## Single-Site/Dispersed-Metal Catalyst

## Hydrogenation of Arenes over Catalysts that Combine a Metal Phase and a Grafted Metal Complex: Role of the Single-Site Catalyst\*\*

Claudio Bianchini,\* Vladimiro Dal Santo, Andrea Meli, Simonetta Moneti, Marta Moreno, Werner Oberhauser, Rinaldo Psaro, Laura Sordelli, and Francesco Vizza

In comparison with the separate components, the combination on the same support material of dispersed palladium nanoparticles and grafted molecular rhodium complexes has provided evidence of improved activity in the hydrogenation of arenes.<sup>[1]</sup> It was proposed that the catalytic efficiency is a consequence of a hydrogen-spillover process that would enhance specifically the hydrogenation activity of the molecular catalyst.<sup>[1]</sup> A recent study of the hydrogenation of arenes with a catalyst obtained by silica sol-gel coentrapment of metallic palladium and [Rh(cod)(µ-Cl)]<sub>2</sub> disagrees with the hydrogen-spillover hypothesis and suggests that the action of both metals is caused by a synergistic effect;<sup>[2]</sup> however, no explanation of the nature of this effect has been forthcoming. Herein, we provide a rationale for this synergistic effect, and show that the grafted rhodium complex speeds up the hydrogenation of arenes to cyclic dienes.

Three different heterogeneous catalysts were tested in the hydrogenation of some arenes in *n*-pentane at relatively low temperature (40-60°C) and 30 bar H<sub>2</sub>. A highly dispersed palladium metallic phase and the Rh<sup>I</sup> complex [Rh(cod)(sulphos)] (cod = cyclooctadiene; sulphos =  $^{-}O_{3}S(C_{6}H_{4})CH_{2}$ -C(CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>) were separately supported on high-surfacearea porous silica . A calcination/reduction procedure of silica-supported PdCl<sub>2</sub> was employed to prepare Pd<sup>0</sup>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, 1, with a metal content of about 10 wt %, while the Rh<sup>I</sup> complex was grafted by using a known procedure involving hydrogen bonds between silanols of the support and sulfonate groups from the sulphos ligand.<sup>[3]</sup> The single-site catalyst, [Rh(cod)-(sulphos)]/SiO<sub>2</sub>, 2 (Figure 1 A) with a metal content of about 0.5 wt%, was previously employed to catalyze the hydrogenation and hydroformylation of olefins in either gas-solid or liquid-solid phase.<sup>[3a]</sup> Upon hydrogenation, the cod ligand

[\*\*] This work was partially supported by the RTN contract HPRN-CT-2002-00196, and a bilateral project CNR/FONACIT. M.M. acknowledges a contribution from the European Commission for a postdoctoral grant (Marie Curie Contract no. HPMF-CT-2000– 00568).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

2636 © 2003 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200250667

<sup>[\*]</sup> Dr. C. Bianchini, Dr. A. Meli, Dr. S. Moneti, Dr. M. Moreno, Dr. W. Oberhauser, Dr. F. Vizza Istituto di Chimica dei Composti Organometallici (ICCOM) – CNR Via J. Nardi 39, 50132 Firenze (Italy) Fax: (+39)055–2478366 E-mail: bianchin@fi.cnr.it Dr. V. Dal Santo, Dr. R. Psaro, Dr. L. Sordelli Istituto di Scienze e Tecnologie Molecolari (ISTM) – CNR Via C. Golgi 19, 20133 Milano (Italy)



Figure 1. Sketches of the precursors employed in this study.

in **2** is removed as cyclooctane and replaced in the metal coordination sphere by hydride ligands.<sup>[3a]</sup> Studies of model compounds showed that the most likely stucture of Rh<sup>III</sup> tetrahydride is nonclassical (Figure 1B).<sup>[4]</sup> The third catalyst, [Rh(cod)(sulphos)]–Pd<sup>0</sup>/SiO<sub>2</sub>, **3**, was obtained by straightforwardly tethering the Rh<sup>I</sup> precursor [Rh(cod)(sulphos)] onto **1** by using the same procedure adopted for **2** (Figure 1 C).<sup>[3]</sup>

