysis, hydrogenation, CSTR flow, batch scale-up, mechanistic insight

INTRODUCTION

Ester reductions are staple functional group interconversions encountered throughout organic syntheses.^{1,2} For the purpose of small-scale syntheses, these are typically achieved using nucleophilic hydride reagents such as lithium aluminum hydride.³ While these reagents are reliable, the process of handling and quenching these reagents is hazardous, and they generate significant waste streams often requiring specialist disposal, making them nonpreferred for larger-scale applications.⁴ As such, this makes catalytic alternatives an attractive prospect. Catalytic homogeneous ester reductions have gained increased attention over the past two decades.⁵ These have the advantages of being operable under milder conditions compared to those required by heterogeneous reductions and exhibit higher selectivity toward esters in the presence of other functional groups, including alkenes and aromatic heterocycles.⁵

Numerous reviews on this topic have been published.⁵⁻¹⁰ Pioneering work in this field was done by Grey,¹¹ Teunissen and Elsevier,¹² as well as Milstein and co-workers (Figure 1).¹³





Since these findings, significant efforts within academia have been directed toward designing a wealth of catalyst systems based on ruthenium, iridium,¹⁴ osmium,¹⁵ and rhenium¹⁶ and increasingly base metals including iron,^{17,18} manganese,^{19,20} and cobalt.²¹ Extensive work has also been done within the fragrance and flavor industry, with Geisser,²² Kuriyama,⁴ and Saudan²³ having reported their own catalysts, these typically being ruthenium pincer complexes bearing an NH group. These catalysts typically exploit "metal–ligand cooperation" as a means of heterolytically cleaving molecular hydrogen to achieve the desired reactivity.²⁴ A simplified mechanistic cycle is illustrated below (Figure 2). For more in-depth mechanistic discussions, see studies by Dub^{25,26} and Schaub.²⁷



Figure 2. General catalytic cycle for ester hydrogenation.

Adoption of this technology within the pharmaceutical industry in contrast has been significantly slower, and metal hydride reagents are more typically employed.² The primary concerns are the high pressures of hydrogen required for these catalysts to operate efficiently, as well as the limited functional

Received: September 15, 2020



group tolerance. The reduction of 2,2-difluoro-2-phenylacetate, an intermediate en route to a β -2-adrenergic receptor agonist, has previously been achieved using Ru-MACHO under 20 bar of hydrogen enabled by the use of a continuous flow reactor.²⁸ The issue of high-pressure reductions has been addressed in a recent publication wherein a ruthenium pincer complex bearing a carbene ligand (I) was shown to reduce esters under particularly mild conditions.²⁹

Herein, efforts toward understanding the applicability of this area of catalysis toward reduction of pharmaceutically relevant ester-containing substrates are made. Mechanistic factors are discussed, and the formation of side products is ultimately suppressed using an experimental design approach. Finally, the reduction of two pharmaceutically relevant esters is demonstrated on large scale in batch and continuous flow.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A variety of ruthenium catalysts were synthesized following modification of a procedure reported by Ogata, Kayaki, and co-workers (Scheme 1).²⁹ Reaction of commercially available





 $[\operatorname{Ru}(p\text{-cymene})\operatorname{Cl}_2]$ with silver carbene transfer reagent (1) afforded ruthenium carbene complex (2) in good yield. Substitution of the *p*-cymene ligand with a variety of tridentate ligands was achieved by heating these with complex 2 in ethanol. This allowed the formation of the reported Ru(PNP) complex (I) as well as three Ru(SNS) complexes. Ru(SNS) complexes bearing a PPh₃ ligand have been previously shown to possess high activity by Gusev and co-workers.³⁰

Ru(SNS) complexes IIa, IIb, and IIc have not previously been reported, though a procedure for in situ generation of a Ru(SNS) complex bearing a carbene ligand has previously been suggested.³¹ The meridional geometry of the ligand on Ru(diEtSNS) (IIa) was confirmed with a crystal structure. While the catalysts are air-sensitive in the solution state, they are stable to ambient conditions when dry.

