Accepted Manuscript

Enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type cyclization of α -ketoester and 2-substituted vinylsilane catalyzed by a chiral Cu-BOX complex

Haruka Homma, Shinji Harada, Atsushi Nishida

PII: DOI:	S0040-4039(18)30730-5 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.06.001
Reference:	TETL 50039
To appear in:	Tetrahedron Letters

Received Date:28 April 2018Revised Date:29 May 2018Accepted Date:1 June 2018



Please cite this article as: Homma, H., Harada, S., Nishida, A., Enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type cyclization of α -ketoester and 2-substituted vinylsilane catalyzed by a chiral Cu-BOX complex, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.06.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below. Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.





Tetrahedron Letters journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type cyclization of α -ketoester and 2-substituted vinylsilane catalyzed by a chiral Cu-BOX complex

Haruka Homma^a, Shinji Harada^{a,b} * and Atsushi Nishida^{a,b} *

^aGraduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba 2608675, Japan. ^bMolecular Chirality Research Center, 1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku, Chiba 2638522, Japan.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received Received in revised form Accepted Available online

Keywords: carbonyl-ene cyclization Prins reaction asymmetric catalysis indenol indacene tetrasubstituted carbon

CCER

We developed an enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type cyclization using 2-substituted vinylsilane as a nucleophilic ene moiety catalyzed by a chiral copper-BOX complex. This reaction is the first example of enantioselective carbonyl-ene cyclization using a 1,2-disubstituted olefin. This methodology gave chiral indenols with a tetrasubstituted carbon.

1

2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

 $*\ Corresponding\ author.\ Tel.:\ +81-43-226-2912;\ fax:\ +81-43-226-2942;\ e-mail:\ s.harada@faculty.chiba-u.jp$

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-43-226-2942; fax: +81-43-226-2942; e-mail: anishida@faculty.chiba-u.jp

Tetrahedron

Introduction

The carbonyl-ene reaction is an important C-C bond-forming reaction, and catalytic and enantioselective variants have been reported with a variety of chiral catalysts.¹ The ene component is considered to act as a nucleophile. Thus, 1,1-disubstituted or trisubstituted olefins were generally used in past reports, and less reactive 1,2-disubstituted olefins^{1b} were rarely utilized. As far as we know, there has been no successful example on catalytic and enantioselective carbonyl-ene cyclization of 1,2-disubstituted olefins. Evans's group reported the use of 2-substituted vinylsilanes as a 1,2-disubstituted ene moiety, taking advantage of the β -silvl cationic effect of the intermediate (Scheme 1-A).² The enophile component is preferably electron-deficient, and thus aldehydes or glyoxylates have generally been used. When ketones or their derivatives were used as an enophile, a tertiary alcohol would be constructed.⁵ Yang's group first used α ketoester as an enophile in enantioselective carbonyl-ene cyclization using a chiral Cu-bis(oxazoline) (BOX) catalyst (Scheme 1-B).^{6,7} Although they achieved the construction of carbocycles with a chiral tetrasubstituted carbon, 20 mol% of the catalyst was required.





Here, we report the catalytic and enantioselective carbonylene-type *cyclization* between α -ketoester and 2-substituted vinylsilane (Scheme 1-C). (*E*)-2-oxo-2-(2-(2-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl)phenyl)acetate (**1**) should have good reactivity in carbonyl-ene cyclization since the cationic intermediate **2** would be stable as β -silyl cation along with benzyl cation. According to Mikami's report,⁴ two products are presumed in this reaction. Compound **3** would be formed via silyl transfer of **2**, and **4** would be generated by desilylation of **3**. These two products are chiral indenol with a tetrasubstituted carbon, which is seen as a core skeleton in biologically active compounds.⁸

