# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# COMMUNICATION



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 35744

A general approach towards efficient catalysis in Pickering emulsions stabilized by amphiphilic RGO–Silica hybrid materials<sup>†</sup>

Received 24th July 2014 Accepted 4th August 2014 Xu-Rui Wei,<sup>ab</sup> Jun Liu,<sup>ab</sup> Yong Yang<sup>ab</sup> and Li Deng<sup>\*a</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra07547a

www.rsc.org/advances

A general approach towards efficient emulsion catalysis has been achieved using amphiphilic RGO-silica hybrid materials with suitable surface wettability and mesoporous structures. On the basis of the promising hybrids, a Pickering emulsion with droplets from 20–100  $\mu$ m was formed and a broad range of reactions was facilitated.

Emulsion catalysis is an environmentally benign approach to the reactions involving immiscible substrates or solvents, which are especially attractive for water mediated organic synthesis since most organic substrates are poorly soluble in water. In conventional methods, surfactants have been widely employed to emulsify the immiscible phases of an emulsion reaction system.1 However, the major drawback of using surfactant molecules lies in the difficult recovery of them from the reaction mixture. To this end, recyclable surfactants have been developed based on polymeric materials and the Pickering emulsions stabilized by amphiphilic solid particles have also drawn great attention.2 Moreover, Pickering emulsions were successfully applied in emulsion catalysis in recent years.3-5 Favored by the irreversible adsorption of solid particles at the oil-water interface, Pickering emulsions are thermodynamically stable and provide a large reaction zone for immiscible substrates. After reactions the solid particles could be isolated by conventional filtration, recently developed pH-induced phase inversion<sup>2d,4,5</sup> and CO2-triggered migration.2e As an excellent example, Resasco et al. fabricated the amphiphilic hybrid nanoparticles of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and inorganic oxides via the chemical vapor deposition on silica and magnesia supported transition metal catalysts.3b Owing to the integration of the intrinsic oleophilicity of CNTs and the hydrophilicity of inorganic oxides, the catalysts could stabilize the biphasic reaction system and control the

hydrodeoxygenation of bio-derived oxygenates in aqueous phase or both phases by selective locating the active sites (Pd nanoparticles) on the surface of the amphiphilic hybrids. Another route for amphiphilic solid particles synthesis is the post graft using hydrophobic silanes. For instance, the octadecyltrichlorosilane decorated HY zeolites have been prepared for alkylation of *m*-cresol and 2-propanol in a biphasic system.<sup>3d</sup> Yang *et al.* reported the octyl-triamine bifunctionalized silica microspheres could support palladium for the hydrogenation of styrene in emulsion and the as-prepared catalysts could be readily recycled by the pH-triggered phase transfer.<sup>4</sup> Using carbonaceous materials whose surfaces contain oxygenated functional groups, Zhou *et al.* presented the reduction of *p*nitroanisole in the pH-dependent irreversible Pickering emulsions.<sup>5</sup>

In this contribution, we attempt to pave a new way to synthesize amphiphilic solid catalysts via the combination of oleophilic graphene material and hydrophilic silica. Graphene, a monolayer of sp<sup>2</sup>-hydrid carbon atoms, has received increasing interest from the research fields of electrodes, supercapacitors, photoelectrics and catalysis as well.6 However, the graphene materials have not gained sufficient attention in emulsion catalysis, though graphene oxide (GO) has been recognized as good surfactant to stabilize Pickering emulsions.7 We envision that the rational design of amphiphilic catalysts with tuneable surface wettability could be realized through the combination of desired amount of graphene and silica. To prepare the hybrids, we choose GO and tetraethoxysilane as starting materials to build the oleophilic moiety reduced graphene oxide (RGO) and hydrophilic moiety mesoporous silica (SBA-15) (Scheme 1). The advantages of this approach lie in the following aspects: (1) GO, a relatively stable solid, is convenient to use and to control the content in the final hybrids, making the synthetic method reproducible; (2) owing to the numerous oxygenate functionalities, GO can be well dispersed together with silane and template in water, facilitating the integration of the two moieties; (3) GO obtained from the abundant graphite via scalable methods could make the synthesis of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Cellulose and Lignocellulosics Chemistry, Guangzhou Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China. E-mail: dengli@gic.ac.cn; Fax: +86 2085232917