Formation of the active dihydride complex was achieved by subjecting Ru(PNP) (I) to a base and hydrogen in an NMR tube. A single new compound was observed by ³¹P NMR with two hydride signals in the ¹H NMR [$\delta = -7.33$ (td, J = 21.0, 9.5 Hz), -7.71 (td, J = 21.0, 9.5 Hz)], with chemical shifts and coupling constants comparable to those previously reported on related ruthenium complexes (full details in the Supporting Information (SI)).³²

Low pressures of hydrogen (5 bar) were employed when comparing the catalytic performance of the complexes as a means of making the conditions more accessible to hydrogenation vessels typically used within the pharmaceutical industry (Table 1). 2-MeTHF was employed as a more

Table 1. Comparison of the Catalytic Activity with Respec	:t
to Reduction of Methyl 2-Naphthoate	



[&]quot;Reaction conversion assessed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) at 220 nm.

process-friendly alternative to tetrahydrofuran (THF) (see the SI for details).³³ KOt-Bu and KOMe were both found to efficiently promote the reaction, while sodium and lithium alkoxide bases, as well as organic bases, did not (see the SI for details).

The model substrate, methyl 2-naphthoate, was seen to reduce most cleanly with Ru(PNP) (I). Furthermore, examination of the gas-uptake curves revealed Ru(PNP) (I) to reduce the ester at the fastest rate. The Ru(SNS) complexes also had significantly longer induction periods. Accordingly, Ru(PNP) (I) was used for the remainder of this study.

To get a better understanding of how the robustness of the reaction outcome is affected by the continuous variables, an experimental design was conducted. A two-level, full-factorial design with four center points was run, wherein base loading, pressure, temperature, and concentration were the parameters investigated (Table 2).

Table 2. Parameters for Experimental Design



All reactions reached completion within 8 h or less, and good reproducibility was seen for the center-point conditions (full details in the SI). The temperature primarily affected the rate of reaction, with certain reactions going to completion in under an hour. Increased hydrogen pressure was beneficial, as this resulted in cleaner reaction profiles. Less starting material was observed, and formation of side products, such as those formed through transesterification, were disfavored. The concentration and quantity of the base were also found to affect the extent of hydrolysis that took place (Figure 3). In particular, addition of less base and running reactions more concentrated diminished its formation. This is consistent with the inherent residual moisture content present within both the base and the solvent on this scale.

Following this, a number of different substrates were investigated to give a variety of pharmaceutically relevant primary alcohols (Table 3).³⁴⁻³⁹ Potentially labile C–I (entry 1) and C–Br (entry 4) bonds were shown to be preserved. Certain heterocycles containing esters, including pyridyl esters

hydrolysis side-product

Figure 3. Surface response for hydrolysis side product.

Table 3. Substrates Investigated a,b,c

Ru(PNP) (I) (1.5 mol%), H₂ (5 bar) KO*t*-Bu (20 mol%)





(entries 5 and 6), were tolerated. Other heterocycles, including thiazoles, isoxazoles, and imidazoles, in contrast, remained unreactive. Nitro- and nitrile-containing compounds were also shown to preclude reactivity, even when higher catalyst loadings were employed (see the SI for a full list of substrates). Based on these findings, we envisage this transformation being most applicable to less functionally rich substrates.

Interestingly, the anilino ester (entry 2) reduced particularly slowly, likely due to the reduced electrophilicity of the ester. In addition to the product alcohol, other intermediates including aldehyde were isolated from the reaction mixture (Figure 4), providing evidence that at least in certain cases, aldehydes (or masked forms thereof) may be formed before reduction to the alcohol.



Figure 4. Isolated intermediates and side products.

Intrigued by these observations, we were interested in establishing what other side products are formed in this reaction. Monitoring the reduction of methyl benzoate by React IR revealed that before hydrogen is applied, a preequilibrium exists between the ester and the base, resulting in formation of *tert*-butyl benzoate (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Formation of tert-butyl ester observed by IR.

