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#### Abstract

Several triterpenic derivatives, with the A-ring functionalized, were semisynthesized from oleanolic and maslinic acids. The reactivities of sulfites, sulfate, and epoxides in these triterpene compounds were investigated under different reaction conditions. Moreover, contracted A-ring triterpenes (fivemembered rings) were obtained, by different treatments of the sulfate 7. From the epoxide 8, deoxygenated and hal ohydrin derivatives were semisynthesized with several nucleophiles. Ozonolysis and Beckmann reactions were used to yield 4 -aza compounds, from five-membered ring olanediene triterpenes. The X-ray structure of sulfate $\mathbf{7}$ is given and compared with density functional theory geometries. Theoretical ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ chemical shifts (gauge-invariant atomic orbital method at the B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G* level) and ${ }^{3}$ J $\boldsymbol{н}$, н coupling constants were calculated for compounds $5-9$ and 34-36, identifying the (R)- or (S)-sulfur and $\alpha$ - or $\beta$-epoxide configurations together with 4-aza or 3-aza structures.


## Introduction

The oleanolic ( $3 \beta$-hydroxy-12-oleanen-28-oic) and maslinic ( $2 \alpha, 3 \beta$-dihydroxy-12-oleanen-28-oic) acids are natural products widely found in nature, belonging to the pentacyclic triterpenoid family. ${ }^{1}$ Recently, our group has reported a method to obtain large amounts of these compounds from olive pressing residues. ${ }^{2}$ Both triterpenic acids and some closely related products present interesting pharmacological activities, ${ }^{3}$ including in vitro antiHIV activity. ${ }^{4}$ Cyclic sulfites and sulfates of vic-diols are considered to be activated and have been used for a variety of nucleophilic displacement reactions. ${ }^{5}$ Such sulfates are obtained by catalytic oxidation of cyclic sulfites following Sharpless's procedure. ${ }^{6}$ The significant

[^0]role of cydic sulfates in organic synthesis is due to their reactivity and stereochemical properties. On the other hand, epoxides and halohydrins have found broad use as synthetic intermediates in organic synthesis, ${ }^{7}$ especially in synthesizing many bioactive compounds. ${ }^{8}$ Deoxygenating epoxides is a very useful reaction to yield olefins, and a great variety of reagents effective in this transformation have been reported. ${ }^{9}$ M oreover, the triterpenes with the A-ring contracted are natural compounds isolated from various parts of several plants. ${ }^{10}$ These triterpenoids are important synthons for semisynthesis of A-ring-modified derivatives showing biological activities, such as Finasteride ${ }^{11}$ [ $5 \alpha, 17 \beta$-N-(1, 1, dimethyl-ethyl)-3-oxo-4-azaandrostan-1-ene-17-carboxamide], which bel ongs to the 4 -azasteroid structural class of compounds. Finasteride inhibits the enzyme $5 \alpha$-reductase, which is
(5) F or a review on cyclic sulfites and cyclic sulfates, see: (a) Lohray, B. B. Synthesis 1992, 1035. (b) Byun, H. S.; He, L.; Bittman, R. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 7051.
(6) (a) Sharpless, K. B.; Kim, B. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 655. (b) Gao, Y.; Sharpless, K. B. J . Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7538.
(7) F or a review on epoxides and halohydrins, see: Kasai, N.; Susuki, T.; Furukawa, Y. J. Mol. Catal. B 1998, 4, 237.
(8) Leak, D. J.; Aikens, P. J.; Seyed-M ahmolldian, M. Tibtech 1992, 10, 256.
(9) Harrisson, I. T.; Harrisson, S. Compendium of Organic Synthetic Methods; Wiley-Interscience: New Y ork, 1971, 1974.
(10) (a) Raja Rao, K. V.; Rao, L. J. M.; Prakasa Rao, N. S. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 1326. (b) Lontsi, D.; Sondengam, B. L.; Martin, M. T.; Bodo, B. Phytochemistry 1991, 30, 2361. (c) Yeo, H.; Park, S. Y.; Kim, J. Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 1399.


FIGURE 1. Scheme for the structure of products 1-4, and the formation (from 4) of compounds 5-7.
responsible for the conversion of testosterone to dihydrotestosterone. Therefore, the inhibition of $5 \alpha$-reductase lowers the level of dehydrotestosterone. On the other hand, these male hormones have been linked to prostate disorders, hair growth, and pubertal changes. Medicinal control over hormone systems thus can be advantageous in treating these disorders. M oreover, other structural classes of molecules are known to bind to 5 $\alpha$-reductase including 10 - and 6 -azasteroids. ${ }^{12}$

Previous works ${ }^{13}$ have dealt with the reactivity and rearrangement of different derivatives of oleanolic and maslinic acids, reactions which have provided high yields of several interesting $3(4) \rightarrow 5$-abeo and 2(3) $\rightarrow 4$-abeo products. Recently, our group reported the semisynthesis, theoretical calculations, and biotransformation of cydic sulfites of polyhydroxylated eudesmanes, ${ }^{14}$ showing that the sulfites and sulfates are appropriate intermediates for performing experimental and theoretical studies and, therefore, for preparing other synthons for fine organic synthesis.

Theoretical methods of substantial quality can be used to calculate NMR data using the gauge-invariant atomic orbital (GIAO) method, yiel ding data comparable to those of the experiments, ${ }^{13,14}$ and helping in the assignment of chemical shifts for natural product derivatives. In this sense, the density functional theory (DFT) methods are especially suitable for a wide range of medium- to large-

[^1]sized molecules. Their geometries were comparable with the X-ray data when available.

Here, we report on the isolation and unequivocal structural assignments (with the help of the DFT calcuIations) of a pair of sulfur-diasteromeric cyclic sulfites between C-2 and C-3 of maslinic acid. Moreover, from this sulfite mixture, two possible epoxides between these positions have been formed, via the corresponding sulfate. Finally, a sulfate and epoxide reactivity study has been performed by the nucleophilic attack and appropriate opening of their rings. Thus, several useful A-ringcontracted compounds and halohydrins were obtained. In this sense, and from one of the products with a contracted A-ring (in very high yield from methyl oleanolate), we formed an interesting synthon for the A- and B-rings of the 4-azasteroids.

## Results and Discussion

(a) Reactivity. Oleanolic (1) and maslinic (2) acids were derived from olive-pressing residues by successive extractions with hexane and ethyl acetate in a Soxhlet apparatus, ${ }^{2}$ whereupon these extracts were treated with ethereal diazomethane to obtain methyl oleanate (3) ${ }^{13}$ and methyl maslinate (4) ${ }^{13}$ in considerable yields.

