# Accepted Manuscript

Multicomponent Diversity Oriented Synthesis of Multivalent Glycomimetics Containing Hexafluorovaline

M.C. Bellucci, A. Sganappa, M. Sani, A. Volonterio

PII: S0040-4020(15)01147-3

DOI: 10.1016/j.tet.2015.07.070

Reference: TET 27015

To appear in: *Tetrahedron* 

Received Date: 10 June 2015

Revised Date: 14 July 2015

Accepted Date: 27 July 2015

Please cite this article as: Bellucci MC, Sganappa A, Sani M, Volonterio A, Multicomponent Diversity Oriented Synthesis of Multivalent Glycomimetics Containing Hexafluorovaline, *Tetrahedron* (2015), doi: 10.1016/j.tet.2015.07.070.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





# Multicomponent Diversity Oriented Synthesis of Multivalent Glycomimetics Containing Hexafluorovaline

M.C. Bellucci,<sup>a</sup> A. Sganappa,<sup>b</sup> M. Sani,<sup>c</sup> and A. Volonterio<sup>b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 2, 20133 Milano, Italy.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Materials, and Chemical Engineer "G. Natta", Politecnico di Milano, via Mancinelli 7, 20131 Milano, Italy

<sup>c</sup> C.N.R. Istituto del Riconoscimento Molecolare, via Mancinelli 7, 20131 Milano, Italy.

alessandro.volonterio@polimi.it

#### Abstract

The chemoselective introduction of fluorinated moieties into biologically relevant scaffolds is now an established strategy to modulate and to study the properties of molecular leads. In this article we propose, for the first time, the diversity oriented synthesis of multivalent glycomimetics incorporating hexafluorovaline through a straightforward multicomponent sequential process which occurs with high yield and in mild conditions. The same process has been successfully applied to the chemistry of

aminoglycosides producing a neomycin-hexafluorovaline-galactose conjugate and providing a general, efficient strategy to functionalized aminoglycosides with sugar-hexafluorovaline tags.

Keywords: Diversity Oriented Synthesis, Multicomponent Synthesis, Multivalent Glycomimetic, Fluorine, Aminoglycoside.

#### 1. Introduction

The introduction of a fluorine atom or perfluorinated groups into chemical scaffolds is now a standard strategy for modulating the chemical and biophysical properties of molecular leads and for studying the biological aspects associated with the fluorine substitution/introduction, such as metabolism, excretion properties, and fluorine-containing ligand binding interactions.<sup>1</sup> This is due to the unique properties of the fluorine atom, its strongest inductive effect compared to other elements, its small size, and low polarizability. For instance, the highly fluorinated amino acids hexafluorovaline (hfVal) and hexafluoroleucine (hfLeu), being often well tolerated, have been used to modulate such properties of peptides and proteins like their hydrophobicity, acidity/basicity, folding and stability.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, <sup>19</sup>F is the most stable and abundant fluorine isotope, thus fluorinated peptides and proteins can be easily tracked with NMR and/or MRI spectroscopy, even in living cells.<sup>3</sup> Thus, since fluorine containing organic molecules are almost absent in nature,<sup>4</sup> there is great interest in developing new synthetic strategies to introduce selectively fluorinated moieties into chemical scaffolds.

Multivalent glycomimetics are a class of very intriguing compounds.<sup>5</sup> Indeed, there is a growing interest in glycosidase inhibition, and in elucidating fundamental biological pathways through the synthesis of glycomimetics. Multivalency can increase dramatically the binding potency of the corresponding monovalent ligands as already demonstrated.<sup>6</sup> However, despite such interest, compared to monovalent glycomimetics, there are relatively few example in the literature of multivalent glycosidase inhibitors, and no fluorinated multivalent glycosidase inhibitors, probably

because they are not synthetically accessible in an easy way.<sup>6</sup> One strategy to overcome such a deficiency could be the development of straightforward methodologies for the diversity oriented synthesis (DOS) of these compounds.<sup>7</sup> Indeed, DOS, often combined with multicomponent reactions (MCRs), is an indispensable tool for modern pharmaceutical and drug discovery research programs because they offer the possibility to access molecular complexity with a minimum of effort, in addition to atom economy, operational simplicity, and bond-forming efficiency.<sup>8</sup> This is well evidenced by the growing application of such strategies for the synthesis of libraries of different collections of molecules. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no examples of DOS of multivalent, selectively fluorinated glycomimetics.<sup>9</sup>

Very recently, in the frame of a research program dealing with the discovery of novel MCRs for the synthesis of heterocycles<sup>10</sup> and glycoconjugates,<sup>11</sup> we have reported for the first time the MC combinatorial synthesis of diversity oriented multifunctional glycomimetics **1-4**, where the sugar moieties were tethered through artificial linkers such as aspartic acid, a hydantoin ring, or urea frameworks (Figure 1).<sup>12</sup> Herein we wish to report an extension of such process, using commercially available 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-trifluoromethyl(Tfm)-crotonic acid in order to prepare multifunctional glycomimetics where the Asp moiety of **1-4** is substituted by hfVal.



previous work  $R^1 = H$ ,  $R^2 = COOEt$  or COOBn this work  $R^1 = R^2 = CF_3$ 

Figure 1. Multivalent glycomimetics containing hfVal

We propose that the introduction of hfVal in the multivalent glycomimetic scaffolds can impart interesting new properties to this intriguing class of compounds such as, for instance, higher metabolic stability and lipophilicity and would facilitate the investigation of target-ligand interactions through <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy.

#### 2. Results and Discussion

4,4,4-Trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic acid is a commercially available fluorinated building block that, due to the high electronegativity of the trifluoromethyl groups, has been used for the synthesis of hfVal amino acid derivatives through *anti*-Michael addition of *N*-nucleophiles.<sup>13</sup> Recently, our group has exploited the reactivity of 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic acid **8** for the synthesis of glycoconjugates containing hfVal **10** and **11** through the MC sequential domino process depicted in Scheme 1,<sup>10,11</sup> The reaction of **8** with *in situ* generated *N*-glycosyl, *N'-tert*-butyl carbodiimides **7** produces intermediate **A** which undergoes intramolecular *anti*-aza-Michael reaction affording a second highly reactive intermediate **B**. The latter step is totally regioselective when the steric hindrance of the two *N*-substituents in the carbodiimide framework is very different, namely a primary versus a tertiary alkyl group. In the absence of a *N*-nucleophile, **9** intermediate **B** rearranges through an O→N acyl migration process affording hydantoin scaffold **10** containing a hfVal moiety (path A, Scheme 1).<sup>14</sup> Instead, in the presence of *N*nucleophile **9**, such as amines, amino esters, or peptides, intermediate **B** undergoes nucleophilic attack leading to the formation of urea-hfVal-glycopeptides **11** (path B, Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. Mechanism of the domino MC process

Since this process is high yielding, totally regioselective and operationally very simple (MC domino process which does not require high temperatures, dry solvents, difficult purification steps), we wished to exploit it for the synthesis of multivalent glycomimetics containing hfVal by

using *N*-glycosyl amines as *N*-nuclophiles, and/or by introducing a new sugar moiety in the carbodiimide framework.

