Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fluor

# Copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of diaryliodonium salts with difluoromethyltriflate



# Jing-Yun Yang<sup>a</sup>, Xiu-Hua Xu<sup>a</sup>, Feng-Ling Qing<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Science, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, China <sup>b</sup> College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Lu, Shanghai 201620, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 March 2016 Received in revised form 10 April 2016 Accepted 12 April 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Copper Trifluoromethylation Diaryliodonium salts Difluorocarbene Difluoromethyltriflate

# 1. Introduction

The growing presence of fluorine in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals [1] has recently stimulated a renewed interest in synthetic methods of fluorinated compounds [2,3]. Especially, tremendous efforts have been devoted to the development of new processes for the direct introduction of the trifluoromethyl group into organic molecules [3]. Over the past several years, the transition-metal-mediated/catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aromatic compounds with electrophilic [4], nucleophilic [5], and radical [6] sources of CF<sub>3</sub> has become a field of intense research effort. Despite great achievements have been made in this research area, with the success of positron emission tomography (PET) and the consequent upsurge of interest in [<sup>18</sup>F]radiochemistry [7], there is a pressing need for development of new trifluoromethylation methods, which are potentially useful for PET imaging.

Up to now, all of the reported [<sup>18</sup>F]trifluoromethylation of aromatic compounds relied on the difluorocarbene/fluoride/copper multicomponent strategy [8]. This difluorocarbene-derived trifluoromethylation strategy was independently developed by Burton and Chen in the early 1990s [9]. They found that the reactions of aryl halides and methyl halodifluoroacetate in the presence of potassium

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluchem.2016.04.008 0022-1139/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### ABSTRACT

The reaction of diaryliodonium salts with difluoromethyltriflate in the presence of TBAT and CuTC gave the corresponding trifluoromethylated arenes in moderate yields. Compared to other difluorocarbenederived trifluoromethylation reactions, the current one proceeded at mild reaction conditions (room temperature) within short reaction time (5 min).

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

fluoride and copper iodide afforded trifluoromethylated products (Scheme 1a). Based on the same strategy, Zhang and co-workers recently developed a new reagent, trimethylsilyl chlorodifluoroacetate (TCDA), for the synthesis of trifluoromethylated (hetero) arenes (Scheme 1b) [10]. Although these reactions are efficient, normally high reaction temperature (90-120 °C) and long reaction times (1-10h) are required. Thus, the development of the new difluorocarbene-derived trifluoromethylation reactions under mild reaction conditions within short time remains a considerable unmet need. Very recently, we reported a convenient method for the preparation of trifluoromethylated arenes from the copper-mediated coupling reaction of diarvliodonium salts with TMSCF<sub>3</sub> at room temperature [11a]. Inspired by this work, we envisioned that diaryliodonium salts should also served as suitable coupling partners in difluorocarbene-derived trifluoromethylation reactions. Owing to the highly electron-deficient nature and excellent leaving-group ability [12], diaryliodonium salts are expected to undergo fast transformations, which should enable the use of much milder reaction conditions compared to the trifluoromethylation of aryl halides. As a part of our ongoing research in the development of new methods for trifluoromethylation reaction [11], we describe here the copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of diaryliodonium salts with difluoromethyltriflate. This protocol is highlighted by the mild reaction conditions (room temperature) and short reaction time (5 min).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Lu, Shanghai 201620, China.

E-mail address: flq@mail.sioc.ac.cn (F.-L. Qing).

previous work

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} Ar-X & + & YCF_2CO_2Me & \xrightarrow{KF, Cul} & Ar-CF_3 & (a) \\ X = Cl, Br, l & Y = Cl, Br, l & 4-10 h \end{array}$$

Ar-I + CICF<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>TMS 
$$\xrightarrow{\text{AgF, Cul}}$$
 Ar-CF<sub>3</sub> (b)

this work

$$Ar^{/} \xrightarrow{H} Ar^{-} + HCF_{2}OTf \xrightarrow{TBAT, CuTC} Ar^{-}CF_{3} (c)$$

