Synthesis of [2'-²H₁]-Ribonucleosides

by András Földesi*^a), Mrinal K. Kundu^a), Zoltán Dinya^b), and Jyoti Chattopadhyaya^a)

 ^a) Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Box 581, Biomedical Center, University of Uppsala, SE-75123 Uppsala
^b) Department of Organic Chemistry, L. Kossuth University, H-4010 Debrecen (phone: +46184714929, fax: +4618554495, e-mail: andras@boc.uu.se)

New syntheses of C(2')-deuterated ribonucleosides have been accomplished starting either from 3,5-di-*O*-benzyl-1-*O*-methyl- α , β -D-ribofuranose (**1b**) or 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-D-ribose (**14**), with >97 atom-% D incorporation in both cases. The former is suited to the demands of multiple-site deuteration or uniform ¹³C/ multiple ²H double labeling of the ribofuranose moiety, whereas the latter is particularly appropriate for single-site ²H labeling for mechanistic studies of enzyme reactions.

1. Introduction. – Various physico-chemical techniques have been exploited in order to correlate different structural motifs of oligo-DNA or oligo-RNA to their specific biological functions. Amongst these, NMR spectroscopy is one of the most powerful tools. The importance of NMR spectroscopy lies in its ability to provide the desired structural information under quasi-physiological conditions. However, with growing chain length, the usefulness of NMR spectroscopy becomes restricted due to the increasing spectral overlap [1], intrinsic line broadening arising from decreased T_2 relaxation [1], decreased sensitivity caused by slower tumbling rate [1], and the spin diffusion that prevents accurate NOE volume measurements [2].

To tackle these problems, different isotope-labeling techniques have been reported so far in the literature. Although either chemical or enzymatic introduction of ¹³C/¹⁵N labels in the form of labeled monomers [3] into an oligo-RNA or oligo-DNA substantially reduces the spectral overlap in heteronuclear multidimensional spectra [4–6], the technique might be problematic for long oligomers for the following reasons: *i*) ¹³C labeling decreases proton T_2 relaxation time, which, in turn, decreases the sensitivity of homonuclear *J* correlation techniques, *ii*) the syntheses of uniformly ¹³C-labeled 2'-deoxynucleosides is less elaborated [7–10] compared to the RNA counterparts [11–13], *iii*) ¹³C-signal broadening and signal loss due to long pulse sequences [14], *iv*) any enzymatic syntheses of labeled RNA [11–13] or DNA [7–10] do not allow the labeling of a particular segment of interest except ligation of labeled and natural stretches [15].

The early idea of using D labeling in NMR studies on oligonucleotides was based primarily on suppressing part(s) of the ¹H-NMR spectrum [16]. However, it was soon found that site-specific or complete substitution of D for H in the sugar residues of nucleosides [17] and the sequence-specific incorporation of these specifically deuterated units into an oligomer not only simplifies spectral overcrowding, but enhances resolution and sensitivity, as well as efficiently reduces the deleterious effect of relaxation. The cumulative effect is the facilitation of the structure elucidation as it has been demonstrated for '*the Uppsala NMR window*' concept [18].

When introduced into uniformly ¹³C-labeled nucleoside blocks, D labels have a positive effect on preserving the ¹³C magnetization during the long heteronuclear pulse trains in NMR experiments with uniformly ¹³C-labeled RNAs [19]. Since the primary source of ¹³C labels in the chemical synthesis of nucleosides with uniformly ¹³C-labeled pentofuranose moiety is D-[¹³C₆]glucose, the need for D-glucose-based deuteration methods is fairly justified.

Besides NMR studies, investigations of the mechanisms of enzyme reactions have posed a further great demand for specifically deuterated nucleosides [17a][20]. Additional need for these labeled molecules might arise from studying the possible biological effect of the D substitution [21], which is an unexplored field for nucleosides and its derivatives.

The D incorporation into ribonucleosides according to an oxidation-reduction-inversion sequence at both nucleoside and sugar levels involves various methods, which differ in orthogonality of the protecting groups as well as in the type of the oxidation and reducing agents used [17a]. For example, the free C(2') - OHof a 3',5'-bis-O-protected ribonucleoside (by either (tert-butyl)dimethylsilyl (TBDMS) [22a,c,e] or 1,1,3,3-tetraisopropyldisiloxan-1,3-diyl group (TPDS) [18i][22b,e]) is oxidized with CrO₃/pyridine/Ac₂O [18i][22a,b,e] or DMSO/Ac₂O [22a] or DMSO/ oxalyl chloride [18i] [22d] or Dess-Martin reagent to give the corresponding C(2')-oxo nucleoside [22c] [22e]. The subsequent reduction with LiAlD₄ [22a] or NaBD₄ [18i][22a][22c] gives predominantly the arabino-diastereoisomer [18i][22a,c,d] owing to the preferential α -attack over the β -attack; the exact ratio of *arabino vs. ribo* depends, however, on the nature of the nucleobase. Subsequently, the inversion of the C(2')-OH with *arabino*-configuration affords the required protected $[2'-^2H_1]$ ribonucleoside [18i][22c].

This approach requires the careful choice of the protection for the C(3')-OH and C(5')-OH groups. For example, the partial loss of the TPDS group during reduction of an adenosine dervative [22b] complicated its usefulness for the preparation of the target deuterionucleoside. Remarkable stereocontrol by the free C(5') – OH group has been recently reported for 3'-O-TBDMS-2'-oxoadenosine and for a corresponding base-modified analog, when the reducing agent sodium triacetoxyborodeuteride [22c] is used to orchestrate a deuteride ion delivery from the β -face to give the *ribo*-product with excellent stereocontrol (ca. 99%). However, the utility of this method for the labeling of other nucleosides has not yet been corroborated. The 2'-2H labeling of nucleosides by the above oxidation-reduction sequence [22b] is further complicated by the fact that it gives an intractable mixture of products for guanosine derivatives. For the above reasons, clearly, D incorporation at C(2) at the sugar level, followed by glycosylation to give ribonucleoside, is an attractive alternative procedure. The oxidation of benzyl 3,4-O-isopropylidene- β -D-arabinopyranoside by CrO₃/Ac₂O/pyridine, followed by reduction with LAD, furnished the $[2'-2H_1]$ ribopyranoside derivative with high stereoselectivity (>90%) [18i] [22b]. A similar transformation commencing with Dess-Martin oxidation of 1,3,5-tri-O-benzoyl- α -D-ribofuranose [23a], followed by reduction with NaBD₄ in presence of CeCl₃, resulted in excellent stereoselectivity but gave relatively poor D enrichment (92-94 atom-% ²H, which is considered to be insufficient for high-resolution NMR studies because of the interference by the background residual proton resonance lines). The instability of the Bz protecting groups during the reduction is an additional disadvantage of this methodology [23a]. A further consideration for the incorporation of D at the nucleoside level especially for the NMR studies is that the protecting-group manipulations for the D labeling are not the same as for the preparation of the phosphoramidite building blocks for oligo-RNA synthesis. Performing all protection–removal–reprotection steps with the individual nucleosides can substantially diminish the overall yield as compared to the preparation of the labeled sugar precursor. Hence, there is a good need for efficient alternative syntheses, which can overcome most of the above disadvantages. In addition, the new methodology should also be useful and practical for the multigram-scale preparation of the deuterionucleosides for relatively large-scale oligo-RNA synthesis for NMR work.

In our synthesis 2'-deoxy[2'(R/S),3',5'(R/S)-2H₃]ribonucleosides, we introduced the third D at C(2') through the reduction of the C(2)=O sugar resulting from the oxidation of methyl 3,5-di-O-benzyl- α/β -D-[3,5(R/S)-²H₂]ribofuranoside (**1**a: Scheme 1) to give a mixture of predominantly arabino- and minor ribo-diastereoisomers 2a (ca. 7:3 as estimated by ¹H-NMR) [18i]. Similar stereoselectivity is exploited for the synthesis of β -D-mannosides through the reduction of β -D-glycoside-2-uloses [24] having 3-O-allyl or 3-O-benzyl groups vicinal to the C=O function. In the subsequent steps, the Bn groups of **2a** were removed, and the resulting methyl [2,3,5(R/S)]- 2 H₃]arabinofuranoside was separated from the corresponding *ribo*-component of **2a** on a *Dowex OH*⁻ column, converted to the corresponding benzyl 3,4-*O*-ispropylidene- β -D-arabinopyranoside, and deuterated at C(2) according to our oxidation-reduction procedure discussed earlier [22b]. This long sequence of reactions (ten steps from 2 to 9) decreased the yield of the labeled methyl ribofuranoside substantially (overall yield of ca. 17% in ten steps), which is unacceptable for a building block to be used for milligram-scale solid-phase synthesis of large oligo-DNA (>20mer) with one or two NMR window(s) in the molecule for detailed solution-structure elucidation [18a,e,j].

