



## **Accepted Article**

Title: Rhodium(II)-Catalyzed Aryl C–H Carboxylation of 2-Pyridylphenols with CO2

Authors: Zhihua Cai, Shangda Li, Yuzhen Gao, and Gang Li

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.201800611

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201800611

10.1002/adsc.201800611



DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

# **Rhodium(II)-Catalyzed Aryl C–H Carboxylation of 2-Pyridylphenols with CO**<sub>2</sub>

Zhihua Cai,<sup>a,b</sup> Shangda Li,<sup>a</sup> Yuzhen Gao,<sup>a</sup> and Gang Li<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Center for Excellence in Molecular Synthesis, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China.
Phone and fax: (+86)-591-63173547; e-mail: gangli@fjirsm.ac.cn
<sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, 100049, China.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201########.

**Abstract.** A protocol for C–H carboxylation of electrondeficient 2-pyridylphenols with  $CO_2$  through a Rh(II)catalyzed C–H bond activation under redox-neutral conditions has been developed. A suitable phosphine ligand was crucial for this reaction. This method provided, in generally high yields, an access to a class of pyrido-coumari

Fine chemicals synthesis via fixation of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), an abundant, renewable, low-cost, non-toxic and green C1 feedstock, is highly attractive.<sup>[1]</sup> This type of transformation is, however, often challenging as  $CO_2$  is thermodynamically and kinetically stable. Therefore, direct carboxylation of aromatic compounds with CO<sub>2</sub> for the synthesis of (hetero)aromatic carboxylic acids or their derivatives through transition-metal-catalyzed C-H activation is very limited.<sup>[2]</sup> In 2010, the group of Nolan reported a breakthrough of carboxylation of moderately acidic  $sp^2$  C–H bonds of arenes enabled by a gold complex [(NHC)AuOH] under basic conditions.<sup>[3]</sup> Shortly after, Hou and co-workers, as well as the Nolan and Cazin group independently reported а similar transformation but with Cu(I)-NHC complexes as the simultaneously catalysts (Scheme  $1a).^{[4-5]}$ Remarkably, the carboxylation of much less-acidic aryl C-H bond with CO<sub>2</sub> was achieved by Iwasawa and co-workers (Scheme 1b). The reaction proceeded Rh(I)/Rh(III) catalytic cycle, via and а а stoichiometric pyrophoric methylating reagent AlMe<sub>2</sub>OMe was the key for an efficient carboxylation.<sup>[6-7]</sup> Importantly, the same group demonstrated later that simple arenes could also be carboxylated with this method.<sup>[6b,c]</sup> Moreover. carboxylation of alkenyl C-H bonds, whose reactivity are different from aryl C-H bonds, was also realized by the Iwasawa group using a phenolic hydroxyl group as the chelating group with Pd(II) (Scheme 1c).<sup>[§a]</sup> This transformation was also achieved by Yu and Zhi under transition-metal-free conditions in lower yields, whose reaction conditions were better compatible with compounds containing an imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine scaffold (Scheme 1c).[86]

Most recently, our group developed a site-selective and efficient carboxylation of 2-(hetero)arylphenols -ns that are key structural motifs in biologically important molecules. Facile product derivatizations were also exemplified.

**Keywords:** carbon dioxide fixation; carboxylation; C-H activation; rhodium; ligand promotion

with CO<sub>2</sub> through a Rh(II)-catalyzed C–H bond activation under redox-neutral conditions, which