In addition to this, irreversible hydrolysis also takes place (Figure 6). During the reduction of methyl benzoate,



Figure 6. React IR time-course reduction of methyl benzoate.

benzaldehyde was not directly observed. This suggests for this particular substrate that benzaldehyde was only formed either transiently or masked as a hemiacetal or indeed in its hydrated form. Benzyl benzoate, while known to form under these conditions, could not be differentiated from starting material by React IR.

In addition, a temperature-dependent induction was observable, whereby the end of the induction period also coincided with formation of the hydrolysis product plateauing. This strongly suggests the induction period to be directly linked with the consumption of adventitious water. Water has indeed previously been shown to inhibit activity in related

catalytic systems.³² Addition of 20 mol % of potassium benzoate showed no deleterious effect on conversion.

Based on the previous observations, the following scheme depicts the proposed fate of the ester substrate (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Fate of Ester



During the induction period, hydrolysis and transesterification with the base take place. Upon formation of the active catalytic species, reduction of either ester takes place. This initially forms an aldehyde, possibly as a short-lived intermediate or masked as a hemiacetal or hydrate, and then is further reduced to the product alcohol. The product may then undergo transesterification with the starting material to give a homocoupled ester; this too, given enough time may reduce to the product alcohol. With the exception of the hydrolysis side product, all other substrates eventually react to give the product.

With this knowledge in hand, two of the previously identified pharmaceutically relevant intermediates were considered for further examination. First, the reduction of methyl 6-bromo-2-naphthoate³⁷ was performed on a 10 g scale (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Reduction of Methyl 6-bromo-2-naphthaote



The reaction was run in a 500 mL glass-jacketed vessel. Solids were observed to precipitate out of the solution as the reaction proceeded, presumably due to the product alcohol being less soluble in the reaction solvent, 2-MeTHF, than the starting material. The reaction was left overnight, after which the rate of gas consumption had plateaued. At this stage, the reaction mixture was sampled, and complete consumption of starting material was indicated. Isolation of the product was performed by aqueous extraction, followed by a put-and-take distillation with addition of isooctane. Compared to the existing process in which the reduction was performed with DIBAL-H, the isolation procedure was significantly simplified. pubs.acs.org/OPRD

No issues with exotherms or formation of emulsions took place during the workup while achieving a similar Process Mass Intensity (PMI).³⁷ Furthermore, the composition of the resulting waste streams was more favorable. In addition to the desired product, hydrolysis side product (3) and desbromo side product (4) were observed by HPLC as low-level impurities (Scheme 4).





The hydrolysis side product (3) formed while affecting the yield was readily removed by performing a basic wash. The desbromo side product (4), thought to form as a result of trace palladium present in the vessel, was not readily purged.

Following on from this, we investigated transferring the hydrogenation of methyl 6-bromo-2-naphthoate to a continuous flow reactor. The potential benefits of this would be to allow access to higher temperatures/pressures in a safer manner, should this be necessary as a means of intensifying the process. The key challenge to overcome based on previous batch observations was the issue of solids being generated over the course of the reaction, which would likely block conventional tubing or capillaries. As such, a bespoke CSTR flow reactor available from Autichem Ltd. was examined with the following setup (Figure 7).

The two inputs into the reactor are hydrogen gas and the reaction mixture consisting of methyl 6-bromo-2-naphthoate, KOt-Bu, Ru(PNP) (I), and 2-MeTHF, which were made up in a feed tank. As the liquid phase enters the reactor, the rotating agitator ensured efficient gas—liquid contact. The rate at which the mixture was pumped gave control over the residence time. In addition to giving a plug-flow-like behavior, this setup has the added benefit of having a greater tolerance for solids as the agitator helped mobilize slurries. Initial runs resulted in no conversion, as KOt-Bu had settled within the feed tank in which the reaction mixture was made up and thus did not enter the reactor. This issue was resolved by replacing solid KOt-Bu with KOt-Bu as a solution in THF to give a homogeneous feed solution. The results from the runs following this are summarized below (Table 4).