The treatment of 4 with thionyl chloride in pyridine for 5 min yielded a diasteromeric pair of cyclic sulfites (5,50\%, and 6,50\%) between the hydroxyl groups located at C-2 and C-3 of the A-ring of methyl maslinate (Figure 1). These compounds showed different physical properties, however, with identical molecular ion peaks of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $532\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right)$. On the other hand, the diasteromer sulfites 5 and 6 presented different ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts mainly for the A-ring atoms. Thus, in 5, the lesser polar sulfite, $\mathrm{H}-2 \beta$ was detected at $\delta 4.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ ( 1 H, ddd, $\mathrm{J}_{1}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), while, in 6 (more polar), this proton appeared at $\delta 4.61 \mathrm{ppm}$ ( 1 H , ddd, $\mathrm{J}_{1}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). However, H-3 $\alpha$ was more shifted in 6 ( $\delta 3.99$ ppm, 1H, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) than in $5(\delta 3.46 \mathrm{ppm}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6$ Hz ). In terms of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, the main differences
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FIGURE 2. Semisynthesis of products 8-10 from sulfate $\mathbf{7 .}$
were again in the chemicals shifts at C-2 ( $\delta 80.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ in 5 and $\delta 74.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ in compound $\mathbf{6}$ ) and C-3 ( $\delta 88.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ in 5 and $\delta 94.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ in 6). These spectroscopic data were inadequate to distinguish between the pair of sulfites and, therefore, to establish the arrangement of the $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ bond in the A-ring. A full assignment of the arrangement, and consequently the absolute sulfur configuration in each compound, will be made below from spectroscopic and geometric properties. The stability of the two sulfites was verified by dissolving the mixture in different solvents $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and maintaining it at reflux for 8 h . Similarly, this stability was tested under acidic conditions (acid resin, Amberlite IRC-50, pH 5-6, or HCl solution, pH 2 , in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, at reflux for 4 h ) or on a solid support (silica gel GF254, pH $7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, at reflux for 4 h). In all cases, both sulfites remained unchanged and their ratios unaltered. The mixture of sulfites 5 and 6 was then completely oxidized to a cyclic sulfate (7) using $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} / \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (Figure 1). Sulfate $\mathbf{7}$ had a molecular ion peak ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 548$ ) $16 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ units higher than those of the related sulfites. In its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum the $\mathrm{H}-2 \beta$ and $\mathrm{H}-3 \alpha$ signals appeared at $\delta 4.89 \mathrm{ppm}\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=4.3\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{J}_{2}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}_{3}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and at $\delta 4.27 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), respectively. The accurate geometry in the sulfate and A-rings will be discussed and clearly established in the following sections of this paper.
The reactivity of sulfate 7 was also tested, first, by treatment with HCl solution ( pH 2 ) in THF at reflux for 2 h , and remains unaltered. However, treatment of 7 with $\mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at reflux for 30 min yielded compounds $8^{13 b}(50 \%)$ and 9 (50\%) (Figure 2). Products 8 and 9 were identified with the same molecular mass ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 468$ ) as epoxides between C-2 and C-3. These epoxides formed under basic conditions through the attack of the sulfur atom by the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$group, opening of the sulfate ring from the hydroxyl group at C-2 or C-3, and the subsequent loss of the sulfate group from C-3 or C-2. Compounds 8 and 9 were spectroscopically very similar, making it especially difficult to distinguish between the two epoxide configurations. This distinction made by using theoretical calculations will be discussed in the following sections. In contrast, by Mitsunobu reaction of $\mathbf{4}$ or its tosyl derivative with $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{MeO}^{-} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$, only compound $\mathbf{8}$ gave high yields (85-95\%) (see the Experimental Section). This epoxide 8 was previously formed as a minor product in the rearrangement by acetolysis of the methyl $2 \alpha-$ tosylmaslinate. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$

Moreover, we have proved that sulfate $\mathbf{7}$ is unstable in the presence of a solid support such as silica gel, and thus decomposes to give an A-ring-contracted compound (10,50\%), as well as other nonisolable dimer products (Figure 2). Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was formed through an A-ring contraction by a $\mathrm{C}-3(4) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}-5$ rearrangement and the loss of the sulfate group between $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$, showing a molecular mass of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 450\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$, indicating the loss of oxygenated functions at $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$. Its structure was deduced from the previous considerations and several mono- and bidimensional NMR experiments.

For the study of the behavior of the cyclic sulfate 7 under nucleophilic substitution conditions, and thus to obtain remarkable deoxygenated and contracted A-ring compounds, several reactions with different nucleophilic reagents were carried out. Therefore, compound 7 was treated with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ followed by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ at different temperatures, obtaining compounds 11 (40\%), 12 (10\%), and 13 (15\%) (Figure 3). The spectroscopic properties of these products indicated a contracted A-ring due to a 3(4) $\rightarrow 5$ rearrangement and diverse functions on this ring. These products were formed because the A-ring contraction occurs beforehand, and the opening and loss of the sulfate group preferably result from a transperiplanar disposition of the C-4-C-5 bond and the C-3-O bonds.

In addition, treatment of 7 with reductive conditions ( $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in DMF at reflux) yielded com-


1) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3} / \mathrm{DMF} /$ Reflux/1h; 2) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(20 \%)$

FIGURE 3. Semisynthesis of products $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 3}$ from sulfate $\mathbf{7}$.


FIGURE 4. Semisynthesis of products $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 6}$ from sulfate $\mathbf{7}$.
pounds 11 (45\%), 12 (25\%), 14 (5\%), 15 (10\%), and 16 (10\%) with a five-membered A-ring contraction (Figure 4). The majority products (11 and 12) were also yielded in the above-mentioned azidation reaction. Compounds 14-16 were characterized by their spectroscopic properties and were identified as A-ring-contracted products with one, two, or three double bonds in the A-ring moiety. However, treatment of $\mathbf{7}$ under more drastic reductive conditions ( $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4} / \mathrm{THF} /$ rt) gave none of the A-ringcontracted products and only two reduced compounds, 17 (59\%) and 18 (38\%). Products 17 and 18 were the result of a C-28 carboxymethyl reduction (product 17) and the loss of the sulfate group (product 18) (Figure 5).

On the other hand, to study the reactivity of the epoxy group between C-2 and C-3 for the triterpene and produce A-ring-deoxygenated ol eanene compounds, we performed various reduction and halogenation reactions using epoxide 8 as starting material (see the Experimental Section). In this sense, compound 8 was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at different temperatures and times, and therefore, $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were formed in variable ratios (Table 1). The reductive treatment was accomplished with AlLiH ${ }_{4}$ and gave both esters 3 and 4, as well as compounds 19 and 20. From their NMR data, the structures of 19 and $\mathbf{2 0}$ were deduced, with the result that compound 19 was eritrodiol ${ }^{15}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ was the epoxide with the C-28 carboxymethyl group reduced (Figure 5).

In this way, treatment of epoxide 8 with iodine/ triphenylphosphine gave compounds 21-23 in different yields according to the reaction conditions (see Table 2 and Figure 6). Product $\mathbf{2 1}{ }^{13 a}$ had a double bond between $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$, resulting from the opening of the oxirane ring, the formation of a secondary carbocation at C-2 or $\mathrm{C}-3$, and the elimination of triphenylphosphine oxide. However, such carbocations can preferably undergo competitive nucleophilic attacks, and thus, iodohydrins 22 and $\mathbf{2 3}$ were yielded. Oleandiene compound 21 was also formed by treatment of epoxide $\mathbf{8}$ with $\mathrm{WCl}_{6} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ as a minor product (8\%). From this reaction a $\mathrm{C}-2 \alpha-\mathrm{Cl}$ and C-3 $\beta$-OH compound, 24 (46\%), was al so obtained by nucleophilic attack at the $\mathrm{C}-2 \alpha$ position by a chloride anion of the reagent. Similarly, other halohydrins (24-

[^2]
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FIGURE 5. Structures of products 17-20.
27) resulted from the opening of the oxirane ring of $\mathbf{8}$ by the chloride and bromide anions (Figure 7; see the Experimental Section). In all cases, the oxygenated compound was not found and the halohydrins with the halogen atoms at C-2 in the $\alpha$ configuration were the majority product (chlorohydrin 24 and bromohydrin 26).
On the other hand, acetolysis of epoxide 8 caused a new opening of the oxirane ring, yielding a C-2-acetylated derivative (28,45\%) ${ }^{13 a}$ and a C-3-acetylated one (29, $49 \%)^{13 a}$ (Figure 7). When this oxirane oleanene 8 was treated with TFA in DMF , the corresponding 2-formyloxy


FIGURE 6. Semisynthesis of products 21-23 from epoxide 8.