The high resolution transmission electron-microscopy (HRTEM) analysis of 1 showed a uniform particle dispersion with a narrow distribution centered at 1.65 nm, which is in good agreement with the value estimated from the EXAFS data. The surface area was found to decrease from 344 to 304 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> with a corresponding decrease in the pore volume from 1.19 to 1.02 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>. Diffuse reflectance infrared fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) and extended X-ray absorption fine-structure (EXAFS) measurements showed [Rh(cod)(sulphos)] to be tethered through hydrogen bonds on preformed 1 with no change in the chemical environment of the rhodium center. The surface area and pore volume for 3 were 284  $m^2g^{-1}$  and 0.95 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. HRTEM data were consistent with a uniform palladium-particle dispersion with a mean size of 1.79 nm. Table 1 reports data obtained for the hydrogenation of benzene, toluene, styrene, and ethylbenzene. A unique sample of 3 containing a Pd/Rh molar ratio of 17.6:1 was used in all reactions. Irrespective of the arene, the combined single-site/dispersed-metal catalyst 3 was from four to six times more active than 1, while 2 proved to be totally inactive. In contrast, the grafted Rh<sup>I</sup> complex was as active as 1 for the hydrogenation of the styrene double bond (entries 7, 8).

Catalyst **3** was also the best for the hydrogenation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene (Table 2). The presence of the grafted Rh<sup>1</sup> complex apparently minimizes the amount of benzene produced by the dehydrogenation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene over the Pd particles (see entries 1 and 3).<sup>[5]</sup> The ability of supported Pd<sup>0</sup> particles to disproportionate cyclohexa-1,3-diene to benzene and cyclohexene is well-known, and the hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexa-1,3-diene was proved to be the rate limiting step along the reduction to cyclohexane.<sup>[5]</sup>

Table 2: Hydrogenation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene with 1, 2 or 3.[a]

Entry	Catalyst	Substrate/	strate/ % Conversion <sup>[b]</sup> (TOF, M) <sup>[c]</sup>		
		M ratio	benzene	cyclohexene	cyclohexane
1	1	525	27 (284)	5 (52)	68 (714)
2	2	9200	0	11 (2024)	2 (368)
3	3	525/9200	6 (63, Pd)	6 (63, Pd)	88 (924 Pd)

[a] Experimental conditions: **1** (9.86 wt% Pd), 0.044 mmol Pd; **2** (0.56 wt% Rh), 0.0025 mmol Rh; **3** (0.56 wt% Rh, 9.86 wt% Pd), 0.044 mmol Pd, 0.0025 mmol Rh; 30 bar H<sub>2</sub>, 30 mL *n*-pentane, 25 °C, 30 min, 1500 rpm. [b] Average values over at least three runs. [c] Mol product (mol M h)<sup>-1</sup> (M = Pd, Rh; TOF = turnover frequency).

Control experiments of the dehydrogenation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene under  $N_2$  proved that **1** is an effective disproportionation catalyst (cyclohexane 2%, cyclohexene 46%, benzene 48% under the conditions of Table 2), while **2** is unable to perform such a reaction.

Finally, **1** proved much better than **2** for the hydrogenation of cyclohexene to cyclohexane (Table 3 entries 1, 3), and did not promote the dehydrogenation of cyclohexene as shown by a reaction under  $N_2$  (Table 3, entry 2).

A number of independent experiments were performed to gain further insight into the role played by the tethered Rh<sup>I</sup> catalyst in the hydrogenation of benzene. The hydrogenation was carried out in the presence of a mechanical mixture of **1** and **2** under the experimental conditions of Table 1, entry 3. No appreciable synergistic effect was observed, the conversion to cyclohexane being almost identical to that obtained with **1** alone.

