COMMUNICATIONS

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.200700158

Chiral Amine Thiourea-Promoted Enantioselective Domino Michael-Aldol Reactions between 2-Mercaptobenzaldehydes and Maleimides

Liansuo Zu,^a Hexin Xie,^a Hao Li,^a Jian Wang,^a Wei Jiang,^a and Wei Wang^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University of New Mexico, MSC03 2060, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA
 Fax: (+1)-505-277-2609; e-mail: wwang@unm.edu

Received: March 28, 2007; Revised: June 25, 2007

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://asc.wiley-vch.de/home/.

Abstract: An organocatalytic, enantioselective domino Michael-aldol reaction of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes with maleimides has been developed. The process, catalyzed by a bifunctional chiral amine thiourea *via* a hydrogen-bonding mediated activation mechanism using as low as 1 mol% catalyst loading, affords versatile succinimide-containing benzothiopyrans with the generation of 3 stereogenic centers in one single operation.

Keywords: asymmetric catalysis; asymmetric synthesis; Michael addition; organic catalysis

The development of efficient synthetic methodologies, which allow for the incorporation of important pharmacophores into biologically interesting molecular architectures, is of considerable significance from the standpoint of the medicinal and organic chemistry. Succinimides are such moieties, found in numerous biologically interesting molecules and pharmaceuticals.^[1,2] Therefore, they are often used as phramacophores in drug discovery. In this communication, we describe a novel cycloaddition reactions of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes with maleimides for the facile assembly of succinimide motifs into complex biologically and medicinally significant benzothiopyrans.^[3] The process is efficiently catalyzed by a robust, bifunctional amine-thiourea of low toxicity in high yields (83-96%) and good to high levels of diastereoselectivity (up to 20:1 dr) and enantioselectivity (74–94% ee). In addition, several features of the process are worthy of mention here: 1) pure hydrogen bonding as activation mode,^[4] which is considered biomimetic; 2) utilization of as low as 1 mol% of catalyst; and 3) creation of 3 new stereogenic centers in one-pot transformation.

Recently, we have described highly enantioselective, Cinchona alkaloid thiourea-promoted domino Michael-aldol reactions of reactions of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes (1) with α,β -unsaturated oxazolidinones [Scheme 1, Eq. (1)].^[5-9] In this case, α , β -unsaturated oxazolidinones serve as Michael acceptor. We envisioned that the switch of the α,β -unsaturated oxazolidinones to maleimides (2) would generate a new efficient cascade process for the incorporation of succinimides into the complex benzothiopyrans [Scheme 1, Eq. (2)]. It should be pointed out that, to date, the electrophiles employed in organocatalyzed conjugate addition processes have been limited to enones,^[10] nitroolefins,^[11] unsaturated imides^[12] and



Scheme 1. Organocatalytic, asymmetric domino Michaelaldol reactions.



Figure 1. Structures of chiral organocatalysts.

sulfones.^[13] In contrast, maleimides as electrophiles^[14] have been much less explored.

To probe the feasibility of the proposed organocatalytic domino Michael-aldol process, a model reaction between 2-mercaptobenzaldehyde (1a) and Nphenylmaleimide (2a) in 1,2-dichloroethane at room temperature in the presence of a chiral bifunctional organocatalyst including amine thioureas I-IV and the Cinchona alkaloids V and VI was evaluated (Figure 1).^[4] The results of the investigation, summarized in Table 1, revealed that the reaction proceeded

Table 1. Organocatalytic enantioselective domino Michaelaldol reaction of 2-mercaptobenzaldehyde (1a) with N-phenylmaleimide (2a).^[a]

1a	H +) 10 √−Ph <u>−</u> Cl) mol % catalyst ► CH ₂ CH ₂ Cl, r.t.	OH S 3a	O N−Ph
Entry	Catalyst	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield [%] ^[b]	% ee ^[c]	$dr^{[d]}$
1	I	8	75	rac. ^[e]	3:1
2	II	5	94	70	4:1
3	III	5	82	-42	5:2 []]
4	IV	5	85	-33	5:2
5	V	5	90	-47	3:1

[a] Reaction conditions: see Experimental Section.