Results and discussion

We set ethyl (*E*)-2-oxo-2-(2-(2-(trimethylsilyl)vinyl)phenyl)acetate (**1a**) as a substrate and

screened catalysts using various metal triflic imidates, since we have been focusing on demonstrating the synthetic utility of metal triflic imidates $(M_m(NTf_2)_n)$ as a Lewis acid.^{9,10} By a concise metal screening, $Cu(NTf_2)_2$ gave the highest yield.¹¹ Before starting chiral ligand screening, we tried Yang's catalyst $(Cu(OTf)_2 / L1)$,⁶ but it was not effective in this reaction (entry 1, Table 1). With 20 mol% of $Cu(NTf_2)_2$ and L1, the yield and ee of 4a were both increased compared to those of entry 1 (entry 2). Next, we screened chiral BOX ligands L2-7. Among them, ligands L2, L6, and L7 with benzyl substituents at the methylene bridge¹² improved the yield of the product (entries 3, 7, and 8). We chose L2 as the optimal ligand for the enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type cyclization, because it gave the products in the highest yield (totally 95%) with the highest enantioselectivity (93% ee for 4a). 10 mol% of $Cu(NTf_2)_2 / L2$ catalyst gave a result similar to the reaction using 20 mol% catalyst, except for the ratio of 3a and 4a (entry 9).^{13,14}





				/0 00	14
	ligand	(%)	(%)	of 4a	(%)
Cu(OTf) ₂	L1	0	9	54	83
Cu(NTf ₂) ₂	L1	0	15	65	79
Cu(NTf ₂) ₂	L2	13 ^a	82	93	5 ^a
Cu(NTf ₂) ₂	L3	0	15	-29	85
$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	L4	0	24	-22	76
Cu(NTf ₂) ₂	L5	0	25	-16	65
Cu(NTf ₂) ₂	L6	12 ^a	61	-52	20 ^a
$Cu(NTf_2)_2$	L7	1^{a}	47	-24	47 ^a
Cu(NTf ₂) ₂ ^b	L2 ^b	72	28	93	0
	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ligand Cu(OTf)2 L1 Cu(NTf2)2 L1 Cu(NTf2)2 L2 Cu(NTf2)2 L3 Cu(NTf2)2 L4 Cu(NTf2)2 L5 Cu(NTf2)2 L6 Cu(NTf2)2 L7 Cu(NTf2)2 ^b L2 ^b	ligand (%) Cu(OTf)2 L1 0 Cu(NTf_2)2 L1 0 Cu(NTf_2)2 L2 13^a Cu(NTf_2)2 L3 0 Cu(NTf_2)2 L4 0 Cu(NTf_2)2 L5 0 Cu(NTf_2)2 L6 12^a Cu(NTf_2)2 L7 1^a	ligand(%)(%)Cu(OTf)2L109Cu(NTf2)2L1015Cu(NTf2)2L2 13^a 82Cu(NTf2)2L3015Cu(NTf2)2L4024Cu(NTf2)2L5025Cu(NTf2)2L6 12^a 61Cu(NTf2)2L7 1^a 47Cu(NTf2)2L2 ^b 7228	ligand(%)(%)of 4aCu(OTf)2L10954Cu(NTf2)2L101565Cu(NTf2)2L213a8293Cu(NTf2)2L3015-29Cu(NTf2)2L4024-22Cu(NTf2)2L5025-16Cu(NTf2)2L612a61-52Cu(NTf2)2L71a47-24Cu(NTf2)2*//L2b722893

^a Determined by ¹H NMR of the mixture of **3a** and **1a**. ^b 10 mol% of the reagent was used.

We then examined the substituent effects on the ketoester moiety. With $Cu(NTf_2)_2 / L2$ catalyst, we found that 5 mol% of the catalyst was enough for completion of the reaction. The reaction procedure was simplified by the treatment of the reaction mixture with aqueous HCl to converge the mixture of products **3** and **4** to the single product **4** (entry 1, Table 2). Methyl ester **1b** gave **4b** in excellent yield and enantioselectivity (entry 2). Ethyl thioester **1c** decreased the yield and enantioselectivity (entry 3). Using methyl ester derivatives, substituent effects on the benzene ring were then investigated. Substrates with a methyl group at the C4, C5, or C6 position afforded the corresponding products in

2

good yields and ees (entries 4 to 6). Substrates with electronwithdrawing groups, F or Cl, at the C4 position had relatively low reactivity, and thus a higher temperature was required for completion of the reaction (entries 7 and 8). On the other hand, an electron-donating group at the C5 position increased the reactivity, and **4i** was obtained in excellent yield even for 30 min with moderate enantioselectivity (entries 9 and 10). Substrate **1j** with a bulky silyl group (*Si*=TBS) gave **4a** in 3% yield with 41% ee (entry 11), since it might not be preferable to form Cu(NTf₂)₂-**L2-1j** complex due to steric repulsion between a chiral Cu complex and a bulky TBS group.