The setup was operationally straightforward and worked with minimal complications to afford a suspension of the product. Long residence times were required to achieve high conversions. The longest residence time (54 min) would allow 50 g of substrate to be reduced in \sim 17 h. While the reactor itself was rated to higher temperatures and pressures, other components in this particular setup were rated to a maximum of 7 bar of pressure. Throughput could in principle be increased by applying higher temperatures and pressures. Furthermore, larger variants of these reactors are commercially available.

Following on from this, we focused our attention on the large-scale reduction of methyl 6-methylnicotinate, another pharmaceutically relevant intermediate (Scheme 5).³⁹ The reaction was performed in a 5 L Hastelloy pressure reactor equipped with a gas entrainment impeller. A lower catalyst loading (0.1 mol %) was used without loss of activity.

pubs.acs.org/OPRD

Article



Figure 7. Schematic of CSTR flow setup.

Table 4. Results from CSTR Flow Reactor







After an initial induction period of about 15 min, the reaction proceeded very quickly, and of the 50 L of hydrogen gas-uptake measure in total, 47 L was consumed within the first 1.5 h. During this time, the highest recorded temperature was 53 °C, 3 °C above the jacket temperature. This reflected insignificant exothermic activity, and no additional restrictions on the feed rate of hydrogen gas were deemed necessary at this scale. Once the reaction had reached completion, the solvent was distilled off and the product was isolated by vacuum distillation using a wiped film evaporator. In addition to effectively purging the less volatile hydrolysis product, 6methylnicotinic acid, this also reduced the level of residual ruthenium to 10 ppm. Compared to an existing LiAlH₄ process,³⁹ a significantly improved PMI was achieved (20 vs 190) and may be further improved by reducing the mechanical losses that occurred during the isolation process. This improved PMI is both a reflection of employing a catalytic rather than a stoichiometric method, as well as less solvent being required for the quench and workup of the reaction. Further to this, the waste stream consisted of residues enriched in ruthenium, which could be sent directly for metal recovery.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the catalytic reduction of pharmaceutically relevant esters using low hydrogen pressures has been demonstrated. Both batch and continuous flow setups have been utilized, the former benefitting from being amenable for transfer into multipurpose hydrogenation vessels and the latter benefitting from the potential for higher throughput. The workup procedures and isolations were simplified compared to corresponding metal hydride-mediated reductions, and aqueous aluminum-containing waste streams were avoided. In the case of the reduction of methyl 6-methylnicotinate, a significantly improved PMI was also obtained. Work is ongoing in assessing other pharmaceutically relevant substrates, as well as transferring hydrogenations to a high-pressure segmented flow reactor.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Large-Scale Batch Reduction of Methyl 6-Bromo-2naphthoate. To a 500 mL Ecoclave equipped with a gas entrainment impeller was added methyl 6-bromo-2-naphthoate (10 g, 38 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Ru(PNP) (I) (268 mg, 0.377 mmol, 0.01 equiv). The vessel was left to purge with nitrogen for 5 min before adding 2-MeTHF (100 mL) and potassium tert-butoxide (847 mg, 7.54 mmol, 0.20 equiv). The vessel was sealed, and the impeller was set to stir at 300 rpm. The vessel was pressurized with nitrogen (3.5 bar), then vented $(\times 3)$, and then pressurized with hydrogen (3.5 bar), then vented. The vessel was then pressurized and maintained with hydrogen (4.0 bar). The jacket of the vessel was heated to 45 $^{\circ}$ C, the impeller was set to 700 rpm, and the mixture was left in this state for 4 h. Analysis by HPLC indicated >99% conversion. 1 M aqueous hydrochloric acid (100 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 1000 rpm for 10 min. The organic phase was separated and passed through a silica plug (16 g, 2.5 cm). The solvent was removed by distillation while continually adding isooctane (90 mL). Following this, the mixture was cooled in an ice bath. The precipitated solids were collected by filtration and dried under reduced pressure to obtain the product as a pale yellow powder (7.3 g, 31 mmol, 82%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.00 (d, 1H, J = 1.5 Hz, ArH), 7.79 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.75 (d, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.71 (d, 1H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.56

(dd, 1H, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, ArH), 7.51 (dd, 1H, J = 8.5, 1.5 Hz, ArH), 4.86 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 1.80 (br. s, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 139.0, 134.1, 132.0, 129.9, 129.74, 129.68, 127.6, 126.3, 125.4, 120.0, 65.4.

