Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

ELSEVIER

Catalysis Communications



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/catcom

Short Communication

Investigations into the conversion of ethanol to 1,3-butadiene using MgO:SiO₂ supported catalysts



Marek Lewandowski^a, Gowri S. Babu^b, Massimiliano Vezzoli^c, Matthew D. Jones^{b,*}, Rhodri E. Owen^b, Davide Mattia^c, Pawel Plucinski^c, Ewelina Mikolajska^a, Agnieszka Ochenduszko^a, David C. Apperley^d

^a Synthos S.A., Chemików 1, 32-600 Oświęcim, Poland

^b Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

^c Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

^d Durham University, Department of Chemistry, Solid State NMR Service, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 January 2014 Received in revised form 29 January 2014 Accepted 2 February 2014 Available online 8 February 2014

Keywords: Heterogeneous catalysts Ethanol 1,3-butadiene Supported catalysts

1. Introduction

A main challenge facing the bulk chemical industry in the 21st Century is the security and sustainability of its supply chains. This is highlighted by recent issues in the cost and provision of 1,3-butadiene (1,3-BD). 1,3-BD is typically isolated from fractions resulting from the steam cracking of naphtha, with the 1,3-BD being separated after distillation and extractive distillation processes [1]. 1,3-BD is a by-product of this process – the desired product being ethene. With the increasing cost of oil and the desire for lighter hydrocarbons the production of 1,3-BD has decreased, and thus its market price has increased. This is further compounded by the increased volume of "shale gas" being produced [2]. This typically contains ethane (ca. 10%) which can be dehydrogenated becoming a competitive, 1,3-BD free, source of ethene. This reduces the amount of ethene required by the cracking process and thus reducing the supply of 1,3-BD. However, neither of these processes is sustainable in the long term [3]. There is a desire to produce 1,3-BD from a sustainable source, one such process being explored is the production from ethanol. This is by no means a new process, as it has been known since the early part of the 20th Century [4]. The mechanism is thought to be as follows: 1) ethanol is dehydrogenated to acetaldehyde; 2) two molecules of acetaldehyde combine to form acetaldol; 3) this is then dehydrated to from crotonaldehyde; 4) followed by a Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verely (MVP) reduction to generate crotyl

ABSTRACT

We report the applicability of ZrO_2/ZnO impregnated onto a variety of MgO:SiO₂ materials as heterogeneous catalysts for the conversion of ethanol to 1,3-butadiene. The selectivity to 1,3-butadiene is affected by the ratio of the basic MgO to the acidic SiO₂, with higher selectivities being observed as the mole fraction of MgO increases. The catalysts have been characterised by SEM, XPS, pXRD, BET surface area measurements and ²⁹Si solid-state NMR spectroscopy.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

alcohol with a subsequent dehydration to generate 1,3-BD [5]. Byproducts of this process have been shown to be ethene, diethyl ether, ethyl acetate, acetone, butenes and butan-1-ol to name but a few.

There are several reported systems that are active for the catalytic conversion of ethanol to 1,3-BD [6–17,4,5,18–22]. One of the present authors has shown that a Zn(II)/Zr(IV)/SiO₂ system is effective, with selectivities of up to 48% being achieved (although these could be increased with the addition of acetaldehyde in the feed) [6]. Ohnishi et al. have prepared a series of MgO:SiO₂ (1:1 ratio) catalysts and claimed a conversion of 50% and selectivity of 84% towards 1,3-BD (WHSV = 0.15 h⁻¹, T = 350 °C) [10]. However, Niiyama using MgO:SiO₂ achieved yields in the region of 30–40% [9]. With simple MgO:SiO₂ Kvisle achieved a selectivity to 1,3-BD of approximately 30% (WHSV = 0.03 h⁻¹, T = 350 °C), and this could be increased with added acetaldehyde [8].

In all cases the materials are simply prepared (a pre-requisite for large-scale applications) by simple incipient wetness procedures from MgO and SiO₂. Given the rejuvenation in the field we have prepared a series of MgO:SiO₂ systems impregnated with Zn(II) and Zr(IV) and screened for the conversion of EtOH to 1,3-BD.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Catalyst preparation

 $(MgCO_3)_4~Mg(OH)_2.5H_2O$ was calcined in air at 450 $\,^\circ C$ for 16 h, with ramp rate $=5~\,^\circ C$ per minute, to form MgO. The appropriate molar ratio

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1225 384908. *E-mail address:* mj205@bath.ac.uk (M.D. Jones).