Table 2	2.	Substituent	effects
		Sacsacaent	

$R^2 \xrightarrow{4}_{5} O = O$ $R^1 O$		Si	Cu(NTf ₂) ₂ (5 mol%) L2 (5 mol%) CH ₂ Cl ₂ , temp., time;		R^2			
		6	2M HCl in Et ₂ O (1 equiv.) rt, 30 min			HO R ¹		
1							4	
entry substrate		rate			temp.	time	yield	% ee
	1	[<i>Si</i> ,	\mathbb{R}^{1} ,	\mathbb{R}^2]		(h)	(%)	
1	1a	TMS	OEt	Н	rt	24	92	94
2	1b	TMS	OMe	Н	rt	24	96	96
3	1c	TMS	SEt	Н	rt	24	76	76
4	1d	TMS	OMe	4-Me	rt	21	86	95
5	1e	TMS	OMe	5-Me	rt	16	92 ^a	96
6	1f	TMS	OMe	6-Me	rt	19	82 ^a	97
7	1g	TMS	OMe	4-F	$40 \ ^{\rm o}{\rm C}$	24	95	96
8	1h	TMS	OMe	4-Cl	40 °C	24	90	96
9 ^b	1i	TMS	OMe	5-MeO	rt	0.5	91	71
10 ^b	1i	TMS	OMe	5-MeO	0 °C	0.5	97	77
11	1j	TBS	OEt	Н	rt	24	3 ^a	41

^a Determined by ¹H NMR of the mixture of **4** and **L2**. ^b Reaction mixture was treated with 1M TBAF in THF (1 equiv.) instead of HCl solution.

We also examined the catalytic and enantioselective double carbonyl-ene-type cyclization with **5** (Scheme 2). With 10 mol% of chiral Cu-L2 complex, indacene derivative *cis*-diol-**6** was obtained in 89% yield with >99% ee in excellent diastereoselectivity. Compound **7** was also obtained in 11% yield as a mixture of keto- and enol-forms. The relative and absolute configurations of **6** were unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis.¹⁵



Scheme 2. Catalytic and enantioselective double carbonylene-type cyclization

We could propose four configurations **I** to **IV** for the Cu(NTf₂)₂-**L2-1b** complex, which would lead to different

transition states (Scheme 3). The dicarbonyl moiety of 1b would coordinate in a bidentate manner to Cu(II) with a tetrahedral-like coordination mode.^{5a, 16} Each configuration was distinguished by how the ketoester and vinylsilane approach each other. In configurations I and II, the vinylsilane approaches the ketoester from its Re-face. From I, a new carbon-carbon bond would be formed at the Re-face of the vinylsilane. From II, bondformation would occur at the Si-face of the vinylsilane. As a result, both patterns would afford (S)-4b, because the stereochemistry adjacent to the silvl group would disappear after the formation of a double bond. On the other hand, in **III** and **IV**, the vinylsilane approaches the ketoester from its Si-face, and (R)-4b would be generated in either event. In the structures of II and **IV**, there should be considerable steric repulsion between the TMS group of 1b and the phenyl group of L2. In the case of III, hydrogen atom of the vinylsilane would be located on the phenyl group of L2. Therefore, configuration I should have the least steric repulsion, and cyclization preferably proceeded via I to give (S)-4b.



Scheme 3. Proposed reaction pathways

Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a catalytic and enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type cyclization of α -ketoester and 2-substituted vinylsilane, which is the first example of 1,2disubstituted olefin as a nucleophilic ene moiety in the enantioselective carbonyl-ene cyclization. Using this reaction, we synthesized nine chiral indenols with a tetrasubstituted carbon. We also demonstrated double-cyclization to give a chiral indacene derivative with two tetrasubstituted carbons. The further extension and application of this methodology are being studied.