**Scheme 1.** Difluorocarbene-derived trifluoromethylation of aromatic compounds.

#### 2. Results and discussion

Initially, [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylmesityliodonium triflate (1a) was chosen as the test substrate to optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). Treatment of 1a with [Ph<sub>3</sub>PCF<sub>2</sub>Br]Br, a well-known difluorocarbene precursor [13], in the presence of  $CuBF_4$ ·(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub> and KF at room temperature could not give the desired product 2a (entry 1). After the addition of 18-crown-6, product 2a was formed in 8% yield (entry 2). Then, other common difluorocarbene precursors including ClCF<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Me [14], TMSCF<sub>2</sub>Br [15], and HCF<sub>2</sub>OTf [16] were tested. To our delight, the yield of **2a** was obtained in 57% vield when HCF<sub>2</sub>OTf was used (entry 5), while ClCF<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Me and TMSCF<sub>2</sub>Br were not effective (entries 3 and 4). Although other copper salts, such as CuCl, CuI, CuSCN,  $(CuOTf)_2 \cdot C_6 H_6$  and CuTC, could also promote this reaction (entries 6-10), only CuTC shown better reactivity than CuBF<sub>4</sub>·(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>4</sub>, affording **2a** in 62% yield (entry 10). Subsequently, different fluoride sources including CsF, TBAF, and TBAT were screened (entries 11-13). Among them, TBAT was proven the optimal one to give the highest yield of 2a (77%) (entry 13). It was noteworthy that this yield was obtained in only

#### Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions.<sup>a</sup>



_					
E	ntry	[:CF <sub>2</sub> ] source	Cu salt	F source	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
	1	[Ph <sub>3</sub> PCF <sub>2</sub> Br]Br	CuBF <sub>4</sub> ·(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub>	KF	0
	2	[Ph <sub>3</sub> PCF <sub>2</sub> Br]Br	CuBF <sub>4</sub> ·(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub>	KF/18-crown-6	8
	3	ClCF <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Me	CuBF <sub>4</sub> ·(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub>	KF/18-crown-6	0
	4	TMSCF <sub>2</sub> Br	CuBF <sub>4</sub> ·(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub>	KF/18-crown-6	0
	5	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuBF <sub>4</sub> ·(CH <sub>3</sub> CN) <sub>4</sub>	KF/18-crown-6	57
	6	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuCl	KF/18-crown-6	12
	7	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	Cul	KF/18-crown-6	45
	8	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuSCN	KF/18-crown-6	15
	9	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	(CuOTf) <sub>2</sub> ·C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	KF/18-crown-6	12
	10	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuTC	KF/18-crown-6	62
	11	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuTC	CsF	58
	12	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuTC	TBAF	trace
	13	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuTC	TBAT	77
1	4 <sup>c</sup>	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuTC	TBAT	63
1	5 <sup>d</sup>	HCF <sub>2</sub> OTf	CuTC	TBAT	50

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.1 mmol), Cu salt (0.1 mmol), [:CF<sub>2</sub>] source (0.4 mmol), F source (0.3 mmol), DMF (2.0 mL), under N<sub>2</sub>, rt, 5 min.

Yields were determined by 19F NMR spectroscopy using trifluoromethoxvlbenzene as an internal standard.

<sup>c</sup> Phen (0.1 mmol) was added.

<sup>d</sup> t-BuOK (0.1 mmol) was added. TBAF=tetrabutylammonium fluoride, TBAT= tetrabutylammonium triphenyldifluorosilicate.

5 min and no higher yield was observed after longer time. To improve the reaction yield further, the ligand (phen) or base (t-BuOK) was added to the reaction mixture (entries 14 and 15). However, the yield of **2a** was not raised.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 13), the substrate scope of this copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of diaryliodonium salts with HCF2OTf in the presence of TBAT was investigated. As shown in Scheme 2, a variety of diarviodonium salts 1 including [Mes-I-Ar]OTf and [Mes-I-Ar]BF<sub>4</sub> were conveniently converted into the corresponding trifluoromethylated products 2 in moderate yields. In all cases, the products 2 arose from the reaction of less sterically hindered aryl group, which was consistent with reported Cu-catalyzed reactions of diaryliodonium salts with other nucleophiles [11,17]. The substitution of both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups, such as alkyl, aryl, alkenyl, ether, ester, ketone, cyano, and trifluoromethyl, on the aromatic ring did not affect the efficiency of this transformation (2a-l and 2s). Notably, chloro or iodo containing substrates were compatible with the reaction, enabling further transformations via transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions (2m-o). Naphthyl-type substrates (1p and **q**) furnished the corresponding products (**2p** and **q**) in moderate yields. A CF<sub>3</sub>-containing tricyclic product 2r was also obtained from **1r** under the optimized reaction conditions.

To exhibit the application value of this transformation, the trifluoromethylation of complex compounds were examined (Scheme 3). Treatment of 1t with the standard reaction conditions gave the trifluoromethylated estrone derivative **2t** in 41% yield. Notably, this difluorocarbene-derived trifluoromethylation of compound **1u** proceeded smoothly, providing the desired product **2u**, an important precursor for the synthesis of the calcimimetic agent, Cinacalcet [18].