Firstly, in order to be able to prepare divalent glycomimetics **1**, we checked if the MC component process works efficiently also by using *N*-glycosyl amines as nucleophiles. Thus we reacted *O*-protected amino-galactose **9a** with acid **8** and DIC **12** under the standard conditions (CH<sub>3</sub>CN as solvent, 0 °C) and we were delighted to recover glycomimetic **13** in very good yield as an equimolar mixture of epimers at the hexafluorovaline stereocentre (Scheme 2).<sup>15</sup>





We explored also the possibility of running the process with the corresponding deprotected amino-galactose **9b**. However, probably because of its low solubility in the reaction medium (CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 0°C), the reaction produced the desired product **14** in very low yield (16%) along with the formation of the undesired hydantoin in 76% yield (see Scheme 1, path A). We tried to raise the yield of the process by adding a co-solvent in order to increase the solubility of the nucleophile. Indeed, in the presence of 10% MeOH, the yields were raised only to a 33% due to the concomitant formation of the corresponding urea-hfVal-OMe derivative arising from the competitive nucleophilic attack of MeOH which is present in large excess (Scheme 1, path B). Thus we tried with less nucleophilic *tert*-BuOH but without a real improvement (42% yield).

Disappointingly, even in the presence of highly polar DMF the yields were raised only to 51% (Scheme 2).

Once proved that the reaction works efficiently even with *O*-protected *N*-glycosyl amines as nucleophiles in the standard conditions, we applied the process for the four-component sequential synthesis of a library of divalent glycoconjugates containing hfVal **1** starting from different *N*-*tert*-butyl, *N*'-glycosyl carbodiimides **7**, which are formed *in situ* by Staudinger reaction between sugar azides **5** and *tert*-butyl isocyanate **6**, and *N*-glycosyl nucleophiles **9** (Table 1).

Table 1. MC combinatorial synthesis of multivalent glycomimetics 1.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sugar-N}_{3} + \overset{\text{Ph}_{3}\text{P}}{5} & 6 \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Sugar-N=C=N} & \overbrace{\phantom{0}}^{F_{3}} \\ \text{Sugar-N=C=N} & \overbrace{\phantom{0}}^{F_{3}} \\ \text{Sugar-N=C=N} & \overbrace{\phantom{0}}^{F_{3}} \\ \text{Sugar-N=C=N} \\ \text{Sugar-N=L} \\ \text{Sugar-N=L}$$





<sup>a</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>b</sup>An almost 3:1 diastereoisomeric mixture. <sup>c</sup>An almost 1.5:1 diastereoisomeric mixture. <sup>d</sup>A 30% of the corresponding hydantoin was recovered.

Indeed, the reaction between glycosyl azides 5a-e and commercially available tert-butyl isocyanate 6 lead to the formation of the corresponding carbodiimides 7a-e, respectively, upon treatment with Ph<sub>3</sub>P in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at rt (Staudinger reaction). The resulting carbodiimides 7a-e can also be isolated by quick flash chromatography and characterized. However, compounds 7a-e can be used in situ in order to achieve a four component sequential process. In this case, once the carbodiimide is formed (TLC monitoring), the temperature is cooled to 0°C and 2,4,6trimethylpyridine (TMP) followed by nucleophile 9 and, finally, acid 8 are added. The process was very general, working efficiently either starting from sugar azides (where the functional group is attached to primary carbon 6 in hexoses or carbon 5 in pentoses) leading to the formation of multivalent glycomimetics having enzymatically stable "CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>" backbone mimicking glycine (entries 1-4, Table 1),<sup>16</sup> or attached to the anomeric carbon through a suitable linker (entries 5 and 6, Table 1). In all cases the reaction was completely regioselective leading to the formation of regioisomers 1a-f as a mixture of diasteroisomers, which arose from the nucleophilic attack of the less sterically congested primary N-glycosyl moiety in the intramolecular anti-aza-Michael step (Scheme 1, path B).<sup>17</sup> The yields were generally high except when glycosylamine 9cwas used as nucleophile (entry 2, Table 1). This result was due to the poor nucleophilicity of the hemiacetalic amino group and scarce solubility of 9c as already stated in previous works.<sup>11d</sup> In this way we were able to obtain a small library of six divalent glycomimetics where the sugar moieties are connected through a urea-hfVal linker. The reaction is very general, and any kind of 7

appropriately functionalized carbohydrates could be used, such as ribose, galactose, glucose, glucosamine, and mannose.

In order to obtain other multivalent glycomimetics containing hfVal, where the sugar moieties are connected with different scaffolds (DOS), we tested the same reaction starting with carbodiimides having two *N*-sugar substituents. In this way, considering the synthetic pathway depicted in Scheme 1, we would be able to obtain three new multivalent glycomimetics, *i.e.* divalent glycomimetics **2**, **3** where the carbohydrates are connected through a hydantoin scaffold or a urea linker, respectively, and trivalent glycomimetic **4** where the sugar moieties are tethered through a urea-hfVal scaffold. *N*,*N*'-Disugar carbodiimides **16** could be prepared starting from the corresponding sugar azides **5** and sugar isothiocyanates **15** (Table 2).





Entr	Sugar-N <sub>3</sub>	Sugar-NCS	Carbodiimide	Sugar-NH <sub>2</sub>	Product	Yield
У						(%) <sup>a</sup>
1	N <sub>3</sub> 5a 0 0		$\begin{pmatrix} \downarrow \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 16a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	/	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $	78 <sup>b</sup>
2				/	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2b \\ F_3C \\ CF_3 \\ CF$	82 <sup>c</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>b</sup>An almost 1.5:1 diastereoisomeric mixture. <sup>c</sup>An almost 3:1 diastereoisomeric mixture.

Accordingly, Staudinger reaction between ribose azide **5a** and isothiocyanate **15a** lead to the clean formation of carbodiimide **16a** along with Ph<sub>3</sub>PS (TLC monitoring). By adding *in situ* TMP followed by acid **8**, we obtained the formation of divalent glycomimetic **2a**, as an almost 1.5:1 mixture of diasteroisomers, where the sugar moieties are connected through a hydantoin ring (entry 1, Table 2). Under the same conditions we obtained the formation of diglycohydantoin **2b** in very high yields starting with galactose azide **5b** and isothiocyanate **15b** (entry 2, Table 2). Interestingly, the reaction carried out in the presence of  $\alpha$ -amino esters nucleophiles such as H-Ala-OBn **17a** and H-Leu-OBn **17b** furnished divalent glycodipeptides **3a,b**, respectively (entry 3)

and 4, Table 2). The latter reaction is particularly promising because it permits the unprecedented multicomponent synthesis of a class of very intriguing scaffolds, *i.e.* divalent glycopeptides incorporating the unnatural amino acid hfVal. Moreover, the process works very efficiently also starting from dipeptide nucleophiles such as H-Phe-Leu-OBn **17c** leading to the one pot formation of divalent glycotripeptides containing hfVal **3c** in high yields (entry 5, Table 2). Finally, in order to demonstrate that this process could also be exploited for the preparation of more complicated trivalent glycomimetics, we tested the reaction starting with *in situ* generated symmetric *N*,*N*'-diglyco carbodiimide **16a**,**b** and sugar nucleophile **9a**,**d**, respectively. We were delighted that in this case the reaction also works effectively giving rise to the formation of trivalent glycomimetics of glycomimetics **2-4** could be also achieved starting with asymmetric *N*,*N*'-diglyco carbodiimides. However, even if high yielding, due to the similarity on the steric hindrance of the *N*-substituents, the process lead to the formation of mixtures of regioisomers that are difficult to separate (data not shown).