^{1566-7367/\$ –} see front matter © 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2014.02.003

of MgO and SiO₂ was wet kneaded in deionised water, 30 ml for a scale of 10 g of material (the pore diameter of the SiO₂ being 60, 150 or 250 Å). This was then stirred until dryness and dried at 50 °C. A sample of material was taken at this point and tested. Finally, $ZrO(NO_3)_2 \cdot H_2O$ and $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ were dissolved in water (50 ml) to produce a material with 1.5%, 0.5% weight of Zr(IV) and Zn(II) respectively. This was stirred at 40 °C until the mixture was completely dry. Finally, the solid containing Mg(OH)₂:SiO₂, Zr(IV) and Zn(II) was calcined in air at 500 °C for 5 h. The metal loadings were confirmed by ICP-AES measurements.

2.2. Catalyst testing

The catalytic tests were carried out at 325 °C, at atmospheric pressure with a weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of 0.3 h^{-1} . Argon was used as the carrier gas (8 ml/min), the catalyst was packed into a quartz reactor and the catalytic reactions were carried out for 3–4 h. The exhaust gases were analysed *via* GC-MS on an Agilent 7890A instrument with a HP-PLOT/Q, 30 m long 0.530 mm diameter column equipped with FID/MS detectors. The GC was calibrated as detailed elsewhere [6]. To determine the response factor (R_F) for acetone the average of the R_Fs for diethyl ether and acetaldehyde were used. In all cases satisfactory carbon balances were obtained, typically better than 90%. We observed no evidence for butanols, crotonaldehyde, ethyl-acetate or acetaldol products. Presumably, crotonaldehyde and acetaldol are formed *in-situ* but are too short lived to be detected.

2.3. Characterisation

SEM was carried out on a JEOL 6480LV at 5–25 kV. XPS measurements were recorded using a Scienta ESCA 300 spectrometer incorporating a rotating anode Al K α (h ν = 1486.6 eV) source. BET measurements were carried out on a BELSORP Mini-II gas adsorption instrument. Samples were pre-treated at 300 °C for 420 min under vacuum prior to N₂ adsorption. All ²⁹Si NMR spectra were recorded at the EPSRC National Solid-state NMR Service Centre, Durham on a Varian VNMRS 400 MHz spectrometer and referenced to TMS, where a pulse delay of 60 s was used. pXRD traces were recorded on a BRUKER D8-Advance diffractometer using CuK α (λ = 1.5406 Å) radiation.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterisation

The materials have been characterised by pXRD, solid-state NMR, BET surface area measurements, SEM/TEM and the metal loadings have been confirmed by ICP-AES. Materials with various molar ratios of MgO:SiO₂ [1:1{**A**}, 2:1{**B**}, 3:1{**C**}, 85:15{**D**} and 95:5{**E**}] were prepared. For the **D** series a range of different pore diameters for the SiO₂ were employed to ascertain any influence this might have on the catalysis, if any. The samples were initially characterised *via* SEM; see supporting information. The results are in-agreement with those of Kvisle [8]. The BET surface areas of various catalysts were determined and are shown in Table 1. As expected there is a reduction in the specific surface area as the proportion of MgO increases in the sample. Typically, there is also a reduction in surface area going from the pure-support to the MgO:SiO₂–Zr:Zn system and is explained by the formation of ZrO₂ and ZnO particles in the pores of the catalyst [7].

Furthermore, samples were analysed by XPS, Table 2. As expected the supported metals are present as ZrO_2 and ZnO (with peaks at *ca*. 181 and 184 eV for the Zr $3d_{5/2}$ and $3d_{3/2}$ respectively and 1022 and 1045 eV for the Zn $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ respectively). As anticipated **D** has higher atom percentages of Mg than Si (compared to **C**).

The pXRD and 29 Si solid state MAS NMR spectra for various samples of **D** were determined; see Fig. 1 for the pXRD and Fig. 2 for 29 Si NMR spectra.

Table 1

Selected BET specific surface areas for the catalysts. The pore diameter of the silica is 60 Å.