# 3. Conclusion

We have developed a convenient CuTC-mediated trifluoromethylation of diaryliodonium salts with difluoromethyltriflate in the presence of TBAT. The mild conditions and short reaction time are advantages of this process. Further studies to apply this method for the radiosynthesis of pharmacologically relevant [<sup>18</sup>F]trifluoromethylarenes and heteroarenes are on progress in our laboratory.

# 4. Experimental

#### 4.1. General information

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (TMS as the internal standard) and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra (CFCl<sub>3</sub> as the outside standard and low field is positive) were recorded on a Bruker AM300 or Bruker AM400 spectrometer. <sup>13</sup>C NMR was recorded on a Bruker AM400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm, and coupling constants (*I*) are in Hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m =multiplet. HRMS using EI were obtained on a GC-TOF mass spectrometer. Reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen using glassware that was flame-dried under vacuum. The diaryliodonium salts were prepared from the corresponding iodoarene or arylboronic acid following the procedures below and were directly used [11,19]. All starting materials and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification.

# 4.2. General procedure for the synthesis of diaryliodonium salts

Procedure A: Preparation of diaryliodonium triflates. The indicated iodoarene (9.0 mmol), mCPBA (1.20 g, 10.0 mmol), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>



**Scheme 2.** Scope of substrates. <sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: **1** (0.5 mmol), CuTc (0.5 mmol), HCF<sub>2</sub>OTf (2.0 mmol), TBAT (1.5 mmol), DMF (10.0 mL), under N<sub>2</sub>, rt, 5 min. Yields were determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy using trifluoromethoxylbenzene as an internal standard. Yields in parentheses were isolated yields. <sup>b</sup>Products were obtained from the corresponding trifluoroborates.



Scheme 3. Difluorocarbene-derived trifluoromethylation of complex compounds.

(40 mL) and mesitylene (1.39 mL, 10.0 mmol) was added to a 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar. The solution was cooled to 0 °C. TfOH (1.33 mL, 1.6 eq) was added dropwise over 3 min, then the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirring for 2 h, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) was added to the mixture. The mixture was cooled to

 $-20\,^\circ\text{C}$  for at least 30 min. The diaryliodonium triflates was filtered, washed with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  and dried under vacuum.

**Procedure B:** Preparation of diaryliodonium tetrafluoroborates. To a 250 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was added the indicated arylboronic acid (3.5 mmol) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C. BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (0.48 mL, 3.9 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min. Then a solution of 2-(diacetoxyiodo)mesitylene (1.42 g, 3.9 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture over 2 min. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. Then saturated aqueous NaBF<sub>4</sub> (70 mL) was added with vigorous stirring. After stirring for 45 min, the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 40 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, then Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to -20 °C for at least 30 min. The diaryliodonium tetrafluoroborates was filtered, washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O and dried under vacuum.

# 4.3. General procedure for trifluoromethylation of diaryliodonium salts with difluoromethyltriflate for characterization by <sup>19</sup>NMR spectroscopy

An over-dried 50 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with CuTC (95.3 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq) and TBAT (809.8 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq). The seal tube was evacuated and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub>. Then HCF<sub>2</sub>OTf (233  $\mu$ L, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 eq) and DMF (10.0 mL) were added by syringes. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min and diaryliodonium salt (0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added under N<sub>2</sub>. After stirring for 5 min, the reaction was quenched by H<sub>2</sub>O and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. To the combined organic layers, trifluoromethoxybenzene (66  $\mu$ L, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq) was then added as an internal standard. Then, the reaction mixture was directly characterized by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy.

#### 4.3.1. 1-(Tert-butyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (2b)

Compound **2b** was prepared in 63% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield from (4-(*tert*-butyl)phenyl)(mesityl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (233.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm –62.12 (s, 3F). This assignment matched with those previously reported [4h,11c].

# 4.3.2. 1-(Trifluoromethyl)-4-vinylbenzene (2c)

Compound **2c** was prepared in 43% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield from mesityl (4-vinylphenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (218.0 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm –62.30 (s, 3F). This assignment matched with those previously reported [51,11c].

#### 4.3.3. 1-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (2d)

Compound **2d** was prepared in 57% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield from mesityl (4-methoxyphenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (220.0 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -61.18 (s, 3F). This assignment matched with those previously reported [4h,5j].

# 4.3.4. 1,4-bis(Trifluoromethyl)benzene (21)

Compound **2I** was prepared in 70% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield from mesityl (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (270.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm –63.00 (s, 3F). This assignment matched with those previously reported [6e,11c].