TABLE 1. Reduction of 8 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$ and $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4}$ at Different Temperatures

|  |  |  | yield (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reducing agent | temp | time $(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2.5 | 30 | 36 |  |  |
|  | rt | 2.5 | 53 | 37 |  |  |
|  | reflux | 2.5 | 43 | 57 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4}$ | rt | 1.5 |  | 38 | 53 |  |
|  | reflux | 1.0 | 3 | 4 | 29 | 64 |

TABLE 2. Treatment of 8 with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbf{2}}$ at Different Temperatures

|  | yield (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| temp | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | 81 | 19 |
| rt | 13 | 80 | 7 |
| reflux | 17 | 83 |  |

(30, 35\%) and 3-formyloxy (31, 35\%) derivatives were obtained (Figure 7). In this case, the opening of the oxirane ring occurred by a nucleophilic attack of the formyl group from the reagent.

To obtain a considerable quantity of a contracted Aring product, which served as starting material for later semisynthesis, we treated 3 with phosphorus pentachloride, a strong deoxygenating agent, and thus, products 32 ( $80 \%)^{13 a}$ and 33 (15\%) ${ }^{13 a}$ were formed (Figure 8). The main product of this reaction, 32, was a rearranged compound with a pentacyclic A-ring and an isopropylidene group on C-3, whereas product 33 was the $\mathrm{C}-4=\mathrm{C}-5$ endo double-bond isomer. These $3(4) \rightarrow 5$-abeo products were presumably formed by loss of the oxygenated function on C-3 and by the formation of the C-3-C-5 bond. Subsequently, to degrade the molecule between the $\mathrm{C}-3=\mathrm{C}-4$ exo double bond, a selective ozonolysis was carried out on compound 32 using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and pyridine as solvent at $-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this way, the oxidative cleavage yiel ded the pentacyclic ketone 34 (68\%), the C-12=C-13


Treatment with: $\mathrm{Cl}^{-} / \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Br}^{-} / \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH} \\
& \text { 25: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl} \\
& \text { 26: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH} \\
& \text { 27: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}
\end{aligned}
$$

Treatment with $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{AcOK}$

> 28: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OAc} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH}$
> 29: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OAc}$

Treatment withTFA/DMF

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 30: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCHO} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OH} \\
& \text { 31: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCHO}
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 7. Semisynthesis of products 24-31 from epoxide 8.
double bond of diene 32 remaining unchanged (Figure 8). The structure of ketone 34 was ascertained using its spectroscopic properties, with a molecular mass of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $426\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ that demonstrated a loss of 26 units of mass from 32 by the ozonolysis. On the other hand, in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, only five angular methyl groups were recorded ( $\delta 1.16,0.92,0.89,0.79$, and 0.77 ppm ), and the carbonyl group on C-3 appeared at $\delta 216.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.

Subsequently, to produce the desired 4-aza compound, we used an oximation and a Beckmann rearrangement. Thus, from pentacyclic ketone 34, only oxime 35 was prepared in very high yield (93\%), by treatment with hydroxylamine hydrochloride and pyridine as basic catalyst at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 8). Oxime 35 presented a molecular mass of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 441$ that indicated a molecular formula of


FIGURE 8. Semisynthesis of products 32-36 from 3.


FIGURE 9. Formation of 3-aza or 4-aza compounds, from oxime 35, by Beckmann rearrangement.
$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. Moreover, its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed only as significant signals five methyl groups at $\delta 1.15,0.91$, $0.88,0.77$, and 0.73 ppm and a double doublet at $\delta 5.29$ ppm corresponding to H-12. Furthermore, in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum a signal appeared at $\delta 166.10 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned to $\mathrm{C}-3$, which supported the $\mathrm{C}-3=\mathrm{N}$ double bond of the oxime.

Finally, to expand the A-ring including the N atom, thereby obtaining the lactam function in this ring, we treated the oxime 35 with $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ in diethyl ether at rt (Figure 8), yielding compound 36. However, depending on the $Z-E$ isomerism of the $C=N$ double bond of the oxime 35, two different lactamic compounds were formed (Figure 9). In the Beckmann rearrangement, the group that migrates displayed an anti orientation to the hydroxyl group, and this is often used as a method for determining the oxime configuration. In this case, there

TABLE 3. Selected Geometrical Parameters, X-ray and Calculated (at the B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G*
Theoretical Level) Values, for the Sulfate Moiety and the A-Ring Substructure of Compound 7

|  |  | B3LYP/ |  |  | B3LYP/ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| bond (A) | X-ray | $6-31 G^{*}$ | angles (deg) | X-ray | $6-31 G^{*}$ |
| C-1-C-2 | 1.509 | 1.515 | C-2-C-1-C-10 | 107.6 | 109.6 |
| C-2-C-3 | 1.495 | 1.514 | C-1-C-2-C-3 | 111.3 | 111.4 |
| C-3-C-4 | 1.522 | 1.533 | C-2-C-3-C-4 | 114.9 | 114.1 |
| C-4-C-5 | 1.561 | 1.580 | C-3-C-4-C-5 | 102.4 | 103.2 |
| C-5-C-10 | 1.569 | 1.579 | C-4-C-5-C-10 | 118.7 | 118.2 |
| C-1-C-10 | 1.558 | 1.567 | C-5-C-10-C-1 | 109.3 | 109.4 |
| C-4-C-23 | 1.539 | 1.546 | C-3-C-2-O-4 | 102.1 | 103.1 |
| C-4-C-24 | 1.540 | 1.541 | C-2-C-3-O-3 | 102.0 | 103.6 |
| C-2-O-4 | 1.471 | 1.457 | S-O-4-C-2 | 108.5 | 107.9 |
| C-3-O-3 | 1.483 | 1.455 | S-O-3-C-3 | 107.7 | 108.3 |
| S-O-4 | 1.584 | 1.652 | O-3-S-O-4 | 98.5 | 96.1 |
| S-O-3 | 1.580 | 1.655 | O-1-S-O-4 | 110.5 | 107.6 |
| S-O-1 | 1.404 | 1.450 | O-2-S-O-3 | 110.8 | 107.5 |
| S-O-2 | 1.409 | 1.449 |  |  |  |
| Puckering Parameters for |  |  |  |  |  |
| theFive-Membered Ring |  |  |  |  |  |
| q | 0.434 | 0.428 |  |  |  |
| f | 87.8 | 93.2 |  |  |  |