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ra07547a



Scheme 1 Schematic diagram of the synthesis of the amphiphilic material with mesopores.

amphiphilic materials economically feasible; (4) the porous structures contributed by the hydrophilic moiety enable them to accommodate both active sites and substrates. With the RGO-silica based amphiphilic materials, we demonstrate that they could stabilize Pickering emulsions and efficiently catalyze a broad range of reactions, including oxidation, reduction, hydrogenation, hydrolysis and chloromethylation.

The GO with thickness of ca. 1 nm (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>) was prepared according to the modified Hummer's method.8 Desired amount of the GO was homogeneously dispersed in water and then mixed with the template P123 and HCl. After 2 h stirring, tetraethoxysilane was added to the mixture. The following synthetic steps followed the conventional method for the SBA-15 preparation,9 except for the addition of excess amount of hydrazine during the hydrothermal treatment. After hydrothermal treatment, the observation of black hydrogel suggested the formation of 3D network structure in the presence of GO and silane. After template removal at 600 °C in Ar, the resulting amphiphilic hybrids with colours from light gray to black were denoted as SBA-G-x, where x referred to the weight percent of the RGO (based on the GO loading and elemental analysis of GO). Considering the surface activity of GO, we wondered whether the addition of GO would influence the P123 micelle formation and the mesoporous structure of the final products. According to the N<sub>2</sub> isothermal adsorption-desorption analysis (Fig. 1a and S3<sup>†</sup>), the hybrid materials present typical IV hysteresis curves, indicative of the mesoporous structure. The BET surface areas range from 567 m<sup>2</sup> to 623 m<sup>2</sup>. The BJH pore volume and BJH average pore size are 1.14-1.16 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> and 6.6-7.7 nm (Table S1<sup>†</sup>). These properties are similar to those of



Fig. 1 (a)  $N_2$  isothermal adsorption–desorption isotherm of SBA–G-5; (b) XRD profile of SBA–G-5.

pure SBA-15 and suggest that the hybrids could act as ideal supports or catalysts due to the high surface area and mesopores. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) of SBA-G-5 exhibits a strong (100) diffraction peak at 0.90° and two weak diffraction peaks corresponding to the (110) and (200) directions, revealing the periodical mesoporous structure, which is consistent with the results of N<sub>2</sub> isothermal adsorption–desorption analysis.

In order to study the microstructure of the hybrid materials, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) have been employed to investigate the hybrid materials. The SEM image (Fig. 2a) shows that the SBA-G-5 consists of rod-like granules with the diameter less than1 µm, which are aggregated into bulk phase. Besides the rod-like granules, we also observed some curling layers distributed randomly in the bulk phase. High resolution images (Fig. 2b and c) reveal that the layers are similar to these of GO (Fig. S2d<sup>†</sup>) but bear worm-like structures. These might be generated from the deposition of the periodic ordered mesoporous silica onto the large surface of GO during the hydrothermal process.<sup>6h</sup> The electron dispersive spectrometer (EDS) reveals the RGO are distributed in silica matrix homogeneously at µm scale (Fig. S4<sup>†</sup>). After gridding and sonicating in water, the aggregated granules could be well dispersed (Fig. 2d). Moreover, the TEM images present the ordered mesoporous channels and hexagonal honeycomb-like cross sections, which are typical morphology of conventional SBA-15 materials. These results suggest that the formation of the ordered mesoporous structure will not be significantly influenced by the addition of GO.

Raman spectroscopy was usually employed to evaluate the state of carbon materials. In this study, the RGO in hybrid



Fig. 2 Electron microscopy analysis of SBA–G-5. (a–d) SEM images; (e) and (f) TEM images.

material was also examined by this technique. As shown in Fig. S5a,<sup>†</sup> the ratio of the D band (1344 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and G band (1598 cm<sup>-1</sup>) intensity is *ca.* 0.68, which is much lower than that of GO (0.97, Fig. S2a<sup>†</sup>). This suggests that the oxygenates in GO were reduced by hydrazine. Moreover, the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Fig. S5b<sup>†</sup>) of SBA–G-5 shows a strong C<sub>1s</sub> peak at the binding energy of 284.4 eV, associated with the sp<sup>2</sup> C=C species. Compared to that of GO (Fig. S2b<sup>†</sup>), the intensities of C<sub>1s</sub> peak at higher binding energy, corresponding to the hydroxyl, epoxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl, are much lower, which agree with the results of Raman spectroscopy.