Table 1: Hydrogenation of arenes with 1, 2 or 3.[a]

Entry	Catalyst	T [°C]	Substrate	Substrate/M ratio	% Conversion <sup>[b]</sup> (TOF, M) <sup>[c]</sup> product
1	1	40	benzene	525	4 (11) cyclohexane
2	2	40	benzene	9200	0
3	3	40	benzene	525/9200	15 (39, Pd) cyclohexane
4	<b>1</b> <sup>[d]</sup>	40	toluene	426	4 (8) methylcyclohexane
5	<b>2</b> <sup>[e]</sup>	40	toluene	7520	0
6	<b>3</b> <sup>[f]</sup>	40	toluene	426/7520	16 (32, Pd) methylcyclohexane
7	1	60	styrene	400	97 (194) ethylbenzene; 3 (6) ethylcyclohexane
8	2	60	styrene	8750	98 (4287) ethylbenzene
9	3	60	styrene	400/8750	81 (162, Pd) ethylbenzene; 19 (38 Pd) ethylcyclohexane
10	1	60	ethylbenzene	400	3 (6) ethylcyclohexane
11	2	60	ethylbenzene	8750	0
12	3	60	ethylbenzene	400/8750	20 (40, Pd) ethylcyclohexane

[a] Experimental conditions: 1 (9.86 wt% Pd), 0.044 mmol Pd; 2 (0.56 wt% Rh), 0.0025 mmol Rh; 3 (0.56 wt% Rh, 9.86 wt% Pd), 0.044 mmol Pd, 0.0025 mmol Rh; 30 bar H<sub>2</sub>; 30 mL *n*-pentane, 2 h, 1500 rpm. [b] Average values over at least three runs. [c] Mol product (mol M h)<sup>-1</sup> (M = Pd, Rh). [d] 0.088 mmol Pd. [e] 0.005 mmol Rh. [f] 0.088 mmol Pd, 0.005 mmol Rh.

## Communications

Table 3:	Hydrogenation	of cyclohexene	to cyclohexane v	with <b>1</b> , <b>2</b> or <b>3</b> . <sup>[a]</sup>
----------	---------------	----------------	------------------	---

Entry	Catalyst	Substrate/M ratio	% Conversion <sup>[b]</sup> (TOF, M) <sup>[c]</sup>
1	1	525	99.8 (1048)
2 <sup>[d]</sup>	1	525	0
3	2	9200	0.5 (92)
4	3	525/9200	100 (1050 Pd)

[a] Experimental conditions: **1** (9.86 wt% Pd), 0.044 mmol Pd; **2** (0.56 wt% Rh), 0.0025 mmol Rh; **3** (0.56 wt% Rh, 9.86 wt% Pd), 0.044 mmol Pd, 0.0025 mmol Rh; 30 bar H<sub>2</sub>; 30 mL *n*-pentane, 25 °C, 30 min, 1500 rpm. [b] Average values over at least three runs. [c] Mol product (mol M h)<sup>-1</sup> (M = Pd, Rh). [d] Under 1 bar N<sub>2</sub>.

The known Rh<sup>1</sup> complex [Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>(sulphos)], unable to hydrogenate double bonds,<sup>[3a]</sup> was anchored over **1**, and the resulting combined molecular–nanoparticle catalyst was used to hydrogenate benzene under the conditions of Table 1. The conversion to cyclohexane (4%) was similar to that observed in the presence of **1** alone (Table 1, entry 1).

Both 2 and the molecular precursor [Rh(cod)(sulphos)]did not hydrogenate arenes, irrespective of the phase variation, even at very high H<sub>2</sub> pressure, which rules out the promoting effect of an eventual hydrogen spillover promoted by palladium particles, and the action of leached Rh<sup>I</sup> catalyst, respectively.

