# **RSC Advances**



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 31797

# Electrooxidation of glycerol on nickel and nickel alloy (Ni–Cu and Ni–Co) nanoparticles in alkaline media

Biuck Habibi\* and Nasrin Delnavaz

In the present study, nickel (Ni) and Ni alloy (Ni–Cu and Ni–Co) nanoparticles modified carbon-ceramic electrodes (Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE) were prepared by an electrochemical process for the oxidation of glycerol. In order to obtain the surface and physicochemical information, the Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE were investigated by scanning electron microscopy, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and electrochemical techniques. Then, cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry were employed to characterize the electrocatalytic activity of the modified electrodes, Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE, toward the oxidation of glycerol in 1.0 M NaOH solution. It was found that the Ni alloy nanoparticle modified electrodes are catalytically more active than the Ni/CCE, therefore, the alloying of the Ni with Cu and Co in the form of nanoparticles on the carbon-ceramic electrode, as a homemade substrate, greatly enhances the catalytic activity of the Ni-based electrocatalysts (as the non-platinum electrocatalysts) in glycerol oxidation.

Received 9th December 2015 Accepted 19th March 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra26006j www.rsc.org/advances

## 1. Introduction

Metal and metal alloy nanoparticles due to their high specific surface area, reactivity, catalytic and electrocatalytic activities can be used extensively in many fields such as medicine, manufacturing and materials, environmental, energy and electronics.<sup>1-4</sup> Some of the uses of these nanoparticles in the energy field include: application in fuel cells, in solar energy systems, in batteries, in coal liquefaction and in transformers.<sup>5-9</sup> Among of the metal and metal alloys nanoparticles, Pt and Pt alloys due to unique chemical and physical characteristics have important applications in these areas especially in direct liquid fuel cells (DLFCs).<sup>10,11</sup> However, major barriers in the using, spreading and commercializing of the Pt and Pt alloy nanoparticles as electrocatalyst materials in DLFCs are the sluggish kinetics, poisoning by carbonaceous species and their high cost.12,13 In practice, to solve these problems, the researchers have been focused on the alternative nanoparticles (non-platinum) with significant electrochemical activity as anodes or cathodes in DLFCs.14,15 The non-Pt-based nanoparticles are commonly used as electrocatalysts in fuel cells due to low cost, relatively abundant material and suitable catalytic activity.16-18 Nickel (Ni) is one of the most commonly used non-Pt transition metals and is used to manufacture the electrocatalysts that are found in numerous electrochemical systems, such as rechargeable batteries, supercapacitors and fuel cells.<sup>19,20</sup> In fuel cells field, there are few reports about the electrooxidation of alcohols such as methanol and ethanol on the Ni and Ni-based nanoparticles.<sup>21–25</sup> On the other hand, in order to improve the electrocatalytic performance of the Ni-based catalysts, bimetallic Ni such as Ni–Fe, Ni–Cu, Ni–Co, Ni–Cr and Ni–Mn have been investigated and reported.<sup>26–30</sup>

Glycerol is a highly functionalized molecule containing three hydroxyl groups that are responsible for its solubility in water and its hygroscopic nature and is widely available from biosustainable sources and can be produced in a renewable, environmental-friendly, and cost-effective manner.<sup>31</sup> Glycerol would be promising in fuel cells because it is less toxic and inflammable, and also possess relatively high theoretical energy density. On the other hand, it is a good potential hydrogen source for fuel cells given a rapid growth of biodiesel production. The oxidation of glycerol has been systematically studied during the last recent years<sup>32,33</sup> and few groups<sup>29,34-36</sup> have been investigated and shown that the oxidation of glycerol proceeds on the Ni and Ni-based electrocatalysts.