5

VI

[c] Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H).

90

-23

- [d] Determined by 1H NMR.
- [e] Racemic.

6

smoothly to yield the desired benzothiopyran 3a in high yields (75-94%) with moderate dr (5:3 to 4:1,Table 1). However, the enantioselectivities varied greatly depending on the organocatalyst used. Takemoto's catalyst **II** exhibited the most promising results in terms of ee (70%), dr (4:1) and yield (94%) (Table 1, entry 2). This prompted us to select this catalyst for further optimization of the reaction conditions. It is noted that in our cascade Michael-aldol reactions of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes (1) with α , β -unsaturated oxazolidinones [Scheme 1, Eq. (1)], Cincho*na* alkaloid thiourea **III** is the best promoter.^[5a]

In an effort to seek the optimal conditions for the II-catalyzed domino Michael-aldol reaction, we first investigated the effect of the reaction medium on the process. The studies showed that the reaction proceeded smoothly in non-polar, aprotic solvents (Table 2, entries 1–7) and poor results were obtained for polar solvents (entry 9). This is expected since non-polar, aprotic solvents can minimize the disruption of the hydrogen-bonding interactions between catalyst and substrates; and thus high catalytic activity and stereoselectivity toward the reaction are generally observed. Among the non-polar, aprotic solvents probed, the process carried out in xylenes afforded the most encouraging results (entry 4). When the catalvst loading was reduced from 10% to 1 mol%, a comparable result was obtained (entry 5). Lowering the reaction temperature to 0°C led to improvement of both enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity (entry 6).

Table 2. Optimization of reaction conditions of II-catalyzed domino Michael-aldol reaction of 2-mercaptobenzaldehyde (1a) with N-phenylmaleimide (2a).^[a]



Entry	Solvent	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield [%] ^[b]	% ee ^[c]	$dr^{[d]}$
1	Cl(CH ₂) ₂ Cl	5	94	70	4:1
2	CH ₂ Cl ₂	5	93	72	3:1
3	toluene	5	90	80	5:1
4	xylenes	5	95	81	6:1
5 ^[e]	xylenes	5	92	81	6:1
6 ^[f]	xylenes	7	90	84	10:1
7	<i>p</i> -cymene	5	85	79	5:1
8	THF	5	85	20	5:2
9	<i>i</i> -PrOH	5	70	56	1:1

[a] Unless specified, see Experimental Section.

[b] Isolated yields.

[c] Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H).

[d] Determined by ¹H NMR.

[e] 1 mol% catalyst used.

[f] 1 mol% catalyst used and reaction performed at 0°C.

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1882-1886

5:3

1883

[[]b] Isolated vields.

tions of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes (2) with maleimides (3). ^[a]						
			OH	,0		
	$H + \left[N - R - \frac{1 m}{m} \right]$	101 % II	\searrow	-≺ N−R		
x	SH T xyler	1es,0°C └∕_ 7h X	s -	\checkmark		
· 1	2		3	0		
Entry	Product 3	Yield [%] ^[b]	% ee ^[c]	$dr^{[d]}$		
	OH O					
1	N-Ph	90	84	10:1		
	S 3a O					
	OH O					
2	N-Ph	95	83	8:1		
	3b O					
	OH A					
3	N-Ph	92	83	7:1		
	Me S S N 3c O					
4	N-Ph	95	78	8:1		
	3d O					
	OH P					
5	N-Ph	83	94	20:1		
	3e O					
	QH O					
6	N-C ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe	96	86	5.5:1		
	3f O					
	QH O					
7	N ⁻ C ₆ H ₃ -3,5-Me ₂	90	75	10:1		
	ິ3g ິ ⊖⊔					
_						
8	N ⁻ C ₆ H ₄ -o-OMe	88	74	3:1		
	3h O OH					
0		0.4	02	11 5 1		
9	N ⁻ C ₆ H ₄ -p-Br	84	83	11.5:1		
	31 O OH o					
10		02	80	2.1		
10	S 21 N-BU	74	00	3.1		
	3] ()					
^[a] Unless specified, see Experimental Section for reaction conditions						

Table 3. Catalyst **II** promoted domino Michael-aldol reactions of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes (2) with maleimides (3).^[a]

^[b] Isolated yields after flash chromatography.

