Selective deprotection of an acetal group in monosaccharide derivatives and related compounds using Me₃SiCH₂MgCl

Yu-Huei Chen, Yueh-Ting Tseng and Tien-Yau Luh*

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan 106, Republic of China

Treatment of an acetal of a contiguous diol with Me₃SiCH₂MgCl liberates the corresponding diol regioselectively; chelation is used to rationalize the selectivity.

Selective deprotection of an acetal group in carbohydrate derivatives and related compounds liberating the corresponding contiguous diols is important in organic synthesis but difficult to achieve. We recently found an effective regioselective C–O bond cleavage of acetals of various polyols (e.g. 1) with a Grignard reagent to give the corresponding alkoxy alcohols [(eqn. (1)].² It is believed that chelation plays a key role in

directing the selectivity of this ring opening reaction.² This methodology has been shown to be particularly useful in the synthesis of different kinds of monosaccharide derivatives having only one free hydroxy group. The Grignard reagent can be a primary, secondary or aryl magnesium halide. It is known that a β -silylethyl group can be used to protect alcohols and can readily be removed by treatment with BF₃.³ We felt that treatment of an acetal of a contiguous diol with R₃SiCH₂MgCl would lead to the corresponding β -silyl-substituted *tert*-alkoxy alcohol 2 which could be readily converted to the diol [eqn. (2)].

Here we report an unprecedented procedure for a one-pot selective deprotection of an acetal group in carbohydrate derivatives and related compounds.

In a typical procedure, glucopyranoside bisacetonide 1 was treated with 2 equiv. of Me_3SiCH_2MgCl in benzene under reflux for 48 h followed by the usual workup and chromatographic separation to give the corresponding 2,3-diol 3^{1d} in 68% yield. Attempts to isolate the possible β -silyl-substituted *tert*-butoxy alcohol 4 were unsuccessful. Presumably, this ether moiety is labile under these conditions and is cleaved accordingly to give compound 3. In a similar manner, the 4,6-diol of glucopyranoside 6^{1e} was obtained in 76% yield from the reaction of 5 under similar conditions.

Treatments of acetals of furanosides 7 and 9 with Me₃-SiCH₂MgCl in refluxing benzene yielded 5,6-diols 8^{1f} (57%) and 10^{1g} (72%), respectively. It appears that the oxygen atom of the furanoside heterocycle in 7 and 9 direct the regioselective deprotection of the acetonide moiety.

The extension of this reaction to the selective deprotection of one acetal moiety of a symmetrical bisacetonide has been executed. Thus, the bisacetal of threitol 11 was treated with Me₃SiCH₂MgCl under similar conditions to give the diol 12† in 67% yield. Diol 14 was also obtained similarly in 61% yield from the reaction of 13. *myo*-Inositol derivatives 16^{1h} and 18¹ⁱ were obtained in 67 and 79% yield from the acetals 15 and 17, respectively.

The fate of the carbonyl equivalent of these acetals has been investigated. Interestingly, the isolation of bis-silylmethylated product **20** (72%) in addition to styrene **21** (21%) from the reaction of **19** with Me₃SiCH₂MgCl illustrates two interesting features [eqn. (3)]. First, this reaction demonstrates an unusual

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} & \begin{array}{c} \text{O} \\ \text{19} \\ \\ \text{Me}_3 \text{SiCH}_2 \text{MgCI} \\ \\ \text{SiMe}_3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \\ \text{SiMe}_3 \end{array} & \text{(3)} \end{array}$$

displacement of two C-O bonds of an acetal by the Grignard reagent. Secondly, the isolation of **21** demonstrates the first example of Peterson alkenation⁴ of an acetal group. Although the actual mode for this reaction remains unclear, an intermediate **22** is speculated. Further displacement of the remaining C-O bond in **22** by the Grignard reagent may give **20** and an elimination process may lead to **21**. The reaction can be considered as using an acetal as a geminal dication synthon.⁵

We thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for support.

Footnote

† Selected Physical Data: For 12: $\{\alpha\}_D^{20}$: +2.1 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 2.26 (bs, 1 H), 2.60 (bs, 1 H), 3.62–3.67 (m, 3 H), 3.84 (dd, J 6.4, 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.03 (dd, J 6.4, 8.2 Hz, 1 H) and 4.15 (q, J 6.4 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 25.3, 26.4, 64.3, 65.9, 71.4, 76.6 and 109.6. For 14: $\{\alpha\}_D^{20}$: +2.8 (c 3.3, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.38 (s, 3 H), 2.37 (br s, 1 H), 2.93 (bs, 1 H), 3.31 (dd, J 2.5, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.42 (s, 3 H), 3.49 (s, 3 H), 3.59 (dd, J 2.5, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.65–3.67 (m, 1 H), 3.74–3.80 (m, 2 H), 3.91 (dd, J 6.4, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.06 (dd, J 6.4, 8.0 Hz, 1 H) and 4.15 (dd, J 6.4, 12.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 25.3, 26.5, 60.0, 60.7, 63.7, 66.5, 70.8, 75.7, 80.6, 81.1 and 108.6. For 20: ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ —0.22 (s, 18 H), 0.85–1.06 (m, 4 H), 2.80 (tt, J 6.6, 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 6.77 (d, J 8.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz) δ —1.0, 30.4, 37.6, 55.3, 113.6, 128.0, 141.6 and 157.8.

References

- (a) S. J. Angyal and R. J. Beveridge, Carbohydr. Res., 1978, 65, 229;
 (b) T. Ohgi, T. Kondo and T. Goto, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 5576;
 (c) Y. Leblenc, B. J. Fitzsimmons, J. Adams, F. Perez and J. Rokach, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 789;
 (d) J. K. N. Jones, Can. J. Chem., 1956, 34, 840;
 (e) R. A. Edington, E. L. Hirst and E. E. Percival, J. Chem. Soc., 1955, 2281;
 (f) J. Kenner and G. N. Richards, J. Chem. Soc., 1954, 3277;
 (g) J. S. Brimacombe, F. Hunedy and L. C. N. Tucker, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1968, 1381;
 (h) R. Gigg and C. D. Warren, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1969, 2367;
 (i) J. Gigg, R. Gigg, S. Payne and R. Conant, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1987, 423;
 (j) D. M. Clode, Chem. Rev., 1979, 79, 491.
- 2 Y.-H. Chen, T.-Y. Luh, G.-H. Lee and S.-M. Peng, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2369; T.-M. Yuan, S.-M. Yeh, Y.-T. Hsieh and T.-Y. Luh, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 8192; W.-L. Cheng, S.-M. Yeh and T.-Y. Luh, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 5576.
- 3 S. D. Burke, G. J. Pacofsky and A. D. Piscopio, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 3345; T. A. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, Wiley, New York, 2nd edn., 1991.
- 4 For a recent review, see: D. J. Ager, Org. React., 1990, 38, 1.
- 5 For a recent review, see: T.-Y. Luh and M.-K. Leung, in Advances on the Use of Synthons in Organic Chemistry, ed. A. Dondoni, JAI, London, Vol. 2, 1995, 109.

Received, 18th September 1995; Com. 5/06118K