

Synthesis of Functionalized Diaryl Sulfides by Cobalt-Catalyzed **Coupling between Arylzinc Pivalates and Diaryl Disulfides**

Zhi-Bing Dong,*^{,†,‡} Moritz Balkenhohl,[‡] Eric Tan,[§] and Paul Knochel^{*,‡}

[†]School of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan 430205, China

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Butenandtstrasse 5-13, 81377 München, Germany

[§]Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: An efficient protocol for the cobalt-catalyzed preparation of diaryl sulfides from solid organozinc pivalates and commercially available diaryl disulfides is reported. This cross-coupling proceeds at room temperature and displays a good functional group tolerance, allowing the preparation of a diversity of symmetrical or asymmetrical diaryl sulfides in 60-95% yield.

iaryl sulfides are important intermediates in the synthesis of natural products¹ and pharmaceuticals.² The diaryl sulfide 1, for example, is a LFA-1/CAM-1 antagonist, 2 is an anti-inflammatory agent, and 3 is an HIV-1 integrase inhibitor (Figure 1).² In addition, diaryl sulfides have been used in the



Figure 1. Bioactive diaryl disulfides.

synthesis of several new organic materials, e.g., semiconductors.³ Moreover, they can serve as ligands in transitionmetal-catalyzed reactions.⁴ Therefore, efficient protocols for the Carvel-S bond formation are highly desirable. Transitionmetal-catalyzed C_{aryl} -S cross-coupling reactions using Pd,⁵ Ni,⁶ Fe,⁷ Co,⁸ In,⁹ and Cu¹⁰ catalysis have been reported for the preparation of diaryl thioethers from aryl halides and aryl thiols or using C-H activation.¹¹ In addition, organomagnesium halide mediated preparations of diaryl sulfides or S_NAr reactions on electron-poor systems have been reported.¹² Though efficient, these methods often require high temperature¹³ and specially designed ligands¹⁴ or are not compatible with many functional groups such as an ester or a nitrile,^{12a} which limit their applications. Recently, we have reported the preparation of highly functionalized solid organozinc pivalates with improved air and moisture stability, which readily undergo cross-coupling reactions.¹⁵ In particular, the combination of organozinc pivalates and cobalt catalysis has proven to be of interest because of a rate enhancement due to the presence of the pivalate ion.¹⁶

Herein, we report the cobalt-catalyzed¹⁷ synthesis of diaryl sulfides, starting from organozinc pivalates and commercially available diaryl disulfides using CoCl₂ as catalyst in the absence of any additional ligand. In preliminary experiments, phenylzinc pivalate $(4a)^{18}$ was treated with *p*-tolyl disulfide (5a) in THF at room temperature for 12 h under various conditions (Table 1). First, several standard transition-metal catalysts



ZnOPiv	+	catalyst (10 mol %) THF, rt, 12 h	S Me
4a (1.5 equiv)	5a (1.0 equiv)		6a
entry	catalyst	ligand ^a	yield ^b (%)
1	$FeCl_2$		0
2	PdCl ₂		0
3	CuCl ₂		30
4	NiCl ₂		30
5	CoCl ₂		87 (79) ^c
6	CoBr ₂		85
7	$Co(acac)_2$		79
8	$Co(OAc)_2$		55
9	CoCl ₂		75 ^d
10	$CoCl_2$		75 ^e
11	$CoCl_2$	L1	74

Received: October 17, 2018

Organic Letters

Table 1. continued

entry	catalyst	ligand ^a	yield ^b (%)
12	CoCl ₂	L2	12
13	CoCl ₂	L3	22
14	CoCl ₂	L4	51
15	CoCl ₂		89 ^f

^{*a*}Using 20 mol % of the ligands. ^{*b*}Calibrated GC yield using dodecane as internal standard. ^{*c*}Isolated yield. ^{*d*}Using 5 mol % of CoCl₂. ^{*e*}Using 20 mol % of CoCl₂. ^{*f*}CoCl₂ (99.99% purity) was used.

were tested. $FeCl_2$, $PdCl_2$, $CuCl_2$, and $NiCl_2$ were not suitable for the reaction since yields did not exceed 30% (entries 1–4). However, when $CoCl_2$ (10 mol %) was used, the diaryl sulfide **6a** was obtained in 87% yield (entry 5). Other cobalt sources such as $CoBr_2$, $Co(acac)_2$, or $Co(OAc)_2$ did not lead to an improved reaction outcome (entries 6–8). Decreasing or increasing the catalyst loading to 5 or 20 mol % did not lead to higher yields (entries 9 and 10). In addition, the addition of several ligands such as DMEDA (1,2-dimethylethylenediamine, L1), 2,2'-bipyridine (L2), 1,10-phenanthroline (L3), or triphenylphosphine (L4) did not have a beneficial effect (entries 11–14). Furthermore, changing the solvent to dioxane or acetonitrile did not provide higher yields.¹⁹ Additionally, it was verified that no other metal contaminations are responsible for this catalysis: Thus, using $CoCl_2$ (99.99% purity) led to **6a** in 89% yield (entry 15).

