

0040-4020(95)00993-0

# Lithiomethyl Ethyl Ether from Chloromethyl Ethyl Ether via a DTBB-Catalysed Lithiation

Albert Guijarro, Balbino Mancheño, Javier Ortiz and Miguel Yus\*

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Apdo. 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain

Abstract: The reaction of equimolecular amounts of chloromethyl ethyl ether (1) and a carbonyl compound [Bu<sup>n</sup>CHO, Bu<sup>1</sup>CHO, PhCHO, Pri<sub>2</sub>CO, Bu<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>CO, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CO, 2-cyclohexenone, PhCOMe] with an excess of lithium powder (1:7 molar ratio) and a catalytic amount of DTBB (5 mol %) in THF at 0°C (Method A) leads, after hydrolysis, to the corresponding hydroxyethers 2. The reaction can be also carried out in a two-step process: tandem lithiation at -90°C and reaction with the electrophile [Bu<sup>n</sup>CHO, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CO, PhCOMe, PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl, CO<sub>2</sub>, PhCN, PhCOMMe<sub>2</sub>, CyNCO, PhN=CHPh] at -90 to -60°C (Method B).

### **INTRODUCTION**

Among functionalised organolithium compounds<sup>1</sup> of the type I<sup>2</sup>, the coresponding  $\alpha$ -substituted derivatives (I, n = 1), also called 'carbenoids', are interesting intermediates in synthetic organic chemistry because in the reaction with electrophilic reagents they are able to introduce a functional group at the  $\alpha$ -position with respect to the electrophilic fragment. The preparation of these type of d<sup>1</sup>-reagents<sup>3</sup> has been achieved at low temperature by three different procedures depending on the starting material: (a) tin-lithium transmetallation from  $\alpha$ -alkoxystannanes II with *n*-butyllithium<sup>4</sup>; (b) sulphur-lithium exchange from phenylthioketals of the type III by reductive cleavage with a lithium arene<sup>5</sup>; (c) deprotonation of some ethers (t-butyl<sup>6a</sup> or benzyl<sup>6b</sup> derivatives) or esters6c,d and related compounds6e.8 IV with strong bases (usually s-butyllithium and a co-reagent such as tetramethylethylenediamine or potassium t-butoxide). However, to the best of our knowledge, a direct<sup>7</sup> route. which implies a chlorine-lithium exchange from chloromethyl ethers V, has not been used yet for the preparation of intermediates of the type I with X = OR and n = 1. As a general consideration, non-stabilised  $\alpha$ -lithioethers are unstable species, even at low temperature, due to their tendency to undergo  $\alpha$ -elimination <sup>8a</sup> or Wittig rearrangement<sup>8</sup> processes. On the other hand, we discovered recently<sup>9</sup> that the use of an arene catalyst [naphthalene or 4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl (DTBB)]<sup>10</sup> in lithiation reactions<sup>11</sup> allows not only the new routes for simple organolithium compounds starting from non-halogenated materials<sup>12</sup>, but also the preparation of very reactive functionalised organolithium intermediates<sup>13</sup> or polylithium synthons<sup>14</sup> under very mild reaction conditions starting from commercially available chlorinated materials. In the present paper we describe the

preparation of an  $\alpha$ -lithioether of the type I with X = OEt and n = 1 from the corresponding  $\alpha$ -chloroether of the type V by a DTBB-catalysed lithiation, and their reaction with different electrophiles either in a two-step or in a Barbier-type process<sup>15</sup>.



## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The reaction of a mixture of equimolecular amounts of commercially available chloromethyl ethyl ether (1) and a carbonyl compound [BunCHO, ButCHO, PhCHO, Pri<sub>2</sub>CO, But<sub>2</sub>CO, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CO, 2-cyclohexenone, PhCOMe] with an excess of lithium powder (1:7 molar ratio) and a catalytic amount of DTBB (5 mol %) in THF at 0°C (slow addition: *ca.* 45 min) gave, after hydrolysis with water, the corresponding ethoxy alcohols **2a-h** (Scheme 1, Method A and Table 1, entries 1, 3-7, 9 and 10). Some remarks about this reaction are: (a) when naphthalene was used as catalyst instead of DTBB the yields are, in general lower (see, for instance, Table 1, entry 10 and footnote f); (b) the reaction works nicely with different carbonyl compounds, even with very hindered ketones, such as diisopropyl or di-*tert*-butyl ketone (Table 1, entries 5 and 6, respectively); (c) in some cases the yields are better by adding the reagents 1 and 2 at once onto the activated lithium suspension (not slowly; see above).



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i,  $E^+ = Bu^nCHO$ ,  $Bu^tCHO$ , PhCHO,  $Pr_{2}^{i}CO$ ,  $Bu_{2}^{i}CO$ ,  $(CH_2)_4CO$ , 2-cyclohexenone, PhCOMe, Li powder, DTBB cat. (5 mol %), THF, 0°C; ii, H<sub>2</sub>O; iii, Li powder, DTBB cat. (5 mol %), THF, -90°C; iv,  $E^+ = Bu^nCHO$ ,  $(CH_2)_4CO$ , PhCOMe, PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl, CO<sub>2</sub>, PhCN, PhCOMe<sub>2</sub>, CyNCO, PhN=CHPh, -90 or -90 to -60°C (see text).

1645

Alternatively, the transformation  $1\rightarrow 2$  can be carried out in a two-step process, the corresponding intermediate of the type 3 being generated before the addition of the electrophilic reagent (Scheme 1, Method B). The two more important differences compared to the reaction performed under Barbier-type reaction conditions (Method A) are: (a) the reaction temperature has to be kept around -90°C in order to avoid decomposition of ethoxymethyllithium (3) and (b) not only carbonyl compounds [Bu<sup>D</sup>CHO, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CO, PhCOMe; Scheme 1 and Table 1, entries 2, 8 and 11] can be used as electrophiles, but also other type of reagents [PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl, CO<sub>2</sub>, PhCN, PhCONMe<sub>2</sub>, CyNCO, PhN=CHPh; Scheme 1 and Table 1, entries 12-17]. In all cases the hydrolysis temperature was between -90 and -80°C except in the case of the reaction with carbonyl derivatives (Table 1, entries 2, 8, 11 and 17] or carbon dioxide (Table 1, entry 13) in which the best results were obtained allowing the temperature to rise to -60 or 0°C, respectively.

Entry	Method	Electrophile E+	Product <b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>			
			No.	Е	Yield (%)b	R <sub>f</sub> °
1	А	BunCHO	2a	BunCHOH	87	0.25
2	В	BunCHO	2a	BunCHOH	82	0.25
3	Α	<b>Bu</b> <sup>4</sup> CHO	2 b	<b>ButCHOH</b>	81	0.31
4	Α	PhCHO	2 c	PhCHOH	84d	0.18
5	Α	Pr <sup>i</sup> 2CO	2 d	Pri <sub>2</sub> COH	75d	0.63
6	Α	Bu <sup>1</sup> <sub>2</sub> CO	2e	Bu <sup>1</sup> 2COH	70d	0.75
7	А	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> CO	2 f	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> COH	94	0.29
8	В	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> CO	2 f	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> COH	90	0.29
9	Α	-e	2g	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> CH=CHC(OH)	98	0.20
10	Α	PhCOMe	2 h	PhC(OH)Me	94 (57)f	0.33
11	В	PhCOMe	2 h	PhC(OH)Me	91	0.33
12	В	PhMe <sub>2</sub> SiCl	2i	PhMe <sub>2</sub> Si	86	0.708
13	В	CO <sub>2</sub>	2j	CO <sub>2</sub> H	88	0.12g
14	В	PhCN	2k	PhCO	75	0.44s
15	В	PhCONMe <sub>2</sub>	2 k	PhCO	80	0. <b>44</b> 8
16	в	CyNCO <sup>b</sup>	21	CyNHCOh	81	0.27s
17	В	PhCH=NPh	2m	PhCH(NHPh)	85	0.53g

