

S0040-4039(96)00254-7

Synthesis of D-Arabinohydroxamic Acid and D-Threonohydroxamic Acid, Potent Inhibitors of D-Xylose Isomerases

Alain Gaudemer^{*}, Catherine Fanet, Françoise Gaudemer and Laurent Salmon

Laboratoire de Chimie Bioorganique et Bioinorganique associé au CNRS, Institut de Chimie Moléculaire d'Orsay, Université de Paris-Sud, Bât. 420, 91405 Orsay, France.

Abstract: Two potent inhibitors of D-xylose isomerases: D-threonohydroxamic acid 2 and Darabinohydroxamic acid 3 have been synthesized by conversion of D-arabinose to a protected derivative of D-arabinonic acid and introduction of the hydroxamate group by coupling with Obenzylhydroxylamine.

Hydroxamic acids have been shown to inhibit various enzymes. Simple hydroxamic acids, e.g. benzohydroxamic acid have been shown to inhibit peroxidases.¹ Peptide-derived hydroxamates are efficient inhibitors of zinc proteases: e.g. thermolysin,² due in part to their metal-complexing properties. On the other hand, phosphoglycolohydroxamate 1 (Fig. 1) is a powerful inhibitor of triosephosphate isomerase (TIM)³ and of yeast fructofuranose-1,6-diphosphate aldolase.^{3a} With the former enzyme, the low K_i value of 1 (15 μ M) is best explained by the structural similarity between the enediol(ate) intermediate of the isomerization reaction



and the hydroxamate anion. N-Hydroxy-N-isopropyloxamate was shown to be an exceptional potent inhibitor of the *E. coli* ketol-acid reductoisomerase:⁴ again the very low K_i value (K_i = 22 pM) of this compound was rationalized by its structural similarity with the rearrangement transition state and by its ability to complex the divalent cation (Mg⁺⁺ or Mn⁺⁺) which is present at the active site. In the case of aldose-ketose isomerases, two different mechanisms have been postulated: the enediol(ate) intermediate mechanism which is operating with TIM⁵ and a hydride shift mechanism which has been proposed by several authors on the basis of X-ray structural data⁶ and isotope exchange experiments⁷ for the isomerization of D-xylose (or D-glucose) into Dxylulose (or D-fructose) by D-xylose isomerases. These two mechanisms have two important features in common: 1) the O₁-C₁-C₂-O₂ fragment must be planar in the transition state of the reaction and 2) a negative charge develops on O₁ and O₂ during the reaction. These considerations, plus the fact that the D-xylose isomerases possess two divalent metal cations (Mg⁺⁺, Mn⁺⁺ or Co⁺⁺) bound to the O₁, O₂ and O₄ oxygen atoms of the substrate, led us to synthesize compound **3**, for which we report the first synthesis, could also behave as a good inhibitor of sorbitol dehydrogenase⁸ due to its close resemblance to the enzyme substrate, Dsorbitol, and to the ability of the hydroxamate group to bind to the Zn^{++} cation which is present at the active site. To our knowledge, only a very few sugar-derived hydroxamic acids have been synthesized to date.^{3,9} While we were working on this project, an elegant synthesis of 2 was recently reported by Allen and coll.^{9a}: 2 proves to be a powerful TSA inhibitor of D-xylose isomerase from *S. olivochromogenes* (Ki ≤ 100 nM).

The common starting product for the synthesis of both 2 and 3 was D-arabinose 4 (Fig. 2) which has the same absolute configurations at C₂, C₃ and C₄. D-arabinose was converted into the hydroxamic acid 9 which is the precursor of D-arabinohydroxamic acid 3.¹⁰ Selective removal of the isopropylidene group of 9 (Fig. 3), followed by periodic oxidation and NaBH4 reduction of the oxidized intermediate led to compound 14, the precursor of D-threonohydroxamic acid 2.¹¹



Figure 2. Synthesis of D-arabinohydroxamic acid 3.

2,3-4,5-Di-O-isopropylidene-D-arabinose 7 (Fig. 2) was prepared from D-arabinose 4 by the procedure of Kochetkov and coll.¹²: D-arabinose was first converted into the dithioacetal 5 which by reaction with acetone in the presence of H₂SO₄ and CuSO₄ gives the diisopropylidene derivative 6; treatment of 6 with a mixture of HgCl₂-HgO in the presence of water gives compound 7 with an overall yield of 50%. Several oxidizing reagents were used to convert 7 into the protected D-arabinonic acid 8: the best results were obtained using AgNO₃ in alcaline solution.¹³ Compound 8 was then reacted with O-benzylhydroxylamine¹⁴ to give the protected hydroxamic acid derivative 9. Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group of 9 using Pd/C catalyst gave the hydroxamic acid 10 which by acid hydrolysis was converted into D-arabinohydroxamic acid 3. Several experimental conditions were used to remove the isopropylidene groups. The best one in our hands proved to be CF₃COOH/H₂O (4:1) at 0°C: compound 3 was obtained together with D-arabino-1,4-lactone 11¹⁵ in a 1:2 ratio. Purification of **3** was simply achieved by complexation with copper(II) acetate in a water/methanol (1/1) solution, precipitation with acetone and decomplexation on Amberlite IR 718: the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of the purified product were in full agreement with the proposed structure. The presence of the hydroxamic acid function was further confirmed by its characteristic reaction with FeCl₃.¹⁶



Figure 3. Synthesis of D-threonohydroxamic acid 2.