In this work, the Ni and Ni-based alloys nanoparticles were electrodeposited on the carbon-ceramic electrode as a homemade substrate for the electrooxidation of the glycerol. The morphology, structure, composition and electrochemical behavior of the Ni and Ni-based alloys modified electrodes were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and cyclic voltammetric methods, respectively. Then, the cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry approaches were employed to characterize the electrocatalytic activity of the present nanoparticles modified electrodes toward the oxidation

Electroanalytical Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: B.Habibi@azaruniv.ac.ir; biuckhabibi\_a@yahoo.com; Fax: +98-41-34327541; Tel: +98-41-34327541

of glycerol in 1.0 M NaOH solution. It was found that Ni-based alloys modified electrodes were electrocatalytically more active than Ni-alone nanoparticles modified electrode and had satisfactory stability and reproducibility when stored in ambient conditions or continues cycling.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Chemicals

Methyltrimethoxysilane (MTMOS), glycerol, methanol, HCl, NaOH,  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $Na_2SO_4$ ,  $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $CoCl_2$ ,  $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$  and high purity graphite powder were obtained from Merck or Fluka. All solutions were prepared with double distilled water and all experiments were carried out at room temperature.

#### 2.2. Instrumentation

The electrochemical experiments were carried out using an AUTOLAB PGSTAT-30 (potentiostat/galvanostat) equipped with a USB electrochemical interface and driven GEPS software was used for electrochemical experiments. A conventional three electrode cell was used at room temperature. The modified electrodes (Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE) (3 mm diameter) were used as the working electrode. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and a Pt wire were used as the reference and auxiliary electrodes, respectively. JULABO thermostat was used to control cell temperature at 25 °C. A scanning electron microscope (SEM), model LEO1430vp (Carl Zeiss, Germany) equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was used to surfaces and surfaces chemical composition characterizations of the Ni, Ni-Cu and Ni-Co nanoparticles. X-ray diffraction of the nanoparticles was studied using a Bruker AXF (D8 Advance) X-ray power diffractometer with a Cu Ka radiation source ( $\lambda = 0.154056$  nm) generated at 40 kV and 35 mA.

#### 2.3. Preparation of the electrocatalysts

**2.3.1. Preparation of the Ni/CCE.** We have prepared the Ni nanoparticles modified CCE by a two-step procedure:

Step 1: The CCE, as a homemade substrate, was produced according to our previously works.<sup>33,37,38</sup> In briefly, the amount of 0.9 ml MTMOS was mixed with 0.6 ml methanol. After addition of 0.6 ml HCl 0.1 M as the catalyst, the mixture was magnetically stirred (for about 15 min) until producing a clear and homogeneous solution. Then, 0.3 g graphite powder was added and the mixture was stirred for other 5 minutes. Subsequently, the homogenized mixture was firmly packed into a Teflon tube (with 3 mm inner diameter and 10 mm length) and dried for at least 24 h at room temperature. A copper wire was inserted through the other end to set up electric contact. The electrode surface was polished with emery paper grade 1500 and rinsed with double distilled water.

Step 2: The Ni nanoparticles were electrodeposited on the obtained CCE in a 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, pH = 3.4, continuous stirring solution containing 1 mM NiCl<sub>6</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O at -0.6 V *versus* SCE for an optional time.<sup>39</sup> The charge resulting from the complete reduction of the Ni ions at the given time is 2385 mC cm<sup>-2</sup>. This

value corresponds to 0.725 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> of the Ni on the CCE. The Ni/CCE was washed thoroughly with double distilled water and dried before further investigations.