We argued that if, upon appropriate protection of the C(2)–OH, the mixture of the methyl 3,5-di-*O*-benzyl [2-²H₁]arabino- and [2-²H₁]ribofuranosides **2b** (*arabino/ribo* 7:3 by NMR) could be successfully separated, and then the inversion of the *arabino*-diastereoisomer to *ribo*-analog could be achieved, it would constitute a much shorter and practical synthetic protocol for the D labeling at C(2') of ribonucleosides. At this point, we also considered, as an alternative, the scale-up of the single-step D/H exchange reaction at C(2) (>97 atom-% ²H) of 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene- α/β -D-ribofuranose [23b] in a mixture of 1,4-dioxane/Et₃N/THF/²H₂O at a reflux temperature. In this paper, the synthetic details of these two alternative chemical syntheses of [2'-²H₁]ribonucleosides are reported.

2. Results and Discussion. – For the synthesis of the required nucleosides, we wanted to take the advantage of a 2-*O*-acyl protection of the sugar precursor as in **11** (*Scheme 1*) during the glycosylation step, since this gives exclusively the β -anomer. Also, due to the known difficulties [25] to remove the Bn protecting groups of a nucleoside (specially with pyrimidine aglycones), a reasonable demand was to remove these groups still at the sugar level (*i.e.*, **8** \rightarrow **9**). It is necessary to stress that the use of





i) Oxalyl chloride, DMSO in CH₂Cl₂, -70° . *ii*) LiAlD₄ in dry Et₂O, r.t. *iii*) TolCl, pyridine, r.t. *iv*) NH₃ in MeOH, r.t. *v*) Tf₂O, DMAP, Py, CH₂Cl₂, 0° , 3 h. *vi*) Cesium propanoate, DMF, r.t. *vii*) Pd/C, H₂ in EtOH, r.t. *viii*) Ac₂O, AcOH, conc. H₂SO₄, CH₂Cl₂, 0° , 15 min. *ix*) Persilylated nucleobase, TMSOTf, ClCH₂CH₂Cl or toluene (**12b**), heating. Ac = Acetyl; Bn = benzyl; Bz = benzyl; DMAP = 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; Dpc = diphenylcarbamoyl; Pr = propanoyl; TMSOTf = trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate; Tol = 4-toluoyl (=4-methylbenzoyl); A = adenin-9-yl; C = cytidin-1-yl; G = guanin-9-yl; U = uracil-1-yl.

4-methoxybenzyl instead of Bn protection might overcome the pyrimidine-reduction problem, since it involves a Ce^{3+} -promoted oxidation step [26], but its usefulness is yet to be documented in nucleoside synthesis.

The first method introduces D to C(2) at the sugar level starting from 1,2:5,6-diisopropylidene- α -D-glucose, from which the starting 3,5-O-protected methyl ribofuranoside derivative **1b** (*Scheme 1*) is easily available in large quantity (15 g) in six steps [27]. Compound **1b** was subjected to *Swern* oxidation [28] with oxalyl chloride and DMSO in dry CH₂Cl₂ at -70° . The disappearance of the strong *singlet* at δ 3.32 for the anomeric Me group in the ¹H-NMR spectrum for the β -anomer of **1b** confirmed that the oxidation was complete (the same signal at δ 3.48 for the α -anomer of **1b** overlaps with other signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the ketone). Reduction with LAD in dry Et₂O afforded a diastereoisomeric *C*(2)-deuterated mixture **2b** composed of arabinoand ribofuranosides in a ratio of *ca*. 7:3 (64%), as evidenced by ¹H-NMR. The *arabino*configuration in the main component is supported by the large upfield shift of C(1) (from δ 108.4 to 102.5). This mixture was successfully separated after 4-toluoylation at C(2)–OH to afford methyl 3,5-di-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-(4-toluoyl)- α -[2-²H₁]ribofuranoside **(3)** (26%) and methyl 3,5-di-*O*-benzyl-2-*O*-(4-toluoyl)- β -[2-²H₁]arabinofuranoside **(4)** (62%).

The composition of the starting C(1) epimeric mixture ($\beta/\alpha \ ca. 7:3$) indicated again [18i] that the *arabino*-derivative might be formed diastereospecifically from the β -

anomer of **1b**, whereas the *ribo*-compound arises from the α -anomer, *i.e.*, the configuration of the anomeric MeO group controls the diastereoselectivity by specifically dictating the delivery of the deuteride ion from either α or β face. To gain deeper insight into the stereochemical course of the reduction of the 2-oxo compound diastereoisomer mixture of 1b was 2-O-(4-toluoyl)-protected, and the pure anomers were separated. The 4-toluoyl group was removed, and the β -anomer of **1b** was subjected to *Dess-Martin* oxidation [17b] [29]. Reduction of the ketone afforded a mixture containing two compounds in a ratio of ca. 91:9. The whole mixture was again 4-toluoylated, and the two compounds were separated by short-column chromatography (toluene/CH₂Cl₂ 70:30 (v/v) with MeOH gradient). The separated major component proved to be the arabinoside 4, whereas the minor compound was identical, by ¹H-NMR, to the methyl 3,5-di-O-benzyl-2-O-(4-toluoyl)- β -D-ribofuranoside except for bearing D at C(2). Similar oxidation-reduction steps carried out with the α anomer gave ca. 4% of methyl 3,5-di-O-benzyl- α -arabinofuranoside as judged from the analysis of the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the crude mixture in comparison with the literature data [30]. This reveals that the reduction is proceeding with a high diastereoselectivity.

Compound 3 can be deprotected to the α -anomer of 9 (Scheme 1) to improve the overall yield of the nucleoside precursor **11**, as it has been shown in the preparation of $[2',3',4',5',5''-{}^{2}H_{5}]$ ribonucleosides [17b] but, in this study, it was used for exploring the use of the MeO group at the anomeric center of the sugar for the glycosylation step. However, a SnCl₄-catalyzed synthesis of [2'-²H₁]ribothymidine and the subsequent deprotection proceeded with moderate yields (66 and 75%, resp.), hence this approach was abandoned. As mentioned above, the goal of this work required the inversion of configuration at C(2) of the arabino-compound 4 to give the ribo-counterpart 7. To achieve this the 2-O-Tol group of compound 4 was removed by methanolic ammonia at room temperature to afford arabinoside 5(95%), followed by the conversion to the 2-O-triflate derivative 6 (89%) upon treatment with 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine, pyridine, and trifluoroacetic anhydride in dry CH_2Cl_2 . In the absence of H-C(2), the presence of Tf moiety is corroborated by the appearance of a *quadruplet* ¹³C signal at δ 118.4 exhibiting the large J(C,F) coupling. Subsequently, compound 6 was converted to the 2-O-propanoyl derivative 7 (73%) by displacement with cesium propanoate in DMF at room temperature under an inert atmosphere [31]. The upfield shift of the peak from δ 4.99 to 4.87 for the corresponding anomeric proton H–C(1) proved that the reaction proceeded with an inversion of the configuration. Also, the change of the appropriate ¹³C chemical-shift order for C(3) and C(4) (C(3) (δ 80.8) > C(4) (δ 79.7) for the *arabino*-compound **6** to C(4) (δ 80.3) > C(3) (δ 77.7) for the *ribo* compound 7), together with the large downfield shift of C(1) ($\Delta\delta$ 5.9), corroborated the ribo-configuration at C(2). An attempt to convert the deuterated 2-O-propanoylribofuranoside 7 to the 1-O-acetyl derivative via treatment with a Ac₂O, AcOH, and concentrated H_2SO_4 mixture in CH_2Cl_2 in order to achieve higher coupling yields with the silvlated nucleobases failed in our hand. This might be attributed to the presence of the two Bn groups, which are most probably unstable in the strongly acidic medium. Therefore, the 2-O-propanoyl group was cleaved upon treatment with methanolic ammonia to furnish compound 8 (98%), followed by the removal of the Bn groups in a catalytic hydrogenation process over 10% Pd/C in EtOH to afford the C(2)-deuterated methyl ribofuranoside 9(98%). The spectral data were compared to those of its natural counterpart. The presence of a singlet at δ 4.83 for H–C(1) and a doublet at δ 4.1 (J(3,4) = 6.9 Hz) for H-C(3) obviated that more than 97 atom-% isotope incorporation has indeed taken place at C(2). Also, a low-intensity *triplet* (arising from the distribution of signal intensity and longer relaxation) was observed at δ 73.6 in the ¹Hdecoupled ¹³C-NMR spectrum as a result of C,D coupling. Subsequently, compound 9 was 4-toluoylated to afford compound 10 (98%), followed by a treatment with a mixture of Ac₂O, AcOH, and concentrated H_2SO_4 in CH₂Cl₂ [18i] to obtain the required 1-O-acetyl-2,3,5-tri-O-(4-toluoyl)- α/β -D-[2-²H₁]ribofuranose (11) (99%). From this anomeric mixture, the β -anomer was crystallized from MeOH to give an analytical sample. When its ¹H-NMR spectrum is compared to the spectrum of the natural counterpart (Fig. 1, a and b), the lack of H-C(2) signal at δ 5.75 and the appearance of the H–C(3) signal as a *doublet* at δ 5.86 establish the >97 atom-% D incorporation as measured by integrating the residual H-C(2) signal. The specificoptical-rotation measurement also established the identity of this compound (specific optical rotation for β -anomer of 11: +62, for authentic sample: +63).