# 4.3.5. 1-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (**2n**)

Compound **2n** was prepared in 56% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield from (3chlorophenyl)(mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (253.4 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm –62.66 (s, 3F). This assignment matched with that previously reported [20].

#### 4.3.6. 1-iodo-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (20)

Compound **20** was prepared in 56% <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield from (4iodophenyl)(mesityl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (268.0 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -62.76 (s, 3F). This assignment matched with those previously reported [5k,6e].

# 4.4. General procedure for the preparation of trifluoromethylated arenes from trifluoromethylation of diaryliodonium salts with difluoromethyltriflate

An over-dried 50 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with CuTC (95.3 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq) and TBAT (809.8 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 eq). The seal tube was evacuated and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub>. Then HCF<sub>2</sub>OTf (233  $\mu$ L, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 eq) and DMF (10.0 mL) were added by syringes. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min and diaryliodonium salt (0.5 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added under N<sub>2</sub>. After stirring for 5 min, the reaction was quenched by H<sub>2</sub>O and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under reduced vacuum. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography or HPLC to provide the desired product.

# 4.4.1. 4-(Trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (2a)

Compound **2a** was prepared following the general procedure in 72% yield, starting from [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl(mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (274.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.74–7.68 (m, 4H), 7.64–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.43 (m, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm –62.38 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 144.9, 139.9, 129.3 (q, *J* = 33.0 Hz), 129.1, 128.3, 127.6, 127.4, 125.8 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.4 (q, *J* = 274.5 Hz). MS (EI): *m/z* (%) 222 (100). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub>: 222.0656; Found [M]<sup>+</sup>, 222.0654.

#### 4.4.2. 1-Phenoxy-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (2e)

Compound **2e** was prepared following the general procedure in 52% yield, starting from mesityl(4-phenoxyphenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (251.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.58 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 4H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -61.75 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 160.7 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 155.9, 130.3, 127.3 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 125.0 (q, *J* = 33.5 Hz), 124.7, 124.4 (q, *J* = 272.0 Hz), 120.1, 118.0. MS (EI): *m/z* 238 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 238.0605; Found [M]<sup>+</sup>, 238.0601.

# 4.4.3. 1-(Benzyloxy)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (2f)

Compound **2f** was prepared following the general procedure in 63% yield, starting from (4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-(mesityl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (258.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.36 (m, 5H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.12 (s, 2H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -61.47 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 161.3 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 136.3, 128.9, 128.4, 127.6, 127.1 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.6 (q, *J* = 272.6 Hz), 123.2 (q, *J* = 32.9 Hz), 115.0, 70.3. MS (EI): *m/z* 252 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 252.0755; Found, 252.0754.

# 4.4.4. Ethyl 3-(trifluoromethyl)benzoate (2g)

Compound **2g** was prepared following the general procedure in 60% yield, starting from (3-(ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl)(mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (272.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 8.28 (s, 1H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dt, *J* = 7.6 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.57-7.53 (m, 1H), 4.40 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -62.92 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 165.4, 132.9, 131.5, 131.1 (q, *J* = 32.9 Hz), 129.4 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 129.1, 126.6 (q, *J* = 4.0 Hz), 123.8 (q, *J* = 272.6 Hz), 61.6, 14.3. MS (EI): *m/z* 218 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 218.0555; Found [M]<sup>+</sup>, 218.0558.

# 4.4.5. Ethyl 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoate (2h)

Compound **2h** was prepared following the general procedure in 72% yield, starting from [4-(ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl](mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (272.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 8.15 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.41 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -63.16 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 165.5, 134.4 (q, *J* = 32.6 Hz), 133.8, 130.1, 125.5 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 123.8 (q, *J* = 273.3 Hz), 61.7, 14.4. MS (EI): *m/z* 218 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>): 218.0555; Found, 218.0550.

# 4.4.6. 1-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethanone (2i)

Compound **2i** was prepared following the general procedure in 64% yield, starting from (4-acetylphenyl)(mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (257.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -63.14 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 197.0, 139.7, 134.4 (q, *J* = 32.7 Hz), 128.6, 125.7 (q, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 123.6 (q, *J* = 272.7 Hz), 26.7. MS (EI): *m*/*z* 188 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 188.0449; Found, 188.0447.

# 4.4.7. 1-[3-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethanone (2j)

Compound **2j** was prepared following the general procedure in 54% yield, starting from (3-acetylphenyl)(mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (257.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 8.16 (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -62.95 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 196.1, 137.7, 131.5, 131.3 (q, J=33.0 Hz), 129.5 (q, J=3.6 Hz), 129.4, 125.1 (q, J=3.2 Hz), 123.8 (q, J=273.0 Hz), 26.5. MS (EI): m/z 188 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 188.0449; Found, 188.0448.