To verify the amphiphilicity of the hybrid materials, a comparison of the migration of pure SBA-15 and SBA-G-5 in water-decalin biphasic system was carried out. It is clear that the hybrid material SBA-G-5 migrated to the biphasic interface, whereas the SBA-15 remained in the bottom layer (Fig. S6<sup>†</sup>). Furthermore, the surface wettability is an essential property for the rational design and preparation of amphiphilic materials suitable for stabilizing Pickering emulsions. Owing to the porous structure, the materials quickly soaked water and decalin. Thus we measured the contact angles of water on the amphiphilic materials in decalin, instead of the air-water or air-decalin contact angles. The pellets of SBA-G-x were immersed in decalin in a quartz cell and water droplets were injected onto their surfaces. Fig. 3a shows that the hydrophilic SBA-15 and SBA-G-1 have small contact angles of 56.8° and 57.5°, respectively. Note that the value dramatically increased to 82.1° when 5 wt% of RGO was mixed. For SBA-G-10, the value is 100.7°. This could be. attributed to the strong hydrophobicity of



Fig. 3 (a) Decalin–water contact angle measurements, optical microscopy of Pickering emulsion stabilized by 1 wt%, (b) SBA–G-1, (c) SBA–G-5 and (d) SBA–G-10 and (e) photograph of the Pickering emulsions stabilized by SBA–G-5.

RGO, whose contact angle is  $139.2^{\circ}$ , close to the value of pristine graphite (146.0°). These values reveal that the SBA–G-5 and SBA–G-10 make good balance between the hydrophilic silica and oleophilic RGO and they may act as ideal "emulsifiers" to stabilize Pickering emulsions.

More importantly, the results also indicate that the present method is feasible and convenient to control the surface wettability of the amphiphilic.

Further characterization by FT-IR (Fig. S7†) demonstrates that the intensities of the stretching vibration of hydroxyl decrease with the raise in RGO amount, which could be attributed to the enhanced surface hydrophobicity of the hybrids caused by the higher loading of RGO.

In Fig. 3c, optical microscopy images of emulsions stabilized by SBA-G-5 exhibit that oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions are stabilized by the hybrid granules and the size of emulsion droplets ranges from 20  $\mu$ m to 100  $\mu$ m. By contrast, more hydrophilic SBA-G-1 and hydrophobic SBA-G-10 afford larger O/W emulsion droplets (Fig. 3b and d). Fig. 3e shows that the emulsion volume of the mixture of 4 mL water and 6 mL decalin goes up until 0.08 g SBA-G-5 is added, resulting in the disappearance of the interface. Besides, the ratio of oil to water also influences the emulsion volume. When the ratio changed from 6 : 4 to 8 : 2, the emulsion shifted from O/W to W/O type and SBA-G-5 migrated into the bottom layer (Fig. S8†). Note that the as-prepared Pickering emulsions are thermodynamically stable as we observed no sedimentation or coalescence after 1 month stand.

With the amphiphilic hybrid materials in hand, we performed five reactions (chloromethylation, hydrolysis of benzyl chloride, reduction of nitrobenzene, hydrogenation of vanillin and oxidative desulfurization) to testify their versatility and efficiency for emulsion reactions. We began the catalytic tests with the chloromethylation of toluene and hydrolysis of benzyl chloride. These organic substrates are insoluble in aqueous medium, so the reactions usually carried out in the presence of phase transfer catalysts.<sup>10</sup> As shown in Table S2,<sup>†</sup> the amphiphilic SBA-G-5 enables the toluene to react with formaldehyde and hydrogen chloride in aqueous phase, giving chloromethyl toluene with a yield of 98%. By contrast, the yield is 72% in the presence of the pure SBA-15. The reaction with surfactants PEG-1000 and octadecyltrimethyl ammonium chloride (OTAC) could deliver yields of 85% and 81%, respectively. Similarly, the results of the hydrolysis of benzyl chloride (Table S3<sup>†</sup>) also demonstrate that SBA-G-5 is an efficient phase transfer catalyst for emulsion reaction of immiscible substrates.