Large-Scale Reduction of Methyl 6-Bromo-2-naphthoate in Continuous Flow. A stirred feed-tank was charged with Ru(PNP) (I) (668 mg, 0.941 mmol, 0.005 equiv), methyl 6-bromo-2-naphthoate (50 g, 189 mmol, 1 equiv), potassium *tert*-butoxide (38 mL, 38 mmol, 1 M in THF), and 2-MeTHF (500 mL) while maintaining a nitrogen atmosphere.

Through an Autichem CSTR flow reactor was pumped the reaction mixture while also being supplied with hydrogen gas (5 bar). The jacket of the reactor was set to 65 °C, and the agitator was set to 219 rpm. The residence time of the reaction mixture was varied by altering the rate at which the mixture was pumped (54, 23, and 12 min). The reaction was left to reach a steady state before directly sampling the resulting reaction mixture for analysis.

Large-Scale Batch Reduction of Methyl 6-Methylnicotinate. To a 5 L Büchi Kiloclave equipped with a gas entrainment impeller was added methyl 6-methylnicotinate (200 g, 1.32 mol, 1.0 equiv), Ru(PNP) (I) (937 mg, 1.32 mmol, 0.0010 equiv), potassium tert-butoxide (29.7 g, 265 mmol, 0.20 equiv), and 2-MeTHF (2.5 L). The vessel was sealed and purged with nitrogen $(3 \times 3 \text{ bar})$, then hydrogen $(3 \times 3 \text{ bar})$ \times 2.5 bar), before being filled and maintained with hydrogen (2.8 bar). The jacket temperature was set to 50 °C, and the impeller was set to 750 rpm. After 1.5 h, the hydrogen pressure was increased (5 bar) and left to stir overnight. The jacket temperature was set to 20 °C, the hydrogen was released, and the vessel was purged with nitrogen $(3 \times 5 \text{ bar})$. HPLC analysis indicated >98% conversion. Saturated ammonium chloride solution (30 mL) was added, and the solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure. The product was then isolated by vacuum distillation (100 °C, 0.7 mbar) to obtain the final product as a yellow solid (118 g, 955 mmol, 72%). ¹H **NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.34 (s, 1H, NCH), 7.59 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, NCCHCH), 7.12 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, NCCH). 4.64 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.77 (br. s, 1H, OH), 2.50 (s, 3H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 157.5, 147.8, 136.7, 133.8, 123.3, 62.4, 24.0.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.oprd.0c00410.

Experimental procedures; characterization of compounds; PMI calculations; and further details of DoE studies (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Lee Boulton – Chemical Development, GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Stevenage SG1 2NY, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-3749-5524; Email: lee.t.boulton@gsk.com

Authors

Youssef Shaalan – Chemical Development, GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Stevenage SG1 2NY, United Kingdom; Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL, United Kingdom Craig Jamieson – Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL, United Kingdom; © orcid.org/0000-0002-6567-8272

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.0c00410

Author Contributions

Experimental work was performed by Y.S. The manuscript was written through the contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank GlaxoSmithKline and the University of Strathclyde for a Ph.D. studentship. Special thanks also go to the EPSRC for funding via Prosperity Partnership EP/S035990/1. The authors also acknowledge the contributions of G. Williams, L. Edwards, A.-S. Bretonnet and S. Bate (GSK), and A. Kennedy (UoS).

REFERENCES

(1) Seyden-Penne, J. Reductions by the Alumino-and Borohydrides in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons, 1997.

(2) Magano, J.; Dunetz, J. R. Large-Scale Carbonyl Reductions in the Pharmaceutical Industry. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 1156-1184.
(3) Walker, E. R. H. The functional group selectivity of complex hydride reducing agents. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1976, 5, 23-50.