**Scheme 1.** Transition-metal-catalyzed carboxylation of aryl and alkenyl C–H bonds with CO<sub>2</sub>.

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions<sup>[a]</sup>



Entry	Ligand	Base	Temp (°C)	2a (%)
1	L2	t-BuOK	100	72
2	L1	t-BuOK	100	75 (74) <sup>[b]</sup>
3	L3	t-BuOK	100	40
4	L4	t-BuOK	100	41
5	L5	t-BuOK	100	60
6 <sup>[c]</sup>	L6	t-BuOK	150	75 (73) <sup>[b]</sup>
7	L1	t-BuOK	120	70
8	L1	t-BuOK	110	69
9	L1	t-BuOK	90	69
10	L1	t-BuOK	80	43
11 <sup>[d]</sup>	L1	t-BuOK	100	23
12 <sup>[e]</sup>	L1	t-BuOK	100	3
13 <sup>[f]</sup>	L1	t-BuOK	100	1
14	-	t-BuOK	100	3
15 <sup>[f]</sup>	-	t-BuOK	100	( <b>2a</b> <sub>1</sub> , 52) <sup>[g]</sup>
16	L1	t-BuONa	100	73
17	L1	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	100	4
18 <sup>[h]</sup>	L1	t-BuOK	100	15
19 <sup>[i]</sup>	L1	t-BuOK	100	66
20 <sup>[j]</sup>	L1	t-BuOK	100	0

<sup>[a]</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.1 mmol), CO<sub>2</sub> (1 atm, closed), [Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), base (4.5 equiv), DMF (1 mL), 24 h. Yield was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR with CH<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> as internal standard; 1a and other side products including 2a1 might exist for some reactions but they would be dissolved in the water phase during work-up and were not detected. [b]Isolated yield in parenthesis. [c]10 h. [d]DMA. [e]diglyme. [f]No [Rh(OAc)2]2. [g]Isolated yield of 2a<sub>1</sub> and 48% of 1a was also found in the crude <sup>1</sup>H NMR;  $2a_1$  was obtained by treating the reaction with SOCl<sub>2</sub> in MeOH during reaction work-up, see SI for detailed procedure. [h][Rh(Cp\*)Cl2]2 was used instead of [Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. [i][Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mol%), L1 (5 mol%), 48 h. <sup>[j]</sup>CO (1 atm, closed) was used instead of CO<sub>2</sub>. DPPP: 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane; Cp\*: pentamethylcyclopentadienyl.

mainly focused on electron-neutral 2-phenylphenols and electron-rich substrates with a five-membered heterocycle (Scheme 1d).<sup>[9]</sup> And only an isolated example of 2-pyridylphenol was exemplified in this report. To further explore this strategy, we tried to developed better reaction conditions for more 2pyridylphenols that possess an electron-deficient pyridyl group, which might be challenging due to the competing Kolbe-Schmitt type of reaction that could occur to the electron-rich phenolic ring (Scheme 1). Herein, we report a ligand promoted, Rh(II)catalyzed C–H carboxylation of 2-pyridylphenols through  $CO_2$  fixation for the synthesis of a class of pyrido-coumarin derivatives, a motif found in biologically active compounds.<sup>[10-14]</sup>

To start our investigation, 2-(pyridin-4-yl)phenol **1a** was selected as the model substrate. Although **1a** has been proved to be able to afford the desired product **2a** as a single example in our previous report with ligand **L2** (Table 1 entry 1),<sup>[9]</sup> we attempted to find the optimal reaction conditions for this class of substrate by evaluating the ligands first. Gratifyingly, MePhos (**L1**) was proved to be the best new phosphine ligand after examining a series of monoand di-phosphine ligands (see also Supporting Information (SI) for more reaction conditions

#### Table 2. Substrate scope<sup>[a]</sup>



<sup>[a]</sup>Reaction conditions: **1** (0.1 mmol), CO<sub>2</sub> (1 atm, closed), [Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%), MePhos (10 mol%), *t*-BuOK (4.5 equiv), DMF (1 mL), 100 °C, 24 h; isolated yield. Minor Kolbe-Schmitt type side product might be formed for some substrates. <sup>[b]</sup>[Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mol%), MePhos (5 mol%), 100 °C. <sup>[c]</sup>48 h. <sup>[d]</sup>IMes·HCl (10 mol%), 150 °C, 24 h. <sup>[e]</sup>About 5% of isomer of carboxylation at the *ortho*position of nitrogen atom was also detected but not isolated. <sup>[f]</sup>10 h. <sup>[g]</sup>100 °C, 72 h.