were al so two possibilities according to the alkyl group located anti with respect to the hydroxyl group, and thus, the 4-aza or the 3-aza derivative could be obtained (Figure 9). When the bond C-3-C-5 was trans with regard to the hydroxyl group of the oxime, this bond migrated toward the N atom and the 4-aza derivative was formed. Contrarily, when the C-2-C-3 bond was anti with respect to the OH , the 3-aza derivative resulted. Taking into account the spectroscopic NMR data published for 3-azasteroids ${ }^{16}$ and 4 -azasteroids ${ }^{17}$ and the theoretical calculations (see below), compound 36 presented the structure of a 4-aza derivative. Compound 36 had a molecular mass of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 441$ in agreement with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a signal at $\delta 5.69 \mathrm{ppm}$ which was interchangeable with that of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, being assigned to the proton on the $\mathrm{N}-4$ atom. In its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum the assignments for the A-ring carbon atoms were the following: C-1 ( $\delta 35.1$ ppm), C-2 ( $\delta 28.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), C-5 ( $\delta 61.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), and C-10 ( $\delta$ $36.3 \mathrm{ppm})$. At $\delta 172.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ appeared the carbonyl group on C-3 of the cydic amide 36.
(b) Geometrical Parameters. Figure 11 (see the Supporting Information) depicts the X-ray structure of sulfate 7, and Table 3 summarizes the experimental and theoretical geometries. The calculated bond lengths were larger than the experimental ones only for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and the terminal S-O bonds, agreeing well with the X-ray data with a maximum deviation for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}$ distances of $0.02,0.03$, and $0.07 \AA$, respectively. The bond angles showed the largest deviations for the $\angle \mathrm{OSO}$ angles of ca. $3^{\circ}$.
In addition to compound 7, Figure 10 shows details of the A-ring substructure for the pairs of isomers, the sulfites (5 and 6) and epoxides (8 and 9). The bond lengths, and the atom numbering, are included for comparison.

[^3]

FIGURE 10. Details of the structure for the A-ring of sulfites 5 and 6, and epoxides $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

The most stable conformations of the five- and sixmembered rings for compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ can be determined from the Cremer-Pople puckering parameters ${ }^{18}$ (summarized in Table 1 for the five-membered ring and in Supporting Information Table S-3).
The five-membered $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ ring of structures 1-3 presented larger puckering amplitude ( $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ ) values of ca. 0.43 compared to the 0.39 for a standard cyclopentane ring, ${ }^{18}$ the X-ray and theoretical puckering amplitudes of the sulfates being slightly larger than the sulfite values, because of the large volume of the S atom. Moreover, the phase angle ( $\phi$ ) data agreed with an envel ope ( E ) form for the sulfites and a twist ( T ) form ( S at the $C_{2}$ symmetry axis) for the sulfate structures. Sulfite 1 with the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the $\beta$ orientation yielded an E form at the C-2 apex, sulfite 2 ( $\alpha$ oriented) being an E form at the $\mathrm{C}-3$ apex.

In addition to the five-membered heterocyclic ring of compounds 1-3, these structures presented six-membered rings (A-E). The most stable conformations for these rings were determined from the puckering parameters ${ }^{18}$ ( Q amplitude and $\theta$ and $\phi$ phase angles), yielding a chair form for the $\mathrm{A}-, \mathrm{B}-, \mathrm{D}-$, and $\mathrm{E}-$ rings, and a halfboat form (at the $\mathrm{C}-8$ apex) for the C -ring (due mainly to the double $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond for this C -ring). The A - and B -rings showed larger Q values (ca. 0.58 ), attributed to the $A$-ring substituents, while the D-ring was less distorted (Q values ca. 0.50 ). All the puckering parameters for the sixmembered rings remained almost similar for the different structures.
(c) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Chemical Shifts and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{H}$ Coupling Constants. Theoretical chemical shifts ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\delta_{H}$ ) were calculated, for compounds 5-9 and 34-

[^4]36, to determine the absolute configuration in sulfite (5 and 6) and epoxide ( $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ ) derivatives, and characterize the products of the oximation and Beckmann rearrangement, compounds 34-36. Moreover, we also included for comparison, with the sulfites, the sulfate 7. Table 4 summarizes the theoretical results (B3LYP/6-31G*// B3LYP/6-31G*) and the experimental data. An entire table(Table S-1) with all the cal culated and experimental $\delta_{C}$ chemical shifts is given for compounds 5-9 and 3436 as Supporting Information.

Table 4 shows the corresponding $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ chemical shifts (calculated and experimental) together with selected ${ }^{3}$ ] н.н coupling constants only for the A-ring, where structural and functional changes occurred. Looking at our previous work, ${ }^{13,14}$ the experimental assignments of the chemical shifts were made according to their proximity to the calculated values and the type of carbon (CH/ $\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, or C ). The results gave mean deviation values of ca. 5 and 1 ppm , for the $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ shifts, respectively. Although this range is still large for a precise determination of the absolute chemical shift values, the theoretical calculations accurately reproduce the trends in the relative shifts from one compound to another, providing a useful tool to characterize natural product derivatives. ${ }^{13,14}$ In this work, we have improved our previous calculations of the chemical shifts using the DFT geometry instead of the molecular mechanics one (i.e., B3LYP/ 6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G*).

Compounds 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ correspond to sulfites with different orientations of the terminal $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ bond. The $\alpha$ orientation matches an R configuration on the sulfur atom, the $\beta$ one matching an S configuration on the sulfur (see Figure 10). A detailed comparison between the calculated and experimental $\delta_{C}$ and $\delta_{H}$ values enabled us an unambiguous assignment of both configurations. Moreover, the main differences were found in their $\delta_{c}$ shifts for $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ atoms. For compound $\mathbf{5}$, the $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ value of C-2 was shifted downfield ( 80.7 ppm ) compared to 74.5 ppm for $\mathbf{6}$. Furthermore, for compound $\mathbf{6}$, the $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ value of C-3 was also shifted downfield in comparison to that of 5 ( 94.9 and 88.3 ppm , respectively). A similar trend was also noted for the calculated values (77.9 and 73.3 ppm for $\mathrm{C}-2$, and 91.3 and 86.1 ppm for $\mathrm{C}-3$; see Table 4). However, the other $\delta_{c}$ shifts remained almost unchanged.

In addition, a different configuration on the sulfur atom also affects the $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ shifts, mainly for the closest hydrogens ( $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$ ); however, the coupling constants remained almost unchanged due to the similar conformations of the A-ring in both sulfite products. Thus, compound 5 (with $\alpha$ and R configuration) presented the $\mathrm{H}-3$ near the O atom, yielding a larger $\delta_{H}$ value ( 4.0 ppm ) than 3.5 ppm for compound 6 (oxygen in the $\beta$ orientation). Similarly, the H-2 signal of (S)-6 ( $\beta$ orientation) was shifted downfield ( 4.6 ppm ) compared to that of compound 5 , with $\mathrm{H}-2$ in the $\beta$ orientation and the oxygen in the $\alpha$ one ( 4.1 ppm ). This combination of different effects (oxygen in the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ orientations together with $\mathrm{H}-2$ in the $\beta$ orientation and $\mathrm{H}-3$ in the $\alpha$ orientation) yielded a product (5) with similar $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$ shifts and another (6) with different values.