Table 1. Preparation of Compounds 2

<sup>a</sup> All compounds 2 were  $\geq$ 95% pure (GLC and 300 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR). <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield of pure compounds 2 after flash chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate) based on the starting chloroether 1. <sup>c</sup> Hexane/ethyl acetate: 9/1. <sup>d</sup> Isolated yield corresponding to the reaction carried out by adding the reactants at once (not slowly; see text) to the activated lithium suspension. <sup>e</sup> 2-Cyclohexenone was used as electrophile. <sup>f</sup> Naphthalene was used instead of DTBB as the arene catalyst. <sup>g</sup> Hexane/ethyl acetate: 7/3. <sup>h</sup> Cy = cyclohexyl.

From the results described in this paper we conclude that this methodology (DTBB-catalysed lithiation of chloromethyl ethyl ether followed by *in situ* reaction with electrophiles) represents a new and simple procedure to prepare lithiomethyl ethers, which are adequate intermediates for the synthesis of functionalised ethers.

### **EXPERIMENTAL PART**

General.- For general information see reference 13.

Preparation of Compound 2. General Method A. To a blue suspension of lithium powder (100 mg, 14 mmol; 1:7 molar ratio) and DTBB (26 mg, 0.1 mmol; 5 mol %) in THF (5 ml) at 0°C, a mixture of the starting chloromethyl ether (1, 2 mmol) [CAUTION: chloromethyl ethers are harmful chemicals and should be handled with special precautions] and the corresponding carbonyl compound (2 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added during *ca.* 45 min. Then, the resulting mixture was hydrolysed with water (10 ml) and extracted with diethyl ether (2x10 ml) and ethyl acetate (2x10 ml). The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated (15 Torr) to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate) yielding the pure title compounds 2.

General. Method B. To a blue suspension of lithium powder (100 mg, 14 mmol; 1:7 molar ratio) and DTBB (26 mg, 0.1 mmol; 5 mol%) in THF (5 ml) at -90 °C was added a solution of the corresponding starting chloromethyl ether (1, 2 mmol) [CAUTION: see above] in THF (0.5 ml; 5 min). Once the blue colour is recovered (5-10 min) the corresponding electrophile (2 mmol) in THF (1 ml) was added at the same temperature and stirring was continued for 4 additional h allowing the temperature to rise to  $-60^{\circ}C^{16}$ . The resulting mixture was then hydrolysed with water (10 ml) and extracted with diethyl ether (2x10 ml) and ethyl acetate (2x10 ml). The organic layer was dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and evaporated (15 Torr) to give a residue, wich was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ethyl acetate) yielding the pure title compounds 2. When benzonitrile, N,N-dimethylbenzamide or cyclohexyl isocyanate was used as electofiles, after the lithiation step dry pentane (15 ml) was added via cannula at -90 °C. Then the corresponding electrophile (2 mmol) in pentane (1 ml) was added to the resulting mixture at the same temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for a period of ca.  $2h^{17a}$ . Then the resulting mixture was hydrolysed 17h and worked up as above. In the case of using carbon dioxide as electrophile a balloon containing  $CO_2$  was conected to the reaction flask maintaining vigorous stirring and the temperature was allowed to raise to  $0^{\circ}$ C for ca. 5h. The resulting mixture was hydrolysed with water (10 ml) and extracted with hexane (10 ml). The aqueous layer was acidified with conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and extracted with ethyl acetate (5x10 ml). After drying the organic layer with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> it was evaporated (60 Torr) and the resulting residue purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, pentane/ether) yielding the pure acid 2j. Yields,  $R_f$  and specific rotations for compounds 2 are included in Table 1. Analytical and spectroscopic data for compounds 2 follow.