Beside the synthesis of multivalent glycomimetics **1-4**, this process could be exploited as a general procedure for the introduction of sugar-hfVal tags into biologically relevant molecules in a simple and convenient way. With this in mind, we sought to functionalize aminoglycoside antibiotics. Aminoglycosides are a class of polyaminosugars which selectively bind RNA.<sup>18</sup> The selective functionalization of such scaffolds has been exploited for the synthesis of conjugates with better characteristics, such as, for instance, activity, selectivity, and ability to fight against aminoglycosides resistance.<sup>19</sup> In this sense, the introduction of fluorinated probes in the aminoglycoside scaffolds could facilitate the investigation of RNA-ligand interaction through <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy.<sup>20</sup> However, besides the interest in the development of new practical ways to selectively functionalize aminoglycosides, there are only a few examples that use a multicomponent one-pot procedure, and, to the best of our knowledge, none of them are used for tethering aminoglycosides with fluorinated tags.<sup>21</sup>

way to selectively functionalize such molecules with other scaffolds (in this case carbohydrates) along with a fluorinated tag (hfVal) with a practical one pot multicomponent procedure. Accordingly, we decided to use neomycin derivative **18** as nucleophile in our multicomponent process. To our delight, reacting carbodiimide **6b** in the presence of acid **8** and neomycin derivative **18** under the same conditions described above, *i.e.* TMP, CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 0 °C, we obtained the clean regiospecific formation of conjugate **19** in very good yield, as a mixture of diastereoisomers (Scheme 3).<sup>22</sup>



Scheme 3. Synthesis of neomycin-hfVal-galactose conjugate 19

The application of this procedure for the combinatorial synthesis of different aminoglycoside conjugates bearing a hfVal probe will be studied more in detail and reported in a forthcoming paper.

#### 3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the MC sequential process recently developed by us can also be for the DOS of multivalent glycomimetics containing hfVal amino acid. Indeed, the reaction between *in situ* generated *N*-glycosyl, *N'-tert*-butyl carbodiimides or *N*, *N'*-diglycosyl carbodiimides with 4,4,4trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic in the presence or absence of *N*-nucleophiles, such as  $\alpha$ -aminoesters, peptides

or glycosyl amines, gave rise to the clean formation of di- or trivalent glycomimetics containing hfVal where the glycosyl moieties are tethered trough different scaffolds, such as urea, hydantoin ring, and urea-peptide linkers. The MC sequential domino process is completely regioselective, high yielding, occurs under mild conditions, and is very general, working efficiently with different sugars and linkers. This process could also be exploited as a favourable tool to conjugate biological important compounds with a sugar-hfVal tag. For instance, the reaction performed using an appropriately functionalized neomycin derivative such as *N*-nucleophile allowed us to obtain the synthesis of a neomycin-hfVal conjugate in a very efficient way. The combinatorial synthesis of different aminoglycoside-hfVal-sugar conjugates and the determination of their antibacterial activity and RNA interaction trough <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy is ongoing in our laboratories and will be reported in a forthcoming paper.

#### 4. Experimental Section

4.1 General Methods: Commercially available reagent-grade solvents were employed without reported procedures.<sup>11</sup> were prepared following purification. Primary glycosylazides 5 Glycosylisothiocyanate 15 were prepared by aza-Wittig reaction with CS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>23</sup> Glycosylamines 9 were prepared by catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding azides. Neomycin derivative 18 was obtained as reported in ref. 19a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were run on spectrometers operating at 400 or 500 MHz. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm ( $\delta$ ), using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard for <sup>1</sup>H and  $^{13}C$  nuclei ( $\delta_{H}$  and  $\delta_{C}$  = 0.00). ESIMS was performed with an Esquire 3000 plus ion-trap mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI source. The IR spectra were obtained by a Varian 640 highperformance FTIR spectrometer. Elemental analysis were obtained on FlashEA 1112 NC Analyzers. TLC was run on silica gel 60 F254 Merck. Flash chromatography (FC) was performed with silica gel 60 (60-200 mm, Merck).

**4.2 Synthesis of divalent glycomimetics 1: general procedure**. To a solution of glycosylazide **5** (1 equiv.) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.1 M) *tert*-butyl isocyanate (1.05 equiv.) **6** followed by Ph<sub>3</sub>P (1.05 equiv.)

were added at rt. The solution was stirred until complete formation of the corresponding carbodiimide **7** was achieved (TLC monitoring). The temperature was lowered to 0 °C and TMP (1 equiv.), a solution of glycosylamine **9** (1 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN followed by a solution of 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic acid **8** (1 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN were added. The temperature was slowly left to reach rt and the reaction, when finished (TLC monitoring, *ca.* 3 h), was quenched with a 1M solution of HCl. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt, the organic phases collected, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the crude purified by flash chromatography.

**1a**: *Major diastereoisomer*:  $R_f = 0.29$  (hexane/ AcOEt 80:20); FTIR (neat) v 1779, 1756, 1726 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 8.44 (br s, 1H), 5.49-5.46 (m, 2H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (dd, J = 8.0 and 2.4 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.38-4.36 (m, 1H), 4.29 (dd, J = 5.2 and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.98-3.96 (m, 1H), 3.89-3.86 (m, 1H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.33-3.31 (m, 1H), 2.90-2.88 (m, 1H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100.6 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta$ : 168.3, 154.9, 123.1 (q,  $J = 281.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{CF}_3$ ), 112.6, 111.5, 109.4, 108.5, 96.2, 87.0, 84.4, 71.4, 70.8, 70.5, 70.4, 56.0, 51.4, 45.9 (septet, J = 25.8 Hz ), 40.4, 29.0, 26.3, 25.9, 25.7, 24.9, 24.7; ESI (m/z) 774.7 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>47</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub>: C 49.53, H 6.30, N 5.59; found: C 49.53, H 6.32, N 5.60. *Minor diastereoisomer:*  $R_f = 0.22$  (hexane/ AcOEt 80:20); FTIR (neat) v 1781, 1754, 1722 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.12 (br s, 1H), 5.77 (s, 1H), 5.49 (d, J = 5.2Hz, 2H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.60-4.56 (m, 2H), 4.41-4.39 (m, 1H), 4.32-4.28 (m, 2H), 4.15 (dd, J = 8.0 and 2.0 Hz ,1H), 3.97-3.94 (m, 1H), 3.47-3.44 (m, 3H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.24 (dd, J = 16.4 and 5.2 Hz 1H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.0, 157.0, 123.3 (q, J = 281.5 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 112.9, 110.3, 109.4, 108.7, 96.3, 85.8, 84.5, 82.1, 71.3, 70.9, 70.5, 65.4, 55.5, 51.5, 46.9 (s, J = 26.1 Hz), 40.2, 29.0, 26.5, 26.0, 25.9, 25.1, 24.9. 24.4; ESI (m/z) 774.6 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>47</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub>: C 49.53, H 6.30, N 5.59; found: C 49.55, H 6.31, N 5.58.