On the other hand, the theoretical ${ }^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants reproduced the experimental data and indi-

TABLE 4. Selected Experimental and Calculated (at the B3LYP/6-31G*/B3LYP/6-31G* theoretical level) NMR ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1}$ H Chemical Shifts (ppm) Together with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ Coupling Constants, for Compounds 5-9 and 34-36

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { type } \end{gathered}$ | (R)-5 ( $\alpha$ ) |  | (S)-6 ( $\beta$ ) |  | 7 |  | $8(\beta)$ |  | $9(\alpha)$ |  | 34 |  | 35 |  | 36 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | calcd, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | calcd, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | exptl | calcd |  |  | exptl | calcd |  |  | exptl | calcd | exptla ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | calcd | exptl | calcd | exptl | calcd | exptI | calcd | exptl | 4-aza | 3-aza |
| C-1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 42.1 | 42.6 | 41.2 | 41.7 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 40.0 | 41.5 | 36.5 | 37.4 | 37.9 | 39.0 | 35.1 | 37.8 | 38.0 |
| C-2 | CH | 80.7 | 77.9 | 74.5 | 73.3 | 82.9 | 77.0 | 54.4 | 54.2 | 52.7 | 51.2 | $32.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 34.7c | $24.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 26.7c | $28.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 29.7c | 40.3 |
| C-3 | CH | 88.3 | 86.1 | 94.9 | 91.3 | 96.0 | 89.9 | 61.0 | 60.0 | 61.7 | 60.2 | 216.8 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 203.6 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $166.1^{\text {d }}$ | $156.6^{\text {d }}$ | $172.5{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $157.4^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| C-4 | C | 38.2 | 40.8 | 38.7 | 41.3 | 38.4 | 40.2 | 32.4 | 35.6 | 32.5 | 35.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.8 |
| C-5 | CH | 56.2 | 56.7 | 55.9 | 56.6 | 55.5 | 56.2 | 52.2 | 51.3 | 46.1 | 47.2 | 61.8 | 60.6 | 55.0 | 55.2 | 61.0 | 61.4 | 51.2 |
| C-6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 17.9 | 21.1 | 17.9 | 21.0 | 17.8 | 20.8 | 19.7 | 22.9 | 18.9 | 21.9 | 16.8 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 21.4 | 24.6 | 27.9 | 20.5 |
| C-9 | CH | 47.9 | 50.1 | 48.0 | 50.2 | 47.8 | 50.0 | 47.8 | 47.9 | 47.0 | 47.9 | 46.2 | 47.7 | 45.3 | 46.9 | 42.6 | 44.1 |  |
| C-10 | C | 40.3 | 43.2 | 40.6 | 43.5 | 39.7 | 43.0 | 36.9 | 40.3 | 36.1 | 39.6 | 40.4 | 43.3 | 42.0 | 45.8 | 36.3 | 39.2 | 38.9 |
| C-23 | Me | 28.6 | 29.4 | 28.5 | 29.4 | 28.1 | 28.9 | 30.4 | 30.2 | 28.2 | 28.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-24 | Me | 16.3 | 18.1 | 16.3 | 17.9 | 16.1 | 17.7 | 20.6 | 22.7 | 22.3 | 23.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-25 | Me | 17.2 | 19.2 | 17.2 | 19.1 | 17.1 | 19.1 | 16.7 | 19.0 | 17.9 | 19.8 | 14.6 | 16.0 | 14.1 | 15.7 | 11.8 | 13.8 |  |
| H-2 |  | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H-3 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J $1 \alpha, 2$ |  | 14.7 | 11.3 | 11.8 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.9 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\int_{1 \beta, 2}$ |  | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| J 2,3 |  | 10.6 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 10.4 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data from ref 13b. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Values calculated for two different structures of this lactone 36, the 3-aza and the 4-aza derivatives. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Methylene carbon type. ${ }^{d}$ Quaternary carbon type.
cated that the A-ring remained almost unchanged for both structures.

Therefore, from all the above results, we unambiguously assigned product 5 with an absolute R configuration on the sulfur atom ( $\alpha$ orientation), while product 6 corresponded to the S configuration ( $\beta$ orientation) (see Figure 10).

Compound 7, formed from the oxidation of both sulfites 5 and 6 (see Figure 11 in the Supporting Information), presented similar $\delta_{C}$ shifts except for the C-2 and C-3 atoms ( 82.9 and 96.0 ppm , respectively), which gave values larger than the sulfite ones.

Another problem in elucidating two configurations arises with both epoxides 8 and 9 (in the $\alpha$ or $\beta$ orientation). Epoxide 8 was previously characterized as $\beta$ oriented according to the B3LYP/6-31G*//MM+ chemical shift calculations. ${ }^{13 b}$ F or a clear assignment of both epoxides, in this work, the calculations were improved with DFT geometries instead of molecular mechanics ones (B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G*). According mainly to the $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ values at the C-1, C-2, and C-5 atoms, the compounds with a $\beta$ orientation yielded an upfield shift for the C-2 and C-5 atoms together with a downfield one for C-1. However, neither the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ shifts nor the ${ }^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constants clearly differentiated between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ orientations in this case.

Following the above trends from the $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ chemical shifts, we assigned the $\beta$ orientation to product 8 and the $\alpha$ orientation to product 9.

On the other hand, from 3, by a treatment with $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ and further ozonolysis of the main product 32, the ketone 34 was obtained. This compound 34 presented a fivemembered contracted A-ring differing from the previous triterpenes (six-membered A-ring). With the help of the calculated NMR chemical shifts, and according to the type of carbons ( $\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, or C ), we managed to assign all the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts for this compound, C-2 and C-5 being the main characteristic signals for this fivemembered A-ring, which were shifted downfield ( $\delta 32.4$ and 61.8 ppm compared to $\delta 28.7$ and 55.9 ppm , respectively, in compound 32). Moreover, oxime 35, obtained from ketone 34, yielded the same trends as diene 32, the

C-2 and C-5 signals being shifted upfield, $\delta 24.5$ and 55.0 ppm, compared to those of ketone 34. This effect is explained by the electronegativity of the oxygen atom compared to the $=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (32) or $=\mathrm{NOH}$ (35) group.

All the above experimental trends were accurately reproduced by the theoretical calculations (see Table 4).

Oxime 35, by a treatment with $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$, underwent a Beckmann rearrangement, yielding a six-membered Aring lactone. As mentioned above (see the Reactivity Section), this rearrangement gave two different structures (3-aza or 4-aza derivatives; see Figure 9), depending on the stereochemistry of the $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-3-\mathrm{C}-5$ double bond. However, comparing the experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of 36 with the calculated chemical shifts of the 3-aza and 4-aza derivatives (see Table 4), compound 36 corresponded to the 4-aza structure. Thus, focusing on the C-2 and C-5 shifts, the experimental data were in better agreement with the 4-aza structure than with the 3-aza one, those shifts yielding the largest differences with respect to the latter (ca. 10 ppm ). M oreover, taking into account the theoretical calculations for compound 36 (in both structures 3-aza and 4-aza) and with our calculations of a 4-azasteroid derivative reported in the literature ${ }^{17}$ (referred to as compound 13 in that work), the assignments for the $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-5$ shifts were interchanged in ref 17. However, the remaining ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts were in agreement with our theoretical data.

Once the nature of 36 was established as a 4-aza derivative, we concluded that the Beckmann rearrangement was consistent with respect to product 35 with a trans $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-3-\mathrm{C}-5$ double bond (E-isomer; see Figure 9), discarding the Z-isomer.

## Concluding Remarks

In this reactivity study of the A-ring of the triterpenic compound, we have prepared two derivatives with a function between the C-2 and C-3 atoms, these being the sulfate 7 and the epoxide 8 . The sulfate $\mathbf{7}$ manifested a clear tendency to be lost after attack with different nucleophilic reagents to form remarkable A-ringcontracted compounds. On the contrary, these contracted
products were not obtained from the epoxide $\mathbf{8}$ (but rather their opening led to the halohydrins by preferential opening of C-2 and deoxygenated derivatives). M oreover, from the main A-ring-contracted compound 32 of the above-mentioned rearrangement, 4-azasteroid synthons for the A - and B -rings were prepared.