*1-Ethoxy-2-hexanol* (**2a**): v (film) 3420 (OH) and 1115 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.90 (3H, t, J=6.6, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.21 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 1.24-1.48 (6H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.54 (1H, s, OH) 3.25 (1H, dd, J=9.4, 8.0, OCHHCO), 3.40-3.65 (3H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OCHHCO) and 3.76 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CHOCH<sub>2</sub>);  $\delta_{C}$  13.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 15.05 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 22.65 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.65 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 66.55 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 70.25 (CH<sub>2</sub>COCH<sub>2</sub>) and 74.9 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO); *m/z* 146 (M+, 0.1%), 87 (28), 86 (20), 69 (100), 61 (31), 59 (46), 57 (13), 45 (13), 43 (15) and 41 (23).

*1-Ethoxy-3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol* (**2b**): v (film) 3460 (OH) 1110 and 1090 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.92 [9H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.21 (3H, t, *J*=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 2.56 (1H, s, OH) 3.28 (1H, t, *J*=9.2, OCH*H*CO) and 3.42-3.61 (4H, m, OCH*H*CO, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>, OCH<sub>2</sub>CHOH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.9 [3C, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 33.2 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 66.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 71.45 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 77.2 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CHOH); *m*/z 131 (M+-CH<sub>3</sub>, 0.3%), 89 (45), 88 (12), 87 (100), 69 (48), 61 (90), 59 (35), 57 (44), 45 (25), 43 (21) and 41 (31).

2-Ethoxy-1-phenylethanol (2c): v (film) 3400 (OH), 1600 (ArC=C), 1110, 1060 (C-O), 755 and 700 cm<sup>-1</sup> (ArC-H);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.24 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 2.87 (1H, d, J=2.0, OH), 3.43 (1H, dd, J=9.7, 9.1, OCH*H*CO), 3.51-3.65 (3H, 2m, OCH*H*CO, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.88 (1H, ddd, J=9.1, 2.4, 2.0, PhCHO), 7.25-7.41 (5H, m, ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.05 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 66.65 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 72.65 (ArCO), 76.1 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO), 126.05 (2C, ArCH), 127.7 (ArCH), 128.25 (2C, ArCH) and 140.3 (ArC); *m*/z 167 (M<sup>+</sup>+1, 1%), 166 (M<sup>+</sup>, 7%), 107 (100), 79 (33) and 77 (15).

2,4-Dimethyl-3-ethoxymethyl-3-pentanol (2d): v (film) 3460 (OH) and 1110 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.91 (6H, d, J=6.9, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (6H, d, J=6.9, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.19 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.95 (2H, septet, J=6.9, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.22 (1H, s. OH), 3.35 (2H, s. OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 3.47 (2H, q. J=7, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 17.15 (2C, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 17.3 (2C, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 32.95 (2C, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>), 66.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O, 71.25 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 75.5 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO); *m*/z 131 (M+-CH<sub>3</sub>CHCH<sub>3</sub>, 84%), 115 (74), 113 (23), 87 (29), 85 (32), 73 (19), 71 (100), 59 (40), 55 (21), 45 (14), 43 (53) and 41 (24) (Found: M+-CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, 131.1074. C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires M, 131.1072).

3-Ethoxymethyl-2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-3-pentanol (2e): v (film) 3520 (OH) and 1110 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.04 [18H, s, 2x(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.20 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.01 (1H, s, OH), 3.42 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 3.49 (2H, q, J=7, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 28.55 [6C, 2x(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 40.95 [2C, 2x(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 66.25 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 70.35 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 77.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO); *m/z* 145 [M+-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C, 46%], 143 (15), 101 (16), 99 (87), 87 (70), 85 (29), 59 (30), 57 (100), 43 (47) and 41 (36) (Found: M+-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C, 145.1231. C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>2</sub> requires M, 145.1228).

