

# Supramolecular Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gsch20">http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gsch20</a>

# The influence of stereochemistry on anion binding and transport

Louise E. Karagiannidis <sup>a</sup> , Jennifer R. Hiscock <sup>a</sup> & Philip A. Gale <sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Southampton , Southampton , SO17 1BJ , UK Published online: 01 Jul 2013.

To cite this article: Louise E. Karagiannidis , Jennifer R. Hiscock & Philip A. Gale , Supramolecular Chemistry (2013): The influence of stereochemistry on anion binding and transport, Supramolecular Chemistry, DOI: 10.1080/10610278.2013.806809

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610278.2013.806809

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Versions of published Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open articles and Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open Select articles posted to institutional or subject repositories or any other third-party website are without warranty from Taylor & Francis of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Any opinions and views expressed in this article are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor & Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open articles are normally published under a Creative Commons Attribution License <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/.</u> However, authors may opt to publish under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</u> Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open Select articles are currently published under a license to publish, which is based upon the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial No-Derivatives License, but allows for text and data mining of work. Authors also have the option of publishing an Open Select article under the Creative Commons Attribution License <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/.</u>

It is essential that you check the license status of any given Open and Open Select article to confirm conditions of access and use.



#### The influence of stereochemistry on anion binding and transport

Louise E. Karagiannidis, Jennifer R. Hiscock and Philip A. Gale\* School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK (Received 1 May 2013; final version received 13 May 2013)

Bis(thio)urea receptors (1-4) based on 1,2-bisaminocyclohexane are shown to function as transmembrane anion antiporters. The results show that *cis*-receptors have a greater propensity for anion transport than analogous *trans*-receptors. Stability constants using <sup>1</sup>H NMR techniques highlight the significance of stereoisomerism on anion binding in solution, as *cis*-receptors bind anions more strongly than *trans*-receptors.

Keywords: anion; urea; thiourea; hydrogen bond; stereochemistry

#### Introduction

The transport of anions across lipid bilayers is important to many biological processes (1), and is often mediated by proteins embedded within the lipid bilayer of cells, which form ion channels. Genetic mutation of these proteins can cause them to malfunction, leading to various diseases, including cystic fibrosis (2), cardiac disorders, epilepsy and Bartter syndrome (3). For this reason, there is an evergrowing interest in the development of synthetic small molecules, which can bind or encapsulate ions for transport across lipid bilayers. These 'carriers' have potential to be developed as therapeutic replacements for the malfunctioning proteins that form ion channels (1). Previous work within the Gale group has developed structurally simple transmembrane anion receptors based on the ortho-phenylenediamine bis-urea scaffold, which exhibit transport activity across 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoylphosphatidylcholine (POPC) bilayers at receptor to lipid ratios as low as 1:1,000,000 (4). These receptors have shown to bind chloride anions with stability constants of  $\sim 10 80 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}$  and bicarbonate anions with stability constants of roughly  $800-4000 \,\mathrm{M^{-1}}$  (in DMSO- $d_6/0.5\%$  water at 298 K). The addition of an electron-withdrawing group to the central core or peripheral phenyl groups of such receptors results in increased anion affinity due to the increased acidity of the H-bond donor N-H groups. We postulated that the halogenation of the central core phenyl group in such receptors also increases the acidity of the phenylene C-H groups, resulting in strengthened intramolecular H-bonds and pre-organisation of the receptors for binding anions (5).

Fabbrizzi and co-workers investigated the binding of enantiomeric forms R,R and S,S of an *ortho*-cyclohexanediamine-based bis-urea receptor with *para*-nitrophenyl side groups to a variety of anions (6). They demonstrated that a chiral discriminating effect does exist between enantiomeric receptors when binding chiral guests, yet no such effect is observed on binding achiral anions. In fact, Odago et al. reported the use of a racemic mix of the thiourea equivalent of this receptor for the optical sensing of cyanide anions (7).

To investigate the effect of stereochemistry on anion binding and transport, a series of *cis*- and *transortho*-cyclohexanediamine-based bis-(thio)ureas with bis-trifluoromethylphenyl side groups were synthesised (Figure 1). These four receptors were investigated for their ability to transport chloride and bicarbonate anions across bilayers of POPC, as well as their binding affinity with a variety of different anions. U-tube experiments were carried out to help determine the mode of anion transport.