(4) Kuriyama, W.; Matsumoto, T.; Ogata, O.; Ino, Y.; Aoki, K.; Tanaka, S.; Ishida, K.; Kobayashi, T.; Sayo, N.; Saito, T. Catalytic Hydrogenation of Esters. Development of an Efficient Catalyst and Processes for Synthesising (R)-1,2-Propanediol and 2-(l-Menthoxy)ethanol. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2012**, *16*, 166–171.

(5) Zhou, Y.; Khan, R.; Fan, B.; Xu, L. Ruthenium-Catalyzed Selective Reduction of Carboxylic Esters and Carboxamides. *Synthesis* **2019**, *51*, 2491–2505.

(6) Clarke, M. L. Recent developments in the homogeneous hydrogenation of carboxylic acid esters. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 2418–2423.

(7) Dub, P. A.; Ikariya, T. Catalytic Reductive Transformations of Carboxylic and Carbonic Acid Derivatives Using Molecular Hydrogen. *ACS Catal.* **2012**, *2*, 1718–1741.

(8) Werkmeister, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Catalytic Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acid Esters, Amides, and Nitriles with Homogeneous Catalysts. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2014**, *18*, 289–302.

(9) Pritchard, J.; Filonenko, G. A.; van Putten, R.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Pidko, E. A. Heterogeneous and homogeneous catalysis for the hydrogenation of carboxylic acid derivatives: history, advances and future directions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 3808–3833.

(10) Hey, D. A.; Reich, R. M.; Baratta, W.; Kühn, F. E. Current advances on ruthenium(II) N-heterocyclic carbenes in hydrogenation reactions. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *374*, 114–132.

(11) Grey, R. A.; Pez, G. P.; Wallo, A. Anionic metal hydride catalysts. 2. Application to the hydrogenation of ketones, aldehydes, carboxylic acid esters, and nitriles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 7536–7542.

(12) Teunissen, H. T.; Elsevier, C. J. Homogeneous ruthenium catalyzed hydrogenation of esters to alcohols. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1367–1368.

(13) Zhang, J.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Efficient homogeneous catalytic hydrogenation of esters to alcohols. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 1113–1115.

(14) Yan, X.; Yang, X. Mechanistic insights into the iridium catalysed hydrogenation of ethyl acetate to ethanol: a DFT study. *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 10172–10178.

pubs.acs.org/OPRD

(15) Spasyuk, D.; Smith, S.; Gusev, D. G. From esters to alcohols and back with ruthenium and osmium catalysts. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 2772–2775.

(16) Naruto, M.; Agrawal, S.; Toda, K.; Saito, S. Catalytic transformation of functionalized carboxylic acids using multifunctional rhenium complexes. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, No. 3425.

(17) Alberico, E.; Sponholz, P.; Cordes, C.; Nielsen, M.; Drexler, H. J.; Baumann, W.; Junge, H.; Beller, M. Selective hydrogen production from methanol with a defined iron pincer catalyst under mild conditions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 14162–14166.

(18) Fairweather, N. T.; Gibson, M. S.; Guan, H. Homogeneous Hydrogenation of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters and Natural Oils under Neat Conditions. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 335–339.

(19) Widegren, M. B.; Clarke, M. L. Manganese Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Enantiomerically Pure Esters. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 2654–2658.

(20) Widegren, M. B.; Harkness, G. J.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Cordes, D. B.; Clarke, M. L. A Highly Active Manganese Catalyst for Enantioselective Ketone and Ester Hydrogenation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5825–5828.

(21) Junge, K.; Wendt, B.; Cingolani, A.; Spannenberg, A.; Wei, Z.; Jiao, H.; Beller, M. Cobalt Pincer Complexes for Catalytic Reduction of Carboxylic Acid Esters. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 1046–1052.

(22) Geisser, R. W.; Oetiker, J. D.; Schröder, F. Improvements in or Relating to Organic Compounds. WO Patent WO2015/110515, 2015.

(23) Saudan, L. A.; Saudan, C. M.; Debieux, C.; Wyss, P. Dihydrogen reduction of carboxylic esters to alcohols under the catalysis of homogeneous ruthenium complexes: high efficiency and unprecedented chemoselectivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7473–7476.