*1-Ethoxymethyl-1-cyclopentanol* (**2f**)<sup>18</sup>: v (film) 3400 (OH) and 1110 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.21 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50-1.90 (8H, m, 4xringCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37 (1H, s, OH), 3.37 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 3.55 (2H, q, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 24.2 (2C, 2xring CH<sub>2</sub>), 37.25 (2C, 2xring CH<sub>2</sub>), 66.9 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 77.45 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 81.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO); *m*/z 144 (M+, 1%) 85 (100), 84 (27), 67 (41), 57 (11), 55 (10), 43

*1-Ethoxymethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol* (**2g**):  $\nu$  (film) 3425 (OH), 1645 (C=C) and 1110 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.21 (3H, t, *J*=7.0, *CH*<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.55-1.83 (4H, m, COCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.90-2.15 (2H, m, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.56 (1H, s, OH), 3.29 (1H, d, *J*=9.1, OCH*H*CO), 3.36 (1H, d, *J*=9.1, OC*H*HCO), 3.55 (2H, q, *J*=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 5.64 (1H, m, *H*C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>) and 5.88 (1H, m, HC=CHCH<sub>2</sub>);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.0 (*C*H<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 18.85 (ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.3 (ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.9 (ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 66.95 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 69.25 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO), 77.25 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO), 129.45 and 131.15 (C=C); *m/z* 138 (M+-H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2%) and 97 (100) (Found: M+-H<sub>2</sub>O, 138.1047. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O requires M, 138.1045).

*1-Ethoxy-2-phenyl-2-propanol* (**2h**)<sup>19</sup>:  $\nu$  (film) 3420 (OH), 1595 (ArC=C), 1105 (C-O), 760 and 695 cm<sup>-1</sup> (ArC-H);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.17 (3H, t, *J*=7.0, *CH*<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 1.52 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 2.95 (1H, s, OH), 3.50 (1H, d, *J*=9.3, OCHHCO), 3.48-3.56 (2H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.57 (1H, d, *J*=9.3, OCHHCO), 7.21-7.27 (1H, m, ArH), 7.30-7.37 (2H, m, ArH) and 7.44-7.49 (2H, m, ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  14.95 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 66.95 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.7 (PhCO), 78.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO), 124.95 (2C, ArCH), 126.8 (ArCH), 128.05 (2C, ArCH) and 144.55 (ArC); *m/z* 180 (M+, 3%), 122 (10), 121 (100), 77 (10) and 43 (58).

*Dimethyl(ethoxymethyl)phenylsilane* (**2i**): v (film) 1248 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 1115, 1095 (C-O), 840, 814 (SiCH<sub>3</sub>), 728 and 698 cm<sup>-1</sup> (ArC-H);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.32 [6H, s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si], 1.16 (3H, t, *J*=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.31 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>Si), 3.46 (2H, q, *J*=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 7.31-7.38 (3H, m, ArH) and 7.52-7.58 (2H, m, ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  4.35 [2C, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Si], 15.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 63.5 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Si), 70.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 127.75 (ArC), 129.1 (2C, ArCH), 133.8 (2C, ArCH) and 137.85 (ArCH); *m*/z 179 (M+-CH<sub>3</sub>, 2%), 165 (36), 136 (25), 135 (100), 107 (10), 105 (15), 103 (24), 91 (13), 45 (12) and 43 (42) (Found: M+-CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>, 165.0727. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>13</sub>OSi requires M, 165.0736).

2-Ethoxyacetic acid (2j)<sup>20</sup>: v (film) 3450 (OH), 1732, 1738 (C=O) and 1124 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.26 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63 (2H, q, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.13 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 8.11 (1H, br s, OH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  14.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 67.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 67.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 175.0 (C=O).

*Ethoxymethylphenylketone* (**2k**)<sup>21</sup>: v (film) 1701 (C=O), 1598 (ArC=C), 1141 (C-O), 756 and 691 cm<sup>-1</sup> (ArC-H);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.29 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.65 (2H, q, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.75 (2H, s, COCH<sub>2</sub>CO), 7.43-7.51 (2H, m, ArH), 7.53-7.62 (1H, m, ArH) and 7.91-7.98 (2H, m, ArH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 67.15 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 73.5 (COCH<sub>2</sub>CO), 127.8 (2C, ArCH), 128.6 (2C, ArCH), 133.4 (ArCH), 134.9 (ArC) and 196.45 (C=O); *m*/z 121 (M+-CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, 74%), 106 (17), 105 (100), 91 (17), 78 (14), 77 (87), 65 (11), 59 (17), 51 (60), 50 (25) and 41 (10).