Nagasawa and co-workers have previously reported urea **3** as a catalyst for the hetero-Michael addition reaction between pyrrolidine and  $\gamma$ -crotonolactone, in which **3** exhibits a low chiral induction effect on the final product (8). In addition, thiourea **4** was shown to catalyse the aza-Henry reaction of a Boc-protected imine, again with a slight asymmetric induction (9). Receptor **2** has also been previously reported (10).

#### **Experimental**

## Synthesis

#### 1,1'-((1R,2S)-Cyclohexane-1,2-diyl)bis(3-(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)urea) (1)

3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate (0.62 mL, 3.6 mmol) and *cis*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane (0.21 mL, 1.8 mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane (DCM) (40 mL) and stirred for 6.5 h at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. A white precipitate was isolated by filtration in a 71% yield after washing with excess DCM. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.30-1.75$  (m, 8H), 3.91 (br s,

\*Corresponding author. Email: philip.gale@soton.ac.uk

© 2013 The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.





Figure 1. ortho-Cyclohexanediamine-based bis-(thio)ureas of 1-4.

2H), 6.40 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 7.52 (s, 2H), 7.99 (s, 4H), 9.16 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz):  $\delta = 21.7$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 28.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 48.7 (CH), 113.4 (Ar CH), 117.1 (Ar CH), 123.3 (q, CF<sub>3</sub>, J = 270.9 Hz), 130.6 (q, Ar C-CF<sub>3</sub>, J = 32.2 Hz), 142.3 (Ar C), 154.4 (C=O). <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 282 MHz):  $\delta = 61.86$ . LR-MS ES<sup>-</sup> (m/z): 623 [M - H]<sup>-</sup>. HR-MS ES<sup>+</sup> (m/z): Act. 647.1293 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>. Calcd 647.1287 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, err. (ppm) -0.9 m.p. (°C): 275.7-275.9.

### 1,1'-((1R,2S)-Cyclohexane-1,2-diyl)bis(3-(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thiourea) (2)

3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (0.66 mL, 3.6 mmol) and cis-1,2-diaminocyclohexane (0.21 mL, 1.8 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (40 mL) and stirred for 6.5 h at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. A white precipitate was isolated by filtration in 78% yield after washing with excess DCM. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.40-$ 1.85 (m, 8H), 4.69 (br s, 2H), 7.73 (br s, 2H), 7.99 (d, 2H, J = 6.0 Hz), 8.29 (br s, 4H), 10.13 (br s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz):  $\delta = 21.9$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 27.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 52.5 (CH), 116.2 (Ar CH), 121.7 (Ar CH), 125.0 (q, CF<sub>3</sub>, J = 272.49 Hz), 129.9 (q, Ar C-CF<sub>3</sub>, J = 31.2 Hz), 141.7 (Ar C), 180.3 (C=O). <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 282 MHz):  $\delta = 61.45$ . LR-MS ES<sup>-</sup> (*m*/*z*): 655 [M - H]<sup>-</sup>. HR-MS ES<sup>+</sup> (m/z): Act. 679.0832 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>. Calcd  $679.0830 [M + Na]^+$ , err. (ppm) -0.3 m.p. (°C): 189.8– 189.9.

#### 1,1'-((1S,2S)-Cyclohexane-1,2-diyl)bis(3-(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)urea) (3)

3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isocyanate (0.62 mL, 3.6 mmol) and  $(\pm)$ -trans-1,2-diaminocyclohexane

(0.21 mL, 1.8 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (40 mL) and stirred for 72 h at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. A white precipitate was isolated by filtration in a 96% yield after washing with excess DCM. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.30$  (br s, 4H), 1.70 (br s, 2H), 1.87 (br s, 2H), 3.48 (br s, 2H), 6.24 (d, 2H, J = 8.29 Hz), 7.37 (s, 2H), 7.90 (s, 4H), 9.211 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 24.6$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 32.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 53.7 (CH), 113.2 (Ar CH), 116.8 (Ar CH), 123.2 (q, CF<sub>3</sub>, J = 271.3 Hz), 130.4 (q, Ar C-CF<sub>3</sub>, J = 31.9), 142.3 (Ar C), 155.0 (C=O). <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 282 MHz):  $\delta = 61.72$ . LR-MS ES<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z*): 625 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. HR-MS ES<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z*): Act. 647.1289 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>. Calcd 647.1287 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, err. (ppm) -0.3 m.p. (°C): 305.3-305.5.

