## A MULTI-STEP PHOTOREACTION OF 5-FORMYL-1,3-DIMETHYLURACIL WITH INDOLE IN THE SOLID STATE

Ji-ben Meng,<sup>a</sup> De-chao Fu,<sup>a</sup> Zhen-hen Gao,<sup>a</sup> Ru-ji Wang,<sup>b</sup> Hong-gen Wang,<sup>b</sup> Isao Saito,<sup>C</sup> Ryuichiro Kasatani,<sup>C</sup> and Teruo Matsuura<sup>C\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and <sup>b</sup>Central Laboratory, Nankai University, Tianjin, China <sup>C</sup>Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Kyoto 606, Japan

(Received in Japan 14 November 1989)

Abstract - Irradiation of the mixed crystals between 5-formyl-1,3-dimethyluracil (1) and indole (2) gave 5-(bis-1-indolyl)methyl-1,3-dimethyluracil (3), whereas 1,3-dimethyluracil was the sole product in the photolysis of 1 and 2 in solution.

A recent trend in photochemical research is directed to photochemistry of supramolecular assemblies, namely more complex systems than simple solutions.<sup>1-4</sup> We have made various approaches to this problem focusing photochemistry of organic molecules in the solid state.<sup>5-13</sup> Among these approaches, we have been particularly interested in bimolecular photoreaction between two different organic molecules in the solid state, since a relatively few attention has been made to this field.<sup>14</sup> For example, irradiation of the mixed crystals of indole and phenanthrene gave an adduct in the solid state but no reaction occurred in organic solvents.<sup>7</sup> We now describe a novel multi-step photoreaction of 5-formyl-1,3-dimethyluracil with indole occurring only in the solid state.

Mixed crystals, prepared by resolidifying the melt of a mixture of 5-formyl-1,3dimethyl-uracil (1) and indole (2) crystals (molar ratio 1 : 3), were irradiated with a high-pressure mercury lamp for 4 hours gave a photoproduct 3 in 40 % yield. The structure of 3 was assigned as 5-(bis-1-indolyl)methyluracil on the basis of its spectral data and finally confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 1, Table 1-2). The solution photochemistry of 5-formyl-1,3-dimethyluracil (1) was quite different from the solid-state one. Irradiation of 1 in acetonitrile or methanol with a high-pressure mercury lamp under nitrogen gave 1,3-dimethyluracil (4) as the major product in the presence of indole and no formation of 3 was observed. It is of interest that 1 having a conjugated enal group underwent neither usual  $\frac{1}{2} + 2\frac{1}{2}$ cycloaddition nor photoreduction in solution.

Since the formation of **3** in the solid state appeared to involve a two-step photoreaction between **1** and two molecules of indoles, the time course of the reaction was examined. The result is shown in Figure 2 indicating the formation of an intermediate. However, the isolation of this intermediate was unsuccessful owing to its instability on a silica gel column. Although the structure of the intermediate remains to be elucidated, the intermediary formation of an indole oxetane  $5^{15}$  may be plausible which will react photochemically or thermally to give **3**. The proximity effect of the substrate molecules in the mixed crystal appears to be responsible for the facile formation of **3**.



Experimental

Melting points are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL PMX-60 spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard. IR were measured on a PYE UNICAM, sp3-300 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a 7070E-HE mass spectromter. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (Qing Dao 300). Photoreaction of 5-formyl-1,3-dimethyluracil (1) with indole (2) in the solid state.

The mixed crystal was prepared by melting a mixture of 1 (0.168 g, 0.10 mmol) and 2 (0.351, 0.30 mmol) followed by cooling the melt. The mixed crystal was ground to a

Table 2.	Bond distances (Å)	and bond angles (°) of <b>3</b> with
	estimated standard	deviations in parentheses.

C-N11 1.532(7) C-C22 1.517(6) C-C32 1.518(8) N11-C12 1.459(§) N11-C16 1.351(7) C12-N13 1.395(6) C12-O17 1.221(6) N13-C18 1.482(6) C14-C15 1.344(6)	C14-019 1.239(5) C15-C16 1.350(6) C15-C20 1.449(8) C22-C23 1.433(7) C22-C21 1.363(6) C23-C24 1.390(7) C23-C28 1.407(6) N3-C31 1.378(8) C25-C26 1.400(7) C26-C27 1.378(8)	C27-C28 1.384(7) C28-N2 1.366(7) N2-C21 1.366(6) C32-C31 1.353(7) C33-C34 1.398(8) C35-C36 1.384(9) C35-C36 1.384(9) C35-C37 1.38(2) C37-C38 1.414(8) C38-N3 1.383(8)	
N11-C-C22 111.5( N11-C-C32 110.4( C22-C-C32 110.2 C-N11-C12 117.0( C-N11-C16 124.1( C12-N11-C16 124.1) C12-N11-C16 119.0( N11-C12-N13 114.6( C12-N13-C14 124.6( C12-N13-C14 124.6( C12-N13-C18 117.2( C14-N13-C18 118.1) N13-C14-C15 116.8( N13-C14-C15 116.8( N13-C14-C15 116.8( N13-C14-C15 116.2) C14-C15-C16 122.5( C14-C15-C16 122.5( C14-C15-C16 122.5()	4) N11-C16-C15 122   4) C-C22-C23 128   5) C-C22-C21 125   4) C23-C22-C21 106   4) C22-C23-C28 134   4) C22-C23-C28 18   5) C24-C23-C28 118   4) C23-C24-C25 120   5) C24-C25-C26 120   5) C24-C25-C26 120   5) C24-C25-C26 120   4) C23-C28-C27 120   4) C23-C28-C27 120   4) C23-C28-C27 120   4) C23-C28-N2 108   5) C27-C28-N2 129   4) C28-N2-C21 108	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24.6(4) 26.8(5) 08.0(5) 33.9(5) 06.6(5) 19.6(6) 19.6(6) 19.7(6) -21.3(6) .22.1(6) 17.1(6) .21.4(%) 07.2(5) 31.5(6) 09.4(5) 08.9(5)