The hydrogenation of cyclohexa-1,3-diene in the presence of 2 under the conditions of Table 2, entry 2 was quenched after 30 min by depressurising the reactor, which was then replenished with  $N_2$  (Figure 2). The solid compound was collected by filtration, dried in vacuo and treated with MeOD/ $[D_7]$ DMF (1:1, v/v) under N<sub>2</sub> to break the hydrogen bonds between the support and the molecular complex.<sup>[3]</sup> <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the resulting solution showed the formation of [Rh(η<sup>4</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)(sulphos)].<sup>[6]</sup> Crystals of  $[Rh(\eta^4-C_6H_8)(sulphos)]$ ·2MeOH were obtained by reacting [Rh(cod)(sulphos)] with cyclohexa-1,3-diene in MeOH and authenticated by a single-crystal X-ray analysis.<sup>[7]</sup> The structure consists of discrete molecules of  $[Rh(n^4-C_6H_8)(sul$ phos)] with MeOH filling holes in the lattice (ORTEP drawing, Figure 2). Three fac phosphorus atoms and two double bonds from cyclohexa-1,3-diene coordinate the rhodium center in a distorted square-pyramidal geometry. The bond distances and angles are typical of metal complexes with either sulphos or MeC(CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (triphos) and  $\eta^4$ -dienes.<sup>[3a,8]</sup>



Figure 2. Proposed mechanism for the formation of  $[Rh(\eta^4-C_6H_8)(sulphos)]$ .

The possibility that rhodium metal, eventually formed upon partial decomposition of the grafted complex, might contribute to enhance the hydrogenation activity of the palladium catalyst was ruled out on the basis of five recycling experiments that gave comparable conversions. Washing the recycled catalyst with MeOH to remove the grafted rhodium complex gave a much less active catalyst with a conversion to cyclohexane similar to that observed with **1** alone. Mechanistic details for cyclohexa-1,3-diene hydrogenation to cyclohexene were provided for  $[Ir(\eta^4-C_6H_8)(triphos)]^+$ .<sup>[9]</sup>

The incorporation of the experimental evidence reported above leads us to conclude that 1) an intimate contact between the Pd nanoparticles and the Rh single sites in the mixed catalyst 3 is mandatory to observe improved activity in the hydrogenation of arenes to cycloalkanes; 2) the inhibition of diene disproportionation by the grafted rhodium complex, though important, cannot account alone for the increased conversions to cycloalkanes. These conversions are at least four times higher than those obtained with 1, and even under the precondition that all cyclic dienes undergo disproportionation over palladium the total production of cycloalkane would not have been more than two times higher than that obtained with palladium alone. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that the grafted rhodium moieties cooperate with the palladium nanoparticles in promoting the hydrogenation of arenes to cyclic 1,3-dienes.

A simplified mechanism for the overall hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane is proposed in Figure 3, in which the rate-limiting hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexa-1,3diene is assisted by both palladium and rhodium centers. In view of the catalytic results reported in Table 2 and Table 3,



Figure 3. Proposed mechanism for the hydrogenation of benzene by 3.

cyclohexa-1,3-diene should be more rapidly reduced to cyclohexene at rhodium, while cyclohexene should be predominantly reduced at palladium. The intimate mechanism of the Pd–Rh interaction in the first hydrogenation step is still rather obscure, yet its discovery is of paramount importance as it may open the door to the rational design of a new generation of tailored heterogeneous catalysts for diverse applications through appropriate combinations of supported metals and grafted molecular complexes.

## **Experimental Section**

Details of the synthesis and characterization of the heterogeneous catalysts 1, 2, and 3 and of the complex  $[Rh(\eta^4-C_6H_8)(sulphos)]$  are described in the Supporting Information.

Hydrogenation procedure: A stainless steel Parr reactor (100 mL), equipped with a temperature and pressure controller, and a paddle stirrer was charged with the appropriate amount of catalyst, the

unsaturated substrate, *n*-pentane (30 mL), and  $H_2$  (30 bar). The ensemble was heated to the appropriate temperature and then stirred (1500 rpm) for the desired time, after which the vessel was cooled to ambient temperature and depressurised. The liquid contents were analysed by GC and GC–MS. Above 1500 rpm, the rates were independent of the agitation speed at all the temperatures studied, thus indicating the absence of mass transfer resistance.