The second method introduces the D label at C(2) starting from D-ribose. It has been reported from our laboratory [23b] that, on small scale (1 mmol), it is possible to obtain >97 atom-% diastereospecific exchange of the H at C(2) of 2,3-Oispropylidene-ribose to D upon equilibration in dioxane/THF/Et₃N/²H₂O at elevated temperature. We herein report on specific conditions optimized for scaling up this exchange reaction to a level suitable for production of nucleosides for oligomer synthesis (ca. 22 mmol), which, upon several steps of synthetic manipulations, gave the 4-toluoylated ribose derivative 11 to be used for subsequent coupling with nucleobases (Scheme 2). The starting 2,3-O-acetonide 14 was prepared in a protic-acid-catalyzed reaction of D-ribose with acetone [32] in 78% yield. For the multigram-scale exchange of the ribofuranose 14, it was dissolved in ca. 3.5 ml/mmol of the mixture of dioxane/ THF/Et₃N/ 2 H₂O, instead of 20 ml/mmol [23b], and the 2 H₂O amount was reduced from 4.6 ml/mmol [23b] to 0.73 ml/mmol, and the mixture was then heated at $ca. 90^{\circ}$ for 5 d. The reaction proceeded without formation of detectable amount of side products to furnish the deuterated sugar 15 (99% yield). The deuteration level was found to be >97 atom-% as determined from the ¹H-NMR spectrum. The deuterated ribose derivative 15 was converted to the labeled methyl ribofuranoside 16 (99%) by deprotection of the isopropylidene group in 80% aqueous AcOH, followed by glycosylation in MeOH in the presence of catalytic amount of concentrated H_2SO_4 .



i) Dioxane/THF/Et₃N/D₂O (24:24:12:16 ml), 90°, 5 d. *ii*) 80% aq. AcOH, 90°, 24 h. *iii*) MeOH, conc. H₂SO₄, 4° , 12 h. *iv*) TolCl, Py, r.t. Tol = 4-Toluoyl.



Fig. 1. Expanded regions of the 270-MHz 1D-¹H NMR spectra of 1-O-acetyl-2,3,5-tris-O-(4-toluoyl)- β -D-[2-²H₁]ribose (**11** β) (a) and its natural-abundance counterpart (b), 2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-toluoyl)-[2'-2H₁]uridine (**12a**) (c) and its natural-abundance counterpart (d), N²-acetyl-O⁶-(diphenylcarbamoyl)-2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-toluoyl)[2'-2H₁]guanosine (**12b**) (e) and its natural-abundance counterpart (f)

This ribose derivative **16** was 4-toluoylated by 4-methylbenzoyl chloride in dry pyridine to give the fully protected **17** (93%), which was further converted to **11** by the acetylation procedure as described for **10** [18i].

The coupling reactions with the persilylated uracil, N²-acetyl-O⁶-(diphenylcarbamoyl)guanine, N^6 -benzoyladenine, and N^4 -benzoylcytosine nucleobases were carried out according to well-established methods [33] to give the fully protected C(2)deuterated nucleosides 12a-12d (88, 73, 85, and 70%, resp.). Comparison of their ¹H-NMR spectra with those of the corresponding protected natural nucleosides (Fig. 1, c-f, and Fig. 2, a-d) evidences that no D-exchange reaction has taken place during the coupling process. The protecting groups were removed by treatment with NH_3 in MeOH to produce the target $[2'^{-2}H_1]$ ribonucleosides 13a - 13d (99, 85, 82, and 90%, resp.). The purity and the high-level isotope enrichment of these compounds are evidenced again upon comparison of their ¹H-NMR spectra with those of authentic natural counterparts (Fig. 2, e and f, and Fig. 3, and Exper. Part). The identity of the nucleosides 13a - 13d was further corroborated by high-resolution mass spectrometry, IR spectroscopy, as well as by optical-rotation measurements (see Exper. Part for details). It is interesting to note herein that, in the IR spectra of these monodeuterated carbohydrate and nucleoside analogues, no absorptions were observed in the region of the C–D stretching $(2300-2000 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ [34], even when films of the neat compounds were used as samples.

3. Conclusions. – Two new syntheses of C(2')-deuterated ribonucleosides have been devised. Starting from 1-*O*-methyl-3,5-di-*O*-benzyl- α/β -D-ribofuranose (1), it has been found that *i*) *Swern* oxidation is suitable for complete oxidation of the C(2)–OH as a cheaper alternative to the *Dess–Martin* periodinane oxidation; *ii*) the reduction proceeds with high diastereoselectivity governed by the anomeric MeO group; *iii*) the present method substantially improves the overall yield from *arabino-2* to *ribo-9* (from 17 to 36%) as compared to the previous C(2)-deuterations [18i][22b] through benzyl arabinopyranoside; *iv*) the method is fully compatible with sequential multiple D incorporation (>97 atom-%) and with the *ul*-¹³C-labeled D-glucose-based ²H/¹³C double labeling. The second method uses 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-D-ribose (14) as starting material, and a large-scale exchange process gives >97 atom-% D incorporation in a single equilibration step. Because of its simplicity, it is well-suited for C(2') single-site labeling for exploring mechanistic aspects of enzyme reactions.

Thanks are due to the *Swedish Natural Science Research Council* (NFR contract No. K-KU 12067-300 to *A*. *F*. and K-AA/Ku04626-321 to *J*. *C*.), *Philip Morris USA Inc*. (to *J*. *C*.) and *Carl Tryggers Styftelse* (to *A*. *F*.) for generous financial support.

Experimental Part

General. CH_2CI_2 and 1,2-dichloroethane were refluxed with P_2O_5 , followed by distillation under N_2 and kept over molecular sieves (3 Å). Pyridine was refluxed on CaH_2 overnight, followed by distillation and stored over molecular sieves (3 Å). The chromatographic separations were performed on *Merck G60* silica gel. TLC was performed on *Merck* pre-coated silica gel 60 F_{254} glass backed plates in following systems: A) toluene/ CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 7:3:0.1 ($\nu/\nu/\nu$), B) AcOEt/cyclohexane 1:1 (ν/ν), C) AcOEt/cyclohexane 70:30 (ν/ν), D) AcOEt/PrOH/H₂O 30:18:6 ($\nu/\nu/\nu$), E) AcOEt, F) MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 10:90 (ν/ν), G) petroleum ether/AcOEt 8:2. ¹H-NMR Spectra: at 270.17 MHz, with TMS or MeCN (for D₂O solns, set at 2.0 ppm) as internal



Fig. 2. Expanded regions of the 270-MHz 1D-¹H-NMR spectra of N⁶-benzoyl-2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-toluoyl)/2'-²H₁Jadenosine (**12c**) (a) and its natural-abundance counterpart (b), N⁶-benzoyl-2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-toluoyl)/2'-²H₁Jcytidine (**12d**) (c) and its natural-abundance counterpart (d), $[2'-2H_1]$ uridine (**13a**) (e) and its naturalabundance counterpart (f)



Fig. 3. Expanded regions of the 270-MHz 1D-¹H NMR spectra of $[2'-2'H_1]$ guanosine (13b) (a) and its naturalabundance counterpart (b), $[2'-2'H_1]$ adenosine (13c) (c) and its natural-abundance counterpart (d), $[2'-2'H_1]$ cytidine (13d) (e) and its natural-abundance counterpart (f)

standards. ¹³C-NMR Spectra: at 67.9 MHz, with the central peak of CDCl₃ (76.9 ppm), (D₆)DMSO (39.6 ppm), or *Me*CN (1.3 ppm) as references. Chemical shifts (δ) in ppm, coupling constants in Hz; signal assignments for each compound were achieved by 2D-NMR experiments (¹H,¹H-COSY, ¹H,¹³C-HETCOR, COLOC) with standard software. ¹³C Multiplicities and overlapping quaternary signals were identified by APT experiments. Assignments were also corroborated by comparison with literature data. EI-MS (pos.): at a resolution of *R* = 8000.