**2.3.2. Preparation of the Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE.** The CCE was prepared according to the above mentioned method. The Ni–Cu and Ni–Co alloys nanoparticles on the CCE were electrodeposited (potentiostatically) from the aqueous solutions of 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> pH = 3.4 comprising 1:1 ratio of NiCl<sub>6</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and CuCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O for Ni–Cu alloy deposition and 1.5:0.5 ratio of NiCl<sub>6</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and CoCl<sub>2</sub> for Ni–Co alloy nanoparticles (total concentration of two salts equal to 2 mM) at -0.6 V *versus* the SCE for a certain time. The mass values of alloys nanoparticles electrodeposited on the surface of the CCE are corresponding to an equivalent amount of Ni similar to Ni/CCE if Ni is considered as the only metal electrodeposited.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Physicochemical characterization of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/ CCE and Ni-Co/CCE

In order to characterize the surface morphology of the present modified electrodes, the surface of the Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE was investigated by SEM and corresponding images were shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 1(A) displays the image of the CCE after Ni nanoparticles electrodeposition. This image reveals a high density of globular particles of the Ni nanoparticles with different sizes. The globular like structure of Ni nanoparticles probably are not the individual Ni crystallites. Undoubtedly, they are collections, like as a globular, consisting of crystallite aggregates. Fig. 1(B) shows the SEM image of Ni–Cu/CCE. As can be seen, the surface of the Ni–Cu/CCE is completely different with respect to Ni/CCE surface. This image shows the hierarchical structures with dendritic morphology (with high magnification in Fig. 1(C)).<sup>40,41</sup> Fig. 1(D) displays the SEM image of the



Fig. 1 SEM images of the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE [(B and C) (high magnification)] and Ni–Co/CCE (D).

#### Paper

CCE after Ni-Co alloy electrodeposition. The Ni-Co alloy with spherical structure and high density formed on the CCE. Its surface investigation shows that the Ni-Co nanoparticles have the size of 30-80 nm. It seems that, each spherical like structures of Ni-Co is not the individual Ni-Co crystallites.42 The surface chemical composition of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE was investigated by EDX analysis (Fig. 2). The EDX spectrum of Ni/CCE (Fig. 2(A)) contains strong peaks at approximately 0.8, 7.5, 8.2 keV for Ni element, suggesting that the single Ni nanoparticles are electrodeposited on the CCE.42 The EDX analysis of the Ni-Cu/CCE (Fig. 2(B)) and Ni-Co/CCE (Fig. 2(C)) reveals the presence of the Ni, Co and Cu atoms. On the other hand, the presence and distribution of the two components (Ni and Cu in the case of Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni and Co in the case of Ni-Co/CCE) registered by the EDX analysis.41,42 In all spectra in Fig. 2, the peaks at about 0.4, 0.7 and 1.9 keV correspond to Si, O and C atoms, respectively, which attributed to the CCE structure.

To characterize the crystal structure of the present modified electrodes, the XRD experiment was used. Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE. In all XRD patterns, the peak at  $2\theta$  of  $55^{\circ}$  corresponds to carbon (006) of the support material (carbon-ceramic). The main peaks in the XRD pattern of the Ni/CCE (A) at  $2\theta$  values of  $42.6^{\circ}$ ,  $43.6^{\circ}$ ,  $44.76^{\circ}$ , 46.41°, 52°, 60.15° and 77.71° corresponding to (010), (002), (111), (011), (200), (012) and (220) crystal planes for Ni, respectively. Using the Scherrer equation;<sup>37</sup>  $D_{\rm c} = 0.9\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$  (where  $\lambda = 0.154056$  nm,  $\beta$  is the full width at half-maximum in radians and  $\theta$  is the peak position in degrees), the average crystallite size  $(D_c)$  of Ni nanoparticles form the  $2\theta$  at the 44.76° (111) is estimated to be about 24 nm. In XRD patterns of the Ni-Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C), the strong diffraction peaks at  $2\theta$  values of 42.6°, 43.6°, 44.76°, 46.41°, 51°, 60.15° and 77.71° corresponding to (010), (002), (111), (011), (200), (012) and (220) for pure Ni, pure Cu or Ni-Cu alloy in the case of the Ni-Cu/CCE and pure Ni, pure Co or Ni-Co alloy in Ni-Co/CCE, respectively.41,43 As can be seen, the XRD pattern of Ni/CCE and also the Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE are almost similar because these elements have successive atomic number, close atomic weight and same crystal structure in the normal conditions.44,45