screening), leading to 74% of isolated yield of 2a with 5 mol%  $[Rh(OAc)_2]_2$  and 4.5 equiv of *t*-BuOK in DMF at 100 °C for 24 h under atmospheric pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, entries 2-5). N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands were also tested, and the IMes·HCl (L6) delivered a comparable yield of 2a as MePhos in 10 h, but at a high temperature of 150 °C and lower yield would be obtained in a longer time (entry 6). After screening the reaction temperature carefully, it was revealed that 100 °C was still the best choice with MePhos as the ligand (entries 7-10). Notably, the choice of solvent was important in this reaction as other solvents such as DMA and diglyme led to much lower yield than DMF (entries 11 and 12). The reaction was almost shut down in the absence of a rhodium catalyst or the ligand (entries 13-15), and side product  $2a_1$  would be produced from the well-known Kolbe-Schmitt type of reaction under basic conditions (entry 15) by treating the reaction with SOCl<sub>2</sub> in MeOH during reaction work-up. Interestingly, the carboxylation at the *ortho*-position of phenolic hydroxyl group carboxylation was not observed, which might result from the use of potassium base, since the potassium cation could promote the formation of *para*-carboxylated products under basic conditions.<sup>[2a]</sup> However, by using the above work-up method only trace of (about 2%)  $2a_1$ was detected under the best reaction conditions in entry 2. The effect of base was also examined and t-BuOK was found to be the most suitable one (entries16 and 17). Moreover, other Rh-catalysts such as [Rh(Cp\*)Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> were also employed, but the reaction was almost inhibited (entry 18). In addition, good yield of 2a could also be generated in 48 h when the loading of [Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> was decreased to 2.5 mol% (entry 19). Finally, to test the carboxylic source,  $^{\left[ 11i\right] }$  CO\_2 was replaced with CO and not any desired product was obtained (entry 20). Since other solvents like *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide could also lead to the desired prouct (see SI), it was concluded that DMF, which might decompose to generate CO and is the most likely carboxylic source except CO<sub>2</sub>, was not likely the carboxylic source for this reaction.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we explored the scope of this reaction with substituted 2-(pyridin-4-yl)phenols and 2-(pyridin-3-yl)phenols, leading to a class of pyrido-coumarin derivatives in generally good to excellent yields (Scheme 2). Substrates with one or two methyl substituents on the phenolic ring reacted efficiently to give the desired products (2b-2f) in good yields, which also indicated that the number and the position of the methyl substituent on the aromatic ring exerted a small influence on the reaction. Since the substrates with substituents at the *para*-position of the hydroxyl of the phenolic ring was readily available, several parasubstituted substrates with other alkyl substituent were also investigated and they were well tolerated (2g-2j). It is worth mentioning that products 2g-2i could still be obtained in high yields with a lower loading of [Rh(OAc)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mol%), albeit in a longer reaction time. Subsequently, substrates bearing electron-donating methoxyl and electron-withdrawing groups such as fluoro and phenyl groups were also smoothly converted to the desired products (2k-2n). Notably, acetal **10** was compatible with this reaction, albeit in a modest yield, enabling further elaborations at this position (20). Interestingly, a carbon-carbon double bond transfer occurred when 4-allyl-2-(pyridin-4-yl)phenol 1p was employed as the substrate, affording a propenyl product 2p. However, a hindered methyl substitution on the phenol ring wa not well tolerated (2q). Moreover, a hindered methyl substitution on the pyridin-4-yl ring could not be tolerated (2r). And a fluorine at the *ortho*-position of nitrogen atom led to no product with partial



Scheme 2. Synthetic elaborations of carboxylated product 2a.

decomposition of the substrate (2s). Pleasingly, reactions of substrates with a methyl or methoxyl group at the *ortho*-position of nitrogen atom on the pyridin-4-yl ring could afford good yields of desired products (2t and 2u). Subsequently, 2-(pyridin-3yl)phenols were examined and the desired products could be obtained with high regio-selectivity using IMes·HCl as the ligand (2v-2x), although a higher temperature (2v and 2w) or a longer reaction time (2x) was necessary. Unfortunately, quinoline and isoquinoline derivatives only led to very low yields of desired products (2y and 2z).