3,5-Di-O-benzyl-1-O-methyl- β -D-[2-²H₁]arabino/a-D-[2-²H₁]ribofuranose (**2b**). A soln. of DMSO (4.6 ml, 65.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) was added slowly to a mixture of oxalyl chloride (3.7 ml, 42.3 mmol) and dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) at -70° , followed by the dropwise addition of a soln. of **1b** (11.25 g, 32.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 ml), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 4 h at the same temp. To quench the reaction, Et₃N (23 ml, 163 mmol) was added at -70° , and then the mixture was allowed to reach r.t., followed by the addition of H₂O and extraction with CH₂Cl₂. The combined org. layer was successively washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The crude residue was dissolved in dry Et₂O (100 ml), and LAD (0.8 g, 19 mmol) was added at 0°, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The org. phase was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (CC) to yield **2b** (7.2 g, 64%). R_f (*G*) 0.27, 0.29. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.34–7.27 (*m*, 2 *Ph*CH₂); 4.88, 4.86 (2*s*, H–C(1) (α and β)); 4.77–4.56 (*m*, 2 PhCH₂); 3.47, 3.41 (*s*, MeO (α and β)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 137.9, 129.7, 129.6, 128.2, 127.8, 127.62, 127.6 (*Ph*CH₂); 102.5 (C(1)); 84.5 (C(3)); 80.7 (C(4)); 72.3 (PhCH₂); 71.9 (C(5)); 71.7 (CH₂); 55.3 (MeO). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 345.1693 (*M*⁺, C₂₀H₂₃²HO⁺; calc. 345.1686).

Methyl 3,5-Di-O-benzyl-2-O-(4-methylbenzoyl)- α -D-[2-²H₁]ribofuranoside (**3**) and Methyl 3,5-Di-O-benzyl-2-O-(4-methylbenzoyl)- β -D-[2-²H₁]arabinofuranoside (**4**). Compound **2b** (7.2 g, 20.8 mmol) was co-evaporated with dry Py and dissolved in the same solvent (100 ml). 4-Methylbenzoyl chloride (3.2 ml, 24 mmol) was added dropwise at ice-bath temp., and the mixture was stirred overnight allowing it to warm to r.t. Cold sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. It was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, and the org. phase was dried (MgSO₄). After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was subjected to CC to afford **3** (2.52 g, 26%) and **4** (6.0 g, 62%).

Data of **3**: R_t (*B*) 0.60. [*a*]₂₀²⁶ = +98 (*c* = 0.67, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 3082, 3059, 3025, 2998, 2917, 2858, 1712, 1608, 1492, 1449, 1406, 1360, 1286, 1204, 1178, 1153, 1100, 1018, 908, 839. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.03 – 7.23 (*m*, Tol, 2 *Ph*CH₂); 5.21 (*s*, H – C(1)); 4.73 – 4.43 (*m*, 2 PhCH₂); 4.30 – 4.24 (*m*, H – C(4)); 4.10 (*d*, *J*(3,4) = 4.5, H – C(3)); 3.52 – 3.33 (*m*, CH₂(5)); 3.47 (*s*, MeO); 2.42 (*s*, *Me*C₆H₄). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 166.0 (C=O, Tol); 143.8, 137.8, 129.9 (Tol); 129.0, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.6 (*Ph*CH₂); 102.1 (C(1)); 81.5 (C(4)); 75.2 (C(3)); 73.4, 72.9 (PhCH₂); 69.4 (C(5)); 55.7 (MeO); 21.6 (*Me*C₆H₄). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 463.2109 (*M*⁺, C₂₈H₂₉²HO₆⁺; calc. 463.2106).

Data of 4: $R_{\rm f}$ (G) 0.54. $[a]_{\rm D}^{25} = -91$ (c = 0.24, CHC₁₃). IR (neat): 3082, 3060, 3032, 2924, 2860, 1718, 1610, 1492, 1452, 1408, 1360, 1288, 1208, 1179, 1145, 1110, 1028, 910, 839. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.94-7.21 (m, Tol, PhCH₂); 5.21 (s, H-C(1)); 4.70-4.59 (m, 2 PhCH₂); 4.34 (d, J(3,4) = 5.6, H-C(3)); 4.26-4.19 (m, H-C(4)); 3.65-3.54 (m, CH₂(5)); 3.28 (s, MeO); 2.42 (s, $MeC_{6}H_{4}$). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 165.8 (C=O, Tol); 143.9, 137.9, 137.6, 129.8, 129.0, 128.3, 127.7, 127.62, 127.6, 126.6 (PhCH₂, Tol); 101.3 (C(1)); 81.3 (C(3)); 79.6 (C(4)); 73.3 (PhCH₂); 72.0 (C(5)); 71.98 (PhCH₂); 55.2 (MeO); 21.6 (MeC₆H₄). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 463.2110 (M^+ , $C_{28}H_{29}^{2}HO_{6}^+$; calc. 463.2106).

Methyl 3,5-*Di*-O-*benzyl*- β -D-[$2^{-2}H_1$]*arabinofuranoside* (**5**). Methanolic ammonia was added to **4** (6.0 g, 12.94 mmol), and the soln. was stirred at r.t. for 2 d. Removal of solvent and purification by silica-gel CC gave **5** (4.23 g, 95%). R_f (*G*) 0.29. [α]_D²⁵ = -49 (c = 0.32, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 3459, 3084, 3060, 3030, 2920, 2859, 1494, 1452, 1362, 1308, 1202, 1156, 1095, 1049, 1029, 1010, 910, 852, 819. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.34 - 7.25 (m, 2 *Ph*CH₂); 4.86 (s, H-C(1)); 4.77 - 4.52 (m, 2 *Ph*CH₂); 4.17 - 4.11 (m, H-C(4)); 3.84 (d, J(3,4) = 5.4, H-C(3)); 3.55 - 3.52 (d, J(4,5) = 5.7, CH₂(5)); 3.41 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 137.9, 137.8, 128.2, 127.54, 127.5, 127.47 (*Ph*CH₂); 102.5 (C(1)); 84.4 (C(3)); 80.6 (C(4)); 73.1 (*Ph*CH₂); 71.9 (C(5)); 71.7 (*Ph*CH₂); 55.2 (MeO). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 345.1695 (M^+ , $C_{20}H_{22}^{-2}HO_5^+$; calc. 345.1687).

Methyl 3,5-*Di*-O-*benzyl*-2-O-(*trifluoromethylsulfonyl*)- β -D-[$2^{-2}H_{1}$]arabinofuranoside (6). Compound 5 (4.18 g, 12.10 mmol) was co-evaporated with dry pyridine, and it was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (90 ml), followed by the addition of DMAP (5.18 g, 42.4 mmol) and pyridine (9 ml). The mixture was cooled to 0°, and Tf₂O (2.8 ml, 16.96 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred at the same temp. for 3 h. The mixture was poured into cold sat. NaHCO₃ soln. The org. layer was separated, and the H₂O phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined org. extract was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was purified by CC to give 6 (5.18 g, 90%). R_{f} (*G*) 0.59. $[\alpha]_{D}^{TP} = -64$ (c = 0.74, CHCl₃). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.35 - 7.20 (m, 2 PhCH₂); 4.99 (s, H-C(1)); 4.76 - 4.47 (m, 2 PhCH₂); 4.29 (d, J(3,4) = 5.4, H-C(3)); 4.17 - 4.11 (m, H-C(4)); 3.58 - 3.44 (m, CH₂(5)); 3.38 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 137.6, 136.8, 128.4, 128.3, 127.7, 127.6 (PhCH₂); 118.4

 $(q, J(C,F) = 319.7, CF_3); 100.3 (C(1)); 80.8 (C(3)); 79.7 (C(4)); 73.4, 72.5 (2 PhCH₂); 71.3 (C(5)); 55.4 (MeO).$ HR-EI-MS (pos.): 477.1184 (M^+ , $C_{21}H_{22}$ ²HF₃O₇S⁺; calc. 477.1179).

3,5-Di-O-benzyl-1-O-methyl-2-O-propanoyl-β-D- $[2^{-2}H_1]$ ribofuranose (**7**). Cesium propionate (2.9 g, 14.1 mmol) was added to a soln. of **6** (5.18 g, 10.85 mmol) in dry DMF (60 ml), and the mixture was stirred for 36 h at r.t. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and H₂O was added. After extraction with CH₂Cl₂ and removal of volatile material, the residue was separated by silica-gel CC to give **7** (3.2 g, 73%). R_f (*G*) 0.54. $[\alpha]_{17}^{27}$ = +14 (c = 0.71, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 3082, 3060, 3030, 2920, 2855, 1735, 1494, 1452, 1418, 1360, 1275, 1188, 1140, 1099, 1068, 1012, 982, 950, 910, 879. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.33 – 7.25 (m, 2 *Ph*CH₂): 4.87 (s, H–C(1)); 4.61–4.38 (m, 2 PhCH₂); 4.25–4.19 (m, H–C(4)); 4.12 (d, J(3,4) = 7.6, H–C(3)); 3.63–3.47 (dq, CH₂(5)); 3.33 (s, MeO); 2.4 (q, CH₂O); 1.13 (t, Me). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 173.5 (C=O); 138.1, 137.4, 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5 (*Ph*CH₂); 106.2 (C(1)); 80.3 (C(4)); 77.7 (C(3)); 73.1, 72.9 (2 PhCH₂); 71.1 (C(5)); 54.9 (CH₂O); 2.7.3 (MeO); 8.9 (*Me*CH₂). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 401.1955 (M^+ , C₂₃H₂₇²HO₆⁺; calc. 401.1949).