For the electrochemical characterization of the Ni/CCE, Ni– Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE electrocatalysts the cyclic voltammetric studies were used. Fig. 4 shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs)



Fig. 3 XRD patterns of the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C).

of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE electrocatalysts recorded in the supporting electrolyte at a scan rate 50 mV  $s^{-1}$ ; 1.0 mol  $L^{-1}$  NaOH solution. As can be seen in Fig. 4(A), during the forward scan, after a double layer region in the range of -1.0 to +0.3 V vs. SCE, an anodic peak appears at about +0.4 V (wave  $a_1$ ), where the surface of the Ni/CCE is mainly covered by Ni hydroxides and then the characteristic behavior assigned to redox couple of Ni(II)/Ni(III):  $[Ni(OH)_2 + OH^- \leftrightarrow NiOOH + H_2O +$  $e^{-}$  happens in higher potential region (from 0.6 to 1.0 V) prior to the oxygen evolution reaction. During the reverse scan, a cathodic peak at about +0.25 V (wave  $a'_1$ ), corresponds the  $a_1$ anodic peak  $[Ni + 2OH^- \leftrightarrow Ni(OH)_2 + 2e^-]$  and also a small cathodic peak at about -0.6 V (wave  $D_1$ ) occur, represents the existence of different phases of Ni oxides on the Ni/CCE surface. On the other hand, the later cathodic peak,  $D_1$ , could possibly be due to the discharge of the  $\gamma$ -NiOOH phase to  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> obtained during the charging of  $\beta$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> or partially overcharging of the  $\beta$ -NiOOH.<sup>46,47</sup> The electrochemical behavior of



Fig. 2 EDX spectrums of the Ni/CCE (A), Ni-Cu/CCE (B) and Ni-Co/CCE (C).



Fig. 4 Cyclic voltammograms of the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C) recorded at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 1.0 M NaOH solution.

Ni electrode in alkaline medium has been reported previously.<sup>48–52</sup> Comparison the reported results in the literature with our results shows a good agreement between them. Based on the stated results, Ni electrode is oxidized to a highly hydrated  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> according to: Ni + 2OH<sup>-</sup>  $\leftrightarrow$  Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> + 2e<sup>-</sup>, at the lower potentials in the first scan of cyclic voltammetry studies and the resulted hydroxides [ $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>] cover the electrode surface. The electrooxidation of Ni to  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> is a reversible process; wave a<sub>1</sub> and a'<sub>1</sub> in Fig. 4(A). With increasing the potential in the positive direction the  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> convert to the  $\beta$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>, which is more stable and less hydrated than  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> to  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> and also to atomic Ni is not possible. At the more positive potentials, the  $\beta$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> converted to NiOOH.<sup>23,30,34,51-53</sup>

Fig. 4(B) shows the CV of the Ni–Cu/CCE in the same supporting electrolyte. Besides the anodic (wave  $a_2$ ) and cathodic (wave  $a'_2$ ) peaks in the CV of the Ni–Cu/CCE, there are two other anodic peaks,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , from -0.6 to 0.0 V and one cathodic peak,  $C_1$ , at the about -0.9 V correspond to the following reactions:<sup>54–57</sup>