^[c] Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H and Chiralpak AD, AS-H or OJ-H).

^[d] Determined by ¹H NMR.

The new methodology proves to be a general approach to the preparation of a range of substituted



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of 3e.

thiochromanes 3 containing 3 chiral centers in good to high enantiomeric excesses (74-94% ee) and respected levels of diastereoselectivities (3:1 to 20:1) (Table 3). The II-promoted domino Michael-aldol process took place with a variety of 2-mercaptobenzaldehydes, which possess neutral (entries 1 and 6-10), electron-donating (entries 2 and 3), electron-withdrawing (entry 4), and aromatic (entry 5) substituents. Moreover, experimentation revealed that the electronic nature of the maleimides (2) has limited impact on efficiency, enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity of the domino reaction (entries 6-9). In each case, the process proceeded rapidly (7 h) in high yields (84-96%) and with good ees (74-86%). N-Alkylmaleimides also efficiently participated in the reaction albeit with a slight drop in diastereoselectivity (entry 10).

The stereoconfiguration of the products from the domino Michael-aldol process was determined by Xray crystallography based on compound 3e (Figure 2, Table 2, entry 5).^[15] It was found that *cis* stereochemistry with (2S, 3S) configuration was formed (Figure 3). Two possible reaction pathways were proposed for the observation. In the cascade Michaelaldol reaction (Pathway 1), a transition state A was proposed based on the recent theoretical studies of the bifunctional amine thiourea catalyst catalyzed Michael addition reactions.^[16] The orientation of the maleimide by the thiourea moiety of the catalyst allows the thiol group in 2-mercaptobenzaldehyde, which is activated and directed by the amine functionality of the catalyst, for si face attack to form the 2S configuration. The observed cis (2S,3S) stereochemistry could result from *cis*-cyclic maleimide 2a. This is in contrast to the observation from the H-bondingmediated domino Michael-aldol reactions or cascade Michael-aldol reaction of trans- α , β -unsaturated aldehydes with 2-mercaptobenzaldehyde 1a that give rise to trans products.^[5a] The second possible reaction mechanism, responsible for the formation of *cis*-products of the process, could be a Diels-Alder process (Pathway 2). The tautomer **1a'** from 2-mercapto-ben-



Figure 3. Proposed transition states.

zaldehyde **1a** could serve as a diene for the Dielsaldol reaction with *cis*-cyclic maleimide **2a**. Such reaction also could afford product **3a'** with *cis* stereoconfiguration, but with an opposite absolute (2R,3R,4S)stereochemistry. As shown in proposed transition state **B**, in contrast to the transition state **A**, the amine moiety in the catalyst directs the enol moiety of **1a'** rather than thiolphenol of **1a** via an H-bond for the Diels-Alder reaction. The transition state would lead to a (2R,3R,4S) outcome. Based on the experimental results, the Diels-Alder pathway could be ruled out. The detailed mechanistic study is underway in our laboratory.

In summary, we have developed an efficient, enantioselective and diastereoselective organocatalytic domino Michael-aldol process for the preparation of synthetically useful and medicinally important chiral succinimide-containing thiochromanes. The new onepot process, starting with simple substances, is promoted by using as low as 1 mol% of a robust amine thiourea II. The activity of the catalyst derives from non-covalent hydrogen-bonding interactions between the bifunctional amine-thiourea unit in II which synergistically activate both the nucleophiles and electrophiles. This strategy closely mimics that is often used by many enzyme catalysts. It is found that the process might undergo a Michael-aldol reaction. Future studies of the reaction are aimed at investigating its full scope, mechanism, and application to the synthesis of biologically important targets.