*N*-Cyclohexyl-2-ethoxyacetamide (**21**)<sup>22</sup>: ν (film) 3413, 3306 (NH), 1667 (C=O), 1530 (N-H, C-N), and 1119 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O); δ<sub>H</sub> 1.10-1.28 (3H, m, ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (3H, t, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.30-1.49 (2H, m, ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.57-1.78 (3H, m, ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.85-1.97 (2H, m, ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.56 (2H, q, J=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.74-3.90 (1H,

m, NCH) 3.90 (2H, s, COCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 6.45 (1H, br s, NH);  $\delta_{C}$  14.95 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 24.75 (2C, ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.4 (ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 33.0 (2C, ring CH<sub>2</sub>), 47.4 (NCH), 66.95 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 69.85 (COCH<sub>2</sub>CO) and 168.7 (C=O); *m*/z 186 (M++1, 1%), 142 (16), 141 (93), 104 (54), 84 (15), 83 (100), 82 (30), 81 (15), 70 (14), 68 (29), 67 (48), 61 (11), 60 (94), 59 (96), 58 (17), 56 (36), 55 (95), 54 (30), 53 (13), 44 (18), 43 (60), 42 (37) and 41 (94) (Found: M+, 185.1426. C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> requires M, 185.1416).

*N*,1-Diphenyl-2-ethoxyethylamine (**2m**): v (film) 3397 (NH), 1602, 1504 (ArC=C), 1110 (C-N), 750, 701 and 693 (ArC-H);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.19 (3H, t, *J*=7.0, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.40-3.61 (2H, m, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.50 (1H, dd, *J*=10.1, 8.8, NCHCHHO), 3.65 (1H, dd, *J*=10.1, 4.3, NCHCHHO), 4.48 (1H, dd, *J*=8.8, 4.3, NCHCH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.60 (1H, s, NH), 6.47-6.55 (2H, m, 2xH<sub>o</sub> of ArN), 6.61-6.68 (1H, m, H<sub>p</sub> of ArN), 7.01-7.10 (2H, m, 2xH<sub>m</sub> of ArN), 7.15-7.35 (3H, 2m, 2xH<sub>m</sub> and H<sub>p</sub> of ArC) and 7.37-7.43 (2H, m, 2xH<sub>o</sub> of ArC):  $\delta_{\rm C}$  15.05 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 58.1 (NCHCH<sub>2</sub>), 66.25 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 74.9 (NCHCH<sub>2</sub>), 113.95 (2C, 2xC<sub>o</sub> of ArN), 117.6 (C<sub>p</sub> of ArN), 126.7 (2C, ArC), 127.3 (ArC), 128.55 (2C, ArC), 128.9 (ArC), 140.8 (C<sub>i</sub> of ArN); *m*/z 242 (M++1, 1%), 241 (M+, 6%), 183 (20), 182 (100), 104 (26), 77 (50) and 51 (16) (Found: M+-CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, 182.0972. C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N requires M, 182.0970).

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was supported by DGICYT (nos. PB91-0751 and PB94-1514) from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (MEC) of Spain. A. G. thanks the MEC for a grant.