**4.3 Synthesis of divalent glycomimetics 2: general procedure**. To a solution of glycosylazide **5** (1 equiv.) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.1 M) a solution of glycosylisothiocyanante **15** (1.05 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN followed by Ph<sub>3</sub>P (1.05 equiv.) were added at rt. The solution was stirred until complete formation of the corresponding carbodiimide **16** was achieved (TLC monitoring). A solution of 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic acid **8** (1 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added at rt and the resulting solution stirred until the reaction was finished (TLC monitoring, *ca.* 3 h). The reaction was quenched with a 1M solution of HCl. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt, the organic phases collected, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the crude purified by flash chromatography.

**2a**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.24$  (hexane/ AcOEt 80:20); FTIR (neat) v 1777, 1754, 1728 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 4.96 (s, 2H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.63-4.61 (m, 4H), 4.34-4.32 (m, 2H), 4.09 (dd, J = 12.0 and 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.95-3.92 (m, 1H), 3.71-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dd, J = 12.0 and 6.4 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 4.95 (s, 2H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.63-4.61 (m, 4H), 4.34-4.32 (m, 1H), 4.31-4.29 (m, 1H), 3.95-3.92 (m, 2H), 3.71-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.40-3.37 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.27 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 169.0, 156.5, 156.0, 113.1, 112.5, 110.5, 110.2, 110.0, 109.8, 85.2, 85.0, 84.6, 83.8, 83.4, 83.3, 82.3, 82.2, 82.1, 81.9, 56.0, 55.5, 55.4, 55.3, 55.1, 48.8 (septet, J = 28.2 Hz), 45.9, 44.7, 42.9, 42.7, 29.6, 26.6, 26.5, 26.4, 26.3, 25.1, 25.0, 24.9, 24.8 ; ESI (*m/z*) 645.2 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub>: C 46.31, H 5.18, N 4.50; found: C 46.33, H 5.20, N 4.53.

**4.4 Synthesis of divalent glycomimetics 2: general procedure**. To a solution of glycosylazide **5** (1 equiv.) in  $CH_3CN$  (0.1 M) a solution of glycosylisothiocyanante **15** (1.05 equiv.) in a minimum amount of  $CH_3CN$  followed by  $Ph_3P$  (1.05 equiv.) were added at rt. The solution was stirred until

complete formation of the corresponding carbodiimide **16** was achieved (TLC monitoring). The temperature was lowered to 0 °C and TMP (2 equiv.), solid  $\alpha$ -aminoester hydrochloride **17** (1 equiv.) followed by a solution of 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic acid **8** (1 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN were added. The temperature was slowly left to reach rt and the reaction, when finished (TLC monitoring, *ca.* 3 h), was quenched with a 1M solution of HCl. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt, the organic phases collected, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the crude purified by flash chromatography.

**3a**: *Major diastereoisomer:*  $R_f = 0.34$  (hexane/ AcOEt 70:30); FTIR (neat) v 1769, 1753, 1723 cm<sup>-1</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ: 8.11 (br s, 1H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 5H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 5.48 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (br s, 1H), 4.65-4.56 (m, 3H), 4.31-4-28 (m, 2H), 4.25-4.22 (m, 2H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.40-3.38 (m, 4H), 1.47 (s, 6H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 6H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.30-1.26 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 172.5, 167.5, 160.0, 136.0, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4, 123.7 (q, J = 282.2 Hz), 123.2 (q, J = 282.2 Hz), 110.0, 109.6, 109.4, 109.0, 96.6, 71.8, 71.3, 71.2, 70.9, 70.7, 67.2, 66.4, 65.4, 48.8, 47.4 (septet, J = 26.5 Hz), 41.6, 26.4, 26.3, 26.2, 26.0, 25.3, 25.2, 24.9, 24.6, 17.9; ESI (m/z) 936.3 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>53</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>14</sub>: C 52.57, H 5.85, N 4.60; found: C 52.58, H 5.82, N 4.62. Minor diastereoisomer:  $R_f = 0.30$  (hexane/ AcOEt 70:30); FTIR (neat) v 1770, 1761, 1721 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ: 7.65 (br s, 1H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 5H), 6.34 (br s, 1H), 5.49 (d, J = 5.08 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (d, J = 5.03 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, J= 12.1 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H), 4.56-4.54 (m, 2H), 5.45 (septet, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30-4.26 (m, 2H), 4.22-4.19 (m, 2H), 3.97-3.94 (m, 1H), 3.85-3.83 (m, 1H), 3.55-3.54 (m, 1H), 3.23-3.21 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 6H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 6H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.13 (s, 6H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.20 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 171.9, 168.0, 135.9, 128.9, 128.7, 128.5, 123.5 (q, J =281.0 Hz), 123.3 (q, J = 282.2 Hz), 109.8, 109.6, 109.5, 108.9, 96.7, 96.6, 96.5, 72.2, 71.9, 71.3, 70.94, 70.91, 69.2, 67.3, 67.2, 49.4, 46.6 (septet, J = 26.7 Hz), 41.5, 26.4, 26.3, 26.1, 25.3, 24.8,

24.5; ESI (*m*/*z*) 936.2 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>53</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>14</sub>: C 52.57, H 5.85, N 4.60; found: C 52.59, H 5.86, N 4.58.

**4.5 Synthesis of trivalent glycomimetics 4: general procedure**. To a solution of glycosylazide **5** (1 equiv.) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.1 M) a solution of glycosylisothiocyanante **15** (1.05 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN followed by Ph<sub>3</sub>P (1.05 equiv.) were added at rt. The solution was stirred until complete formation of the corresponding carbodiimide **16** was achieved (TLC monitoring). The temperature was lowered to 0 °C and TMP (2 equiv.), a solution of glycosylamine **9** (1 equiv.) followed by a solution of 4,4,4-trifluoro-3-Tfm-crotonic acid **8** (1 equiv.) in a minimum amount of CH<sub>3</sub>CN were added. The temperature was slowly left to reach rt and the reaction, when finished (TLC monitoring, *ca.* 3 h), was quenched with a 1M solution of HCl. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt, the organic phases collected, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the crude purified by flash chromatography.

**4a:** *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.21$  (hexane/ AcOEt 20:80); FTIR (neat) v 1778, 1755, 1721 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 6.01 (br s, 1H), 5.46 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.62-4.54 (m, 6H), 4.18-4.15 (m, 3H), 4.14-4.11 (m, 2H), 3.91-3.90 (m, 1H), 3.84 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.53-3.50 (m, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.25-3.22 (m, 2H), 3.08-3.05 (m, 1H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 6.38 (br s, 1H), 5.49 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.62-4.54 (m, 6H), 4.18-4.15 (m, 3H), 4.14-4.11 (m, 2H), 3.91-3.90 (m, 1H), 3.84 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.53-3.50 (m, 2H), 3.48 (s, 3H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.25-3.22 (m, 2H), 3.08-3.05 (m, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.1, 167.6, 158.2, 156.0, 123.2 (q, J = 280.7 Hz), 123.0 (q, J = 281.4), 113.1, 112.8, 112.4, 112.3, 111.4, 110.3, 110.2, 110.0, 109.4, 109.3, 10.2, 110.0, 109.4, 109.3, 110.4, 100.4, 109.3, 110.4, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.3, 100.4, 109.4, 109.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 100.4, 109.4, 109.4, 109.4, 109.4, 100.