Experimental Section

Typical Procedure (Table 3, entry 1)

A mixture of 2-mercaptobenaldehyde **1** (21 mg, 0.15 mmol), 1-phenylpyrrole-2,5-dione **2a** (17 mg, 0.1 mmol) and the catalyst **II** (0.414 mg, 0.001 mmol) in xylenes (0.5 mL) was stirred at 0°C for 7 h. The crude product was purified directly by column chromatography on silica gel, eluted by hexane/EtOAc=2:1 to give the desired product **3a**; yield: 28 mg (90%) with 84% *ee*, determined by HPLC (Chiralcel OD-H, *i*-PrOH/hexane=30/70, flow rate=0.5 mL min⁻¹, λ = 254 nm): t_{minor}=32.80 min, t_{major}=43.32 min, *ee*=84%, *dr*= 10:1; [α]_D²: +183.3 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃).

Acknowledgements

The financial support for this research by the Department of Chemistry and the Research Allocation Committee, University of New Mexico, the National Science Foundation (CHE-0704015) and the American Chemical Society-PRF (G1 type) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- a) S. Ahmed, Drug Des. Discovery 1996, 14, 77;
 b) A. R. Katritzky, J. Yao, M. Qi, Y. Chou, D. J. Sikora,
 S. Davis, Heterocycles 1998, 48, 2677; c) R. Ballini, G.
 Bosica, G. Cioci, D. Fiorini, M. Petrini, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 3603.
- [2] Examples of drugs containing succinimide moieties include Bradycor, Tandospirone, Buspirone, and Azimilide.
- [3] Reviews regarding the synthesis and biological application of benzothiopyrans, see: a) A. H. Ingall, in: *Comprehensive Hetreocyclic Chemistry II*, (Eds.: A. S. Boulton, A. McKillop), Pergamon Press: Oxford, **1996**; Vol. 5, p 501; b) S. W. Schneller, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1975**, *18*, 59; c) A. R. Katrizky, A. J. Bonlton, *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1975**, *18*, 76.
- [4] Reviews of asymmetric catalysis by H-bonding interactions, see: a) P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2003, 32, 289; b) S.-K. Tian, Y. Chen, J. Hang, L. Tang, P. McDaid, L. Deng, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004, 37, 521; c) Y. Takemoto, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2005, 3, 4299; d) M. S. Taylor, E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 1520; e) T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Fuchibe, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2006, 348, 999; f) S. J. Connon, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, 12, 5418.
- [5] Recently, we have developed organocatalytic domino Michael-aldol processes, see: a) L.-S. Zu, J. Wang, H. Li, H.-X. Xie, W. Jiang, W. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1036; b) H. Li, J. Wang, H.-X. Xie, L.-S. Zu, W. Jiang, E. N. Duesler, W. Wang, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 965; c) H. Li, J. Wang, T. E-Nunu, L.-S. Zu, W. Jiang, S.-H. Wei, W. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2007, 507; d) W. Wang, H. Li, J. Wang, L.-S. Zu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10354.

```
Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1882-1886
```