The reduction of nitroaromatics to anilines is an important industrial process. However, the commonly used reducing agents, such as Na<sub>2</sub>S, NaBH<sub>4</sub> and hydrazine are aqueous soluble while nitroaromatics are poorly soluble in water.<sup>5,11</sup> To this end, we performed the reduction of nitroaromatics in Pickering emulsions stabilized by the hybrid materials. Table 1 shows that only 9% nitrobenzene is reduced to aniline by Na<sub>2</sub>S without phase transfer catalyst. For the surfactants PEG-1000 and OTAC, the yield of aniline increases to 20%. It has been reported that graphene is an effective catalyst for the reduction of nitroaromatics.<sup>6g</sup> When 5 mg RGO is added to this reaction, the yield

Table 1 Reduction of nitroaromatics in water-decalin mixtures

R	NO <sub>2</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> S, water-( 80 °C = H, CH <sub>3</sub> , OCH <sub>3</sub> ,	NO <sub>2</sub> or Cl	NH <sub>2</sub>
Entry <sup>a</sup>	Catalysts	Catalyst lo (mg)	ading $V_{ m water}/V_{ m decalir}$	Yield 1 (mol%)
1	_	0	7:3	9
2	PEG-1000	100	7:3	20
3	OTAC	100	7:3	20
4	RGO	5	7:3	29
5	SBA-G-5	100	7:3	78
6	RGO + SBA-15	100	7:3	34
7	SBA-G-5	100	6:4	80
8	SBA-G-5	100	4:6	85
9	SBA-G-5	100	3:7	82
10	SBA-G-10	80	7:3	100
11	SBA-G-15	80	7:3	98
$12^b$	SBA-G-5	100	7:3	99
$13^{b}$	SBA-G-5	100	7:3	97
$14^b$	SBA-G-5	100	7:3	90 <sup>c</sup>
$15^b$	SBA-G-5	100	7:3	73

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction conditions: for Entry 1–11, nitrobenzene (0.31 g, 2.5 mmol) and Na<sub>2</sub>S (0.58 g, 7.4 mmol) in a water–decalin biphasic system with a total volume of 20 mL reacted at 80 °C for 6 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Entry 12–15, *p*-methylnitrobenzene (0.25 mmol), *p*-methoxynitrobenzene (2.5 mmol), *m*-dinitrobenzene (2.5 mmol) and *p*-chloronitrobenzene (2.5 mmol) were reduced at 80 °C for 8 h, respectively. <sup>*c*</sup> Yield of *m*-diaminobenzene.

is also improved to 29%. To our delight, the amphiphilic SBA–G-5 enables the reaction with a high yield of 78% (Table 1, entry 5). By contrast, the physical mixture of RGO and SBA-15 could not deliver the yield as high as the amphiphilic SBA–G-5 does (Entry 6). Based on these results, we believe that the good performance of SBA–G-5 should be attributed to the synergic effect of the amphiphilic surface that enhances the mass

transfer between the two immiscible phases and the RGO moiety that provides the catalytic sites.

Optimized results could be achieved through varying the volume ratio of water to decalin (Entry 7–9). 85% of aniline could be obtained at the water : decalin ratio of 4 : 6. Furthermore, when using SBA–G-10 as catalyst, a full conversion of nitrobenzene into aniline has been achieved. Using other analogues of nitrobenzene as substrates (*i.e. p*-methylnitrobenzene, *p*-methoxynitrobenzene, *m*-dinitrobenzene and *p*-chloronitrobenzene, Entry 12–15), the yields of anilines range from 73% to 99%.