Methyl 3,5-*Di*-O-*benzyl*- β -D-[$2^{-2}H_1$]*ribofuranoside* (8). Compound 7 (2.7 g, 6.73 mmol) was treated with methanolic ammonia under stirring at r.t. for 30 h. Usual workup, followed by removal of solvent on a rotary evaporator and washing with H₂O, gave chromatographically homogeneous compound 8 (2.3 g, 99%). R_f (*G*) 0.27. [α]_D²⁷ = -29 (c = 0.71, CHCl₃). IR (neat): 3459, 3082, 3060, 3026, 2920, 2859, 1494, 1452, 1362, 1204, 1158, 1085, 1063, 910, 873, 810. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.38–7.48 (m, 2 *Ph*CH₂); 4.86 (s, H–C(1)); 4.57 (2s, 2 *Ph*CH₂); 4.26–4.20 (m, H–C(4)); 4.07 (d, J(3,4) = 6.2, H–C(3)); 3.54 (d, J(4,5) = 5.3, CH₂(5)); 3.31 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 138.0, 137.0, 128.5, 128.2, 127.5 (*Ph*CH₂); 108.4 (C(1)); 80.5 (C(4)); 79.4 (C(3)); 73.2 (PhCH₂); 72.7 (PhCH₂); 71.5 (C(5)); 54.9 (MeO). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 345.1692 (M^+ , $C_{20}H_{23}^2$ HO⁴; calc. 345.1687).

Methyl β -D-[$2^{-2}H_1$]*ribofuranoside* (9). The Bn groups of 8 (2.1 g, 6.08 mmol) were cleaved with Pd/C-H₂ (450 mg) in EtOH (40 ml) over 3 h at r.t. The reagent was filtered through *Celite*, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness to afford 9 (980 mg, 98%). R_f (*D*) 0.58. $[a]_D^{26} = -38$ (c = 0.15, H₂O). ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 4.83 (s, H–C(1)); 4.08 (d, J(3,4) = 6.9, H–C(3)); 3.98–3.92 (m, H–C(4)); 3.76–3.51 (dq, J(4,5) = 3.3, J(4,5') = 6.4, J(5,5') = 12.2, CH₂(5)); 3.33 (s, MeO). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 107.7 (C(1)); 82.7 (C(4)); 73.6 (t, J(C,D) = 24, C(2)); 70.5 (C(3)); 62.6 (C(5)); 54.9 (MeO). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 165.0748 (M^+ , C₆H₁₁²HO⁺₅; calc. 165.0747).

1-O-Methyl-2,3,5-tris-O-(4-methylbenzoyl)-β-D-[2-²H₁]ribofuranose (**10**). Compound **9** (980 mg, 5.93 mmol) was co-evaporated with dry pyridine twice and dissolved in the same solvent (50 ml). 4-Methoxybenzoyl chloride (2.6 ml, 19.52 mmol) was added dropwise in an ice-bath under stirring. The mixture was kept for 15 h allowing it to warm to r.t. Sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. was added and stirred for 3 h. The compound was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ from H₂O. Evaporation under reduced pressure gave chromatographically homogeneous **10** (2.97 g, 96%). R_f (*C*) 0.82. $[a]_D^{26} = +75$ (c = 0.17, CHCl₃). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.98–7.20 (m, 3 MeC₆H₄; 5.83 (d, J(3,4) = 6.8, H–C(3)); 5.13 (s, H–C(1)); 4.74–4.67 (m, H–C(4), H–C(5)); 4.52–4.45 (dd, H–C(5)); 3.40 (s, MeO); 2.40, 2.39, 2.36 (3s, 3 MeC_6H_4). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 166.2, 165.3, 165.2 (3 C=O, Tol); 144.0, 143.9, 143.6, 129.7, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 126.9, 126.5, 126.2 (Tol); 106.3 (C(1)); 79.0 (C(4)); 72.1 (C(3)); 64.5 (C(5)); 55.2 (MeO); 21.5 (Me, Tol). HR-EI-MS (pos): 519.2009 (M^+ , $C_{30}H_{29}^{-2}HO_8^+$; calc. 519.2004).

1-O-*Acetyl-2,3,5-tris*-O-(*4-methylbenzoyl*)-*a*/*β*-D-[*2*-²*H*,]*ribofuranose* (**11**). A cold mixture of Ac₂O (3.2 ml), AcOH (2.6 ml), and conc. H₂SO₄ (0.5 ml) was added dropwise to a soln. of **10** (2.98 g, 5.74 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) at 0°, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. Cold sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. was added slowly, and stirring was maintained for 3 h. The Ac derivative was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ from the H₂O phase and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was evaporated, and co-evaporation with toluene furnished **11** (3.1 g, 99%). The *β*-anomer was crystallized from MeOH as a white solid (1.87 g, 59%). R_t (*C*) 0.75. $[a]_{16}^{26}$ = +62 (*c* = 1.04, CHCl₃). For natural **11**: $[a]_{28}^{28}$ = +63. IR (KBr): 3060, 3036, 3005, 2919, 2858, 1750, 1725, 1715, 1619, 1408, 1370, 1300, 1280, 1209, 1177, 1110, 1092, 1070, 973. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.98 – 7.10 (*m*, 3 MeC₆H₄); 6.40 (*s*, H–C(1)); 5.86 (*d*, *J*(3,4) = 6.8, H–C(3)); 4.78 – 4.71 (*m*, H–C(4), H–C(5)); 4.51 – 4.44 (*m*, H–C(5)); 2.41, 2.39, 2.37 (3*s*, 3 *Me*C₆H₄); 2.00 (*s*, MeCO). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 169.0 (MeCO); 165.9, 165.3, 164.9 (C=O, Tol); 144.3, 144.2, 143.8, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 128.9, 126.8, 126.0, 125.9 (MeC₆H₄); 98.3 (C(1)); 80.0 (C(4)); 71.0 (C(3)); 63.5 (C(5)); 21.5 (*Me*C₆H₄); 2.08 (*Me*CO). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 547.1960 (*M*⁺, C₃₁H₂₉²HO⁺; calc. 547.1953).

2',3',5'-*Tris*-O-(4-*methylbenzoyl*)[2'- 2H_1]*uridine* (12a). Uracil (292 mg, 2.60 mmol) was suspended in hexamethyldisilazane (4.7 ml), and Me₃SiCl (0.5 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred at 120° under N₂ for 4 h. The volatile materials were evaporated, and residue was kept on an oil pump for 20 min. Compound 11 (1.10 g, 2.01 mmol) was dissolved in dry ClCH₂CH₂Cl (25 ml), and this soln. and TMSOTf (0.5 ml) were added to the persilylated nucleobase. The reaction was kept overnight at 35° under N₂. Workup with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. and separation on a silica-gel column gave 12a (1.06 g, 88%). White foam. $R_f(E)$ 0.74. $[\alpha]_{26}^{26} = -74$ (c = 0.75, CHCl₃). IR (KBr): 3060, 3035, 2950, 2920, 1720, 1685, 1609, 1451, 1380, 1376, 1270, 1210, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1180, 1157, 1129, 1157, 1129, 1157, 1129, 1157

1111, 1092, 1019. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.47 (br. *d*, NH); 8.00–7.15 (*m*, 3 MeC₆H₄); 7.41 (*d*, H–C(6)); 6.34 (*s*, H–C(1')); 5.84 (*d*, *J*(3',4') = 4.1, H–C(3')); 5.58 (*dd*, H–C(5)); 4.85–4.60 (*m*, H–C(4'), CH₂(5)); 2.43, 2.41, 2.38 (3*s*, 3 MeC₆H₄). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 166.0, 165.3, 165.2 (3 C=O); 162.0 (C(4)); 149.7 (C(2)); 144.60, 144.53, 144.48 (MeC₆H₄); 139.3 (C(6)); 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.4, 129.2, 126.3, 125.8, 125.5 (MeC₆H₄); 103.3 (C(5)); 87.4 (C(1')); 80.7 (C(4')); 71.0 (C(3')); 63.5 (C(5')); 21.6 (3 *Me*C₆H₄). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 599.2022 (*M*⁺, $C_{33}H_{29}^{2}H_{N_2}O_{9}^{+}$; calc. 599.2014).