108.6, 108.5, 96.3, 96.2, 86.5, 86.2, 85.6, 85.4, 85.3, 84.5, 82.0, 81.9, 81.7, 71.5, 71.4, 70.9, 70.5, 66.8, 65.6, 60.3, 57.9, 56.8, 55.8, 55.2, 55.1, 53.8, 51.4, 47.0, 46.7, 46.5, 46.3, 46.0, 45.8, 44.2, 43.7, 40.6, 40.3, 26.5, 26.4, 26.35, 26.31, 25.9, 25.8, 25.7, 25.0, 24.9, 24.8, 24.7, 24.6, 24.4, 24.3; ESI (m/z) 904.7 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>53</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>15</sub>: C 49.03, H 6.06, N 4.77; found: C 49.00, H 6.08, N 4.76.

Acknowledgments. Politecnico di Milano and ICRM-CNR are gratefully acknowledged for economic support.

**Supporting Information Available:** characterization of compounds **1b-f**, **2b**, **3b,c**, **4b**, **13** and **19**. Copies of <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and ESI-MS spectra of all new compounds.

#### References

- a) Wang, J.; Sanchez-Rosello, M.; Acena, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 2432; b) Isanbor, C.; O'Hagan, D.; *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2006, *127*, 303. See also the thematic issue: *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 2014, *14*, 855.
- 2 a) Salwiczek, M.; Nyakamura, E. K.; Gerling, U. I. M.; Ye, S.; Koksch, B. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*2012, 41, 2135; b) Marsh, E. N. G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2014, 47, 2878.
- a) Cobb, S. L.; Murphy, C. D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 132. b) Ulrich, A. S. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 2006, 46, 1; c) Ahrens, E. T.; Zhong, J. NMR Biomed. 2013, 26, 860; d) Tirotta, I.; Dichiarante, V.; Pigliacelli, C.; Cavallo, G.; Terraneo, G.; Baldelli Bombelli, F.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1106.
- 4 O'Hagan, D.; Harper, B. J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 100, 127.

- a) Kiessling, L. L.; Phol, N. L. *Chem. Biol.* 1996, *3*, 71; b) Fasting, C.; Schalley, C. A.; Weber, M.; Seitz, O.; Hecht, S.; Koksch, B.; Dernedde, J.; Graf, C.; Knapp, E.-W.; Haag, R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 10472; c) Pieters, R. J. 2009, *7*, 2013; c) Bernardi, A.; Jimenez-Barbero, J.; Casnati, A.; De Castro, C.; Darbre, T.; Fieschi, F.; Finne, J.; Funken, H.; Jaeger, K.-E.; Lahmann, M.; Lindhorst, T. K.; Marradi, M.; Messner, P.; Molinaro, A.; Murphy, P. V.; Nativi, C.; Oscarson, S.; Penade´s, S.; Peri, F.; Pieters, R. J.; Renaudet, O.; Reymond, J.-L.; Richichi, B.; Rojo, J.; Sansone, F.; Schaffer, C.; Turnbull, W. B.; Velasco-Torrijos, T.; Vidal, S.; Vincent, S.; Wennekes, T.; Zuilhofxy, H.; Imberty, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 4709.
- 6 Compain, P.; Bodlenner, A.; ChemBioChem 2014, 15, 1239.
- 7 a) Schreiber, S. L. Science, 2000, 287, 1964; b) Spring, D. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 3867; c) Burke, M. D.; Schreiber, S. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 46; d) Tan, D. S. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2005, 1, 74; e) Galloway, W. R. J.; Isidro-Llobet, A.; Spring, D. R. Nat. Commun. 2008, 80, 1.
- a) Dömling, A.; Ugi, I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3168; b) Dömling, A. Chem. Rev.
  2006, 106, 17; c) Touré, B. B.; Hall, D. G. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 4439; d) Ganem, B. Acc.
  Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 463; e) Biggs-Houck, J. E.; Younai, A.; Shaw, J. T. Curr. Opin. Chem.
  Biol. 2010, 14, 371; f) Ruijter, E.; Scheffelaar, R.; Orru, R. V. A. Angew Chem. Int. Ed.
  2011, 50, 6234.
- 9 Concerning the DOS of non-fluorinated multivalent glycomimetics trough MC processes, see: a) Lockhoff, O. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3436; b) Westermann, B.; Dörner, S. Chem. Commun. 2005, 2116.
- 10 a) Volonterio, A.; Ramirez de Arellano, C.; Zanda, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2161; b)
  Olimpieri, F.; Fustero, S.; Volonterio, A.; Zanda, M. Synthesis 2010, 4, 651; c) Bellucci, M.
  C.; Marcelli, T.; Scaglioni, L.; Volonterio, A. RSC Adv. 2011, 1, 1250; d) Olimpieri, F.;
  Bellucci, M. C.; Marcelli, T.; Volonterio, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 9538

- a) Bellucci, M. C.; Volonterio, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 252, 2791; b) Bellucci, M. C.;
  Ghilardi, A.; Volonterio, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 8379; c) Bellucci, M. C.; Terraneo,
  G.; Volonterio, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2421; d) Bellucci, M. C.; Volonterio, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2014, 2386.
- 12 Bellucci, M. C.; Sani, M.; Sganappa, A.; Volonterio, A. ACS Comb. Chem. 2014, 16, 711.
- 13 a) Hsieh, K.-h.; Needleman, P.; Marshall, G. R. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1097; b) Eberle, M. K.; Keese, R.; Stoekli-Evans, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 182; c) Eberle, M. K.; Keese, R. Helv. Chim. Acta. 2010, 93, 1583.
- 14 For a DFT study on the mechanism of this process, see: Marcelli, T.; Olimpieri, F.; Volonterio, A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 5156.
- 15 The chiral aminosugar **9a** steps in the reaction once the new stereogenic center at the hfVal moiety is already formed.
- 16 Samantaray, S.; Marathe, U.; Dasgupta, S.; Nandicoori, V. K.; Roy, R. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 2132.
- 17 In these cases we did not obtained an equimolar ratio of diastereoisomers because the intramolecular aza-Michael nucleophilic attack arose from the chiral *N*-sugar moiety of the carbodiimides. However, due to the distance between the reactive electronpoor C=C form the stereogenic centers of the sugars, the diastereoselections are low, form *ca.* 3:1 in the case of galactose to *ca.* 1.5:1 in the case of the other sugars. Since the mechanism and the stereochimical behaviour of this process is related to that described in a previous paper (see ref. 11a), the stereochemistry of the new formed stereocenter of the major diastereoisomer was assessed to be (*R*) on the basis of the structure of the corresponding peptide-sugar conjugate incorporating hexafluorovaline which was determined by X-ray diffraction
- 18 a) Tor, Y.; Hermann, T.; Westholf, E. *Chem. Biol.* 1998, *5*, R277; b) Tor, Y. *ChemBioChem*2003, *4*, 998; c) Houghton, J. L.; Green, K. D.; Chen, W.; Garneau-Tsodikova, S.

*ChemBioChem.* **2010**, *11*, 880; d) McCoy, L. S.; Xie, Y.; Tor, Y. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* **2011**, *2*, 209.