### 1,1'-((1S,2S)-Cyclohexane-1,2-diyl)bis(3-(3,5bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thiourea) (4)

3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl isothiocyanate (0.66 mL, 3.6 mmol) and  $(\pm)$  - trans - 1,2 - diaminocyclohexane (0.21 mL, 1.8 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (40 mL) and stirred for 72 h at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. A white solid was collected and triturated in DCM (40 mL) at 40°C for 2h. The precipitate was isolated by filtration in a 98% yield after washing with excess DCM. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 300 MHz):  $\delta = 1.30$  (br s, 4H), 1.71 (br s, 2H), 2.18 (br s, 2H), 4.33 (br s, 2H), 7.70 (s, 2H), 8.17 (s, 6H), 10.14 (br s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 75 MHz):  $\delta = 24.2$  (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 56.8 (CH), 116.2 (Ar CH), 122.0 (Ar CH), 123.2 (q,  $CF_3$ , J = 271.4 Hz), 130.0 (q, Ar C–CF<sub>3</sub>, *J* = 33.0 Hz), 141.6 (Ar C), 180.1 (C=0). <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 282 MHz):  $\delta = 61.48$ . LR-MS ES<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z*): 657 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. HR-MS  $ES^+$  (*m/z*): Act. 679.0825 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>. Calcd 679.0830  $[M + Na]^+$ , err. (ppm) +0.8 m.p. (°C): 199.9–200.1.

#### **Results and discussion**

Anion-binding studies were carried out for receptors 1-4 to determine their binding affinity and binding stoichiometry on a range of different anions. Stability constants for the interaction of receptors 1-4 with a variety of anions were determined by fitting the data obtained from <sup>1</sup>H NMR titrations to binding isotherms, using WinEQNMR2 (11). The stability constants were determined by following the shift of N-H protons. In many cases, the resonance of the more deshielded N-H protons (i.e. those closest to the aromatic ring systems) was subject to peak broadening upon addition of the guest anion. However, the downfield shift of both N-H resonances at the beginning of the titrations does indicate that all four N-H groups are involved in Hbonding to the anions. Amendola et al. (6) showed that an analogous cyclohexane-based bis-urea receptor binds a hydrogen-bonded dihydrogen phosphate dimer in the solid state, whereas Costero et al. (12) showed that cyclohexanebased bis-thioureas bind dicarboxylate anions in a 1:2 receptor: anion ratio (by UV-vis in DMSO). The results of the anion-binding studies are shown in Table 1.

Receptor 1 was found to bind all tested anionic guests in a 1:2 receptor:anion stoichiometry. The first stability constant associated with the binding of chloride, acetate and benzoate is high (>10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>), indicating a strong affinity for the first equivalent on anion. For the remaining anions (bicarbonate, hydrogen sulphate, dihydrogen phosphate and sulphate) the calculated  $K_1$  values were in a lower order of magnitude (>10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>), but still indicate a strong affinity for the anions. For each of the anions mentioned (excluding sulphate), the second stability constant is significantly lower than the first, showing the decreased affinity of receptor 1 for a second equivalent of anion. This is most likely a result of the charged anions repelling each other as well as steric restraints around the binding site.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration data between the same set of anions and receptor **2** were also fitted to a 1:2 receptor:anion-binding isotherm, with the exception of the data for the titration with bicarbonate, which showed only a small downfield shift in N—H resonance position upon addition of anion. This suggested that only a very weak binding event was taking place, hence it was only possible to fit the data to a 1:1 receptor:anion-binding isotherm. The remaining results for **2** show trends similar to those observed for **1** with each of the receptor–anion interactions (excluding that with sulphate) having a  $K_1$  value that is greater than the  $K_2$  value.