In the previous section, some doubts about the clear differentiation between the sulfite and epoxide isomers were presented, as well as the geometry of the aforementioned sulfate, which has been sol ved in the following section of this work by the help of theoretical cal culations and X -ray data.

## Experimental Section

Computational Details. In the first step, structures 5-9 and 34-36 were fully optimized at the $\mathrm{HF} / 3-21 \mathrm{G}^{*} / / \mathrm{HF} / 3-21 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ theoretical level within the Gaussian 98 package of programs. ${ }^{19}$ M oreover, frequency calculations were performed at the same level of theory to test the nature of the stationary points, yielding no imaginary frequency (true minima), for all the structures 5-9. In the second step, the HF/3-21G*//H F/3-21G* geometries were optimized using the density functional theory (B3LYP functional ${ }^{20}$ with the 6-31G* basis set). Finally, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts were also calculated with the Gaussian 98 program ${ }^{19}$ by means of the GIAO method, ${ }^{21}$ using the tetramethylsilane (TMS) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals as reference, at the B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G* theoretical level (the reference value of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ is $\delta 189.77 \mathrm{ppm}$, and that of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ is $\delta 32.18$ ppm). The ${ }^{3} \int_{\text {н, }}$ coupling constants were estimated with the Haasnoot-Altona equation. ${ }^{22}$ Drawing the X-ray structure of 7 was performed with the Ortep-3 program. ${ }^{23}$

General Methods. Measurements of NMR spectra (300.13 $\mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $75.47 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) were made in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (which al so provided the lock signal) in a 300 MHz spectrometer. The assignments of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemi cal shifts were made with the aid of distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) using a flip angle of $135^{\circ}$ and with a two-dimensional NMR experiment. Bruker's programs were used for $\operatorname{COSY}\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ and C/H correlation. One-dimensional NOE difference experiments were done by irradiation for 4 s in a series of eight scans. IR spectra were recorded on an FTIR spectrometer. High-resol ution mass spectra were obtained with a spectrometer with EBE geometry.

X-ray data were col lected on a diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). The single crystals of compound $\mathbf{7}$ were obtained by recrystallization from a mixture of methanol and methylene chloride (1:1), and the structure was determined and refined using the SHELXTL program package. ${ }^{24}$

[^5]Melting points were uncorrected, and the optical rotations were measured on a polarimeter at $20^{\circ}$. All reaction solvents were dried and distilled immediately prior to use; chromatography solvents were distilled prior to use. Commercially available reagents were used without further purification. Silica gel ( $40-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) was used for flash chromatography. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ containing increasing amounts of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ were used as eluents. Analytical plates (silica gel) were rendered visible by spraying with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} / \mathrm{AcOH}$, followed by heating to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Isolation of Starting Materials. Oleanolic acid (1) $)^{1,2}$ and maslinic acid (2) ${ }^{1,2}$ were isolated from solid wastes resulting from olive oil production, which were macerated and extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus with hexane and EtOAc successively. Hexane extracts were a mixture of oleanolic acid and maslinic adid (80:20), whereas this relationship was 20:80 for the EtOAc extracts. Both products were purified from these mixtures by CC over silica gel, eluting with a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ acetone mixture of increasing polarity. $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were transformed into the corresponding methyl esters with ethereal $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{NaOH} / \mathrm{Ime}$, and thus, methyl $3 \beta$-hydroxy-12-ol eanen28 -oate (3) ${ }^{13}$ and methyl $2 \alpha, 3 \beta$-dihydroxy-12-oleanen-28-oate (4) ${ }^{13}$ were obtained.

Formation of Sulfites 5 and 6. Product 4 ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissol ved in 8 mL of pyridine and 25 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and 1.1 mL of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ was added. The reaction was maintained with stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, neutralized with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. Chromatography over silica gel yielded 1.65 g (99\%) of 5 ( $50 \%$ ee) and 6 ( $50 \%$ ee). Data for 5 : white solid; mp 176$178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=61\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3488,2948,2880$, 1724, 1461, 1214, $996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.27$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=3.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,10.6,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1$, $11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \beta$ ), 1.14 (3H, s, Me), 1.11 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.98 (3H, s, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.72$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ); for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table $\mathrm{S}-1$ and spectrum S-2 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ SNa 555.3120, found 555.3121. Data for 6: white solid; mp $111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=54\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3412,2947$, 2867, 1725, $757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 4.61$ ( 1 H , ddd, J $=4.0,10.6,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \alpha$ ), $3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 2.86$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta$ ), $2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \beta), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, 0.98 (3H, s, Me), 0.92 (3H, s, Me), 0.89 (3H, s, Me), 0.73 ( 3 H , $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table $\mathrm{S}-1$ and spectrum $\mathrm{S}-3$ in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ 555.3120, found 555.3112.

Oxidation with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4} / \mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$ of Sulfites 5 and 6. $\mathrm{Nal} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (approximately 5 mg ) in water ( 15 mL ) were added to a solution of 5 and $\mathbf{6}(1.3 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . This mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 50 mL ), and the organic layer was washed with water, a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and a NaCl solution and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed to obtain 0.97 g of 7 (80\%): white solid; mp 190-192 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $=45\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2948,1724,1383,1212 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, $4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}$, $J=4.3,10.4,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 4.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha)$, $3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.86\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=4.6,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta\right)$, $2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \beta), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.10$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table S-1 and spectrum S-4 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SNa} 571.3151$, found 571.3159.

Opening of Sulfate 7 with KOH. Product 7 ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of THF, and 5 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (70\%) containing K OH (20\%) was added. The reaction was maintained with stirring at reflux for 30 min . The reaction mixture was washed with diluted HCl solution, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. Chromatography over silica gel yielded 27 mg of $\mathbf{8}^{13 \mathrm{~b}}(50 \%)$ and 26 mg of 9 ( $50 \%$ ): white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 200-$ $202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{52} \mathrm{D}=45\left(\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2948,1726,1161$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{H}-12)$, 3.61 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=4.4,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \beta), 1.08$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), $0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR seeTable S-1 and spectrum S-5 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na} 491.3501$, found 491.3500.

Formation of $2 \beta, 3 \beta$-E poxide 8 by Mitsunobu Reaction. Product 4 ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 20 mL of DMF, and $4.7 \mathrm{~g}(18 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was added. The reaction mixture was maintained with stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then a solution of 2.8 g of diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD) ( 18 mmol ) in 2 mL of DMF was added. This mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h , and then 120 mL of toluene/diethyl ether (3:1) was added to remove DMF, with evaporation at reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed to obtain 2.4 g of 8 (87\%).

Formation of 8 via Tosylation. Product $4(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9$ mmol ) was dissolved in 25 mL of pyridine, and 3.4 g of TsCl ( 14 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture, after being maintained with stirring at room temperature for 3 h , was washed with a diluted HCl solution to remove pyridine, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water plus a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed to provide 1.9 g of a $2 \alpha$-tosyl derivative ( $42 \%$ ). After this monotosylate ( 600 mg ) was dissolved in 10 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}, 125 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathrm{Na}(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of anhydrous MeOH was added, and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 1 h . Afterward, 1 mL of glacial AcOH was added, and the solvents were evaporated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with water, extracted, and evaporated. Chromatography over silica gel yielded 535 mg of 8 (95\%).