System	Surface area m ² g ⁻¹
Α	407
A_ZrZn	306
В	372
B _ZrZn	254
С	290
C_ZrZn	173
D	162
D _ZrZn	185
E _ZrZn	80

In the preparation of the unsupported Mg:Si materials it is clear that the MgO transforms to Mg(OH)₂, with broad peaks at $2\theta = 18$, 38, 50 and 59° respectively due to the rehydration of the MgO [23]. Thus, the binary Mg–Si materials in this study are truly Mg(OH)₂:SiO₂, even after being used in a catalysis test, Fig. 1. However, for the ZrZn deposited materials after calcination the pXRD clearly indicates the presence of the crystalline periclase MgO [23]. The pore diameter of the SiO₂ appears not to affect the degree of crystallinity and the pXRD of the used catalysts is analogous to that of the freshly calcined material. No peaks are observed for either ZrO₂or ZnO phases.

The²⁹Si NMR spectra have main resonances centred at -111 and -100 ppm, which are assigned as Q⁴ and Q³ silicon environments in SiO₂. There are also resonances observable at *ca.* -70, -84 and -91 ppm these are in the approximate region for Q¹ Q² and Q³ sites in magnesium silicate (MgSiO₃) materials. Upon addition of the Zr:Zn salts and subsequent re-calcination there is a clear downfield shift in the spectra, coupled with significant broadening. This could potentially indicate that the silica phase is becoming more amorphous and indicates the formation of Mg-O-Si bonds [23]. An analysis of the catalyst before use and after use shows that they are very similar, implying no significant changes to the bulk material during catalysis.

3.2. Catalysis testing

A WHSV of $0.3 h^{-1}$ was employed in all tests, a series of WHSVs were tested and this condition achieved the highest selectivity. The catalytic data for the materials is summarised in Table 3.

In terms of catalysts A–C there is an increase in the 1,3-BD selectivity, with significant amounts of ethene and diethyl ether also being detected. The ethene and diethyl ether are thought to be produced by acid catalysed processes (potentially catalysed by Brønsted acid sites from SiO₂) and as such their concentration reduces as the molar ratio of SiO₂ decreases. Conversely, as the amount of MgO increases then the basicity of the support increases. There has been much debate in the literature regarding the optimum ratio for MgO:SiO₂ with groups reporting different values [8,10,14]. This is the first use of a binary Mg(OH)₂:SiO₂ system and the results are similar to those recently reported for the more classical MgO:SiO₂ system [8]. In our case, as with MgO:SiO₂, it is presumably the Mg(OH)₂ that is active for the aldol condensation

XPS data for various catalysts prepared in this study. The pore diameter for the SiO_2 is 60) Å.

Catalyst	0	Si	Mg	Zn	Zr
С	54.4	7.0	21.3	-	-
C_ZrZn	47.0	6.9	26.4	0.3	0.7
D	55.9	3.1	27.7	-	-
D _ZrZn	44.7	4.3	32.0	0.2	0.8
D_ZrZn_Used	44.2	4.5	33.7	0.1	0.7



Fig. 1. pXRD traces for various prepared catalysts.

and dehydrogenation, whereas silica assists in the dehydration steps of the mechanism. It must be noted that there is no calcination step in the preparation of the Mg:Si only catalysts, reducing the environmental footprint of this system. These systems all show too low selectivities towards 1,3-BD to be industrially viable. It must be noted that if we calcine **D** (to generate MgO:SiO₂ 85:15) we observe a slight increase in selectivity to 38% for 1,3-BD, with ethene decreasing to 33%, with an analogous conversion to the Mg(OH)₂:SiO₂ system. We have previously shown that adding ZrO₂ and ZnO to silica boosts the selectivity towards 1,3-BD [6]. We have also seen an effect of varying the pore diameter on the selectivity, for SiO₂ only systems [6]. Interestingly, for the bi-metallic supported materials as we pass from A to E an increase in 1,3-BD selectivity is observed with a concurrent reduction in the selectivity towards ethene. It is postulated that addition of ZnO is assisting in the dehydrogenation of ethanol [24], and ZrO₂ is assisting in the aldol condensation of the generated acetaldehyde moieties [25]. We have prepared catalyst **E**_ZrZn which only contains 5% SiO₂ on the support with only a slight improvement in selectivity compared to **D**_ZrZn, thus implying that the dehydration steps of the mechanism are facile. However, if pure MgO is used as the support then the conversion dramatically decreases (ca. 5%) as does the selectivity towards 1,3-BD, indicating that a small amount of SiO_2 is essential. We have also prepared catalyst **D**_ZrZn with various pore diameters of silica (150 and 250 Å). In this case we only observed a slight increase in selectivity to 1.3-BD (ca. 5% going from 60 to 150 Å). This is in contrast to pure SiO₂ where a significant improvement in selectivity was observed as the pore diameter increased [6]. This may be related to the MgO attenuating any effect from the SiO₂ pore diameter. The product distribution was relatively constant over time, Fig. 3, for the 60 Å material. Although there was an initial decrease for the 150 Å material, such a trend has previously been observed by others [6,7]. We have calcined **D** to generate MgO:SiO₂ (85:15) and then added the metals and further calcined, to mimic previously reported mono-metallic systems [7]. Under analogous conditions a conversion of 32% is observed with a selectivity of 68% to 1,3-BD. This indicates, that the energy intensive calcination after generating the binary (Mg:Si) oxide, in our hands, does not offer significant advantages and is not essential in the catalyst preparation. Furthermore, TEM analysis of **D**_ZrZn {prepared from Mg(OH)₂SiO₂} or **D**_ZrZn {prepared from MgO:SiO₂} both have analogous amorphous irregularly shaped platelet-like morphology.