In addition to the role of phase transfer catalysts, we believe that the hybrid materials could act as supports to anchor metal nanoparticles and other active phases on their large inner surfaces. In this aspect, Pd@SBA-G-5 has been prepared for the selective hydrogenation of vanillin, which is an important model compound of fast pyrolysis oil and prone to distribute in aqueous media. In principle, Pd nanoparticles lean to deposit on the hydrophilic silica side, because the surface area is mainly contributed by the silica matrix and its hydroxyl abundant surface are more readily to anchor Pd nanoparticles than that of RGO. Thus the hydrogenation of vanillin is considered to take place mainly in aqueous phase. On the other hand, hydrogen gas has higher solubility in organic solvents than in water, thus the water-decalin emulsion stabilized by the amphiphilic hybrids could also facilitate the mass transfer of hydrogen gas for the hydrogenation.3b Table 2 shows the results of the hydrogenation of vanillin in water-decalin emulsion stabilized by Pd@SBA-G-5. According to the products distribution, the amphiphilic catalyst renders the hydrogenation of vanillin to vanillin alcohol (VA) at the first hour at 90 °C while 2-methoxy-4methyl phenol (MMP) is generated as minor product. However, after 4 hour reaction, most VA is converted into MMP though hydrodeoxygenation. By comparison, both SBA-15 and SBA-G-5 showed no catalytic activity for hydrogenation due to the absence of palladium (Entry 3 and 4). At higher temperature

Table 2 Pd@SBA-G-5 catalyzed hydrogenation of vanillin in water-decalin biphasic systems

H₃CO	CHO 1 MPa H <sub>2</sub> , catalyst water-decalin	$H_{3}CO \xrightarrow{OH} H_{3}CO \xrightarrow{OH} $		
			Selectivity	y (%)
Temperature (°C)	Reaction time (h)	Conversion of vanillin (mol%)	VA	MMP
90	1	78	83	17
90	4	86	0	100
90	4	0	_	_
90	4	0	_	_
150	1	90	0	100
150	4	98	0	100
200	4	100	0	100
	H₃co Temperature (°C) 90 90 90 90 150 150 150 200	CHO       1 MPa H <sub>2</sub> . catalyst water-decalin         H <sub>3</sub> CO       H         Water-decalin       water-decalin         Temperature (°C)       Reaction time (h)         90       1         90       4         90       4         90       4         90       4         150       1         150       4         200       4	$\begin{array}{c c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & 1 \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & 1 \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ H_3 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\end{array}\end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}\end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}\end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array}\end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction condition: vanillin (0.21 g), water (15 mL), decalin (15 mL) and Pd@SBA–G-5 (5 wt% Pd, 0.1 g) were added to a 50 mL autoclave, vigorously stirred and reacted under 1 MPa H<sub>2</sub>. <sup>*b*</sup> Pure SBA-15 was used as catalyst. <sup>*c*</sup> SBA–G-5 was used as catalyst.

Table 3	Oxidative	desulfurization	in biph	nasic r	model	systems
---------	-----------	-----------------	---------	---------	-------	---------



Entry <sup>a</sup>	Catalysts	Catalyst loading (mg)	Conversion of DBT (mol%)
1	_	0	3
2	PEG-1000	80	8
3	SBA-G-5	80	33
4	$H_3PW_{12}O_{40}$	20	27
5	$H_3PMo_{12}O_{40}$	20	35
6	H <sub>3</sub> PMo <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	99
7	H <sub>3</sub> PW <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	92
8	Cs <sub>2.5</sub> H <sub>0.5</sub> PMo <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	99
$9^b$	Cs <sub>2.5</sub> H <sub>0.5</sub> PMo <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	98
$10^b$	Cs <sub>2.5</sub> H <sub>0.5</sub> PMo <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	96
$11^{b}$	$Cs_{2.5}H_{0.5}PMo_{12}O_{40}$ (a) SBA-G-5	80	93
$12^b$	Cs <sub>2.5</sub> H <sub>0.5</sub> PMo <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	90
13	Cs <sub>2.5</sub> H <sub>0.5</sub> PW <sub>12</sub> O <sub>40</sub> @SBA-G-5	80	94
$14^{c}$	$Cs_{2.5}H_{0.5}PMo_{12}O_{40}$ (a) SBA-G-5	80	99
$15^d$	$Cs_{2.5}H_{0.5}PMo_{12}O_{40}$ (2) SBA-G-5	80	99