With these optimized reaction conditions in hand, a range of cobalt-catalyzed reactions between organozinc pivalates of type 4 and diaryl disulfides of type 5 were performed, leading to several diaryl sulfides of type 6 (Table 2). Thus, various diaryl disulfides, including diphenyl disulfide (5b) and bis(4-methoxyphenyl) disulfide (5c), reacted with phenylzinc

Table 2. Cobalt-Catalyzed Reactions between Various Organozinc Pivalates of Type 4 and Diaryl Disufides of Type 5



DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.8b03319 Org. Lett. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

Letter

Table 3. Cobalt-Catalyzed Reactions between Various Organozinc Pivalates of Type 4 and Diaryl Disufides of Type 5 Bearing Sensitive Functional Groups



^aIsolated yield of analytically pure products.

pivalate (4a) to give the diaryl sulfides 6a-c in 78–95% yield (Table 2, entries 1–3). Reaction of phenylzinc pivalate (4a) with the halogenated disulfide 5d or dipyridyl disulfide (5e) gave the disulfides 6d-e in 81-92% yield (entries 4 and 5).

In addition, electron-rich anisylzinc pivalate (4b) reacted with several disulfides, including the electron-poor trihalogenated disulfide 5f, leading to the sulfides 6f-h in 75–84% yield (entries 6–8). When tolylzinc pivalate (4c), 5-benzofurylzinc pivalate (4d), or 4-chlorophenylzinc pivalate (4e) was used in the coupling reaction with diaryl disulfides, the diaryl sulfides 6i-m were isolated in 66-91% yield (entries 9–13). Reaction of the heteroarylzinc pivalate 4f with dipyridyl disulfide (5e) gave the diheterocyclic sulfide 6n in 71% yield (entry 14). However, other electron-poor heteroaryl zinc pivalates only afforded the corresponding thioethers in traces.¹⁹

Next, several organozinc pivalates of type 4 and diaryl disulfides of type 5 bearing sensitive functional groups were employed in the coupling reaction. Thus, phenylzinc pivalate (4a) reacted with the disulfide 5g bearing an amide functionality. Remarkably, the amide did not inhibit the reaction from proceeding, which resulted in the formation of the sulfide 60 in 60% yield (Table 3, entry 1). Other diaryl disulfides bearing an ester or a nitrile group (5h-k) were also suitable for this coupling reaction, leading to the sulfides 6p-t in 60-80% yield (entries 2–6). When the organozinc pivalate 4g, which was prepared via directed metalation using TMPMgCl·LiCl²⁰ followed by transmetalation using Zn(OPiv)₂,¹⁹ was employed in the reaction, the sulfide 6u was obtained in 81% yield (entry 7). Additionally, the organozinc pivalate 4h, bearing an ester functionality, led to the sulfides 6v-y in 60-79% yield (entries 8–11).

In summary, a cobalt-catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ –S bond formation, using organozinc pivalates and commercially available diaryl disulfides, is reported. Various electron-rich and electron-poor organozinc pivalates were suitable substrates for the reaction protocol. Also, several sensitive functional groups including an ester, a nitrile, or an amide were tolerated in the reaction. An investigation of the reaction mechanism is currently underway in our laboratories.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.8b03319.

Full experimental details; ¹H and ¹³C spectra (PDF)

Letter

Organic Letters

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: dzb04982@wit.edu.cn.

*E-mail: paul.knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de.

ORCID [®]

Zhi-Bing Dong: 0000-0002-3354-8318 Paul Knochel: 0000-0001-7913-4332

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21302150) for their financial support. Z.-B.D. acknowledges the Humboldt Foundation and China Scholarship Council for a fellowship.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Liu, H.; Fujiwara, T.; Nishikawa, T.; Mishima, Y.; Nagai, H.; Shida, T.; Tachibana, K.; Kobayashi, H.; Mangindaan, R. E.; Namikoshi, M. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 8611. (b) Nakazawa, T.; Xu, J.; Nishikawa, T.; Oda, T.; Fujita, A.; Ukai, K.; Mangindaan, R. E.; Rotinsulu, H.; Kobayashi, H.; Namikoshi, M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2007**, *70*, 439.