© 2007 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

- [6] A. Berkessel, H. Gröger, Asymmetric Organocatalysis From Biomimetic Concepts to Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005.
- [7] For selected reviews of organocatalysis, see: a) P. I. Dalko, L. Moisan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 5138; b) special issue on asymmetric organocatalysis: *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004, 37, 487.
- [8] For recent reviews of tandem reactions, see: a) D. Enders, C. Grondal, M. R. M. Hüttl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570–1581; b) C. Palomo, A. Mielgo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7876; c) K. C. Nicolaou, D. J. Edmonds, P. G. Bulger, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7134; d) H. Guo, J. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 354; e) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 2143; f) L. F. Tietze, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115.
- [9] For selected examples of organocatalytic tandem reactions, see: a) T. Dudding, A. M. Hafez, A. E. Taggi, T. R. Wagerle, T. Lectka, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 387; b) D. B. Ramachary, N. S. Chowdari, C. F. Barbas, III Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4233; c) Y. Yamamoto, N. Momiyama, H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5962; d) M. Marigo, T. Schulte, J. Franzen, K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15710; e) J. Casas, M. Engqvist, I. Ibrahem, B. Kaynak, A. Córdova, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1343; f) J. W. Yang, M. T. Hechavarria Fonseca, B. List, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15036; g) Y. Huang, A. M. Walji, C. H. Larsen, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15051; h) Y. Wang, X.-F. Liu, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3928; i) D. Enders, M. R. M. Hüttl, C. Grondal, G. Raabe, Nature 2006, 441, 861; j) D. Enders, M. R. M. Hüttl, J. Runsink, G. Raabe, B. Wendt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 467; k) B. Wang, F. Wu, Y. Wang, X. Liu, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 768.
- [10] For selected examples of organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition of enones, see: a) H. Hiemstra, H. Wynberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 417; b) E. J. Corey, F.-Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4257; c) S. Hanessian, V. Pham, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2975; d) N. Halland, R. G. Hazell, K. A. Jørgensen, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8331; e) T. Ooi, K. Doda, K. Maruoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9022; f) B. Bakulya, S. Varga, A. Csámpai, T. Sóos, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967; g) F. Wu, H. Li, R. Hong, L. Deng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 947; h) F. Wu, R. Hong, J. Khan, X. Liu, L. Deng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4301; i) J. Wang, H. Li, L.-S. Zu, W. Jiang, H.-X. Xie, W.-H. Duan, W. Wang, J.

Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, *128*, 12652; j) J. Wang, H. Li, L.-S. Zu, W. Wang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 425.

- [11] For selected examples of organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition of nitroolefins, see: a) J. M. Betancort, C. F. Barbas, III. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3737; b) D. Enders, A. Seki, Synlett 2002, 26; c) O. Andrey, A. Alexakis, G. Bernardinelli, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2559; d) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12672; e) T. Ishii, S. Fiujioka, Y. Sekiguchi, H. Kotsuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9558; f) A. J. A. Cobb, D. A. Longbottom, D. M. Shaw, S. V. Ley, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1808; g) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu, Y. Takemoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119; h) Y. Hayashi, T. Gotoh, T. Hayasji, M. Shoji, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4212; i) R. P. Herrera, V. Sgarzani, L. Bernardi, A. Ricci, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6576; j) S. B. Tsogoeva, D. A. Yalalov, M. J. Hateley, C. Weckbecker, K. Huthmacher, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 4995; k) S. H. McCooey, S. J. Connon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6367; l) J. Wang, H. Li, W. Duan, L. Zu, W. Wang, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4713; m) J. Ye, D. J. Dixon, P. S. Hynes, Chem. Commun. 2005, 4481; n) H. Li, Y. Wang, L. Tang, F. Wu, X. Liu, C. Guo, B. M. Foxman, Deng, Li. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 105; o) Y.-M. Xu, W.-B. Zou, H. Sunden, I. Ibrahem, A. Cordova, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 418; p) M. P. Lalonde, Y. Chen, E. N. Jacobsen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6366.
- [12] For examples of organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition of imides, see: a) D. J. Guerin, S. J. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2136; b) M. S. Taylor, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11204; c) Y. Hoashi, T. Okino, Y. Takemoto, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4032.
- [13] For examples of organocatalytic asymmetric Michael addition of sulfones, see: a) H. Li, J. Song, X. Liu, L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8948; b) S. Mossé, A. Alexandre, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4361.
- [14] a) R. Shintani, W.-L. Duan, T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5628; b) G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, A. Carlone, A. Cavalli, M. Locatelli, A. Mazzanti, P. Ricci, L. Sambri, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4966; c) G.-L. Zhao, Y. Xu, H. Sundén, L. Eriksson, M. Sayah, A. Córdova, Chem. Commun. 2007, 734.
- [15] CCDC-640708 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
- [16] A. Hamza, G. Schubert, T. Soós, I. Pápai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13151.