The utility of this methodology was demonstrated by further elaboration of the pyrido-coumarin product 2a (Scheme 3). Firstly, the C-H carboxylation reaction of **1a** could be easily scaled up to a 7.5 mmol scale with the model substrate 1a, affording 2a (1.09 g) in 74% yield. Treatment of 2a with BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O/NaBH<sub>4</sub> or DIBAL-H gave the corresponding reduced product 2aa and 2ab in 74% and 80% yields, respectively. Furthermore, triflate 2ad was produced in 90% yield from 2ac, which was prepared from 2a with LiHMDS and Bn<sub>2</sub>NH, by triflation of the hydroxyl group. Triflate 2ad was then transformed to a range of synthetically useful substances with well-established coupling reactions such as cyanation (2ae), arylation (2af) and alkenylation (2ag) in good yields, demonstrating the versatility of the synthetic elaborations of this method.



**Figure 1.** Ortep drawing of the asymmetric unit the crystal structure of complex **3** at 30% probability level.<sup>[15]</sup>

To gain more insights into the reaction mechanism, we obtained the potential active catalyst Rh(II) (Fig. 1), complex 3 whose structure was characterized by X-ray crystallography. Similar yield of desired product 2a could be produced with 5 mol% of complex 3 with another 5 mol% of the ligand MePhos (L1), which implied that a total of 10 mol% of the ligand was required in this reaction (see SI). <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> was also utilized to confirm the carboxylic source [Eq. (1)], and the <sup>13</sup>C NMR as well as the high-resolution mass spectrometry clearly indicated that  $CO_2$  was the carboxylic source (see SI).<sup>[11i]</sup> Based on the above investigations as well as our previous study,<sup>[8]</sup> the proposed tentative catalytic cycle is depicted in Fig. 2. First, deprotonation of 1a with t-BuOK would generate the salt 1a', which would coordinate with complex A that is formed in



situ, leading to complex **B**. C–H bond activation of **B** via a proton abstraction process with the assistance of an external base ROK (such as t-BuOK and t-BuOCO<sub>2</sub>K) affords the metallacycle C. C can be transformed back to **B** by protonolysis reversibly. Nucleophilic carboxylation of **C** with CO<sub>2</sub> affords the rhodium carboxylate complex D. Nucleophilic carboxylation might be reversible and reproduce C. The eight-membered complex **D**, which might be unstable, can be converted to complex E by ligand exchange with KOAc. Another ligand exchange of rhodium carboxylate E with KOAc and subsequent protonolysis of the resulting carboxylate, followed by a lactonization, would generate the desired product 2a and complex A as well. The lactonization should be fast and the stability of the lactonization product 2a might be the key for the shift of the carboxylation-decarboxylation equilibrium to the product side. It should also be noted other catalytic cycles such as that involves other valent Rh species cannot be ruled out completely at present.



Figure 2. Tentative catalytic cycle.

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient Rh(II)-catalyzed C–H carboxylation of 2-pyridylphenols with CO<sub>2</sub> under redox-neutral

conditions. This novel method was compatible with challenging electron-deficient pyrido-heterocycles, leading to generally high yields of a series of pyridocoumarins that are key structural motifs in biologically important molecules. Product derivatizations may also provide a short-cut to access to a new class of pyrido-heterocycles.

### **Experimental Section**

for General Procedure Carboxylation of 2-Pyridylphenols 1 with CO<sub>2</sub>: In a glove box, to an ovendried 50 mL Schlenk sealed tube (with a Teflon cap) equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added 1 (0.1 mmol), Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (2.5-5 mol %), ligand (5-10 mol %, MePhos or IMes·HCl) and t-BuOK (50 mg, 0.45 mmol) (Note: t-BuOK should be dry). After taken out of the glove box, the tube was evacuated under vacuum and charged with CO<sub>2</sub> (1 atm,  $\times$  3). Then anhydrous solvent (1.0 mL) was added along the inside wall of the tube loaded with  $CO_2$ . Afterwards, the reaction tube was evacuated briefly under vacuum and charged with  $CO_2$  (1 atm,  $\times$  3). The tube was capped and then placed into a preheated hotplate (100 or 150 °C). The reaction was stirred vigorously (Note: good stirring is important!) for 12-48 h and cooled to room temperature. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL) and filtered through a short pad of Celite. The tube and Celite pad were washed with an additional 10 mL of EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and purified by flash silica gel chromatography or preparative thin layer chromatography using petroleum ether/EtOAc (3/1) as the eluent to afford the desired product.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank the financial supports from NSFC (Grant No. 21702206, 21402198), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2017J06007, 2017J05038), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB20000000). Y. Gao also thanks the National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents (BX201700244) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M622087).