(2) (a) Krapcho, J.; Spitzmiller, E. R.; Turk, C. F. J. Med. Chem.
1963, 6, 544. (b) Marcincal-Lefebvre, A.; Gesquiere, J. C.; Lemer, C.; Dupuis, B. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 889. (c) Nielsen, S. F.; Nielsen, E.; Olsen, G. M.; Liljefors, T.; Peters, D. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 2217.
(d) Liu, G.; Huth, J. R.; Olejniczak, E. T.; Mendoza, R.; DeVries, P.; Leitza, S.; Reilly, E. B.; Okasinski, G. F.; Fesik, S. W.; von Geldern, T. W. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 1202. (e) De Martino, G.; La Regina, G.; Coluccia, A.; Edler, M. C.; Barbera, M. C.; Brancale, A.; Wilcox, E.; Hamel, E.; Artico, M.; Silvestri, R. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 6120.
(f) Pasquini, S.; Mugnaini, C.; Tintori, C.; Botta, M.; Trejos, A.; Arvela, R. K.; Larhed, M.; Witvrouw, M.; Michiels, M.; Christ, F. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 5125.

(3) (a) Takimiya, K.; Shinamura, S.; Osaka, I.; Miyazaki, E. Adv. Mater. 2011, 23, 4347. (b) Mori, T.; Nishimura, T.; Yamamoto, T.; Doi, I.; Miyazaki, E.; Osaka, I.; Takimiya, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 13900. (c) Okamoto, T.; Mitsui, C.; Yamagishi, M.; Nakahara, K.; Soeda, J.; Hirose, Y.; Miwa, K.; Sato, H.; Yamano, A.; Matsushita, T. Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 6392. (d) Dadashi-Silab, S.; Aydogan, C.; Yagci, Y. Polym. Chem. 2015, 6, 6595.

(4) (a) Mellah, M.; Voituriez, A.; Schulz, E. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5133. (b) Li, Y.; Xu, M. H. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3771.

(5) (a) Itoh, T.; Mase, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4587. (b) Bryan, C. S.; Braunger, J. A.; Lautens, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7064.

(6) (a) Taniguchi, N. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6904. (b) Hajipour, A. R.; Karimzadeh, M.; Azizi, G. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2014, 25, 1382.

(c) Soleiman-Beigi, M.; Arzehgar, Z. Heteroat. Chem. 2015, 26, 355.

(d) Soleiman-Beigi, M.; Mohammadi, F. Synlett 2015, 26, 911.

(7) (a) Correa, A.; Carril, M.; Bolm, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2880. (b) Wu, W. Y.; Wang, J. C.; Tsai, F. Y. Green Chem. 2009, 11, 326.

(8) (a) Wong, Y. C.; Jayanth, T. T.; Cheng, C. H. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5613. (b) Wang, T.; Chen, J.; Wang, J.; Xu, S.; Lin, A.; Yao, H.; Jiang, S.; Xu, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 3721.

(9) Reddy, V. P.; Swapna, K.; Kumar, A. V.; Rao, K. R. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 3189.

(10) (a) Kabir, M. S.; Lorenz, M.; Van Linn, M. L.; Namjoshi, O. A.; Ara, S.; Cook, J. M. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 3626. (b) Luo, F.; Pan, C.; Li, L.; Chen, F.; Cheng, J. Chem. Commun. **2011**, 47, 5304. (c) Prasad, D. J. C.; Sekar, G. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 1008. (d) Ogiwara, Y.; Maeda, H.; Sakai, N. Synlett **2018**, 29, 655.

(11) For a review, see: Eichman, C. C.; Stambuli, J. P. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 590. (b) Bates, C. G.; Gujadhur, R. K.; Venkataraman, D.

Org. Lett. **2002**, *4*, 2803. (c) Carril, M.; SanMartin, R.; Domínguez, E.; Tellitu, I. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 5100. (d) She, J.; Jiang, Z.; Wang, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 593. (e) Kamal, A.; Srinivasulu, V.; Murthy, J. N. S. R. C.; Shankaraiah, N.; Nagesh, N.; Reddy, T. S.; Rao, A. V. S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, 355, 2297.