fine powder, spread on the inner wall of a test tube and irradiated with a 300 W highpressure mercury lamp for 4 h at room temperature. The irradiated mixture was submitted to silica gel column chromatography eluting with chloroform-ethyl acetate (5 : ]) to give 3 (0,154 g; yield 40 %), m.p. 276-277°C; IR (KBr), 3340, 1715, 1610, 760 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (DMSO),  $\delta$  3.25 (s, 6H, 2 N-Me), 5.90 (s, 1H, C-H), 7.00-7.50 ppm (m, 13H, 11 aromatic and olefinic H, N-H); MS, m/z 384 (M<sup>+</sup>), 267, 182. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.87; H, 5.21; N, 14.58. Found: C, 71.90; H, 5.19; N, 14.50.

Time course of the solid-state photoreaction. The mixed crystal of 1 and 2 (1 : 4 molar ratio) was irradiated as above and an aliquot was taken time to time and analyzed by HPLC: column, MCH C<sub>18</sub> reversed phase; mobile phase, water/methanol (40 : 60); detected at 254 nm. The result is shown in Figure 2.

Photochemical reaction of 1 with 2 in solution.

A solution of 1 (0.168 g, 0.1 mmol) and 2 (0.351 g, 0.3 mmol) in 150 ml of acetonitrile was irradiated with a 300 W high-pressure mercury lamp (Pyrex housing) for 15 h under bubbling nitrogen. After the removal of the solvent in reduced pressure, the residue was submitted to column chromatography (Qing Dao 300 silica gel and elution with 5 : 1 chloroform-ethyl acetate) to give 1,3-dimethyluracil 4 in 20 % yield. When methanol was used as the solvent, 4 was obtained in 65 % yield. X-ray crystallography.

A colorless crystal of 5-(bis-1-indolyl)methyl-1,3-dimethyluracil (3), C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, having approximate dimensions of 0.3 x 0.3 x 0.3 mm was mounted on a glass fiber in a random orientation. Preliminary examination and data collection were performed with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å) on an ENRAF-NONIUS CAD4 diffractometer equipped with a graphite crystal incident beam monochromator. A total of 3804 independent reflections were collected in the range of  $2 < \theta < 25^{\circ}$  by  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scan technique at room temperature, in which 1722 reflections with I >  $3\sigma$  (I) were considered to be observed and used in the succeeding refinement. The corrections for LP factors and for absorption based on a series of y scan were applied to the data.

The crystal is monoclinic, space group P21/n, with a = 10.831(1), b = 9.650(2), c = 19.355(2) Å,  $\beta$  =102.65(1)°, V = 1973.8 Å<sup>3</sup>, Mr = 384.44, Z = 4, Dx = 1.29 g/cm<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$  =  $0.80 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 808.

The structure was solved by direct method (MULTAN82). The most of non-hydrogen atoms were located from an E-map. The coordinates of remaining non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms were found in the succeeding difference Fourier synthesis. The final refinement by full matrix least-square method with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for hydrogen atoms was converged with unweighted and weighted agreement factors of 0.052 and 0.051. All calculations were performed on a PDP11/44 computer using SDP-PLUS program system.

## References

- Fox, M. A. (Editor) Organic Phototransformations in Non-homogeneous Media, American 1. Chemical Society, Washington, D. C., 1985. Ramamurthy, V. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 5753.
- 2.
- Scheffer, J. (Editor) Organic Photochemistry in Organized Media (Tetrahedron Symposia-in-Print No. 211 Tetrahedron 1987, 43, No. 7. Anpo, M.; Matsuura, T. (editors) Photochemistry on Solid Surfaces; Elsevier Science 3.
- 4. Publishers B. V.; Amsterdam, 1989.
- Ito, Y.; Meng, J.-B.; Suzuki, S.; Kusunaga, Y.; Matsuura, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 5. 1985, <u>26</u>, 2093. Matsuura, T.; Meng, J.-B.; Ito, Y.; Irie, M.; Fukuyama, K. <u>Tetrahedron</u> 1988, <u>43</u>,
- 6. 2451.
- 7.
- Meng, J.-B.; Ito, Y.; Matsuura, T. <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> **1987**, <u>28</u>, 6665. Ito, Y.; Matsuura, T.; Tabata, K.; <u>Meng, J.-B.; Fukuyama, K.;</u> Sasaki, M.; Okada, 8. S. <u>Tetrahedron</u> **1987**, 43, 1307. Ito, Y.; Matsuura, T.; Fukuyama, K. <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> **1988**, 29, 3087. Ito, Y.; Ito, H.; Ino, M.; Matsuura, T. <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> **1988**, 29, 3091. Ito, Y.; Ref. 4., p. 469. Ito, Y.; Matsuura, T. <u>J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chemistry</u> **1989**, <u>50</u>, 141. Meng, J.-B.; Fu, D.-C.; Yao., X.-K.; Wang, R.-J.; Matsuura, T. <u>Tetrahedron</u>, in the
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13. press.
- See ref. 7 and literatures cited therein. 14.
- Julian, D. R.; Tringham, G. D. J. C. S. Chem. Commun. 1973, 13. 15.
- Wang, S. Y.; Photochem. Photobiol. 1965, 4, 473. 16.