Treatment of Cyclic Sulfate 7 on Solid Support. Product 7 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(400 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{GF}_{254}\right.$ type $60, \mathrm{pH} 7$ ) were mixed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was maintained with stirring at reflux for 3 h , filtered, and evaporated at reduced pressure. Chromatography over silica gel yielded $100 \mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$ of 10: syrup; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=88$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2948,1725,1161,1120 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=0.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=0.0$, $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 3.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}_{1}=3.7,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta\right), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-6 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 473.3395$, found 473.3387. This type of reaction was also carried out with basic and neutral alumina under the same above-mentioned conditions and proportions, but no changes were detected. Moreover, this reaction was carried out with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{GF}_{254}\right.$ type $60, \mathrm{pH} 7$ ) in the same proportions and under acidic conditions with HCl at pH 2. Thus, product $7(50 \mathrm{mg})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and a pH 2 HCl solution was added. The reaction mixture was maintained at reflux for 8 h , and a low proportion of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (5\%) was observed by TLC.

Opening of Sulfate 7 with $\mathbf{N a N}_{3}$. Product $\mathbf{7}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of DMF, and 175 mg of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ( 2.7 mmol ) in 15 mL of DMF and 15 mL of an aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ sol ution (20\%) were added. The mixture was maintained with
stirring for 30 min . Then it was neutralized with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, washed with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. The resulting residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column to yield 93 mg of $\mathbf{1 1}$ (40\%), 25 mg of $\mathbf{1 2}$ (10\%), and 36 mg of 13 (15\%). Data for 11: white solid; mp $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=42\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3371,2949$, $1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, 4.83 ( 1 H , br s, H-23), 4.80 ( 1 H , br s, H-23), 4.52 ( 1 H , ddd, J = 4.5, 8.0, $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \alpha$ ), 3.61 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ ), 2.84 ( 1 H , dd, $\mathrm{J}=4.2,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 2.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \alpha)$, 2.12 ( 1 H , dd, J = 8.0, $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \beta$ ), 1.79 (3H, s, Me), 1.15 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-7 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na} 491.3501$, found 491.3493. Data for 12: white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 72-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=21$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR 3396, 2948, 1726, $1163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{HO}_{3} \mathrm{SO}-\right), 5.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,7.5,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \alpha), 5.27$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 4.87 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23$ ), 4.85 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,13.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \alpha), 2.29(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \beta), 1.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, $0.91(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) see Table S-2 and spectrum S-8 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SNa}$ 571.7724, found 571.7720. Data for 13: syrup; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{D}=50$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3318,2933,1723,1159,1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{H}-2 \alpha), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-18 \beta$ ), 1.29 (3H, s, Me), 1.19 (3H, s, Me), 1.12 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), $1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.73(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-9 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 509.3607$, found 509.3608.

Reduction of Sulfate 7 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. Product 7 ( 100 mg , 0.2 mmol ) was dissol ved in 5 mL of DMF, and after addition of 10 mg of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, the mixture was stirred at reflux for 1 h . Then the suspension was evaporated, and 60 mL of toluene/ ethyl ether (3:1) was added. The residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and finally evaporated at reduced pressure. Chromatography over silica gel yielded 39 mg of $\mathbf{1 1}$ (45\%), 27 mg of $\mathbf{1 2}$ (25\%), 4 mg of $\mathbf{1 4}(5 \%), 12 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{1 5}$ (10\%), and 14 mg of $\mathbf{1 6 ( 1 0 \% ) . ~ D a t a ~ f o r ~}$ 14: yellow solid; mp $84-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=66$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2948,1725,1162,1038 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.30$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-2$ ), $5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 4.97 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23$ ), 4.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23$ ), $3.64\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) see Table S-2 and spectrum S-10 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 471.3239$, found 471.3236. Data for 15: white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 71-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=7$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2927,1628,1123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1,1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, H-2), 4.97 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, H-23), 4.96 (1H, br s, H-23), 3.62 (3H, s, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.99(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-11 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 475.3552$, found 475.3555. Data for 16: syrup; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=107\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2947,1726,1161,1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 1 \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.67$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), $5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, $4.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23), 4.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-23)$, $3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Me), 1.19 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), $0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.87$ (3H, s, Me), $0.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) see Table S-2 and spectrum S-12 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 473.3395$, found 473.3396.

Reduction of Sulfate $\mathbf{7}$ with $\mathbf{N a B H}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$. To a solution of 200 mg of $\mathbf{7}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of DMF was added 40 mg of
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, and this mixture was maintained with stirring at $115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and 30 min . Small amounts of toluene were added to remove DMF, with evaporation at reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give a mixture of compounds. These compounds were chromatographed on a silica gel column to yield the same products of the previous reduction reaction in similar proportions.

Reduction of Sulfate $\mathbf{7}$ with AlLiH $_{4}$. Product 7 ( 150 mg , 0.3 mmol ) was dissolved in 3 mL of THF, 1 mL of a 0.1 M solution of $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4}$ in THF was added, and the mixture was maintained at room temperature for 3 h . This mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. Chromatography over silica gel yielded 93 mg of $\mathbf{1 7}$ (59\%) and 52 mg of $\mathbf{1 8}$ (38\%). Data for 17: white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 141-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=48$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3420,2949,1380,1211 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,10.4,11.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2), 4.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-28$ ), 3.19 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-28$ ), 2.23 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.2,11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \alpha), 1.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, $1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, Me), $0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) see Table S-2 and spectrum S-13 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa} 543.3120$, found 543.3129. Data for 18 (38\%): white solid; mp $137-139^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=115$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR 3385, 2947, 1460, $1046\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.5,9.5,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, J $=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-28) 3.19$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-28$ ), $2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), 1.15$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.02 (3H, s, Me), 0.98 (3H, s, Me), 0.92 (3H, s, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) see Table S-2 and spectrum S-14 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ 481.3658, found 481.3656.

Reductive Opening of Epoxide 8 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathbf{C N}$. Product 8 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 9 mL of THF, and 120 mg of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. A solution of 0.3 mL of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ in 6 mL of THF was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at several temperatures for different times. Trifluoroacetic acid was neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the mixture was washed with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. Chromatography over silica gel yielded different products (3 and 4) in different amounts (see Table 1).

Reductive Opening of Epoxide 8 with $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4}$. Product 8 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1 mL of a 0.1 M solution of $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4}$ in THF, and the mixture was maintained with stirring under different conditions (Table 1). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was evaporated at reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column to obtain 3, 4, 19, ${ }^{15}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ in different quantities (see Table 1). Data for 20: syrup; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=98\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3417, 2948, 2867, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.19(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-28), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 2.0, 3.9 Hz, H-2 2 ), 3.19 (1H, d, J = $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-28$ ), $2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.87$ (3H, s, Me), $0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-15 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 463.3552$, found 463.3544 .

Opening of $\mathbf{8}$ with $\mathbf{I}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{P P h}_{3}$. To a mixture of 51 mg of $I_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), 53 \mathrm{mg}$ of triphenylphosphine ( 0.2 mmol ), and 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added a solution of product $\mathbf{8}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3$ mmol ) in 3 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min at different temperatures (Table 2). When the reaction was finished, the mixture was washed with a diluted solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. Depending on the conditions (see Table 2), chromatography over silica gel yielded different amounts of 21, ${ }^{132}$ 22, and 23. Data for 22: syrup; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=22\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3424,2946,1722,1162$,
$756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, $4.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.2,10.7,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.2,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \beta)$, 1.11 (3H, s, Me), 1.08 (3H, s, Me), 0.94 (3H, s, Me), 0.91 (3H, s, Me), $0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-16 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{INa}$ 619.2624 , found 619.2628. Data for 23: syrup; $\left[\alpha{ }^{25} \mathrm{D}=66\right.$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3402,2943,1723,1124 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ Hz, H-3 $\alpha$ ), 4.01 ( 1 H , ddd, J $=4.8,9.4,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta$ ), 3.61 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.14$ (3H, s, Me), 1.12 (3H, s, Me), 1.09 (3H, s, Me), 1.03 (3H, s, Me), $0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-17 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{INa}$ 619.2624, found 619.2623.