#### **REFERENCES AND NOTES**

- 1. For a review, see: Saavedra, J. E. In *Umpoled Synthons*, Hase, T. A. Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987; pp. 101-143.
- 2. For a review, see: Nájera, C.; Yus, M. Trends in Organic Chemistry 1991, 2, 155-181.
- 3. Seebach, D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 239-258.
- See, for instance: (a) Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1481-1487. (b) Still, W. C.; Mitra, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1927-1928. (c) Still, W. C.; Streekumar, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1201-1202. (d) Corey, E. J.; Eckrich, T. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3163-3164. (e) Hutchison, D. K.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4930-4939. (f) Broka, C. A.; Lee, W. J.; Shen, T. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1336-1338. (g) Johnson, C. R.; Medich, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 4131-4133. (h) Linderman, R. J.; Mckenzie, J. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 361, 31-42. (i) Chan, P. C.-M.; Chong, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1985-1988. (j) Lohse, P.; Lower, H.; Acklin, P.; Stemfeld, F.; Pfaltz, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 615-618. (k) Soderquist, J. A.; Lopez, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6305-6306. (l) Tomooka, K.; Igarashi, T.; Watanabe, M.; Nakai, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5795-5798.
- 5. For a review, see: (a) Cohen, T.; Bhupathy, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 152-161. For recent

reports, see also: (b) Kruse, B.; Brückner, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 4425-4428. (c) Rychnovsky, S. D.; Skalizky, D. J. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 4336-4339.

- (a) Corey, E. J.; Eckrich, T. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3165-3168. (b) Yeh, M. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 1652-1653. (c) Beak, P.; McKinnie, B. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5213. (d) Beak, P.; Carter, L. G. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2363-2373. (e) Paetow, M.; Ahrens, H.; Hoppe, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5323-5326. (f) Ahrens, H.; Paetow, M.; Hoppe, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5327-5330. (g) Schwerdtfeger, J.; Hoppe, D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1505-1507.
- 7. An alternative route consists in the transformation of a chloromethyl ether into the corresponding trichlorostannyl derivative followed by low temperature tin/lithium transmetallation with *n*-butyllithium (ref 4d).
- (a) Schöllkopf, U.; Kúppers, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 1503-1506. (b) Schöllkopf, U. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1970, 9, 763-773.
- 9. Yus, M.; Ramón, D.J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 398-400.
- For a comparative study on the use of both arenes, see: Freeman, P. K.: Hutchinson, L. L. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1924-1930.
- For the use of a DTBB-catalysed lithiation of a simple alkyl chloride, see: Choi, H.; Pinkerton, A. A.: Fry, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 225-226.
- For the last paper on this topic from our laboratory, see: Alonso, E.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 2699-2708. See also the accompanying paper in this issue, pp. 1797-1810.
- For the last paper on this topic from our laboratory, see: Huerta, F.F.: Gómez, C.; Guijarro, A.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 3375-3388. See also the accompanying paper in this issue, pp. 1797-1810.
- For the last paper on this topic from our laboratory, see: Guijarro, A.: Yus, M. *Tetrahedron* 1995, 51, 231-234. See also the accompanying paper in this issue, pp. 1797-1810.
- 15. For preliminary communication, see: Guijarro, A.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3487-3490.
- 16. When PhMe<sub>2</sub>SiCl was used as electrophile, it was necessary to keep the temperature between -90 and -80°C for 30 min before the hydrolysis in order to obtain the best results.
- 17. (a) For benzonitrile the temperature was allowed to rise to -60°C for 3 h. (b) In the case of benzonitrile acidification with acetic acid is necessary prior the extraction in order to avoid undesirable by-products.
- 18. De Botton, M. C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. 1971, 272, 118-121.
- 19. Normant, M.; Crisan, C. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1959, 459-462.
- 20. Aldrich Catalogue Handbook of Fine Chemicals; Aldrich-Chemie GmbH & Co. KG: Steinheim, 1994.
- Cohen, V. I.; Gibson, R. E.; Fan, L. M.; De la Cruz, R.; Gitler, M. S.; Hariman, E.; Reba, R. C. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 2989-2993.
- Pau, A.; Boatto, G.; Cerri, R.; Palomba, M.; Nicolai, M.; Sparatore, F.; Varoni, M. V. Farmaco 1993, 48, 1291-1299; Chem. Abstr. 1994, 120, 207908u.

(Received in UK 6 October 1995; revised 7 November 1995; accepted 9 November 1995)