- 19 a) Luedtke, N. W.; Liu, Q.; Tor, Y. *Biochemistry* 2003, 42, 11391; b) Fridman, M.;
  Belakhov, V.; Yaron, S.; Baasov, T.; *Org. Lett.* 2003, 5, 3575; c) Blount, K. F.; Tor, Y. *ChemBioChem.* 2006, 7, 1612; d) Jeon, J.; Lee, K. H.; Rao, J. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 10034; e) Kumar, S.; Xue, L.; Arya, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 133, 7361.
- 20 Lombès, T.; Moumné, R.; Larue, V.; Prost, E.; Catala, M.; Lecourt, T.; Dardel, F.; Micouin, L.; Tisné, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9530.
- 21 a) Park, W. K. C.; Auer, M.; Jaksche, H.; Wong, C.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10150;
  b) Nunns, C. L.; Spence, L. A.; Slater, M. J.; Berrisford, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 9341.
- 22 Neomycin derivative **18** is very soluble in  $CH_3CN$  at 0°C, thus the protection of the hydroxyls was not necessary to obtain high yield.
- 23 Garcia-Fernandez, J. M.; Ortiz Mellet, C.; Fuentes, J. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5192.

# **Supporting Information**

# Multicomponent Diversity Oriented Synthesis of Multivalent Glycomimetics Containing Hexafluorovaline

Maria Cristina Bellucci, Aurora Sganappa, Monica Sani, and Alessandro Volonterio,\* Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 2, 20133 Milano, Italy; C.N.R. Istituto di Chimica del Riconoscimento Molecolare, via Mancinelli 7, 20131 Milano, Italy; Department of Chemistry, Materials, and Chemical Engineer "G. Natta", Politecnico di Milano, via Mancinelli 7, 20131 Milano, Italy

alessandro.volonterio@polimi.it

#### **Table of Contents:**

Pages S2-S15 Characterization of all new compounds.

Pages S15-S91 <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, and MS spectra of all new compounds.

**13**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.38$  (hexane/ AcOEt 60:40); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 8.25 (br s, 1H), 5.48 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (m, 2H), 4.57 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (m, 1H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H),3.97 (m, 1H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 3.35 (m, 1H), 1.44 (m, 6H), 1.28 (m, 18H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 8.25 (br s, 1H), 5.48 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (m, 2H), 4.55 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (m, 1H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H),3.97 (m, 1H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 3.23 (m, 1H), 1.44 (m, 6H), 1.28 (m, 18H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 169.3, 158.1, 123.0 (q, J = 281.2 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 122.8 (q, J = 284.1 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 109.3, 108.4, 108.3, 96.3, 96. 2,71.2, 70.8, 70.6, 65.9, 65.8, 56.2, 55.9, 50.2, 50.0, 47.0 (s, J = 25.9 Hz), 40.0, 39.8, 25.9, 25.8, 25.7, 24.8, 24.3, 23.0, 21.2, 20.7, 20.5; ESI (*m*/*z*) 616.3 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>37</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C 48.56, H 6.28, N 7.08; found: C 48.58, H 6.30, N 7.09.

**1b**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.27$  (hexane/ AcOEt 60:40); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 8.44 (br s, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 5.26-5.19 (m, 2H), 5.07-54.92 (m, 2H), 4.60-4.53 (m, 4H), 4.30 (m, 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 4.05-4.00 (m, 2H), 3.78 (m, 1H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.20 (dd, J = 16.0 and 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.03-1.94 (four s, 12H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 9H); minor diastereoisomer  $\delta$ : 8.42 (br s, 1H), 5.47 (s, 1H), 5.26-5.19 (m, 2H), 5.07-54.92 (m, 2H), 4.60-4.53 (m, 4H), 4.30 (m, 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 4.05-4.00 (m, 2H), 3.78 (m, 1H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 3.00 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.94 (four s, 12H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.28 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.45, 170.41, 169.9, 169.8, 169.7, 169.6, 169.4, 168.3, 122.9 (q, J = 279.7 Hz), 122.5 (q, J = 278.5 Hz), 113.4, 112.8, 110.7, 110.3, 87.0, 86.6, 85.2, 84.1, 84.0, 83.7, 82.0, 78.2, 73.8, 73.3, 73.2, 73.1, 70.5, 70.4, 68.3, 68.2, 61.8, 55.8, 55.3, 54.3, 51.6, 51.5, 47.0 (septet, J = 26.1 Hz), 45.8 (septet, J = 28.2 Hz), 29.4, 28.9, 28.8, 26.5, 26.3, 24.9, 24.7, 20.5, 20.4, 20.3, 20.1, ; ESI (m/z) 862.6 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>47</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>15</sub>: C 47.20, H 5.64, N 5.00; found: C 47.23, H 5.62, N 4.99.

**1c**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.24$  (hexane/ AcOEt 80:20); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 5.53 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.60 (m, 4H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.24 (m, 2H), 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.68 (m, 2H), 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 3.10 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.45 (three s, 9H), 1.35-1.33 (three s, 9H), 1.32 (s, 9H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 5.52 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.60 (m, 4H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.24 (m, 2H), 4.01 (m, 1H), 3.71 (m, 2H), 3.50 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 3.10 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.45 (three s, 9H), 1.35-1.33 (three s, 9H), 1.29 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.6, 167.7, 162.6, 158.3, 156.2, 123.0 (q, J = 283.7 Hz), 112.35, 112.30, 109.8, 109.7, 109.6, 109.5, 109.4, 109.2, 96.3, 96.2, 96.1, 85.5, 85.4, 85.3, 85.2, 82.2, 71.6, 71.5, 70.8, 70.7, 70.6, 70.5, 70.4, 70.3, 68.6, 55.1, 51.25, 51.22, 46.4 (septet, J = 26.1 Hz), 42.7, 36.4, 29.4, 28.9, 28.8, 28.3, 26.4, 26.3, 26.0, 25.9, 25.8, 25.7, 25.6, 25.0, 24.8, 24.7, 24.3, 24.1; ESI (m/z) 774.6 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>47</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub>: C 49.53, H 6.30, N 5.59; found: C 49.55, H 6.31, N 5.60.

1d: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.58$  (hexane/ AcOEt 50:50); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 7.27 (m, 6H), 6.27 (br s, 1H), 5.63 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 4.94 (m, 2H), 4.86 (m, 1H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 4.56-4.28 (m, 6H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.47 (s, 3H), 3.21 (m, 2H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.35-1.12 (three s, 30H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 7.27 (m, 6H), 5.98 (br s, 1H), 5.60 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 4.94 (m, 2H), 4.86 (m, 1H), 4.78 (s, 1H), 4.56-4.28 (m, 6H), 4.08 (m, 1H), 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.21 (m, 2H), 1.83 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.35-1.12 (three s, 30H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 178.6, 178.5, 177.0, 176.9, 169.7, 167.6, 167.3, 162.5, 158.7, 135.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9,

123.0 (q, J = 284.8 Hz), 122.7 (q, J = 285.7 Hz), 112.4, 109.9, 109.8, 96.4, 95.9, 95.8, 85.7, 85.5, 85.4, 85.3, 82.1, 82.0, 70.8, 70.4, 70.3, 70.2, 70.0, 69.9, 69.8, 69.7, 69.6, 69.4, 68.9, 68.5, 55.3, 55.1, 51.8, 51.7, 51.1, 50.9, 47.7 (septet, J = 25.1 Hz), 43.5, 42.7, 42.5, 38.9, 38.8, 36.4, 31.4, 29.6, 29.3, 29.1, 29.0, 28.6, 28.4, 28.3, 27.0, 26.9, 26.5, 26.4, 26.3, 24.8, 23.0, 22.9, 22.8; ESI (m/z) 993.8 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>64</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>: C 54.43, H 6.64, N 5.77; found: C 54.45, H 6.65, N 5.80.