For <sup>1</sup>H NMR titrations of receptors **3** and **4** with the series of anions, it was not possible to fit all the data-sets using WinEQNMR2. Some of the titrations showed peak broadening and peak slitting of the N—H and aromatic C—H peaks upon addition of the anions, which could be attributed to secondary equilibria processes in solution. Of the results that were fitted to a 1:2 or 1:1 receptor:anion-binding isotherm, trends similar to those of **1** and **2** were observed. For all 1:2 receptor:anion-binding interactions investigated for receptors **3** and **4**, the first stability constant,  $K_1$ , is greater than the second stability constant,  $K_2$ , indicating a decreased affinity of the receptors to bind a second equivalent of anion.

Receptors 1–4 were investigated for anion transport properties using Hill analysis techniques (13). Chloride efflux from POPC vesicles was monitored upon addition of varying loadings of receptor, for both  $Cl^-/NO_3^-$  and  $Cl^-/HCO_3^-$  antiport processes, using a chloride ion selective electrode (ISE). Figure 2 shows the percentage of chloride efflux upon addition of 2 mol% receptor with

Table 1. Stability constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  (M<sup>-1</sup>) for receptors **1–4**, measured in DMSO- $d_6/H_2O$  0.5% at 298 K.

Anion	Receptor 1 <sup>a</sup>		Receptor 2 <sup>a</sup>		Receptor <b>3</b> <sup>a</sup>		Receptor 4 <sup>a</sup>	
	$K_1$	<i>K</i> <sub>2</sub>	$K_1$	<i>K</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>K</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>K</i> <sub>2</sub>	$K_1$	$K_2$
Cl <sup>-</sup>	$> 10^4$	70	3970	70	<10	<10	е	e
$HCO_2^{-b}$	5490	200	$< 10^{d}$	N/A	$1080^{\circ}$	$40^{\circ}$	f	f
HSO <sup>2</sup>	6090	20	$> 10^{4}$	60	<10	<10	$< 10^{d}$	N/A
$CH_3COO^-$	$> 10^{4}$	40	$> 10^{4}$	$10^{\rm c}$	$> 10^{4}$	50	f,g	f,g
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> COO <sup>-</sup>	$> 10^{4}$	160	$> 10^{4}$	70	$> 10^{4}$	50	$> 10^{4}$	100
H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	2930	330	7130	110	e	e	f,h	f,h
$SO_4^{2-4}$	5280	$> 10^{4}$	$> 10^{4}$	$> 10^{4}$	$> 10^{4}$	10	g,h	g,h

Notes: Guest anions were added as tetrabutylammonium salts, unless otherwise stated, and the stability constants were determined by  ${}^{1}H$  NMR titrations following the N—H resonance found closest to the aromatic region of the spectra. All data-sets were fitted to a 1:2 receptor:anion-binding isotherm using WinEQNMR2, unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>a</sup> Errors within 15% unless otherwise stated

<sup>b</sup> Added as the tetraethylammonium salt.

<sup>c</sup> Error > 15%.

<sup>d</sup> Data fitted to a 1:1 binding isotherm.

<sup>e</sup> Titration curves slightly plateau at 1 equiv. of anion, so data cannot be fitted to a binding isotherm.

<sup>f</sup>Peak broadening upon addition of anionic guest.

<sup>g</sup> Peak hidden by aromatic C-H peaks.

<sup>h</sup> Peak splitting upon addition of anionic guest.

respect to lipid for the  $Cl^{-}/NO_{3}^{-}$  antiport test. It can be seen that thioureas 2 and 4 have an increased transport activity relative to the analogous ureas 1 and 3 (14) and that interestingly the *cis*-receptors outperform the *trans*-receptors.

The same trends are present in the  $Cl^-/HCO_3^-$  antiport results, with receptors 1 and 4 showing almost identical transport activity (Figure 3).

Results of the Hill analyses are shown in Table 2.

The EC<sub>50</sub> values for the Cl<sup>-</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> tests show similar trends, indicating the thiourea receptors to be more efficient antiporters than the analogous ureas (14), and show the most active transporter to be receptor **2**, with an EC<sub>50</sub> of 0.61 mol% for Cl<sup>-</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> antiport and 1.47 mol% for Cl<sup>-</sup>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> antiport. The Hill coefficient values support a mobile carrier transport mechanism for all receptors.