 $Cu + OH^- \rightarrow Cu(OH) + e^-$ : wave  $A_1$  $Cu (OH) + OH^- \rightarrow CuO + H_2O + e^-$ : wave  $A_2$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \text{CuO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{e}^- &\rightarrow \text{Cu(OH)} + \text{OH}^- \text{ or } 2\text{CuO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \\ &\rightarrow \text{Cu}_2\text{O} + 2\text{OH}^-\text{: wave } \text{C}_1 \end{aligned}$ 

and also some cumulative effects of the presence of the Cu atoms on the anodic and cathodic peak currents of Ni system. So that, the anodic and cathodic peak currents of Ni oxidation and reduction on the Ni–Cu/CCE were increased two orders with respect to Ni/CCE [Fig. 4(A)]. Therefore the surface oxides layer on the Ni–Cu alloy nanoparticles modified electrode is subsequently transformed to a mixture of NiOOH and CuO or Cu(OH). Also, according to the literature,<sup>57,58</sup> some of the Cu(OH) and CuO can be oxidized further to the Cu<sup>3+</sup> oxide in high potentials prior to the oxygen evolution reaction.

While the Ni–Co/CCE electrocatalyst in supporting electrolyte exhibits a CV [Fig. 4(C)] that is very similar to the CV of the Ni/CCE in the same electrolyte. However, some differences, especially in the peak currents and potential region of the redox

couple of the Ni +  $2OH^- \leftrightarrow Ni(OH)_2 + 2e^-$ , appear on it. The presence of Co atoms in the electrocatalyst structure changes the peak currents and peak potentials; the anodic peak current (wave  $a_3$ ) and corresponding cathodic peak current (wave  $a'_3$ ) in CV of the Ni–Co/CCE ( $I_{\text{wave } a_3} = 1.8 \text{ mA}$ ) are higher than that of the Ni/CCE  $[I_{\text{wave }a_1} = 0.5 \text{ mA in Fig. 4(A)}]$  with sharp appearances and in less positive potentials. Also, in the reverse scan the cathodic peak (wave D<sub>3</sub>) was increased more than two orders with respect to Ni/CCE, indicating the existence of different phases of Ni and Co oxides on the Ni-Co/CCE surface. These changes in the CV profile of the Ni-Co/CCE are probably due to the formation of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> from the corresponding Co atoms that occurs earlier than that of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>. It is reported that the addition of Cu or Co to Ni particles such as Ni-Cu or Ni-Co alloys modified electrodes or even other metals such as Cd, Zn, Ca and Ti elements as solid solutes to the Ni, represents a very efficient strategy of suppressing the formation of the  $\beta$ -NiOOH phase.47,56-58 Therefore, the electrochemical behavior of the pure electrodeposited Ni and Ni-Cu and Ni-Co alloy modified electrodes reveals good stabilization of the  $\beta$  oxyhydroxide form on the alloy nanoparticles and the effects of this phenomenon obviously appearance on the CV profiles of the Ni-Cu and Ni-Co alloy modified electrodes.47

# 3.2. Electrocatalytic activity of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE

Fig. 5 shows the CVs of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE electrocatalysts in the absence and presence of the glycerol in alkaline solution. Red lines in Fig. 5 display the CVs of Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE in 1.0 M NaOH solution containing 0.1 M glycerol at a scan rate 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. As can be seen in the CVs of the Ni/CCE (A), Ni-Cu/CCE (B) and Ni-Co/CCE (C), the oxidation reaction of glycerol has the same appearance and starts concomitantly with the formation of the Ni/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> on the Ni/CCE (Fig. 5(A)) and corresponding peaks at the alloy nanoparticles on the Ni-Cu/CCE (Fig. 5(B)) and Ni-Co/CCE (Fig. 5(C)). The electrooxidation of glycerol commences (onset oxidation potential) at about 0.4 V, 0.3 V and 0.219 V vs. SCE on the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE electrocatalysts, respectively. At potentials more than ca. 0.4 V on the Ni/CCE, 0.3 V on the Ni-Cu/CCE and 0.219 V on the Ni-Co/CCE, the reaction becomes accelerated and maximum currents occur at ca. 0.79 V Paper



Fig. 5 Cyclic voltammograms of the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C) recorded at 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in absence (black lines) and presence (red lines) of 0.1 M glycerol in 1.0 M NaOH solution.