(24) Khusnutdinova, J. R.; Milstein, D. Metal-ligand cooperation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12236–12273.

(25) Dub, P. A.; Batrice, R. J.; Gordon, J. C.; Scott, B. L.; Minko, Y.; Schmidt, J. G.; Williams, R. F. Engineering Catalysts for Selective Ester Hydrogenation. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2020**, *24*, 415–442.

(26) Dub, P. A.; Gordon, J. C. Metal-Ligand Bifunctional Catalysis: The "Accepted" Mechanism, the Issue of Concertedness, and the Function of the Ligand in Catalytic Cycles Involving Hydrogen Atoms. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 6635–6655.

(27) Tindall, D. J.; Menche, M.; Schelwies, M.; Paciello, R. A.; Schafer, A.; Comba, P.; Rominger, F.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Schaub, T. Ru(0) or Ru(II): A Study on Stabilizing the "Activated" Form of Ru-PNP Complexes with Additional Phosphine Ligands in Alcohol Dehydrogenation and Ester Hydrogenation. *Inorg. Chem.* **2020**, *59*, 5099–5115.

(28) Prieschl, M.; García-Lacuna, J.; Munday, R.; Leslie, K.; O'Kearney-McMullan, A.; Hone, C. A.; Kappe, C. O. Optimization and sustainability assessment of a continuous flow Ru-catalyzed ester hydrogenation for an important precursor of a β 2-adrenergic receptor agonist. *Green Chem.* **2020**, *22*, 5762–5770.

(29) Ogata, O.; Nakayama, Y.; Nara, H.; Fujiwhara, M.; Kayaki, Y. Atmospheric Hydrogenation of Esters Catalyzed by PNP-Ruthenium Complexes with an N-Heterocyclic Carbene Ligand. *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 3894–3897.

(30) Spasyuk, D.; Smith, S.; Gusev, D. G. Replacing phosphorus with sulfur for the efficient hydrogenation of esters. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2538–2542.

(31) Schörgenhumer, J.; Zimmermann, A.; Waser, M. SNS-Ligands for Ru-Catalyzed Homogeneous Hydrogenation and Dehydrogenation Reactions. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2018**, *22*, 862–870.

(32) Nguyen, D. H.; Trivelli, X.; Capet, F.; Swesi, Y.; Favre-Réguillon, A.; Vanoye, L.; Dumeignil, F.; Gauvin, R. M. Deeper Mechanistic Insight into Ru Pincer-Mediated Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Coupling of Alcohols: Exchanges, Intermediates, and Deactivation Species. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 4719–4734.

(33) Aycock, D. F. Solvent Applications of 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran in Organometallic and Biphasic Reactions. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **200**7, *11*, 156–159. (34) Budzik, B.; Li, P.; Pero, J. E.; Griffiths-Jones, C. M.; Heightman, T. D.; Willems, D. M. G.; Weelford, A. J. 3-Carboxylic Acid Pyrroles

as NRF2 Regulators. WO Patent WO2018/109641, 2018. (35) Halbert, S. M.; Thompson, S. K.; Veber, D. F. Protease

Inhibitors. US Patent US1999/5998470, 1999.

(36) Siesel, D. Processes for Producing Cycloalkylcarboxamidopyridine Benzoic Acids. WO Patent WO2010/1384842010.

(37) Pu, Y.-M.; Ku, Y.-Y.; Grieme, T.; Black, L. A.; Bhatia, A. V.; Cowart, M. An Expedient and Multikilogram Synthesis of a Naphthalenoid H3Antagonist. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2007**, *11*, 1004–1009.

(38) Sisko, J.; Mans, D.; Yin, H. Process for Preparing Pyrano-[2,3-C]pyridine Derivatives. WO Patent WO2012/012391, 2012.

(39) Davidson, A. H.; Moffat, D. F. C.; Day, F. A.; Donald, A. D. G. HDAC inhibitors. WO Patent WO2008/040934, 2008.