(12) (a) Francisco, M. A.; Kurs, A.; Katritzky, A. R.; Rasala, D. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 4821. (b) Jia, X.; Yu, L.; Liu, J.; Xu, Q.; Sickert, M.; Chen, L.; Lautens, M. Green Chem. **2014**, 16, 3444. (c) Du, B. X.; Quan, Z. J.; Da, Y. X.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, X. C. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2015**, 357, 1270.

(13) (a) Yuan Wang, Z.; Hay, A. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 5685.
(b) Fernández Rodríguez, M. A.; Shen, Q.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2180. (c) Jogdand, N. R.; Shingate, B. B.; Shingare, M. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 6092. (d) Jiang, Z.; She, J.; Lin, X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2558. (e) Ke, F.; Qu, Y.; Jiang, Z.; Li, Z.; Wu, D.; Zhou, X. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 454.

(14) (a) Murata, M.; Buchwald, S. L. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 7397.
(b) Zhang, Y.; Ngeow, K. C.; Ying, J. Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3495.
(c) Xu, H. J.; Zhao, X. Y.; Deng, J.; Fu, Y.; Feng, Y. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 434.

(15) For a review, see: (a) Chen, Y.-H.; Ellwart, M.; Malakhov, V.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2017, 49, 3215. For recent examples, see: (b) Chen, Y.-H.; Tüllmann, C. P.; Ellwart, M.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 9236. (c) Chen, Y.-H.; Ellwart, M.; Toupalas, G.; Ebe, Y.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4612. (d) Tüllmann, C. P.; Chen, Y.-H.; Schuster, R. J.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4601. (e) Chen, Y.-H.; Graßl, S.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1108. (f) Li, J.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 11436.

(16) (a) Hammann, J. M.; Thomas, L.; Chen, Y.-H.; Haas, D.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3847. (b) Hammann, J. M.; Lutter, F. H.; Haas, D.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 1082.
(c) Thomas, L.; Lutter, F. H.; Hofmayer, M. S.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 2441.

(17) For a review, see: (a) Gosmini, C.; Moncomble, A. Isr. J. Chem. 2010, 50, 568. (b) Haas, D.; Hammann, J. M.; Greiner, R.; Knochel, P. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 1540. For recent examples, see: (c) Hammann, J. M.; Haas, D.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4478. (d) Zhao, D.; Kim, J. H.; Stegemann, L.; Strassert, C. A.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4508. (e) Hofmayer, M. S.; Hammann, J. M.; Haas, D.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 6456. (f) Haas, D.; Hammann, J. M.; Lutter, F. H.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3809. (g) Bourne-Branchu, Y.; Gosmini, C.; Danoun, G. Chem. - Eur. J. 2017, 23, 10043. (h) Wang, H.; Lorion, M. M.; Ackermann, L. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 3430. (i) Yang, J.; Rérat, A.; Lim, Y. J.; Gosmini, C.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2449. (j) Zell, D.; Bursch, M.; Müller, V.; Grimme, S.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10378. (k) Linke, S.; Manolikakès, S. M.; Auffrant, A.; Gosmini, C. Synthesis 2018, 50, 2595. (1) Sauermann, N.; Mei, R.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 5090.

(18) Phenylzinc pivalate was prepared from phenylmagnesium chloride via transmetalation using $Zn(OPiv)_2$.

(19) See the Supporting Information for further details.

(20) For reviews, see: (a) Haag, B.; Mosrin, M.; Ila, H.; Malakhov, V.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9794. (b) Balkenhohl, M.; Knochel, P. SynOpen 2018, 2, 78. For recent examples, see: (c) Balkenhohl, M.; Greiner, R.; Makarov, I. S.; Heinz, B.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Zipse, H.; Knochel, P. Chem. - Eur. J. 2017, 23, 13046. (d) Bozzini, L. A.; Batista, J. H. C.; de Mello, M. B. M.; Vessecchi, R.; Clososki, G. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 58, 4186. (e) Castelló-Micó, A.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2018, 50, 155. (f) Balkenhohl, M.; Salgues, B.; Hirai, T.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 3114. (g) Göbel, D.; Clamor, N.; Nachtsheim, B. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 4071. (h) Melzer, B. C.; Felber, J. G.; Bracher, F. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2018, 14, 130. (i) Murie, V. E.; Nishimura, R. H. V.; Rolim, L. A.; Vessecchi, R.; Lopes, N. P.; Clososki, G. C. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 871.