(Ni/CCE), 0.72 V (Ni-Cu/CCE) and 0.55 V (Ni-Co/CCE), respectively. The performance of the present electrocatalysts toward the oxidation of the glycerol was evaluated by three parameters: (1) onset oxidation potential, (2) forward anodic peak potential and (3) forward anodic peak current. These electrochemical parameters in the glycerol oxidation at the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE electrocatalysts are listed in Table 1. As can be seen in Table 1, the lower onset oxidation potential, forward anodic peak potential and higher anodic peak current for Nibased alloys disclose that the Ni-based alloys have the high electrocatalytic activity toward the glycerol oxidation with respect to alone Ni modified electrode (Ni/CCE). As mentioned above, due to the higher surface concentration of the β-NiOOH form in the Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE because of the presence of the Cu and Co, the alloy modified electrodes generate a higher electrocatalytic activity toward glycerol oxidation in NaOH solution with respect to Ni/CCE. On the other hand, comparison of the black lines (absence of glycerol) and red lines (presence of glycerol) in Fig. 5 shows that the oxygen evolution reaction occurs at more positive potentials when glycerol is present in NaOH solution. As reported in the literature,<sup>59</sup> this may be due to a higher adsorption affinity of elevated valence Ni or mixed Ni-Cu and N-Co intermediate species for glycerol than for hydroxyl species (OH<sup>-</sup>). Alternatively, in presence of glycerol (red lines) the cathodic peaks originally observed in the absence of glycerol (black lines)  $(a'_1, a'_2 and a'_3 and also D_1)$  during the reverse scan disappear completely. These effects could be associated to the dependency of the beginning of the glycerol oxidation with the preliminary steps of the transformation of Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> to NiOOH at the surface of electrocatalysts.<sup>60</sup> Therefore, these results indicate that the Ni-based electrocatalysts can catalyze the glycerol oxidation in alkaline media; where the

 
 Table 1
 Electrochemical parameters of glycerol electrooxidation on the present electrocatalysts

Fuel	Electrocatalyst	$E_{\mathrm{onset}}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)$	$E_{\mathrm{pf}}\left(V\right)$	$I_{\rm pf}$ (mA)
Glycerol	Ni/CCE	0.40	0.79	3.44
	N1-Cu/CCE Ni-Co/CCE	0.30 0.22	0.70 0.55	4.12 5.74

possible intermediates and final products including glyceraldehyde, dihydroxyacetone and carboxylic compounds such as glyceric, glycolic, formic, tartronic, hydroxypyruvic, oxalic, and ketomalonic acids are formed from the glycerol electrooxidation (Scheme 1).<sup>61,62</sup> As can be seen in Scheme 1, the glycerol oxidation is made up of complex pathway reactions that can produce a large number of useful intermediates or valuable fine chemicals along with the exchange of electrons.<sup>63</sup>

In order to determine of kinetic parameters of the electrooxidation of glycerol at the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE, the Tafel analysis was planned. Fig. 6 shows the Tafel plots resulted from the CVs of 0.1 M glycerol in 1.0 M NaOH solution on the Ni/CCE (A), Ni-Cu/CCE (B) and Ni-Co/CCE (C) at a scan rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The slope of Tafel plots are 253, 245 and 175 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> for glycerol electrooxidation at the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/ CCE and Ni-Co/CCE, respectively. The  $\alpha n_{\alpha}$  values can be calculated from the Butler–Volmer equation:<sup>64,65</sup> log  $i = \log i_{0}$  +  $\alpha n_{\alpha} F \eta / 2.303 RT$ ; the constants R and F denote the universal gas constant and the Faraday constant, respectively, T is the temperature (in K),  $\alpha$  is the charge transfer coefficient of the reaction,  $i_0$  is the exchange current density,  $n_{\alpha}$  is the number of electrons transferred in the rate-determining step and  $\eta$  is the overpotential. The  $\alpha n_{\alpha}$  evaluated from the slope of Tafel plots, are 0.23, 0.24 and 0.34 for glycerol electrooxidation at the Ni/ CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE, respectively. In the electrochemical oxidation of glycerol, the rate-determining step is a one-electron process,<sup>29,30</sup>  $n_{\alpha} = 1$ ; thus,  $\alpha$  values should be 0.23, 0.24 and 0.34 for glycerol electrooxidation at the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/ CCE and Ni-Co/CCE, respectively.