This trend in transport efficiency may be explained by considering the spatial arrangements of the *cis*- versus *trans*-receptors. The hydrogen bond donor N—H groups of the *cis*-receptors (1 and 2) are more favourably orientated in an axial–equatorial arrangement, to bind to a guest molecule (Figure 4). They are closer together and in better spatial agreement to direct four hydrogen bonds towards the guest species than analogous *trans*-receptors (3 and 4). This is especially true when compared with the axial–axial conformer of the *trans*-receptor, where the two arms of the receptor point in opposite directions. The difference in spatial arrangement likely makes it more difficult for the



Figure 2. Chloride efflux as a function of time, promoted by the addition of receptors 1-4 (2 mol% with respect to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles containing 489 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in 489 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> buffered to pH 7.2 with 5 mM sodium phosphate salts. The receptor was loaded as a DMSO solution at 0 s. At the end of the experiment, the vesicles were lysed to calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three repeats.



Figure 3. Chloride efflux as a function of time, promoted by the addition of receptors **1** and **2** ( $2 \mod \%$  with respect to lipid) from unilamellar POPC vesicles containing 451 mM NaCl buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The vesicles were dispersed in the external solution containing 150 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> buffered to pH 7.2 with 20 mM sodium phosphate salts. The receptor was loaded as a DMSO solution at 0 s, and a spike of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (33 mM) added at 120 s. At the end of the experiment, the vesicles were lysed to calibrate the ISE to 100% chloride efflux. Each point represents the average of three repeats.

*trans*-receptors to shield the charged guest from the lipophilic interior of the POPC bilayer. This makes it more difficult for the *trans*-receptors to partition into the phospholipid bilayer, resulting in decreased transport efficiency with respect to that of the *cis*-receptors.

U-tube experiments, as described in the electronic supplementary information (ESI), were used to probe the mode of anion transport. The results of the U-tube experiment show an increase in the chloride concentration of the sodium nitrate receiver solutions over time, indicating that all the receptors function as mobile carriers. The large volume, and hence distance separation, of the two aqueous

Table 2. EC<sub>50</sub> values of 1-4 for the release of chloride from POPC vesicles in Cl<sup>-</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> antiport systems at 270 and 390 s, respectively.

Receptor	$\frac{\text{EC}_{50}{}^{a},270\text{s}}{(\text{Cl}^{-}/\text{NO}_{3}^{-})}$	$(\text{Cl}^{-}/\text{NO}_{3}^{-})$	$EC_{50}^{a}$ , 390 s (Cl <sup>-</sup> /HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> )	$(\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-)$
1	1.74	1.20	7.04	1.75
2	0.61	1.18	1.47	1.43
3	c	c	c	c
4	3.48	1.90	9.24	1.08

<sup>a</sup>  $EC_{50}$ , defined as the concentration (mol% carrier to lipid) required to obtain 50% chloride efflux from inside the vesicles.

<sup>b</sup>Hill coefficient.

<sup>c</sup> Receptor **3** was virtually inactive and at 20 mol% receptor loading, with respect to lipid, showed only 5.20% chloride efflux at 270 s for  $Cl^{-}/NO_{3}^{-}$  antiport and 3.99% chloride efflux at 390 s for  $Cl^{-}/HCO_{3}^{-}$ . Consequently the data obtained for this receptor was not fitted to the Hill equation.





Axial-axial conformation of 4.

Equatorial-equatorial conformation of 4.

Figure 4. Axial-equatorial conformation of **2**, axial-axial conformation of **4** and equatorial-equatorial conformation of **4**. Structure was generated using Spartan'10 for Macintosh (PM3 molecular dynamics energy minimisation) (*15*). Spheres have been resized for clarity.

phases of the U-tube experiment ensures that channel formation across the span of the organic phase is not possible, hence a channel mechanism of transport is not conceivable.

#### Conclusions

The *cis*-receptors **1** and **2** have a greater activity as anion antiporters than the corresponding *trans*-receptors 3 and 4. The evidence presented supports our previous findings that thioureas are more effective functional group motifs than ureas for promoting anion antiport across lipid bilayers, possibly due to the increased lipophilicity of sulphur atoms as compared with oxygen atoms (16). In addition, the difference in transport efficiency between cis- and transstereoisomers can be rationalised with respect to their differing ability to shield hydrophilic receptor regions and anionic guests from the lipophilic interior of phospholipid membranes. Anion-binding investigations were able to highlight the significance of stereoisomerism on anion binding in solution, as the cis-receptors interact with anions more favourably than the trans-receptors, and show how a lack of conformational isomers in cis-receptors allows for less complex binding equilibria.