**1e**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.37$  (hexane/ AcOEt 50:50); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 7.32 (br s, 1H), 5.28 (m, 2H), 5.22 (m, 1H), 4.97 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H)4.36 (m, 1H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H),3.97 (m, 1H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 3.35 (m, 1H), 1.44 (m, 6H), 1.28 (m, 18H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 8.25 (br s, 1H), 5.48 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (m, 2H), 4.55 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (m, 1H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H),3.97 (m, 1H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 3.23 (m, 1H), 1.44 (m, 6H), 1.28 (m, 18H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 169.3, 158.1, 123.0 (q, J = 281.2 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 122.8 (q, J = 284.1 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 109.3, 108.4, 108.3, 96.3, 96. 2,71.2, 70.8, 70.6, 65.9, 65.8, 56.2, 55.9, 50.2, 50.0, 47.0 (s, J = 25.9 Hz), 40.0, 39.8, 25.9, 25.8, 25.7, 24.8, 24.3, 23.0, 21.2, 20.7, 20.5; ESI (*m*/*z*) 616.3 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>53</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>16</sub>: C 48.16, H 5.95, N 4.68; found: C 48.17, H 5.97, N 4.67.

**1f**: *Major diastereoisomer*:  $R_f = 0.45$  (hexane/ AcOEt 50:50); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.80 (s, 1H), 6.25 (br s, 1H), 5.83 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.49 (m, 2H), 4.42 (br s, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J = 12.2 and 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (m, 1H), 4.21 (m, 2H), 4.00 (m, 2H), 3.95 (m, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.22 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.3, 169.9, 169.2, 168.7, 167.9, 155.9, 144.8, 122.8 (q, J = 282.1 Hz), 121.6, 112.4, 109.9, 86.1, 85.9, 85.2, 85.1, 81.8, 75.4, 72.5, 70.9, 67.8, 61.2, 60.3, 55.2, 51.5, 46.6 (septet, J = 27.2 Hz), 42.5, 29.6, 28.9, 28.3, 26.4, 24.8, 20.9, 20.4, 20.0, 14.2; ESI (m/z) 943.7 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>50</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>15</sub>: C 46.96, H 5.47, N 9.13; found: C 46.98, H 5.48, N 9.11.

*Minor diastereoisomer:*  $R_f = 0.38$  (hexane/ AcOEt 50:50); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.71 (s, 1H), 5.97 (br s, 1H), 5.81 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (br s, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (dd, J = 12.8 and 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (m, 2H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.23 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.4, 169.9, 169.3, 168.5, 167.9, 155.9, 144.5, 123.0 (q, J = 282.7 Hz), 121.2, 112.4, 109.1, 86.0, 85.6, 85.5, 82.0, 75.4, 75.3, 72.5, 70.4, 67.6, 61.4, 55.5, 51.5, 46.7 (septet, J = 26.2 Hz), 42.3, 29.7, 28.9, 26.3, 24.7, 20.6, 20.5, 20.0; ESI (m/z) 943.7 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>50</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>15</sub>: C 46.96, H 5.49, N 9.14.

**2b**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.24$  (hexane/ AcOEt 80:20); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 5.41 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (s, 1H), 4.56 (m, 2H), 4.24 (m, 2H), 4.14 (m, 4H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 3.21 (dd, J = 14.8 and 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.44-1.37 (four s, 12H), 1.30-1.24 (four s, 12H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 5.46 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (s, 1H), 4.56 (m, 2H), 4.24 (m, 2H), 4.14 (m, 4H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 4.24 (m, 2H), 4.14 (m, 4H), 3.82 (m, 2H), 3.45 (m, 2H), 1.44-1.37 (four s, 12H), 1.30-1.24 (four s, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

δ: 169.7, 169.6, 169.5, 157.2, 156.8, 122.8 (q, J = 281.5 Hz), 122.3 (q, J = 280.8 Hz), 109.9, 109.8, 109.7, 109.5, 109.0, 108.7, 108.7, 108.5, 96.3, 96.23, 96.20, 96.1, 71.5, 71.4, 71.3, 71.1, 70.9, 70.8, 70.7, 70.5, 70.44, 70.40, 70.3, 66.9, 64.6, 64.3, 63.6, 57.2, 57.1, 55.4, 49.2 (septet, J = 28.5 Hz), 48.9 (septet, J = 27.9 Hz), 42.2, 42.1, 42.0, 40.2, 39.9, 29.6, 26.0, 25.9, 25.8, 25.76, 25.70, 25.05, 25.03, 24.7, 24.6, 24.55, 24.51, 24.3 ; ESI (m/z) 757.1 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>40</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>12</sub>: C 49.05, H 5.49, N 3.81; found: C 49.02, H 5.50, N 3.79.

**3b**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.35$  (hexane/ AcOEt 60:40); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 8.21 (br s, 1H), 7.34 (m, 5H), 6.60 (br s, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.62-4.57 (m, 7H), 4.28 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 3.44 (s, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.33 (m, 1H), 1.63 (m, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H), 0.91 (m, 6H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 7.52 (br s, 1H), 7.34 (m, 5H), 6.16 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.62-4.57 (m, 7H), 4.34 (dd, J = 8.4 and 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (m, 1H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.06 (m, 1H), 1.63 (m, 3H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 0.91 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 171.9, 171.6, 167.8, 167.3, 158.3, 156.2, 135.6, 135.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.28, 128.21, 128.1, 128.0, 122.7 (q, J = 289.2 Hz), 122.4 (q, J = 282.6 Hz), 113.1, 112.9, 112.3, 112.2, 111.4, 110.4, 110.3, 110.2, 87.0, 86.3, 86.2, 85.6, 85.4, 84.6, 84.4, 82.3, 82.0, 66.9, 66.8, 56.0, 55.8, 55.3, 55.2, 51.5, 51.4, 46.6 (septet, J = 26.8 Hz), 46.0 (septet, J = 26.5 Hz), 44.0, 43.8, 41.2, 40.8, 26.5, 26.4, 26.3, 24.9, 24.8, 24.7, 24.6, 22.7, 21.7, 21.6; ESI (m/z) 866.1 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>51</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>12</sub>: C 52.67, H 6.09, N 4.98; found: C 52.68, H 6.10, N 4.96.