Controlled hydrolysis of compound 9 (Fig. 3) afforded the diol 12 which by periodic oxidation followed by NaBH₄ reduction of the aldehyde 13 led to compound 14. This product was deprotected first by hydrogenolysis and then by acid hydrolysis (CF₃COOH/H₂O=4/1 at 0°C) to give D-threonohydroxamic acid 2. In this particular case, no lactone formation was detected.

The results of the inhibition study using 2 and 3 and E. coli D-xylose isomerase will soon be reported.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (C.N.R.S.) ant the Ministère de la Recherche et de l'Enseignement Supérieur (M.R.E.S.).

References and Notes

- (a) Schonbaum, G. R. J. Biol. Chem. 1973, 248, 502-511. (b) Kimura, S.; Yamazaki, I. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1979, 198, 580-588. (c) Kitagawa, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Teraoka, J.; Nakamara, S.; Yajima, H.; Hosoya, T. Biochemistry 1983, 22, 2788-2792. (d) Thanabal, V.; de Ropp, J. S.; La Mar, G. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7516-7525. (e) Ikeda-Saito, M.; Shelley, D. A.; Lu, L.; Booth, K. S.; Caughey, W. S.; Kimura, S. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 3611-3616.
- (a) Nishino, N.; Powers, J. C. Biochemistry 1978, 17, 2846-2850. (b) Nishino, N.; Powers, J. C. Biochemistry 1979, 18, 4340-4347. (c) Holmes, M. A.: Matthews, B. W. Biochemistry 1981, 20, 6912-6920. (d) Izquierdo-Martin, M.; Stein, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 325-331.
- (a) Collins, K. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1974, 249, 136-142. (b) Davenport, R. C.; Bash, P. A.; Seaton, B. A.; Karplus, M.; Petsko, G. A.; Ringe, D. Biochemistry 1991, 30, 5821-5826.
- 4. Aulabaugh, A.; Schloss, J. V. Biochemistry 1990, 29, 2824-2830.
- 5. Rose, I. A. Methods Enzymol. 1982, 87, 84-97.
- (a) Farber, G. K.; Glasfeld, A.; Tiraby, G.; Ringe, D.; Petsko, G. A. *Biochemistry* 1989, 28, 7289-7297. (b) Collyer, C. A.; Henrick, K.; Blow, D. M. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 212, 211-235. (c) Whitlow,

M.; Howard, A. J.; Finzel, B. C.; Poulos, T. L.; Winborne, E.; Gilliland, G. L. Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet. 1991, 9, 153-173.