In an attempt to probe this reaction further tests were performed with (i) CH_3CH_2OH/CD_3CD_2OD and (ii) CH_3CH_2OH/CD_3CDO , with the deuterated version present at 20% loading (by volume). The catalyst used for the tests was **D**_ZrZn. Interestingly, the selectivity towards 1,3-BD increased to 74% (conversion 35%) when CD_3CDO was added to the feed. From the experiment with CH_3CH_2OH/CD_3CDO we also observed deuterium incorporation in the ethene by-product. When utilising pure H-EtOH as the feed ethene is observed with an m/z (EI mode) at 28 g mol⁻¹, however, when H-EtOH and D-acetaldehyde (8:2) are used as the feed the maximum m/z for the ethene peak was



Fig. 2. ²⁹Si MAS NMR spectra for various catalysts prepared in the study. The pore diameter of the SiO₂ was 60 Å in all cases.

Table 3

Catalytic data, WHSV = 0.3 h^{-1} , T = 325 °C, carrier gas Ar. Conversion = (EtOH_{in} - $EtOH_{out}$) / $EtOH_{in}$); selectivity = $n_i/\Sigma n_i$ where n_i = number of moles of products i and Σn_i = number of moles of all products (*N.B.* H₂ and H₂O are not included in calculations). Small amounts of C5 and C6 hydrocarbons have been observed.

Catalyst	Con/%	Selectivity/%						
		C ₂ H ₄	C_4H_8	$C_4H_{10}O$	C_2H_4O	C_4H_6	C_3H_6O	C_3H_6
Α	38	57.0	2.6	30.3	1.6	7.4	0.3	1.0
В	27	34.4	6.0	12.8	2.9	39.4	1.0	3.7
С	35	34.5	4.3	10.1	2.6	43.6	1.3	3.6
D	41	46.4	1.8	18.9	4.9	26.0	0.8	1.1
A_ZrZn	51	20.6	16.2	6.2	3.5	41.1	8.0	4.4
B _ZrZn	40	14.3	12.2	1.8	7.1	48.1	13.3	3.2
C_ZrZn	35	22.3	8.5	4.1	3.5	51.3	7.0	3.4
D _ZrZn	40	15.2	6.7	6.5	5.9	62.6	0.5	2.7
E_ZrZn	30	8.7	2.6	5.9	11.1	68.7	0.9	2.1
D _ZrZn_150	33	8.1	1.8	6.2	13.2	67.7	0.6	0.7
D _ZrZn_250	10	6.3	1.5	4.8	15.5	68.5	2.4	2.7
MgO_ZrZn	5.4	29.3	1.3	0.9	46.0	18.9	1.9	1.8

 30 g mol^{-1} indicating deuterium incorporation; such deuteration was also observed in the diethyl ether. It is generally accepted that both ethene and diethyl ether are produced via acid catalytic processes utilising only EtOH. Thus, the acetaldehyde must be being converted to ethanol with a deuterium content, to explain the deuterium incorporation in the ethene and diethyl ether.