#### 4.4.8. 4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzonitrile (2k)

Compound **2k** was prepared following the general procedure in 62% yield, starting from (4-cyanophenyl)(mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (248.6 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.83 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -63.55 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 134.7 (q, *J* = 33.5 Hz), 132.8, 126.3 (q, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 123.2 (q, *J* = 273.1 Hz), 117.6, 116.2. MS (EI): *m/z* 171 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>4</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N (M<sup>+</sup>): 171.0296; Found, 171.0298.

#### 4.4.9. 2-Chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (2m)

Compound **2m** was prepared following the general procedure in 60% yield, starting from (3-chloro-4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl) (mesityl)iodonium trifluoromethanesulfonate (282.4 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure A**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 8.11 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dd, *J* = 8.4 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -62.89 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 164.9, 137.9, 132.0, 130.8, 129.5 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 129.2 (q, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 128.7 (q, *J* = 3.9 Hz), 123.4 (q, *J* = 272.6 Hz), 52.9. MS (EI): *m/z* 238 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>): 238.0008; Found, 238.0003.

#### 4.4.10. 2-(Trifluoromethyl)naphthalene (2p)

Compound **2p** was prepared following the general procedure in 60% yield, starting from mesityl(naphthalen-2-yl)iodonium tetra-fluoroborate (230.0 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 8.19–8.14 (m, 1H), 8.00-7.89 (m, 3H), 7.67–7.56 (m, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm –62.26 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 134.6, 132.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7 (q, *J* = 32.4 Hz), 127.2, 125.7 (q, *J* = 4.5 Hz), 124.4 (q, *J* = 272.5 Hz), 121.4 (q, *J* = 3.4 Hz). MS (EI): *m/z* 196 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>): 196.0500; Found, 196.0504.

#### 4.4.11. 1-Methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)naphthalene (2q)

Compound **2q** was prepared following the general procedure in 57% yield, starting from mesityl(4-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (237.0 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 8.30–8.27 (m, 1H), 8.11–8.08 (m, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -59.25 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 139.7, 133.2, 129.2, 127.3, 126.5, 125.2 (q, *J* = 273.3 Hz), 125.1, 124.9, 124.9, 124.6 (q, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 124.5 (q, *J* = 30.1 Hz), 19.8. MS (EI): *m/z* 210 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>9</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>): 210.0656; Found, 210.0651.

# 4.4.12. 9,9-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-9H-fluorene (2r)

Compound **2r** was prepared following the general procedure in 56% yield, starting from (9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluoren-2-yl)(mesityl) iodonium tetrafluoroborate (263.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.82-7.73 (m, 2H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 7.6 Hz, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.48 (m, 1H), 7.41-7.39 (m, 2H), 1.53 (s, 6H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -61.62 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 154.3, 154.1, 142.9, 137.9, 129.3 (q, *J* = 32.0 Hz), 128.6, 127.4, 124.8 (q, *J* = 272.5 Hz), 124.5 (q, *J* = 3.6 Hz), 123.0, 120.9, 120.2, 119.8 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 47.2, 27.1. MS (EI): *m/z* 262 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 262.0969; Found, 262.0974.

#### 4.4.13. 4-(Pentyloxy)-4'-(trifluoromethyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (2s)

Compound **2s** was prepared following the general procedure in 56% yield, starting from mesityl(4'-(pentyloxy)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)iodonium (286.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.68-7.63 (m, 4H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.86-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.34 (m, 4H), 0.95 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -62.35 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 159.6, 144.6, 132.1, 128.8 (q, *J* = 32.5 Hz), 128.5, 127.0, 125.8 (q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.6 (q, *J* = 271.8 Hz), 115.2, 68.3, 29.1, 28.4, 22.6, 14.2. IR (thin film)  $\nu$  2959, 2938, 2874, 1606, 150, 1326, 1275, 1169, 1124, 1072, 909, 825, 734 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI): *m/z* 308 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 308.1388; Found, 308.1385.