 N^2 -Acetyl-O⁶-(diphenylcarbamoyl)2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-methylbenzoyl)[2',²H₁]guanosine (12b). N²-Acetyl-O⁶-(diphenylcarbamoyl)guanine (1.16 g, 3.0 mmol) was suspended in dry ClCH₂CH₂Cl (10.0 ml) and N,Nbis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide (1.5 ml) was added. The mixture was heated at $ca. 90^{\circ}$ under N₂ for 1 h. The volatile materials were evaporated, and, after co-evaporation with dry toluene, the residue was kept on an oil pump for 20 min. Compound 11 (1.1 g, 2.01 mmol) was added in dry toluene (12.0 ml) to the persilylated nucleobase, followed by TMSOTf (0.8 ml). The mixture was kept at ca. 83° for 5.5 h, then the reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq. NaHCO3 soln., from which the product was extracted with CH2Cl2. The org. phase was dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was evaporated. The product was separated by CC to yield 12b (1.29 g, 73%). Offwhite foam. $R_{f}(E) 0.75$. $[a]_{26}^{26} = -36$ (c = 1.03, CHCl₃). IR (KBr): 3092, 3059, 3036, 2946, 2915, 1720, 1609, 1588, 1508, 1489, 1449, 1408, 1369, 1268, 1210, 1176, 1091, 1058, 1018, 979. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.10 (br. s, NH); 8.06 (s, H-C(8)); 7.93-7.13 (m, 2 Ph, 3 MeC₆H₄); 6.34 (s, H-C(1')); 6.21 (d, H-C(3')); 4.9-4.7 (m, H-C(4'), CH₂(5)); 2.47 (*s*, MeCO)); 2.41, 2.37 (2*s*, 3 MeC₆H₄). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 170.0 (MeCO); 166.1, 165.2, 165.0 (3 C=O, Tol); 156.3 (C(6)); 154.3 (C(4)); 152.2 (C(2)); 150.0 (Ph₂NCO); 144.6, 144.4, 144.1 (Tol); 142.1 (C(8)); 141.6 (Ph₂NCO); 129.7, 129.5, 129.2, 129.1, 126.9, 126.4, 125.9, 125.5 (Ph₂NCO, MeC₆H₄); 121.1 (C(5)); 87.0 (C(1')); 80.7 (C(4')); 71.2 (C(3')); 63.4 (C(5')); 25.0 (MeCO); 21.6 (MeC₆H₄). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 875.3034 (M⁺, $C_{49}H_{41}^{2}HN_{6}O_{10}^{+}$; calc. 875.3025).

N⁶-Benzoyl-2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-methylbenzoyl)[2'-²H₁]adenosine (12c). N⁶-Benzoyladenine (180 mg, 0.75 mmol) was condensed with **11** (273 mg, 0.5 mmol) at *ca*. 75° as described for **12a** to give **12c** (310 mg, 85%). White foam. $R_{\rm f}$ (*E*) 0.68. $[a]_{\rm D}^{26} = -97$ (c = 0.27, CHCl₃). IR (KBr): 3059, 3035, 2918, 1721, 1608, 1580, 1506, 1479, 1450, 1407, 1266, 1177, 1089, 1019. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 9.01 (s, NH); 8.72 (s, H–C(8)); 8.17 (s, H–C(2)); 8.01–7.15 (m, Ph, 3 MeC₆H₄); 6.50 (s, H–C(1')); 6.20 (d, J(3',4') = 4.5, H–C(3')); 4.93–4.64 (m, H–C(4'), CH₂(5)); 2.42, 2.41, 2.37 (3s, 3 MeC₆H₄). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 166.1, 165.3, 165.1 (3 C=O, Tol); 164.3 (PhCO); 152.9 (C(2)); 151.6 (C(6)); 149.6 (C(4)); 144.6, 144.5, 144.1 (Tol); 141.4 (C(8)); 133.5, 132.7 (Bz); 129.8, 129.7, 129.2, 129.16, 129.1, 128.8, 127.7, 126.5, 125.9, 125.5 (Tol, Bz); 123.4 (C(5)); 86.7 (C(1')); 81.1 (C(4')); 71.3 (C(3')); 63.3 (C(5')); 21.6 (MeC₆H₄). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 726.2557 (M^+ , C₄₁H₃₄²HN₅O[±]; calc. 726.2548).

N⁴-Benzoyl-2',3',5'-tris-O-(4-methylbenzoyl)[2'-²H₂]cytidine (12d). N⁴-Benzoylcytosine (162 mg, 0.75 mmol) and **11** (273 mg, 0.5 mmol) were condensed according to the procedure described above at 70° for 7 h. Workup and purification afforded **12d** (245 mg, 70%). White foam. $R_f (E) 0.72$. $[a]_D^{26} = -68 (c = 0.43, CHCl_3)$. IR (KBr): 3060, 3026, 2948, 2918, 1715, 1669, 1622, 1608, 1548, 1478, 1402, 1388, 1310, 1263, 1175, 1121, 1091, 1019. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3): 8.01 – 7.84 (m, 9 H, H–C(6), MeC₆H₄, Ph); 7.62 – 7.48 (m, 3 H, Ph); 7.31 – 7.15 (m, 7 H, H–C(5), MeC₆H₄, Ph); 6.51 (s, H–C(1')); 5.87 (d, J(3',4') = 4.8, H–C(3')); 4.87 – 4.65 (m, H–C(4'), CH₂(5')); 2.44, 2.40, 2.38 (3s, 3 MeC₆H₄). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl_3): 166.0, 165.2, 165.1 (C=O, Tol); 162.3 (C(4)); 153.4 (C(2)); 144.41, 144.37, 144.32 (Tol); 143.9 (C(6)); 133.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.4, 129.1, 128.9, 127.5, 126.4, 125.8, 125.7 (MeC₆H₄, Ph); 97.3 (C(5)); 88.9 (C(1')); 80.8 (C(4')); 70.8 (C(3')); 63.4 (C(5')); 21.6 (MeC₆H₄). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 702.2444 (M⁺, C₄₀H₃₄²HNO₃₉⁺; calc. 702.2436).

 $[2'^{-2}H_{1}]Uridine$ (13a). Nucleoside 12a (0.61 g, 1.02 mmol) was dissolved in methanolic ammonia (50 ml) and stirred at r.t. overnight. The solvent was evaporated, the residue was dissolved in H₂O and extracted 3 times with CH₂Cl₂ and then with Et₂O. Evaporation of the aq. phase gave 13a (248 mg, 1.01 mmol; 99%). $[a]_{D}^{26} = +9$ ($c = 0.2, H_2O$) ($[a]_{D}^{26}$ (natural uridine = +10). IR (KBr): 3340, 3105, 2959, 2920, 2798, 1776, 1670, 1463, 1418, 1385, 1357, 1318, 1267, 1226, 1180, 1161, 1108, 1082, 1071, 1049, 1025, 960, 940, 930, 900, 870, 849, 829, 761. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 7.78 (d, J(5,6) = 8.1, H-C(6)); 5.83 (s, H-C(1')); 5.81 (d, H-C(5)); 4.15 (d, H-C(3')); 4.08–4.04 (m, H-C(4')); 3.69 (ddd, J(5',4') = 2.9, J(5',5'') = 12.8, J(5'',4') = 4.3, CH₂(5)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 166.0 (C(4)); 151.1 (C(2)); 141.7 (C(6)); 102.1 (C(5)); 89.2 (C(1')); 84.0 (C(4')); 69.2 (C(3')); 60.6 (C(5')). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 245.0759 (M^+ , $C_9H_{11}^2$ HN₂O₆; calc. 245.0758).

 $[2'-^2H_1]$ *Guanosine* (13b). Compound 12b (630 mg, 0.72 mmol) was deprotected by stirring in methanolic ammonia (25 ml) for 3 days at r.t. After evaporation of MeOH, the residue was dissolved in H₂O, and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 ×) and then with Et₂O. Evaporation of the aq. phase left 13b (0.173 g, 85%). White solid. The sample for analysis was recrystallized from H₂O. $[\alpha]_{26}^{\infty} = -36$ (c = 0.04, H₂O) ($[\alpha]_{26}^{\infty}$ (for natural guanosine = -37). IR (KBr): 3420, 3320, 3218, 3140, 2929, 2748, 1683, 1625, 1592, 1531, 1480, 1408, 1360, 1315, 1241, 1172, 1083, 1045, 1012, 910, 890, 865, 828, 778, 690. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO 50°): 8.00 (s, H–C(8)); 6.54 (br. s, NH₂);

5.82 (s, H–C(1')); 4.22 (d, J(3',4') = 3.6, H–C(3')); 4.03–3.99 (m, H–C(4')); 3.78–3.63 (ddd, J(5',4') = 3.9, J(5',5'') = 11.8, J(5'',4') = 3.9, CH₂(5)). ¹³C-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 157.3 (C(6)); 153.9 (C(2)); 151.8 (C(4)); 136.3 (C(8)); 117.0 (C(5)); 86.8 (C(1')); 85.6 (C(4')); 70.7 (C(3')); 61.7 (C(5')). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 284.0983 (M^+ , C₁₀H₁₂²HN₅O₅⁺; calc. 284.0979).