Received: December 2, 2002 Revised: March 13, 2003 [Z50667]

**Keywords:** arenes · heterogeneous catalysis · hydrogenation · palladium · rhodium

- a) H. Gao, R. J. Angelici, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 6937; b) H.
  Yang, H. Gao, R. J. Angelici, *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 622, and references therein.
- [2] R. Abu-Reziq, D. Avnir, I. Miloslavski, H. Schumann, J. Blum, J. Mol. Catal. A 2002, 185, 179.
- [3] a) C. Bianchini, D. G. Burnaby, J. Evans, P. Frediani, A. Meli, W. Oberhauser, R. Psaro, L. Sordelli, F. Vizza, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5961; b) C. Bianchini, P. Barbaro, V. Dal Santo, R. Gobetto, A. Meli, W. Oberhauser, R. Psaro, F. Vizza, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001, 343, 41; c) C. Bianchini, V. Dal Santo, A. Meli, W. Oberhauser, R. Psaro, F. Vizza, Organometallics 2000, 19, 2433.
- [4] V. I. Bakhmutov, C. Bianchini, M. Peruzzini, F. Vizza, E. Vorontsov, *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 39, 1655.
- [5] a) A. Bunjes, I. Eilks, M. Pahlke, B. Ralle, J. Chem. Educ. 1997, 74, 1323; b) L. Cerveny, S. Rehurkova, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1987, 52, 2909; c) L. Cerveny, P. Kluson, I. Paseka, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett. 1991, 43, 533.
- [6] NMR Data ([D<sub>7</sub>]DMF, 20 °C, *J* in Hz):  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (81.01 MHz):  $\delta = 11.0 \text{ ppm}$  (d,  ${}^{1}J(P,Rh) = 122.6$ );  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (200.13 MHz):  $\delta = 7.88$  (d,  ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.1$ , 2H; *m*-H-SO<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.71 (d,  ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 8.1$ , 2H; *o*-H-SO<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), 7.30 (m, 6H; *p*-H-PPh<sub>2</sub>), 7.2–7.1 (m, 24H; *o*- and *m*-H-PPh<sub>2</sub>), 6.61 (m, 2H; CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>), 3.52 (s, 2H; CH<sub>2</sub>C), 3.45 (m, 2H; CH-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>), 2.91 (br s, 6H; CH<sub>2</sub>P), 1.35 (m, 2H; H\beta(CH<sub>2</sub>)-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>), 1.23 ppm (m, 2H; H\alpha(CH<sub>2</sub>)-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>8</sub>).
- [7] *Crystal data*: yellow crystals  $(0.3 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm})$ , monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ , a = 13.513(5), b = 18.308(5), c = 19.539(5) Å,  $\beta = 94.350(5)^\circ$ , V = 4820(3) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\rho_{calcd} = 1.415 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\mu = 0.546 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ,  $Mo_{K\alpha}$  ( $\lambda = 0.71609$  Å), T = 293(2) K, 6674 independent reflections. Refinement on all data converged at  $R_1 = 0.0678$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.1113$ , GOF = 0.9912. CCDC-198527 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving. html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- [8] a) C. Bianchini, P. Frediani, V. Herrera, M. V. Jiménez, A. Meli, L. Rincón, R. Sánchez-Delgado, F. Vizza, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4333; b) C. Bianchini, K. G. Caulton, C. Chardon, M.-L. Double, O. Eisenstein, S. A. Jackson, T. J. Johnson, A. Meli, M. Peruzzini, W. E. Streib, F. Vizza, Organometallics 1994, 13, 2010.
- [9] C. Bianchini, K. G. Caulton, K. Folting, A. Meli, M. Peruzzini, A. Polo, F. Vizza, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7290.