Reduction of 8 with $\mathbf{W C I}_{6}$ and n-BuLi. A solution of 160 mg of $\mathrm{WCl}_{6}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of THF was cooled at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 0.08 mL of a 1.6 M solution in hexane of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, 100 mg of 8 in 5 mL of THF was added, and the resulting mixture was maintained with stirring at room temperature for 20 min . Then 20 mL of a solution of NaOH (20\%) was added and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed twice with water, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The resulting residue was chromatographed on a silica gel col umn to yield 7 mg of $\mathbf{2 1}$ (8\%) and 46 mg of $\mathbf{2 4}$ (46\%): yellow solid; mp 185-187 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=50\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 3404, 2947, 1724, $1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 4.57 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,10.2,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}-2 \beta$ ), $3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha)$, 2.85 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta$ ), 1.12 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.08 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-18 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{CINa} 527.3268$, found 527.3269.

Treatment of 8 with NaCl . To a mixture of 50 mg of 8 ( 0.1 mmol ) in 10 mL of THF and diluted sulfuric acid was added 25 mg of $\mathrm{NaCl}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at reflux for 1 h . Then the reaction mixture was neutralized with a diluted solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, washed with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. The resulting residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column to provide 45 mg of $\mathbf{2 4}$ (89\%) and 5 mg of $\mathbf{2 5}$ ( $10 \%$ ): white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=74$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3395,2925,1112 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.29$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha)$, $3.86(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, J $=4.4,10.6,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 1.09$ (3H, s, Me), 1.04 (3H, s, Me), 1.02 (3H, s, Me), 0.92 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-19 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{CINa} 527.3268$, found 527.3270.

Treatment of 8 with $\mathbf{K B r}$. To a mixture of 50 mg of $\mathbf{8 ( 0 . 1}$ mmol ) in 10 mL of THF and diluted sulfuric acid was added 50 mg of $\mathrm{KBr}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting mixture was maintained at reflux for 1 h . Then the reaction mixture was neutralized with a diluted solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, washed with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. Chromatography over silica gel yielded 47 mg of 26 (85\%) and 8 mg of $\mathbf{2 7}$ ( $15 \%$ ). Data for 26: white solid; mp 170$172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=23\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3356,2931,1609$, $1117 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, $4.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, J $=4.3,10.4,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.5,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, 0.96 (3H, s, Me), 0.91 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.89 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.83 ( 3 H , $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2
and spectrum S-20 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{BrNa} 571.2763$, found 571.2757. Data for 27: white solid; mp $72-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=74\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3462,2947,1723,1033 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.29$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), $4.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha)$, $3.97(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, J $=4.4,10.9,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Me), 1.09 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.05 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.91 (3H, s, Me), 0.89 (3H, s, Me), $0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) see Table S-2 and spectrum S-21 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{BrNa} 571.2763$, found 571.2762.

Acetolysis of 8. Product 8 ( 100 mg .0 .2 mmol ) was dissolved in 4 mL of a solution of $\mathrm{AcOK} / \mathrm{AcOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~N})$, and this mixture was maintained with stirring at reflux for 3 h . The reaction was neutralized with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and evaporated. The resulting residue was chromatographed on a silica gel col umn to yield 48 mg of $\mathbf{2 8}^{13 a}(45 \%)$ and 42 mg of $\mathbf{2 9}^{13 a}$ ( $40 \%$ ).

Treatment of 8 with TFA/DMF. Product 8 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of DMF, 0.2 mL of trifluoroacetic acid was added, and the resulting mixture was maintained at reflux for 2 h . The reaction mixture was neutralized with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and evaporated. The products were chromatographed on a silica gel column to provide 33 mg of $\mathbf{3 0}(32 \%)$ and 36 mg of 31 (34\%). Data for 30: white solid; mp $110-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=$ 15 (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 2947, 1724, $1175 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HCOO}-), 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12)$, 5.03 ( 1 H , ddd, J $=4.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta$ ), $3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,13.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$, $0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-22 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na} 537.3556$, found 537.3555 . Data for 31: white solid; mp 211-213 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=24$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $3415,2947,1725,1162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, HCOO-), 5.28 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), 4.53 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 3.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,9.9,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2 \beta), 3.61$ (3H , s, COOCH 3 ), 2.85 ( 1 H , dd, J = $4.5,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta$ ), 1.12 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}\right)$ see Table S-2 and spectrum S-23 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na} 537.3556$, found 537.3555.

Treatment of $\mathbf{3}$ with $\mathbf{P C l}_{5}$. Product $\mathbf{3}(870 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 50 mL of $\mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and 1.125 mg of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ ( 5.4 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting mixture was maintained with stirring at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . Then the mixture was washed with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. The obtained products were chromatographed over silica gel with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ ( $10 \%$ ) to obtain 651 mg ( $80 \%$ ) of $32^{13 a}$ and 122 mg (15\%) of 33. ${ }^{13 a}$

Ozonolysis of 32. Product 32 ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), after being dissolved in 15 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 1.5 mL of pyridine, was stirred at $-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and passed through an $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ flow, $<0.1$ $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}\left(50 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} / 50 \% \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$. After $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was added to reduce excess ozone. This mixture was maintained with stirring and meanwhile was cooled for 3 h . Then it was
evaporated and purified over silica gel, yielding 146 mg (68\%) of 34: mp 129-131 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=12\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 2947, 1739, 1161, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.32$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.5,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta$ ), 1.16 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.92 (3H, s, Me), 0.89 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), $0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table S-1 and spectrum S-24 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na} 449.3032,449.3029$ found.

Oximation of Ketone 34. A 45 mg sample of 34 ( 0.11 mmol) was dissolved in 2 mL of pyridine, and 14 mg ( 0.20 mmol ) of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added, whereupon this mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Then the sol vent was evaporated with toluene, and the resulting residue was washed with a diluted solution of HCl , extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and finally evaporated at reduced pressure and purified over silica gel to yield 45 mg (93\%) of 35: mp 131-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=74$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3292,2948,1725,1162,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 5.29 (1H, dd, J = $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12$ ), $3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.86$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta), 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, Me), 0.88 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.77 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 0.73 (3H, s, Me); for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table S-1 and spectrum S-25 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa} 464.3141$, found 464.3143.

Beckmann Rearrangement of 35 with $\mathbf{P C l}_{5 \text {. }}$. Product 35 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 3 mL of diethyl ether, and 50 mg of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. This suspension was maintained at room temperature for 15 min . After evaporation at reduced pressure, the resulting residue was washed with water, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated, and purified, yielding 18 mg (70\%) of 36: $\mathrm{mp} 134-$ $136^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=72\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2947,1726,1666$, $754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-12), 3.621\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, J $=3.4,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.1,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-18 \beta)$, 1.13 (3H, s, Me), 0.93 (3H, s, Me), 0.91 (3H, s, Me), 0.89 (3H, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me})$; for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table $\mathrm{S}-1$ and spectrum S-26 in the Supporting Information; HRLSIMS m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNa} 464.3141$, found 464.3145 .
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