 $[2'^{2}H_{1}]Adenosine$ (13c). Nucleoside 13c (70 mg, 82%) was obtained as white powder after deprotection of 12c (230 mg, 0.32 mmol) in methanolic ammonia. $[\alpha]_{26}^{26} = -53$ (c = 0.17, H₂O). $[\alpha]_{20}^{26}$ (natural adenosine) = -60). IR (KBr): 3430, 3320, 3160, 2918, 1651, 1642, 1601, 1573, 1474, 1417, 1380, 1338, 1291, 1245, 1208, 1177, 1158, 1110, 1105, 1086, 1078, 1050, 1028, 960, 970, 880, 835, 791, 749. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 8.21 (s, H–C(8)); 8.08 (s, H–C(2)); 5.95 (s, H–C(1')); 4.34 (d, J(3',4') = 3.2, H–C(3')); 4.23 – 4.19 (m, H–C(4')); 3.88 – 3.73 (ddd, J(5',4') = 2.7, J(5',5'') = 12.9, J(5'',4') = 3.5, CH₂(5)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 155.3 (C(6)); 152.2 (C(2)); 148.3 (C(4)); 140.6 (C(8)); 119.1 (C(5)); 88.2 (C(1')); 85.8 (C(4')); 70.5 (C(3')); 61.5 (C(5')). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 268.1036 (M^{+} , $c_{10}H_{12}^{2}HN_{5}O_{4}^{+}$; calc. 268.1030).

 $[2'^{-2}H_1]Cytidine$ (13d). Compound 12d (220 mg, 0.31 mmol) was stirred overnight in methanolic ammonia, followed by removal of MeOH. Dissolving the residue in H₂O, and successive washings with CH₂Cl₂ (3 ×) and Et₂O afforded 13d (68.2 mg, 90%). $[\alpha]_{2}^{26} = +32$ (c = 0.08, H₂O). $([\alpha]_{2}^{27}$ (natural cytidine) = +33). IR (KBr): 3340, 3200, 2920, 2715, 1640, 1600, 1521, 1483, 1398, 1370, 1282, 1242, 1206, 1152, 1120, 1082, 1040, 1025, 965, 882, 858, 780. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 7.77 (d, J(5,6) = 7.6, H-C(6)); 5.98 (d, H-C(5)); 5.82 (s, H-C(1')); 4.13 (d, J(3',4') = 5.9, H-C(3')); 4.08 – 4.03 (m, H-C(4')); 3.89 – 3.70 (ddd, J(5',4') = 3.0, J(5',5'') = 12.7, J(5'',4') = 4.3, CH₂(5)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): 166.1 (C(4)); 157.5 (C(2)); 141.5 (C(6)); 96.0 (C(5)); 90.1 (C(1')); 83.6 (C(4')); 69.1 (C(3')); 60.6 (C(5')). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 244.0922 (M^+ , $C_9H_{12}^2$ HN₃O₅; calc. 244.0918).

2,3-O-*Isopropylidene-* α/β -D-[2-² H_1]*ribose* (15). 2,3-O-*Isopropylideneribofuranose* (14; 4.18 g, 22.0 mmol) was co-evaporated with D₂O and dissolved in dioxane/THF/Et₃N/D₂O (24 : 24 : 12 : 16 ml ($\nu/\nu/\nu/\nu$)). The soln. was heated at 90° for 5 d, and evaporation gave 15 (4.18 g, 99%). Brown oil. R_f (F) 0.53. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): β -Anomer: 5.39 (s, H–C(1)); 4.84 (s, H–C(3)); 4.40–4.37 (m, H–C(4)); 3.75–3.66 (m, CH₂(5)); 1.49, 1.32 (2s, 2 Me)); α -Anomer: 5.42 (s, 0.12 H, H–C(1)); 4.74 (d, J(3,4) = 2.2, 0.12 H, H–C(3)); 4.19–4.16 (m, 0.12 H, H–C(4)); 3.75–3.66 (m, 0.24 H, CH₂(5)); 1.58, 1.40 (2s, 0.72 H, 2 Me). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): β -Anomer: 111.8 (Me₂C); 102.5 (C(1)); 87.5 (C(4)); 81.6 (C(3)); 63.2 (C(5)); 26.2, 24.6 (2 Me); α -Anomer: 113.8 (Me₂C); 96.9 (C(1)); 81.3 (C(4)); 80.9 (C(3)); 61.2 (C(5)); 25.9, 24.6 (2 Me). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 191.0907 (M^+ , $C_8H_{13}^2HO_5^+$; calc. 191.0904).

Methyl a/β -D-[2-² H_1]*Ribofuranoside* (16). Compound 15 (4.18 g, 21.9 mmol) was dissolved in 80% aq. AcOH (150 ml), and the soln. was stirred at 90° for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated, and residual AcOH was removed by co-evaporation with H₂O. The oil obtained was dissolved in dry MeOH (60 ml), and a few drops of conc. H₂SO₄ were added at 0°. The soln. was kept in refrigerator at 4° overnight, then neutralized passing through an *Amberlist A-21* column (OH⁻ form) with MeOH as an eluent. Removal of the solvent left 16 (3.58 g, 99%). Thick, light-yellow syrup. R_f (β and α ; D) 0.59, 0.42. ¹H-NMR (D₂O): 4.92 (s, 0.3 H, H–C(1) (α)); 4.83 (s, 1 H, H–C(1) (β)); 4.10–3.91 (m, 2.6 H (β), H–C(3) (α), H–C(4) (α), H–C(4) (β), H–C(3) (β)); 3.76–3.50 (m, 2.6 H, CH₂(5) (α), CH₂(5) (β)); 3.36 (s, 0.9 H, Me (α)); 3.33 (s, 3 H, Me (β)). ¹³C-NMR (D₂O): β -Anomer: 108.1 (C(1)); 83.0 (C(4)); 70.9 (C(3)); 62.9 (C(5)); 55.3 (Me); α -Anomer: 103.3 (C(1)); 84.7 (C(4)); 69.8 (C(3)); 61.7 (C(5)); 55.6 (Me). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 165.0756 (M^+ , C₆H₁₁²HO₅⁺; calc. 165.0748).

1-O-*Methyl*-2,3,5-*tris*-O-(4-*methylbenzoyl*)-*α*/β-D-[2-²*H*₁/*ibofuranose* (**17**). Compound **16** (3.58 g, 21.8 mmol) was co-evaporated with dry pyridine and dissolved in pyridine (150 ml). 4-Methylbenzoyl chloride (9.6 ml, 72.6 mmol) was added in 5 portions at 0°, and the mixture was stirred overnight. It was poured into sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. and stirred for 2 h, followed by extraction with CH₂Cl₂. Solvents were evaporated, and the residue was co-evaporated with toluene. The oily residue was subjected to CC to afford **17** (10.67 g, 93%). *R*_f(*C*) 0.81. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 8.05–7.09 (*m*, 15.6 H, MeC₆*H*₄ (*α*+*β*)); 5.83 (*d*, *J*(3,4)=6.7, H–C(3) (*β*)); 5.68 (*d*, *J*(3,4) = 3.3, 0.3 H, H–C(3) (*α*)); 5.37 (*s*, 0.3 H, H–C(1) (*α*)); 5.13 (*s*, H–C(1) (*β*)); 4.74–4.45 (*m*, 3.9 H) H–C(4) (*α*), H–C(4) (*β*), CH₂(5) (*α*), CH₂(5) (*β*)); 3.47 (*s*, 0.9 H, MeO (*α*)); 3.40 (*s*, MeO (*β*)); 2.46–2.36 (*m*, 11.7 H, Me (*α*+*β*)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): *β*-Anomer: 166.2, 165.3, 165.2 (C=O); 144.1, 144.0, 143.6, 129.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 127.0, 126.5, 126.2 (MeC₆*H*₄); 106.3 (C(1)); 79.1 (C(4)); 72.1 (C(3)); 64.5 (C(5)); 55.2 (MeO); 21.5 (Me); *α*-Anomer: 166.1, 165.9, 165.4 (C=O); 145.4, 143.8, 130.5, 129.9, 129.0, 126.8, 126.4, 126.1 (MeC₆*H*₄); 101.8 (C(1)); 79.5 (C(4)); 70.5 (C(3)); 63.8 (C(5)); 55.6 (MeO); 21.7 (Me). HR-EI-MS (pos.): 519.2010 (*M*⁺, *C*₃₀*H*₂₉²HO⁴₈; calc. 519.2004).