# 4.4.14. (8R,9S,13S,14S)-13-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-

7,8,9,11,12,13,15,16-octahydro-6H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17 (14H)-one (**2t**)

Compound **2t** was prepared following the general procedure in 41% yield, starting from mesityl((8*R*,9*S*,13*S*,14*S*)-13-methyl-17-oxo-7,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-decahydro-6H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-yl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (293.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 7.39 (m, 2H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 2.98-2.94 (m, 2H), 2.55-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.31 (m, 1H), 2.20-1.98 (m, 4H), 1.67-1.45 (m, 6H), 0.91 (s, 3H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm -62.38 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  ppm 143.9, 137.4, 128.2 (q, *J*=32.2 Hz), 125.9, 125.9 (q, *J*=3.8 Hz), 125.8, 124.5 (q, *J*=273.3 Hz), 122.6 (q, *J*=3.6 Hz), 50.6, 48.0, 44.6, 37.9, 35.9, 31.6, 29.4, 26.3, 25.7, 21.7, 13.9. MS (EI): *m/z* 322 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O (M<sup>+</sup>): 322.1545; Found, 322.1548.

4.4.15. (R)-tert-Butyl (1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl)(3-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propyl)carbamate (**2u**)

Compound **2u** was prepared following the general procedure in 34% yield, starting from (R)-(3-(3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)(1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl)amino)propyl)phenyl)(mesityl)iodonium (360.7 mg, 0.5 mmol) prepared by **procedure B**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 8.18 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, /=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.47 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 1H), 6.91-6.83 (m, 2H), 6.21-6.04 (m, 1H), 3.11-2.75 (m, 2H), 2.16-2.14 (m, 2H), 1.61-1.50 (m, 12H), 1.27-1.21 (m, 1H), 0.78-0.67 (m, 1H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm -62.50 (s, 3F). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100.7 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ ppm 155.5, 142.7, 136.8, 133.8, 132.5, 131.6, 130.5 (q, J=31.9 Hz), 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 126.6, 126.0, 125.7, 125.1, 124.9 (q, J=3.7 Hz), 124.3 (q, J=272.5 Hz), 124.3, 122.6, 79.8, 49.4, 42.1, 33.2, 30.9, 28.7, 17.1. MS (EI): m/z 457 (M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calculated for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>30</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>): 457.2229; Found, 457.2231.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21421002,21332010, 21272036) and the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB21600) for funding this work.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jfluchem.2016.04.008.

#### References

- [1] (a) K. Muller, C. Faeh, F. Diederich, Science 317 (2007) 1881-1886;
  - (b) S. Purser, P.R. Moore, S. Swallow, V. Gouverneur, Chem. Soc. Rev. 37 (2008) 320-330:
  - (c) W.K. Hagmann, J. Med. Chem. 51 (2008) 4359-4369;
  - (d) N.A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem. 54 (2011) 2529-2591;
  - (e) J. Wang, M. Sanchez-Rosello, J.L. Acena, C. del Pozo, A.E. Sorochinsky, S.
  - Fustero, V.A. Soloshonok, H. Liu, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 2432-2506; (f) E.P. Gillis, K.J. Eastman, M.D. Hill, D.J. Donnelly, N.A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem.
  - 58 (2015) 8315-8359.
- [2] (a) T. Furuya, A.S. Kamlet, T. Ritter, Nature 473 (2011) 470-477;
- (b) T. Liang, C.N. Neumann, T. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 8214-8264:
- (c) F. Toulgoat, S. Alazet, T. Billard, Eur. J. Org. Chem. (2014) 2415-2428; (d) A.J. Cresswell, S.G. Davies, P.M. Roberts, J.E. Thomson, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 566-611;
- (e) X.-H. Xu, K. Matsuzaki, N. Shibata, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 731-764;
- (f) C. Ni, M. Hu, J. Hu, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 765–825;
- (g) X. Yang, T. Wu, R.J. Phipps, F.D. Toste, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 826-870;
- (h) T. Ahrens, J. Kohlmann, M. Ahrens, T. Braun, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 931–972;
- (i) C. Alonso, E. Martinez de Marigorta, G. Rubiales, F. Palacios, Chem. Rev. 115
- (2015) 1847–1935.
- [3] (a) O.A. Tomashenko, V.V. Grushin, Chem. Rev. 111 (2011) 4475-4521;
- (b) T. Besset, C. Schneider, D. Cahard, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 5048-5050:
- (c) A. Studer, Angew, Chem, Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 8950-8958:
- (d) Y. Ye, M.S. Sanford, Synlett 23 (2012) 2005-2013;
- (e) S. Barata-Vallejo, A. Postigo, Coord. Chem. Rev. 257 (2013) 3051-3069;
- (f) H. Egami, M. Sodeoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 8294-8308;
- (g) E. Merino, C. Nevado, Chem. Soc. Rev. 43 (2014) 6598–6608; (h) J. Charpentier, N. Fruh, A. Togni, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 650–682;
- (i) X. Liu, C. Xu, M. Wang, Q. Liu, Chem. Rev. 115 (2015) 683-730. [4] (a) J. Xu, D.-F. Luo, B. Xiao, Z.-J. Liu, T.-J. Gong, Y. Fu, L. Liu, Chem. Commun. 47
  - (2011) 4300 4302(b) C.-P. Zhang, Z.-L. Wang, Q.-Y. Chen, C.-T. Zhang, Y.-C. Gu, J.-C. Xiao, Angew.
  - Chem. Int. Ed. 50 (2011) 1896-1900;
  - (c) Y. Huang, X. Fang, X. Lin, H. Li, W. He, K.-W. Huang, Y. Yuan, Z. Weng, Tetrahedron 68 (2012) 9949-9953;
  - (d) T. Liu, X. Shao, Y. Wu, Q. Shen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 540-543; (e) E. Mejia, A. Togni, ACS Catal. 2 (2012) 521–527;
  - (f) J.-J. Dai, C. Fang, B. Xiao, J. Yi, J. Xu, Z.-J. Liu, X. Lu, L. Liu, Y. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 8436-8439;
  - (g) J. Xie, X. Yuan, A. Abdukader, C. Zhu, J. Ma, Org. Lett. 16 (2014) 1768–1771;
  - (h) S. Arimori, N. Shibata, Org. Lett. 17 (2015) 1632-1635;