Tetrahedron

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Nemoto (Chiba University) for his help with chiral HPLC analysis, and Dr. Takeda (Chiba University) for his valuable discussions. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI [Grant Numbers 16K08154 (SH), and 17H03969 (AN)], and the Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation (SH). We acknowledge the Institute for Global Prominent Research, Chiba University, for providing financial support.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data (substrate syntheses, experimental details, NMR data, and HPLC charts) associated with this article can be found in the online version.

References and notes

1. (a) Liu, X.; Zheng, K.; Feng, X. *Synthesis* **2014**, *46*, 2241; (b) Clarke, M.L.; France, M.B. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 9003; (c) Mikami, K.; Shimizu, M. *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 1021.

2. Evans, D.A.; Aye, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11034.

3. (a) Wierschke, S.G.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Jorgensen, W.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 1496; (b) Lambert, J.B. Tetrahedron **1990**, 46, 2677.

4. Aikawa, K.; Hioki, Y.; Mikami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13922.

For selected and recent examples of intermolecular reactions, see:

 (a) Evans, D.A.; Tregay, S.W.; Burgey, C.S.; Paras, N.A.;
 Vojkovsky, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7936; (b) Rueping, M.;
 Theissmann, T.; Kuenkel, A.; Koenigs, R.M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.

 2008, 47, 6798; (c) Aikawa, K.; Yoshida, S.; Kondo, D.; Asai, Y.;
 Mikami, K. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5108; (d) Lv, J.; Zhang, Q.; Zhong,
 X.; Luo, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15576; (e) Jiang, L.; Hu,
 B.; Xie, X.; Zhang, Z. Tetrahedron 2017, 73, 6901. For an intramolecular reaction, see: (f) Rajapaksa, N.S.; Jacobsen, E.N.
 Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4238. See also ref 1 and references therein.
 Yang, D.; Yang, M.; Zhu, N.Y. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3749.
 Zhao, Y.J.; Li, B.; Tan, L.J.; Shen, Z.L.; Loh, T.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10242.

8. (a) Chang, C.-I.; Chien, S.-C.; Lee, S.-M.; Kuo, Y.-H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 1420; (b) Oh, D.C.; Williams, P.G.;
Kauffman, C.A.; Jensen, P.R.; Fenical, W. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1021;
(c) Kim, S.H.; Kwon, S.H.; Park, S.H.; Lee, J.K.; Bang, H.S.; Nam,
S.J.; Kwon, H.C.; Shin, J.; Oh, D.C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1834.
9. (a) Harada, S.; Morikawa, T.; Nishida, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5314; (b) Morikawa, T.; Harada, S.; Nishida, A. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5184; (d) Harada, S.; Nakashima, S.; Yishida, A. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5184; (d) Harada, S.; Nakashima, S.; Yamada, W.; Morikawa, T.; Nishida, A. Heterocycles 2017, 95, 872; (e) Wu, S.; Harada, S.; Morikawa, T.; Nishida, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2017, 28, 1083.
10. Antoniotti, S.; Dalla, V.; Dunach, E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7860.

11. For details on metal screening, see the Supplementary Material. 12. (a) Wu, L.; Wang, F.; Wan, X.; Wang, D.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 2904; (b) Chen, H.; Wang, L.; Wang, F.; Zhao, L.P.; Wang, P.; Tang, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6942.

13. For every entry, compound **3** was obtained with a stereoselectivity comparable to that of **4**.

14. The absolute configuration of **4a** was unambiguosly assigned by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The supplementary crystallographic data for **4a** can be found at CCDC 1838477. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. This result, together with the X-ray analysis of **6**, was used to establish the absolute configuration of our compounds.

15. The supplementary crystallographic data for **6** can be found at CCDC 1838478. The structure of **7** was also confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis, see CCDC 1838495.

16. (a) Johannsen, M.; Jørgensen, K.A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 5757; (b) Evans, D.A.; Johnson, J.S.; Burgey, C.S.; Campos, K.R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 2879; (c) Thorhauge, J.; Roberson, M.; Hazell, R.G.; Jørgensen, K.A. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 1888.



Highlights:

• development of catalytic and enantioselective carbonyl-ene-type *cyclization* between α -ketoester and 2-substituted vinylsilane

• construction of chiral indenol with a tetrasubstituted carbon

• construction of chiral indacene derivative with two tetrasubstituted carbons

• utility of metal triflic imidates as a Lewis acidic catalyst