4. Conclusions

A series of catalysts based on MgO:SiO₂ have been prepared, characterised and tested for the conversion of EtOH to 1.3-BD, with the highest selectivity achieved being 69%. It was found that as the mole fraction of MgO increases then the selectivity to 1,3-BD increases, which is presumably related to MgO's ability to dehydrogenate EtOH. However, a small amount of SiO₂ is essential, as this may well aid in the dispersion of the metals and catalyse the dehydration steps in the mechanism. The addition of Zr(IV) and Zn(II) also increased the selectivity to 1,3-BD, by catalysing the aldol and dehydrogenation steps in the mechanism. To achieve the optimum conversion and selectivity to 1,3-BD the best ratio of MgO-to-SiO₂ is 95:5 with SiO₂ having a 60 Å pore diameter. Preliminary investigations with deuterated ethanol/ acetaldehyde have been performed and further studies are on-going in this area.

Acknowledgements

We thank Synthos S.A. and the University of Bath for funding and the EPSRC for the use of the National service centre Durham.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2014.02.003.



Fig. 3. GC measurements of the evolution of 1.3-BD, ethene, diethyl ether and acetaldehyde for **D**_ZrZn with SiO₂ pore diameter 150 Å (upper) and 60 Å (lower).

References

- [1] W.C. White, Chem. Biol. Interact. 166 (2007) 10-14.
- N. Eisberg, Chem. Ind. (2011) 5.
- [3] P. Anastas, N. Eghbali, Chem. Soc. Rev. 39 (2010) 301-312.
- W.M. Quattlebaum, W.J. Toussaint, J.T. Dunn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 593-599. [4]
- M. Leon, E. Diaz, S. Ordonez, Catal. Today 164 (2011) 436-442. [6] M.D. Jones, C.G. Keir, C. Di Iulio, R.A.M. Robertson, C.V. Williams, D.C. Apperley, Catal. Sci. Technol. 1 (2011) 267-272
- E.V. Makshina, W. Janssens, B.F. Sels, P.A. Jacobs, Catal. Today 198 (2012) 338-344. [7]
- S. Kvisle, A. Aguero, R.P.A. Sneeden, Appl. Catal. 43 (1988) 117-131.
- H. Niiyama, E. Echigoya, S. Morii, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 45 (1972) 655-659. [9]
- [10] R. Ohnishi, T. Akimoto, K. Tanabe, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1985) 1613-1614.
- [11] S.K. Bhattacharyya, B.N. Avasthi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process. Des. Dev. 2 (1963) 45-51.
- V. Gruver, A. Sun, J.J. Fripiat, Catal. Lett. 34 (1995) 359-364. [12]
- Y. Kitayama, A. Michishita, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1981) 401-402. [13]
- Y. Kitayama, M. Satoh, T. Kodama, Catal. Lett. 36 (1996) 95-97 [14]
- I.L. Murray, J.L. Marsh, W. Va, S.P. Smith, US Patent (1946) 2403742 [15]
- L.U. Spence, E. Park, D.J. Butterbaugh, D.G. Kundiger, United States Pat. 2438464 (1948) [16] T. Tsuchida, J. Kubo, T. Yoshioka, S. Sakuma, T. Takeguchi, W. Ueda, J. Catal. 259 [17]
- (2008) 183-189
- [18] S. Ordonez, E. Diaz, M. Leon, L. Faba, Catal. Today 167 (2011) 71-76.
- . 191 S.K. Bhattacharyya, B.N. Avasthi, J. Appl. Chem. 16 (1966) 239-244.
- S.K. Bhattacharyya, S.K. Sanyal, J. Catal. 7 (1967) 152-158. [20]
- [21] S.K. Bhattacharyya, B.N. Avasthi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Process. Des. Dev. 2 (1963) 45-51.
- [22] G.O. Ezinkwo, V.F. Tretjakov, R.M. Talyshinky, A.M. Llolov, T.A. Mutombo, Catal. Commun. 43 (2014) 207-212.
- J. Temuujin, K. Okada, K.J.D. MacKenzie, J. Solid State Chem. 138 (1998) 169-177.
- J.M. Vohs, M.A. Barteau, Surf. Sci. 221 (1989) 590-608 [24]
- [25] V.V. Ordomsky, V.L. Sushkevich, I.I. Ivanova, J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 333 (2010) 85–93.