**3c**: *Major diastereoisomer:*  $R_f = 0.34$  (hexane/ AcOEt 40:60); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.58 (br s, 1H), 7.37-7.22 (m, 10H), 6.12 (br s, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.86 (m, 1H), 4.64-4.47 (m, 8H), 4.28 (m, 1H), 3.75-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.44 (s, 3H), 3.41 (m, 2H), 2.99 (m, 2H), 2.96 (m, 3H), 1.71 (m, 1H), 1.57 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 171.8, 170.6, 167.9, 137.0, 135.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 126.6, 123.6 (q, J = 280.7 Hz), 123.2 (q, J = 281.7 Hz), 113.1, 112.4, 110.9, 110.8, 86.3, 85.8, 84.1, 81.9, 81.3, 66.7, 58.8, 55.8, 55.5, 54.2, 53.4, 51.4, 46.1 (septet, J = 26.2 Hz), 43.4, 40.8, 37.0, 29.6, 26.4, 26.2, 24.8, 24.4, 22.6, 22.1; ESI (*m*/*z*) 1013.4 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>60</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>: C 55.75, H 6.10, N 5.65; found: C 55.76, H 6.09, N 5.67.

*Minor diastereoisomer:*  $R_f = 0.28$  (hexane/ AcOEt 40:60); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ : 7.30-7.17 (m, 12H), 6.52 (br s, 1H), 6.02 (br s, 1H), 5.08 (s, 2H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.91 (s, 1H), 4.58-4.49 (m, 6H), 4.34 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (m, 1H), 3.42 (m, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 3.20-3.14 (m, 4H), 1.65-1.52 (m, 5H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.3, 169.8, 167.3, 158.1, 137.3, 135.6, 129.1, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 126.7, 123.2 (q, J = 284.7 Hz), 113.4, 112.4, 110.5, 110.1, 86.2, 85.4, 84.5, 81.9, 81.8, 66.7, 56.1, 56.0, 55.1, 51.1, 46.7 (q, J = 27.2 Hz), 44.0, 41.1, 36.3, 29.6, 26.5, 26.4, 24.9, 24.8, 24.7, 24.6, 22.7, 21.8, ; ESI (m/z) 1013.4 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>46</sub>H<sub>60</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>13</sub>: C 55.75, H 6.10, N 5.65; found: C 55.75, H 6.11, N 5.66.

**4b**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.18$  (hexane/ AcOEt 20:80); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 5.58 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.23 (m, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (m, 2H), 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.29 (m, 2H), 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.11 (m, 2H), 3.98 (m, 2H), 3.84 (m, 2H), 3.74 (m, 1H), 3.49 (m, 3H), 3.21 (m, 3H), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 6H), 1.27 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.6, 169.9, 169.7, 168.2, 122.9 (q, J = 280.7 Hz), 109.5, 109.3, 108.5, 97.5, 96.3, 96.1, 71.5, 70.9, 70.7, 70.5, 70.4, 69.6, 69.1, 68.5, 66.7, 66.2, 65.9, 62.5, 46.3, 46.1, 45.8, 37.2, 29.3, 28.9, 26.0, 25.9, 25.8, 25.0, 24.96, 24.89, 24.3, 24.1, 20.8, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5; ESI (m/z) 1123.1 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (100)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>67</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>22</sub>: C 49.52, H 5.92, N 3.69; found: C 49.50, H 5.94, N 3.70.

**19**: *Mixture of two diastereoisomers:*  $R_f = 0.23$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 95:5); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD), *major diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 6.36 (br s, 1H), 6.34 (br s, 1H), 5.59 (s, 1H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.39 (m, 1H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.19 (m, 3H), 4.09 (m, 2H), 3.89 (m, 3H), 3.76 (m, 2H), 3.69 (m, 1H), 3.47-3.17 (m, 15H), 2.89 (m, 2H), 2.68 (m, 2H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.47-1.28 (eleven s, 102H); *minor diastereoisomer*  $\delta$ : 6.36 (br s, 1H), 6.34 (br s, 1H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 5.26 (br s, 1H), 5.14 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.39 (m, 1H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 4.19 (m, 3H), 4.09 (m, 2H), 3.89 (m, 3H), 3.76 (m, 2H), 3.69 (m, 1H), 3.47-3.17 (m, 15H), 2.89 (m, 2H), 2.68 (m, 2H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.47-1.28 (eleven s, 102H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.3, 168.0, 157.5, 157.1, 156.8, 156.3, 122.7 (q, J = 282.3 Hz), 122.3 (q, J = 282.7Hz), 109.3, 109.2, 109.0, 99.3, 98.0, 96.5, 96.4, 96.2, 85.7, 81.2, 80.1, 79.3, 79.0, 78.0, 74.3, 74.1, 74.0, 73.2, 72.1, 72.0, 71.6, 71.2, 70.8, 70.7, 70.5, 70.4, 70.2, 67.5, 66.1, 55.6, 52.9, 51.0, 50.9, 50.8, 50.4, 45.9 (septet, J = 27.2 Hz), 41.4, 40.3, 39.6, 34.4, 34.1, 30.9, 30.8, 28.2, 28.0, 27.7, 27.5, 27.4, 27.3, 25.6, 25.2, 25.1, 24.9, 24.8, 24.0, 23.9, 23.2; ESI (m/z) 1846.0 [M<sup>+</sup>+Na, (71)]; Anal. calcd. for C<sub>77</sub>H<sub>129</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>9</sub>O<sub>31</sub>S: C 50.73, H 7.13, N 6.92; found: C 50.70, H 7.15, N 6.89.















![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bruker Daltonics DataAnalysis 3.1

200

400

0

600

m/z

1000

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

printed: 03/12/14

16:23:12

Bruker Daltonics DataAnalysis 3.1

#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

\_\_\_\_\_

Page 1 of 1

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

minor diast.

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Č

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

- L.G.S. - Laboratorio Grandi Strumenti - Display Report

Analysis Info									
Analysis Name Sample Name	av cb8.d 1 mg/mL dil 1:100 MeOH Richiedente: Volonterio			Acquisition Date Method	02/02/12 15:16:26 Copy of _01walt 07 09 11 MS	Operator Instrument	Walter F esquire3	Walter Panzeri esquire3000plus	
Comment					07.03.11.005				
Acquisition Par	ameter								
lon Source Type Scan Begin Capillary Exit	ESI 50 m/z 147.3 Volt	Mass Range Mode Scan End Skim 1	Std/Normal 950 m/z 40.0 Volt	lon Polarit Averages Trap Drive	y Positive 5 Spectra e 64.3	Alternating lo Accumulation Auto MS/MS	n Polarity Time	off 75 µs off	
Intens. x10 <sup>7</sup>							616.3	IS, 0.0-0.4min (#3-#40)	
4-									
3-									
-									
2									
1-									
<u>.</u>									
	100	200	300	400	500	)	600	m/z	

Bruker Daltonics DataAnalysis 3.1

printed: 02/02/12 15:17:17

Page 1 of 1

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

-L.G.S. - Laboratorio Grandi Strumenti - Display Report Acquisition Date 04/10/15 14:23:38 Method Copy of \_01tmix\_posneg Im.MS Walter Panzeri esquire3000plus Analysis Name Sample Name Operator Instrument av 2094.d Comment 1 mg/ml dil 1:100 MeOH Richiedente: Volonterio Intens x10<sup>8</sup> +MS, 0.0-0.6min (#2-#66) 757.1 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 773.0 m/z 735.1 0.0-100 200 300 400 500 600 700

#### Page 1 of 1 Bruker Daltonics DataAnalysis 3.1 04/10/15 printed: 14:32:24

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

major diast.

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

minor diast

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Bruker Daltonics DataAnalysis 3.1

printed: 02/18/15 13:41:05

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### -L.G.S. - Laboratorio Grandi Strumenti - Display Report

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)