<sup>*a*</sup> Reaction condition: dibenzothiophene (25 mg, 0.13 mmol) in decalin (7 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.5 M, 3 mL) reacted at 60 °C for 3 h. <sup>*b*</sup> Entry 9–12: dibenzothiophene conversion in the 2nd–5th runs. <sup>*c*</sup> 8 mL decalin and 2 mL water were used. <sup>*d*</sup> 5 mL decalin and 5 mL acetonitrile were used.

(150 °C), Pd@SBA-G-5 allows the hydrogenation of vanillin towards MMP with high conversion and selectivity as well. Moreover, in all cases, even at 200 °C for 4 hours, no decarbonylation product 2-methoxylphenol has been observed. These results suggest that the hydrogenation of vanillin could occur selectively in aqueous phase in the presence of Pd@SBA-G-5.

Finally, the model reaction of oxidative desulfurization has been investigated with the amphiphilic hybrids supported heteropoly acids (HPAs) catalyst. It was reported that the integrated catalysts containing heteropoly anions and amine cations could deeply remove dibenzothiophene (DBT), a model compound of sulfur impurity in diesel, through the catalytic oxidation with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.<sup>12</sup> Thus, we attempt to perform the reaction using RGO-silica hybrids supported HPAs as both emulsifiers and catalysts. In Table 3, it is clear that the autocatalytic oxidation is not sufficient to remove DBT (Entry 1 and 2). The amphiphilic hybrid SBA-G-5 could convert 33% DBT due to the emulsion effect. It is noteworthy that the H<sub>3</sub>PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>@SBA-G-5 gives much better performance than that using H<sub>3</sub>PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub> or H<sub>3</sub>PW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub> solely (Entry 4-6). In the presence of H<sub>3</sub>PMo<sub>12</sub>-O40@SBA-G-5, the sulfur content could be reduced to less than 10 ppm. For H<sub>3</sub>PW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>@SBA-G-5, the conversion of DBT can also reach 92%. Regarding the leaching of HPAs from the catalysts in water, we deposit the Cs substituted HPAs onto the surface of SBA-G-5 for this reaction. Consequently, the conversion of DBT is 99% and 94% in the presence of Cs2.5H0.5PM012O40@SBA-G-5 and Cs2.5H0.5PW12O40@SBA-G-5, respectively. For Cs<sub>2.5</sub>H<sub>0.5</sub>PMo<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>@SBA-G-5, no obvious deactivation occurred and 90% dibenzothiophene could be converted at the fifth recycling run (Entry 8-12). The slight decrease in conversion is partially caused by the weight loss of catalyst during the filtration. By changing the ratio of water : decalin or replacing water with acetonitrile, the DBT could be near completely removed (Entry 14 and 15). The effect of reaction time, temperature and the structure of thiophene contaminants on this oxidative conversion have also been investigated (Fig. S9†).

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully prepared the RGO-silica based amphiphilic hybrid materials for efficient catalysis in Pickering emulsion. These materials could be adjusted to a proper wettability to act as solid emulsifier and also have large surface area to accommodate active sites and substrates to facilitate catalytic conversions. The excellent performance in five classes of reactions highlights the superiority and feasibility of these amphiphilic catalysts based on the RGO-silica hybrids.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Natural Science Foundation of China (21302230) and Youth Innovation Promotion Assosiation, CAS for the financial support.