#### References

[1] a) P. Braunstein, D. Matt, D. Nobel, Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 747. b) T. Sakakura, J.-C. Choi, H. Yasuda, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2365. c) A. Correa, R. Martín, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6317; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6201; d) K. Huang, C.-L. Sun, Z.-J. Shi, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2435; e) F. Manjolinho, M. Arndt, K. Gooßen, L. J. Gooßen, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 2014; f) M. He, Y. Sun, B. Han, Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 9798; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9620; g) M. Aresta, A. Dibenedetto, A. Angelini, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 1709; h) B. Yu, L.-N. He, ChemSusChem 2015, 8, 52; i) Q. Liu, L. Wu, R. Jackstell, M. Beller, Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 5933; j) C. Martín, G. Fiorani, A. W. Kleij, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1353; k) M. Börjesson, T. Moragas, D. Gallego, R. Martin, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6739; 1) S. Wang, G. Du, C. Xi, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 3666; m) Q.-W. Song, Z.-H. Zhou, L.-N. He, Green Chem. 2017, 19, 3707; n) W. Zhang, N. Zhang, C. Guo,

X. Lü, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *37*, 1309; o) Z. Zhang, T. Ju, J.-H. Ye, D.-G. Yu, *Synlett* **2017**, *28*, 741; p) G. Yuan, C. Qi, W. Wu, H. Jiang, *Curr. Opin. Green Sustainable Chem.* **2017**, *3*, 22; q) A. Tortajada, F. Juliá-Hernández, M. Börjesson, T. Moragas, R. Martin, *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, DOI: 10.1002/ange.201803186; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.201803186.

- [2] a) A. S. Lindsey, H. Jeskey, Chem. Rev. 1957, 57, 583;
  b) D. M. Dalton, T. Rovis, Nat. chem. 2010, 2, 710; c) I.
  I. F. Boogaerts, S. P. Nolan, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 3021; d) L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 3926; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3842; e) Y.
  Tsuji, T. Fujihara, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9956; f)
  L. Zhang, Z. Hou, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3395; g) M. Brill,
  F. Lazreg, C. S. J. Cazin, S. P. Nolan, Top. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 53, 225; h) J. Luo, I. Larrosa, ChemSusChem 2017, 10, 3317; i) Y.-Y. Gui, W.-J.
  Zhou, J.-H. Ye, D.-G. Yu, ChemSusChem 2017, 10, 1337.
- [3] I. I. F. Boogaerts, S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8858.
- [4] a) L. Zhang, J. Cheng, T. Ohishi, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem. **2010**, 122, 8852; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 8670;
  b) H. Inomata, K. Ogata, S. I. Fukuzawa, Z. Hou, Org. Lett. **2012**, 14, 3986.
- [5] I. I. F. Boogaerts, G. C. Fortman, M. R. L. Furst, C. S. J. Cazin, S. P. Nolan, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 8856; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 8674.
- [6] a) H. Mizuno, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1251; b) T. Suga, H. Mizuno, J. Takaya N. Iwasawa, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14360; c) T. Suga, T. Saitou, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 1454.
- [7] During the revision of our manuscript, the following reports of Pd-catalyzed C–H carboxylation of arenes with CO<sub>2</sub> were published: a) L. Song, L. Zhu, Z. Zhang, J.-H. Ye, S.-S. Yan, J.-L. Han, Z.-B. Yin, Y. Lan, D.-G. Yu, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 3776; b) L. Song, G.-M. Cao, W.-J. Zhou, J.-H. Ye, Z. Zhang, X.-Y. Tian, J. Li, D.-G. Yu, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2018**, *5*, 2086.
- [8] a) K. Sasano, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10954; b) Z. Zhang, T. Ju, M. Miao, J.-L. Han, Y.-H. Zhang, X.-Y. Zhu, J.-H. Ye, D.-G. Yu, Y.-G. Zhi, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 396.
- [9] L. Fu, S. Li, Z. Cai, Y. Ding, X.-Q. Guo, L.-P. Zhou, D. Yuan, Q.-F. Sun, G. Li, *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 469.
- [10] a) D. Wilson, A. P. Termin, L. T. D. Fanning, P. Krenitsky, P. Joshi, WO 2006/119390 A1, 2006; b) T. Koike, M. Yoshikawa, H. Ando, W. J. Farnaby, S. Ikeda, Y. Kajita, T. Nishi, US 2016/0024049 A1, 2016; c) M. L. Quan, Z. Hu, C. Wang, S. Patil, WO 2015/002915 A1, 2015.
- [11] Selected examples of C–H carboxylation with CO<sub>2</sub>: a)
  H. Sugimoto, I. Kawata, H. Taniguchi, Y. Fujiwara, J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 266, c44; b) G. A. Olah, B. Török, J. P. Joschek, I. Bucsi, P. M. Esteves, G. Rasul,