(i) H. Egami, T. Ide, Y. Kawato, Y. Hamashima, Chem. Commun. 51 (2015) 16675-16678

- [5] (a) G.G. Dubinina, H. Furutachi, D.A. Vicic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130 (2008) 8600-8601:
  - (b) M. Oishi, H. Kondo, H. Amii, Chem. Commun. (2009) 1909-1911;
  - (c) E.J. Cho, T.D. Senecal, T. Kinzel, Y. Zhang, D.A. Watson, S.L. Buchwald, Science 328 (2010) 1679-1681;
  - (d) H. Morimoto, T. Tsubogo, N.D. Litvinas, J.F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50 (2011) 3793-3798;
  - (e) T.D. Senecal, A.T. Parsons, S.L. Buchwald, J. Org. Chem. 76 (2011) 1174-1176; (f) O.A. Tomashenko, E.C. Escudero-Adán, M. MartínezBelmonte, V.V. Grushin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50 (2011) 7655-7659;
  - (g) Y. Ye, S.H. Lee, M.S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 13 (2011) 5464-5467;
  - (h) A. Zanardi, M.A. Novikov, E. Martin, J. Benet-Buchholz, V.V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 20901-20913;
  - (i) N.D. Litvinas, P.S. Fier, J.F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 536-539; (j) P. Novák, A. Lishchynskyi, V.V. Grushin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 (2012) 7767-7770;
  - (k) G. Danoun, B. Bayarmagnai, M.F. Grünberg, L.J. Gooßen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 7972-7975;
  - (1) X. Wang, Y. Xu, F. Mo, G. Ji, D. Qiu, J. Feng, Y. Ye, S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 10330-10333;
  - (m) Z. Gonda, S. Kovacs, C. Weber, T. Gati, A. Meszaros, A. Kotschy, Z. Novak, Org. Lett. 16 (2014) 4268-4271;
  - (n) K. Aikawa, Y. Nakamura, Y. Yokota, W. Toya, K. Mikami, Chem. Eur. J. 21 (2015) 96-100.
- [6] (a) T. Kino, Y. Nagase, Y. Ohtsuka, K. Yamamoto, D. Uraguchi, K. Tokuhisa, T. Yamakawa, J. Fluorine Chem. 131 (2010) 98-105;
  - (b) Y. Li, T. Chen, H. Wang, R. Zhang, K. Jin, X. Wang, C. Duan, Synlett (2011) 1713-1716:
  - (c) D.A. Nagib, D.W.C. MacMillan, Nature 480 (2011) 224-228;
  - (d) Y. Ye, S.A. Kuenzi, M.S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 14 (2012) 4979-4981;
  - (e) Y. Ye, M.S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 9034-9037;
  - (f) M. Chen, S.L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 11628-11631;
  - (g) Y. Li, L. Wu, H. Neumann, M. Beller, Chem. Commun. 49 (2013) 2628–2630; (h) S.R. Dubbaka, M. Salla, R. Bolisetti, S. Nizalapur, RSC Adv. 4 (2014) 6496-6499.
  - (i) X. Li, J. Zhao, L. Zhang, M. Hu, L. Wang, J. Hu, Org. Lett. 17 (2015) 298-301; (j) G. Shi, C. Shao, S. Pan, J. Yu, Y. Zhang, Org. Lett. 17 (2015) 38-41; (k) F. Sladojevich, E. McNeill, J. Börgel, S.-L. Zheng, T. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54 (2015) 3712-3716.
- [7] S. Preshlock, M. Tredwell, V. Gouverneur, Chem. Rev. 116 (2016) 719-766. [8] (a) M. Huiban, M. Tredwell, S. Mizuta, Z. Wan, X. Zhang, T.L. Collier, V.
- Gouverneur, J. Passchier, Nat. Chem. 5 (2013) 941-944; (b) D. vanderBorn, C. Sewing, J.D.M. Herscheid, A.D. Windhorst, R.V.A. Orru, D.J. Vugts, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 11046-11050; (c) T. Ruhl, W. Rafique, V.T. Lien, P.J. Riss, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 6056-6059; (d) P. Ivashkin, G. Lemonnier, J. Cousin, V. Grégoire, D. Labar, P. Jubault, X.
- Pannecoucke, Chem. Eur. J. 20 (2014) 9514–9518. [9] (a) J.G. MacNeil Jr, D.J. Burton, J. Fluorine Chem. 55 (1991) 225–227:
- (b) S. De-Bao, D. Jian-Xiang, C. Qing-Yun, Tetrahedron Lett. 32 (1991) 7689-7690:

  - (c) J.-X. Duan, D.-B. Su, Q.-Y. Chen, J. Fluorine Chem. 61 (1993) 279–284; (d) J.-X. Duan, D.-B. Su, J.-P. Wu, Q.-Y. Chen, J. Fluorine Chem. 66 (1994) 167– 169.
- [10] X. Zhang, J. Wang, Z. Wan, Org. Lett. 17 (2015) 2086–2089.
- [11] (a) J.-Y. Yang, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, J. Fluorine Chem. 180 (2015) 175–180;
   (b) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 7262–7263;
  - (c) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett . 12 (2010) 5060–5063;
  - (d) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134 (2012) 1298-1304;
  - (e) X. Jiang, L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, J. Org. Chem. 77 (2012) 1251–1257; (f) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett. 14 (2012) 2106–2109;

  - (g) X. Wu, L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 2198–2202;
  - (h) X.-Y. Jiang, F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 14177–14180;
  - (i) L. Chu, F.-L. Qing, Acc. Chem. Res. 47 (2014) 1513-1522;
  - (j) Q.-Y. Lin, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, J. Org. Chem. 79 (2014) 10434-10446;

  - (k) W. Yu, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, Adv. Synth. Catal. 357 (2015) 2039–2044; (l) J.-B. Liu, C. Chen, L. Chu, Z.-H. Chen, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54 (2015) 11839-11842;
  - (m) K. Zhang, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, J. Org. Chem. 80 (2015) 7658-7665;
  - (n) B. Yang, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett. 17 (2015) 1906-1909; (o) J.-B. Liu, X.-H. Xu, F.-L. Qing, Org. Lett. 17 (2015) 5048–5051.
- [12] (a) E.A. Merritt, B. Olofsson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 48 (2009) 9052-9070; (b) Z. Xiao, C. Xia, Chin, J. Org. Chem. 33 (2013) 2119-2130;
- c) B. Zhang, X. Zhao, Q. Wu, Y. Guo, Prog. Chem. 25 (2013) 1142–1148. [13] D.J. Burton, D.G. Naae, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973) 8467-8468.
- [14] G.A. Wheaton, D.J. Burton, J. Fluorine Chem. 9 (1977) 25-44.
- [15] L. Li, F. Wang, C. Ni, J. Hu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 12390-12394.
- [16] P.S. Fier, J.F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 52 (2013) 2092–2095.
- (a) R.J. Phipps, L. McMurray, S. Ritter, H.A. Duong, M.J. Gaunt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. [17] 134 (2012) 10773-10776;
- (b) N. Ichiishi, A.J. Canty, B.F. Yates, M.S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 15 (2013) 5134–5137. [18] O.R. Thiel, C. Bernard, W. Tormos, A. Brewin, S. Hirotani, K. Murakami, K. Saito,
- R.D. Larsen, M.J. Martinelli, P.J. Reider, Tetrahedron Lett. 49 (2008) 13-15.

 [19] (a) A. Bigot, A.E. Williamson, M.J. Gaunt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 13778–13781;
 (b) N. Ichiishi, A.J. Canty, B.F. Yates, M.S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 15 (2013) 5134– 5137;

(c) Á. Sinai, Á. Mészáros, T. Gáti, V. Kudar, A. Palló, Z. Novák, Org. Lett. 15 (2013) 5654–5657.
[20] X. Lin, C. Hou, H. Li, Z. Weng, Chem.-Eur. J. 22 (2016) 2075–2084.