## Notes and references

- (a) C. M. Starks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 195; (b)
   A. W. Herriott and D. Picker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 2345; (c) E. V. Dehmlow, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1977, 16, 493; (d) H. H. Freedman, Pure Appl. Chem., 1986, 58, 857.
- 2 (a) D. E. Bergbreiter, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2004, **242**, 113; (b) S. Handa, J. C. Fennewald and B. H. Lipshutz, *Angew.*

Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 3432; (c) S. U. Pickering, J. Chem. Soc., Trans., 1907, 91, 2001; (d) J. Giermanska-Kahn, V. Schmitt, B. P. Binks and F. Leal-Calderon, Langmuir, 2002, 18, 2515; (e) B. P. Binks and C. P. Whitby, Colloids Surf., A, 2005, 253, 105; (f) B. P. Binks and J. A. Rodrigues, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 441; (g) J. H. Jiang, Y. Zhu, Z. G. Cui and B. P. Binks, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12373; (h) Y. Zhu, L. H. Lu, J. Gao, Z. G. Cui and B. P. Binks, Colloids Surf., A, 2013, 417, 126; (i) B. P. Binks, L. Isa and A. T. Tyowua, Langmuir, 2013, 29, 4923.

- 3 (a) M. Shen and D. E. Resasco, Langmuir, 2009, 25, 10843; (b)
  S. Crossley, J. Faria, M. Shen and D. E. Resasco, Science, 2010,
  327, 68; (c) M. P. Ruiz, J. Faria, M. Shen, S. Drexler,
  T. Prasomsri and D. E. Resasco, ChemSusChem, 2011, 4,
  964; (d) P. A. Zapata, J. Faria, M. P. Ruiz, R. E. Jentoft and
  D. E. Resasco, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8570; (e)
  J. Faria, M. P. Ruiz and D. E. Resasco, Adv. Synth. Catal.,
  2010, 352, 2359.
- 4 H. Q. Yang, T. Zhou and W. J. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7455.
- 5 (a) H. Y. Tan, P. Zhang, L. Wang, D. Yang and K. B. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 11903; (b) D. Z. Ni, L. Wang, Y. H. Sun, Z. R. Guan, S. Yang and K. B. Zhou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 4223.
- 6 (a) A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater., 2007, 6, 183;
  (b) A. K. Geim, Science, 2009, 324, 1530;
  (c) D. Chen, H. B. Feng and J. H. Li, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 6027;
  (d) W. J. Xiao, Z. Y. Sun, S. Chen, H. Y. Zhang, Y. F. Zhao,

C. L. Huang and Z. M. Liu, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 8189; (e)
J. S. Cheng, G. C. Zhang, J. H. Du, L. Y. Tang, J. Y. Xu and
J. H. Li, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 3485; (f) A. B. Dongil,
B. Bachiller-Baeza, A. Guerrero-Ruiz and I. Rodriguez-Ramos, J. Catal., 2011, 282, 299; (g) Y. J. Gao, D. Ma,
C. L. Wang, J. Guan and X. H. Bao, Chem. Commun., 2011,
47, 2432; (h) L. Shang, T. Bian, B. H. Zhang, D. H. Zhang,
L. Z. Wu, C. H. Tung, Y. D. Yin and T. R. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 250.

- 7 (a) Y. Q. He, F. Wu, X. Y. Sun, R. Q. Li, Y. Q. Guo, C. B. Li,
  L. Zhang, F. B. Xing, W. Wang and J. P. Gao, ACS Appl.
  Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 4843; (b) M. Y. Tang, X. R. Wang,
  F. Wu, Y. Liu, S. Zhang, X. B. Pang, X. X. Li and H. X. Qiu,
  Carbon, 2014, 71, 238.
- 8 (a) W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 1339; (b) Y. Zhu, D. K. James and J. M. Tour, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 4924.
- 9 D. Y. Zhao, J. L. Feng, Q. S. Huo, N. Melosh, G. H. Fredrickson, B. F. Chmelka and G. D. Stucky, *Science*, 1998, **279**, 548.
- 10 (a) Q. F. Liu, W. Wei, M. Lu, F. Sun, J. Li and Y. C. Zhang, Catal. Lett., 2009, 131, 485; (b) Y. L. Hu, Q. Ge, Y. He and M. Lu, ChemCatChem, 2010, 2, 392.
- 11 G. D. Yadav and S. V. Lande, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2005, **347**, 1235.
- 12 C. Li, Z. X. Jiang, J. B. Gao, Y. X. Yang, S. J. Wang, F. P. Tian, F. X. Sun, X. P. Sun, P. L. Ying and C. R. Han, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 2277.