G. Surya Prakash, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11379; c) K. Nemoto, H. Yoshida, N. Egusa, N. Morohashi, T. Hattori, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7855; d) D. Yu, Y. Zhang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2010, 107, 20184; e) L. J. Gooßen, N. Rodríguez, F. Manjolinho, P. P. Lange, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2913; f) W.-Z. Zhang, W.-J. Li, X. Zhang, H. Zhou, X.-B. Lu, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4748; g) O. Vechorkin, N. Hirt, X. Hu, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3567; h) W.-J. Yoo, M. G. Capdevila, X. Du, S. Kobayashi, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5326; i) C.-X. Guo, B. Yu, J.-N. Xie, L.-N. He, Green Chem. 2015, 17, 474. j) Y. Masuda, N. Ishida, M. Murakami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14063; k) W.-Z. Zhang, M.-W. Yang, X.-B. Lu, Green Chem. 2016, 18, 4181; 1) Z. Zhang, L.-L. Liao, S.-S. Yan, L. Wang, Y.-Q. He, J.-H. Ye, J. Li, Y.-G. Zhi, D.-G. Yu, Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 7184; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 7068; m) J. Luo, S. Preciado, P. Xie, I. Larrosa, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 6798; n) S. Wang, P. Shao, G. Du, C. Xi, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 6672; o) A. Banerjee, G. R. Dick, T. Yoshino, M. W. Kanan, Nature 2016, 531, 215; p) S. Fenner, L. Ackermann, Green Chem. 2016, 18, 3804; q) N. Ishida, Y. Masuda, S. Uemoto, M. Murakami, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 6524; r) F. Julia-Hernandez, T. Moragas, J. Cornella, R. Martin, Nature 2017, 545, 84; s) H. Seo, M. H. Katcher, T. F. Jamison, Nat. chem. 2017, 9, 453; t) K. Michigami, T. Mita, Y. Sato, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6094.