#### **Supplementary Information**

Please see ESI for additional information on anion transport studies using vesicles, mobility assays, anion binding studies and for general experimental procedures.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors thank the EPSRC for a studentship (LEK).

#### References

- (a) Davis, J.T.; Okunola, O.; Quesada, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 3843–3862, (b) Busschaert, N.; Gale, P.A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1374–1382, (c) Gale, P.A.; Pérez-Tomás, R.; Quesada, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013. DOI: 10.1021/ar400019p
- (2) Sheppard, D.N.; Rich, D.P.; Ostedgaard, L.S.; Gregory, R.J.; Smith, A.E.; Welsh, M.J. *Nature* **1993**, *362*, 160–164.
- (3) Ashcroft, F.M. *Ion Channels and Disease*; Academic Press: San Diego, London, 2000.
- (4) Moore, S.J.; Haynes, C.J.E.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutton, J.L.; Brooks, S.J.; Light, M.E.; Herniman, J.; Langley, G.J.; Soto-Cerrato, V.; Pérez-Tomás, R.; Marques, I.; Costa, P.J.; Felix, V.; Gale, P.A. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 103–117.
- (5) Brooks, S.J.; Edwards, P.R.; Gale, P.A.; Light, M.E. *New J. Chem.* **2006**, *30*, 65–70, See also: Brooks, S.J.; Gale, P.A.; Light, M.E. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4696–4698.
- (6) Amendola, V.; Boiocchi, M.; Esteban-Gomez, D.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Monzani, E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 2632– 2639.
- (7) Odago, M.O.; Colabello, D.M.; Lees, A.J. *Tetrahedron* 2010, 66, 7465–7471.
- (8) Sohtome, Y.; Tanatani, A.; Hashimoto, Y.; Nagasawa, K. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2004**, *54*, 477–480.
- (9) Rampalakos, C.; Wulff, W.D. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1785–1790.
- (10) This compound has previously been reported in a patent: Hamilton, G.S.; Belyakov, S.; Vaal, M.; Wei, L.; Wu, Y.-Q.; Steiner, J.P., 2002. WO 2002044126.
- (11) Hynes, M.J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 311-312.
- (12) Costero, A.M.; Colera, M.; Gaviña, P.; Gil, S.; Llaosa, Ú. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 7252–7257.
- (13) Hill, A.V. Biochem. J. 1913, 7, 471–480; Bhosale, S.; Matile, S. Chirality 2006, 18, 849–856.
- (14) We have previously noted enhanced transmembrane anion transport by thiourea compounds relative to analogous ureas: (a) Moore, S.J.; Wenzel, M.; Light, M.E.; Morley, R.; Bradberry, S.J.; Gómez-Iglesias, P.; Soto-Cerrato, V.; Pérez-Tomás, R.; Gale, P.A. *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 2501–2508, (b) Andrews, N.J.; Haynes, C.J.E.; Light, M.E.; Moore, S.J.; Tong, C.C.; Davis, A.P.; Harrell, W.A.; Gale, P.A. *Chem. Sci.* 2011, *2*, 256–260, (c) Busschaert, N.; Wenzel, M.; Light, M.E.; Iglesias-Hernández, P.; Pérez-Tomás, R.; Gale, P.A.J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 14136–14148, (d) Busschaert, N.; Gale, P.A.; Haynes, C.J.E.; Light, M.E.; Light, M.E.; Moore, S.J.; Tong, C.C.; Davis, J.T.; Harrell, Jr., W.A. *Chem. Commun.* 2010, *46*, 6252–6254.
- (15) Spartan'10; Wavefunction Inc.: Irvine, CA, 2010.
- (16) Bühlmann, P.; Nishizawa, S.; Xiao, K.P.; Umezawa, Y. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 1647–1654.



Louise E. Karagiannidis, Jennifer R. Hiscock and Philip A. Gale The influence of stereochemistry on anion binding and transport

1-6