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Wüthrich, 'NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids', John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [2] G. Varani, F. Aboul-ela, F. H.-T. Allain, Progr. Nucl. Magn. Res. Spectrosc. 1996, 29, 51.
- [3] a) J. Milecki, J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 2002, 45, 307; b) I. M. Lagoja, P. Herdewijn, Synthesis 2002, 301; c) J. Cromsight, J. Schleucher, T. Gustafsson, J. Kihlberg, S. Wijmenga, Nucleic Acids Res. 2002, 30, 1639; d) N. Ouwerkerk, J. van Boom, J. Lugtenburg, J. Raap, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 2356; e) I. M. Lagoja, S. Pochet, V. Boudou, R. Little, E. Lescrinier, J. Rozenski, P. Herdewijn, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1867; f) E. Kawashima, K. Umabe, T. Sekine, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5142; g) J. Milecki, A. Földesi, A. Fischer, R. W. Adamiak, J. Chattopadhyaya, J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 2001, 44, 763; h) Y. Saito, A. Nyilas, L. A. Agrofoglio, Carbohydr. Res. 2001, 331, 83; i) J.-L. Abad, A. J. Shallop, B. L. Gaffney, R. A. Jones, Biopolymers 1998, 48, 57.
- [4] S. S. Wijmenga, B. N. M. van Buuren, Progr. Nucl. Magn. Res. Spectrosc. 1998, 32, 287.
- [5] T. Dieckmann, J. Feigon, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 1994, 4, 745.
- [6] A. Pardi, Methods Enzymol. 1995, 261, 350.
- [7] D. P. Zimmer, D. M. Crothers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1995, 92, 3091.
- [8] J. M. Louis, R. G. Martin, G. M. Clore, A. M. Groneborn, J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 2374.
- [9] D. E. Smith, J.-Y. Su, F. M. Jucker, J. Biomol. NMR 1997, 10, 245.
- [10] J. E. Masse, P. Bortmann, T. Dieckmann, J. Feigon, Nucleic Acids Res. 1998, 26, 2618.
- [11] E. P. Nikonowicz, A. Sirr, P. Legault, F. M. Jucker, L. M. Baer, A. Pardi, Nucleic Acids Res. 1992, 20, 4507.
- [12] R. T. Batey, J. L. Battiste, J. R. Willamson, Methods Enzymol. 1995, 261, 300.
- [13] J. R. Wyatt, M. Chastain, J. D. Puglisi, Bio Techniques 1991, 11, 764.
- [14] P. B. Moore, Acc. Chem. Res. 1995, 28, 251.
- [15] a) J. Xu, J. Lapham, D. M. Crothers, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1996, 93, 43; b) G. Mer, W. J. Chazin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 607; c) I. Kim, P. J. Lukavsky, J. D. Puglisi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9338.
- [16] a) F. S. Ezra, C. H. Lee, N. S. Kondo, S. S. Danyliuk, R. H. Sarma, *Biochemistry* **1977**, *16*, 1977; b) C. K. Brush, M. P. Stone, T. M. Harris, *Biochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 115; c) C. K. Brush, M. P. Stone, T. M. Harris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4405; d) L. Arnold, M. Pressova, D. Saman, M. Vogtherr, S. Limmer, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1996**, *61*, 389; e) C. Cheong, C. Lee, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *16*, 383; f) J. Yang, L. Silks, R. Wu, N. Isern, C. Unkefer, M. A. Kennedy, *J. Magn. Reson.* **1997**, *129*, 212; g) E. P. Nikonowicz, K. Kalurachchi, E. DeJong, *FEBS Lett.* **1997**, *415*, 109; h) E. P. Nikonowicz, M. Michnicka, E. DeJong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 3813; i) X. Huang, P. Yu, E. LeProust, X. Gao, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1997**, *25*, 4758.
- [17] a) A. Földesi, A. Trifonova, M. K. Kundu, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 2000, 19, 1615; b) A. Földesi, A. Trifonova, Z. Dinya, J. Chattopadhyaya, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6560; c) M. K. Kundu, A. Trifonova, A. Földesi, Z. Sinya, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 2001, 20, 1333; d) D. MacDonald, P. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9722; e) B. Chen, E. R. Jamieson, T. D. Tullius, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2002, 12, 3093; f) P. Chirakul, S. T. Sigurdsson, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 917; g) M. K. Kundu, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2003, 86, 633.
- [18] a) S.-I. Yamakage, T. V. Maltseva, F. P. Nilson, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1993, 21, 5005; b) P. Agback, T. V. Maltseva, S.-I. Yamakage, F. P. R. Nilson, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1994, 22, 1404; c) A. Földesi, S.-I. Yamakage, F. P. R. Nilson, T. V. Maltseva, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1996, 24, 1187; d) C. Glemarec, J. Kufel, A. Földesi, T. V. Maltseva, A. Sandstrom, L. Kirsebom, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1996, 24, 1187; d) C. Glemarec, J. Kufel, A. Földesi, T. V. Maltseva, A. Sandstrom, L. Kirsebom, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1996, 24, 2022; e) A. Földesi, S.-I. Yamakage, T. V. Maltseva, F. P. R. Nilson, P. Agback, J. Chattapadhyaya, *Tetrahedron* 1995, 51, 10065; f) T. V. Maltseva, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 1998, 36, 227; g) T. V. Maltseva, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2 1998, 2689; h) T. V. Maltseva, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 1999, 37, 203; i) A. Földesi, T. V. Maltseva, Z. Dinya, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 14487; j) T. V. Maltseva, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 14487; j) T. V. Maltseva, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 14515.
- [19] J. A. M. T. C. Cromsigt, J. Schleucher, K. Kidd-Ljunggren, S. S. Wijmenga, J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 2000, S2, 211.
- [20] a) S. Salowe, J. M. J. Bollinger, M. Ator, J. Stubbe, J. McCracken, J. Peisach, M. C. Samano, M. J. Robins, *Biochemistry* **1993**, *32*, 12749; b) I. Saito, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1992**, *64*, 1305; c) S. J. Baker, D. W. Young, J. *Labelled Compd. Radiopharm.* **2000**, *43*, 1023.
- [21] D. Wade, Chem. Biol. Interact. 1999, 117, 191.
- [22] a) F. Hansske, D. Madej, M. J. Robins, *Tetrahedron* 1984, 40, 125; b) J.-C. Wu, H. Bazin, J. Chattopadhyaya, *Tetrahedron* 1987, 43, 2355; c) V. Samano, M. J. Robins, *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 5186; d) M. E. Perlman,

Nucleosides Nucleotides 1993, 12, 73; e) M. J. Robins, S. Sarker, V. Samano, S. F. Wnuk, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 447.

- [23] a) G. P. Cook, M. M. Greenberg, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4704; b) M. K. Kundu, A. Földesi, J. Chattopadhyaya, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. Symp. Ser. 2 1999, 47.
- [24] J. Kerékgyártó, J. Rákó, Ágoston, Gy. Gyémánt, Z. Szurmai, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 3931.
- [25] T. Chen, M. M. Greenberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 1103.
- [26] a) B. Classon, P. J. Garegg, B. Samuelsson, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1984, 38, 419; b) R. Johansson, B. Samuelsson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1984, 2371.
- [27] G. Ritzmann, R. S. Klein, D. H. Hollenberg, J. J. Fox, Carbohydr. Res. 1975, 39, 227.
- [28] a) A. J. Mancuso, S.-L. Huang, D. Swern, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480; b) A. J. Mancuso, D. Swern, Synthesis 1981, 165.
- [29] D. B. Dess, C. J. Martin, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155.
- [30] R. R. Gadikota, C. S. Callam, T. L. Lowary, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 607.
- [31] W. H. Kruizinga, B. Strijtveen, R. M. Kellog, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4321.
- [32] a) N. A. Hughes, P. R. H. Speakman, *Carbohydr. Res.* 1965, 1, 171; b) B. Kaskar, G. L. Heise, R. S. Michalak, B. R. Vishnuvajjala, *Synthesis* 1990, 1031.
- [33] a) H. Vorbrüggen, G. Höfle, Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 1256; b) H. Vorbrüggen, K. Krolikiewicz, B. Bennua, Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 1234; c) M. J. Robins, R. Zou, Z. Guo, S. F. Wnuk, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9207.
- [34] S. Abbate, G. Conti, A. Naggi, Carbohydr. Res. 1991, 210, 1.

Received October 25, 2003