[12] Selected examples of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation for small molecule synthesis: a) K. Ukai, M. Aoki, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8706; b) T. Ohishi, M. Nishiura, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 120, 5876; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5792; c) C. S. Yeung, V. M. Dong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7826; d) C. M. Williams, J. B. Johnson, T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14936; e) A. Correa, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15974; f) S. Li, W. Yuan, S. Ma, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 2626; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2578; g) T. Fujihara, K. Nogi, T. Xu, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9106; h) X. Zhang, W.-Z. Zhang, L.-L. Shi, C.-X. Guo, L.-L. Zhang, X.-B. Lu, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 6292; i) B. Yu, Z.-F. Diao, C.-X. Guo, C.-L. Zhong, L.-N. He, Y.-N. Zhao, Q.-W. Song, A.-H. Liu, J.-Q. Wang, Green Chem. 2013, 15, 2401; j) H. Tran-Vu, O. Daugulis, ACS Catal 2013, 3, 2417; k) Y. Li, T. Yan, K. Junge, M. Beller, Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 10644; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014. 53, 10476; 1) A. Ueno, M. Takimoto, W. W. O, M. Nishiura, T. Ikariya, Z. Hou, Chem. Asian J. 2015, 10, 1010; m) W. Xiong, C. Qi, Y. Peng, T. Guo, M. Zhang, H. Jiang, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 14314; n) Xiong, W.; Qi, C.; He, H.; Ouyang, L.; Zhang, M.; Jiang, H. Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 3127; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3084; o) L. Zhang, Z. Han, X. Zhao, Z. Wang, K. Ding, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 127, 6284; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6186; p) B. Yu, J.-N. Xie, C.-L. Zhong, W. Li, L.-N. He, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 3940; q) Q. Qian, M. Cui, Z. He, C. Wu, Q. Zhu, Z. Zhang, J. Ma, G. Yang, J. Zhang, B. Han, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 5685; r) W.-Z. Zhang, M.-W. Yang, X.-T. Yang, L.-L. Shi, H.-B. Wang, X.-B. Lu, Org. Chem. Front. 2016, 3, 217; s) S. Sun, W. M. Hu, N. Gu, J. Cheng, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 18729; t) K. Shimomaki, K. Murata, R. Martin, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9467; u) Q.-Y. Meng, S. Wang, B. Konig, Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 13611; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13426; v) Y.-Y. Gui, N. Hu, X.-W. Chen, L.-L. Liao, T. Ju, J.-H. Ye, Z. Zhang, J. Li, D.-G. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 17011; w) W.-Z. Zhang, N. Zhang, Y.-Q. Sun, Y.-W. Ding, X.-B. Lu, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 8072; x) W. Xiong, C. Qi, T. Guo, M. Zhang, K. Chen, H. Jiang, Green Chem. 2017, 19 1642.

- [13] For Rh-catalyzed C–H activation: a) P. Albano, M. Aresta, M. Manassero, *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, *19*, 1069;
  b) M. Kim, J. Kwak, S. Chang, *Angew. Chem.* 2009, *121*, 9097; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, *48*, 8935; c) F. W. W.-D. Patureau, J.; Glorius, F., *Aldrichimica Acta* 2012, *45*, 31; d) G. Song, F. Wang, X. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, *41*, 3651; e) N. Kuhl, N. Schröder, F. Glorius, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014, *356*, 1443; f) G. Song, X. Li, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, *48*, 1308; h) Y. Yang, K. Li, Y. Cheng, D. Wan, M. Li, J. You, *Chem Commun.* 2016, *52*, 2872; i) S.-S. Li, L. Qin, L. Dong, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2016, *14*, 4554; j) X. Qi, Y. Li, P. Bai, Y. Lan, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2017, *50*, 2799.
- [14] For lactonization via C-H activation: a) X.-F. Wu, H. Neumann, M. Beller, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113*, 1; b) B. Liu, F. Hu, B.-F. Shi, *ACS Catal.* 2015, *5*, 1863; c) Y. Lu, D. Leow, X. Wang, K. M. Engle, J.-Q. Yu, *Chem.Sci.* 2011, *2*, 967; d) S. Luo, F. X. Luo, X.-S. Zhang, Z.-J. Shi, *Angew. Chem.* 2013, *125*, 10792; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 10598; e) T.-H. Lee, J. Jayakumar, C.-H. Cheng, S.-C. Chuang, *Chem. Commun.* 2013, *49*, 11797; f) K. Inamoto, J. Kadokawa, Y. Kondo, *Org. Lett.* 2013, *15*, 3962.
- [15] CCDC-1819284 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

#### UPDATE

Rhodium(II)-Catalyzed Aryl C–H Carboxylation of 2-Pyridylphenols with  $\mathrm{CO}_2$ 

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page – Page

Zhihua Cai, <sup>a,b</sup> Shangda Li, <sup>a</sup> Yuzhen Gao, <sup>a</sup> and Gang Li<sup>a,b\*</sup>