- (a) Rose, I. A.; O'Connell, E. L.; Mortlock, R. P. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1969, 178, 376-379. (b) Bock, K.; Meldal, M.; Meyer, B.; Wiebe, L. Acta Chem.Scand. 1983, B37, 101-108.
- 8. Jeffery, J.; Jörnvall, H. Adv. Enzymol. 1988, 61, 47-106.
- 9. (a) Allen, K. N.; Lavie, A.; Petsko, G. A.; Ringe, D. *Biochemistry* 1995, 34, 3742-3749. (b) Park, J. -B.; Imamura, L.; Kobashi, K.; Itoh, H.; Miyazaki, T.; Horisaki, T. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 1995, 18, 208-213. (c) Bonnette, C.; Salmon, L.; Gaudemer, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, 37, 0000.
- All new compounds gave spectroscopic data in agreement with the assigned structure; selected data are given for the following compounds (δ in ppm, J_{ij} in Hz, *: exchangeable resonances): 9: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): 1.31 and 1.37 (2s, 6H, 2CH₃), 1.43 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 4.10 and 4.31 (2m, 5H, H₂, H₃, H4, H5 and H5'), 4.95 (s, 2H, CH₂Ph), 7.39 (m, 5H, Ph), 8.86 (s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 62.9 MHz): 25.06, 25.73, 26.29 and 26.78 (4CH₃), 65.64 (C5), 75.64, 75.89, 78.74 and 79.14 (C2, C3, C4 and CH₂Ph)*, 109.94 (2Cq isop), 128.54, 128.84, 129.14 and 134.94 (Ph), 167.94 (C1); MS (CI-D, NH₃): *m/z* 352 (M⁺ + 1), 336 (M⁺ CH₃). 10: ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 250 MHz): 1.39 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 1.43 (s, 6H, 2CH₃), 3.68 (m, 1H, H5), 3.73 (m, 1H, H4), 3.78 (m, 1H, H5'), 4.15 (t, 1H, H3, J₂₃=7.5, J₃₄=5.7), 4.45 (d, 1H, H₂); MS (EI): *m/z* 231 (M⁺ + 2 NHOH). 3 (sodium salt): ¹H NMR (D₂O, 250 MHz): 3.55-3.99 (m, 4H), 4.28 (s, 1H, H₂); ¹³C NMR (D₂O, 62.9 MHz): 64.29 (C5), 72.38, 72.78 and 73.41 (C₂, C₃ and C₄)*, 166.12 (C₁, C(OH)=NOH form), 180.68 (C₁, C(=O)-NHOH form); MS (CI-D, NH₃) tetraacetate derivative: *m/z* 366 (M + NH₃)⁺, 289 (M CONHOH)⁺.
- 11. 12: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): 1.27 and 1.42 (2s, 6H, 2CH₃), 3.74 (m, 2H, H₅ and H₅), 3.87 (m, 1H, H4), 4.12 (t, 1H, H3, J34=6.1), 4.45 (d, 1H, H2, J23=7.6), 4.94 (s, 2H, CH2Ph), 7.38 (m, 5H, Ph), 9.31 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz): 25.32 and 26.58 (2CH₃), 62.94 (C5), 71.53, 75.80, 78.46 and 78.90 (C2, C3, C4 and CH2Ph)*, 111.09 (Cq isop), 128.66, 129.02, 129.30 and 134.52 (Ph), 168.91 (C1); MS (CI-D, NH₃); m/z 312 (M⁺ + 1), 296 (M⁺ + 1 - H₂O), 14: ¹H NMR (CDCl3, MHz): 1.31 and 1.45 (2s, 6H, 2CH3), 3.05 (br s, 1H, OH), 3.80 (dd, 1H, H4, J44'=-11.5, J34=4.0), 3.92 (dd, 1H, H4', J34'=4.0), 4.13 (m, 1H, H3), 4.31 (d, 1H, H2, J23=7.8), 4.95 (s, 2H, CH2Ph), 7.38 (m, 5H, Ph), 9.56 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 50.5 MHz): 25.67 and 26.77 (2CH3), 62.30 (C4), 76.28, 78.48 and 79.22 (C2, C3 and CH2Ph)*, 111.12 (Cq isop), 128.69, 129.01, 129.33 and 134.68 (Ph), 167.74 (C1); MS (CI-D, NH3): m/z 282 (M⁺ + 1), 281(M⁺), 266 (M⁺ - CH₃). 15: ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 200 MHz): 1.42 and 1.43 (2s, 6H, 2CH₃), 3.68 (dd, 1H, H₄, J44'=-12.0, J34=4.0), 3.88 (dd, 1H, H4', J34'=3.0), 4.14 (m, 1H, H3), 4.24 (d, 1H, H2, J23=7.8); (CDCl3, 200 MHz): 1.45 and 1.47 (2s, 6H, 2CH3), 3.88 (m, 2H, H4 and H4), 4.14 (m, 1H, H3), 4.36 (d, 1H, H₂, J_{23=7.8}); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz): 26.07 and 27.04 (2CH₃), 62.51 (C4), 77.78 and 79.74 (C2 and C3)*, 111.45 (Cq), 168.11 (C1); (CD3OD, 50.3 MHz): 25.97 and 27.11 (2CH3), 62.96 (C4), 76.20 and 81.43 (C2 and C3)*, 112.12 (Cq), 169.64 (C1). 2: ¹H NMR (D₂O, 250 MHz) δ: 3.63 (m, 1H, H4), 4.02 (m, 1H, H4'), 4.18 (br s, 1H, NH), 4.47 (m, 2H, H2 and H3); (CD3OD, 250 MHz) δ: 3.65 (br s, 2H, H4 and NH), 3.94 (dd, 1H, H4', J44'=-8.4, J34'=6.6), 4.31 (m, 2H, H2 and H3); ¹³C NMR: (CD₃OD, 62.9 MHz) δ: 63.38 (C4), 72.16 and 73.94 (C2 and C3)*, 175.35 (C1); (D2O, 62.9 MHz) δ: 62.80 (C4), 71.61 and 72.59 (C2 and C3)*, 171.78 (C1); lit.9a: (D2O) δ: 63.0 (C4), 71.6 (C₃), 72.7 (C₂), 171.8 (C₁).
- 12. Kochetkov, N. K.; Dmitriev, B. A. D. Tetrahedron 1965, 21, 803-815.
- 13. Hampton, A.; Perini, F.; Harper, P. J. Carbohyd. Res. 1974, 37, 359-367.
- 14. Sheenan, J. C.; Preston, J.; Cruickshank, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 2492-2493.
- 15. Angelotti, T.; Krisko, M.; O'Connor, T.; Serianni, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4464-4472.
- 16. Vogel, A. I. Practical Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Longmans: London, 1964; 1062-1063.

(Received in France 19 January 1996; accepted 6 February 1996)