The effect of scan rate on the electrooxidation of glycerol at the Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE was done and results were shown in Fig. 7(A)–(C), respectively. As can be seen, the anodic peak currents in all cases increase with the scan rate. The relation between the anodic peak currents of glycerol oxidation obtained in forward scan and square root of scan rates ( $\nu^{1/2}$ ) are shown in the insets of Fig. 7(A)–(C) (I for the Ni/ CCE, I' for Ni–Cu/CCE and I" for Ni–Co/CCE). The anodic peak currents are linearly proportional to the square root of scan rates, which indicate the electrocatalytic oxidation of glycerol at the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C) may be

Paper



Scheme 1 Schematic diagram for the oxidation of the glycerol and resulted products at the present modified electrodes in alkaline media based on the literature.

controlled by a diffusion process. In addition, the peak potentials of the oxidation of glycerol at the Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE shift to high potentials with increasing of the scan rate (insets of II (A), II' (B) and II" (C) in Fig. 7 for the Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/CCE and Ni–Co/CCE, respectively). These results indicate that the electrooxidation of glycerol at the Ni/CCE, Ni–Cu/ CCE and Ni–Co/CCE is an irreversible electrode process.

# 3.3. Long-term stability of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE

In view of practical applications, long-term stability of the electrocatalysts is important. The long-term stability of the

Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE was firstly examined in 1.0 M NaOH containing 0.1 M glycerol by cyclic voltammetry. The obtained results indicate that the anodic peak currents in the forward scan remain constant with an increase in scan numbers at the initial stage and then start to decrease after 30 scans. The peak currents of the 500th scan are about between 93 and 95% than that of the first scan for the electrocatalysts (not shown here). In general, the loss of the catalytic activity after successive number of scans may result from the consumption of glycerol during the CV scan. It may also be due to poisoning and the structure change of the Ni and Ni-based nanoparticles as a result of the perturbation of the potentials during the scanning in aqueous solutions,



Fig. 6 Tafel plots from the electrooxidation of 0.1 M glycerol in 1.0 M NaOH solution at scan rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup> on the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C).



**Fig. 7** Effect of scan rate on the electrooxidation of 0.1 M glycerol in 1.0 M NaOH at the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C). The insets [I, I' and I'' respectively for the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C)] show the dependence of the forward anodic peak currents on the square root of scan rates and insets [II, II' and II'' respectively for the Ni/CCE (A), Ni–Cu/CCE (B) and Ni–Co/CCE (C)] show the dependence of the forward anodic peak on the square root of scan rates.



Fig. 8 Chronoamperometric curves for the electrooxidation of 0.1 M glycerol at the Ni/CCE (A), Ni-Cu/CCE (B) and Ni-Co/CCE (C) in 1.0 M NaOH solution at two applied potentials (0.6 V and 0.8 V) for Ni/CCE, (0.6 V and 0.75 V) for Ni-Cu/CCE and (0.4 V and 0.55 V) for Ni-Co/CCE.

especially in presence of the organic compound. Another factor might be due to the diffusion process occurring between the surface of the electrocatalysts and the bulk solution. With an increase in scan numbers, glycerol molecules diffuse gradually from the bulk solution to the surface of the electrocatalysts. After the long-term CV experiments, the present electrocatalysts were stored in water for a week; then glycerol oxidation was carried out again by CV, and excellent catalytic activities toward glycerol oxidation were still observed on the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE electrocatalysts. In order to further evaluation the stability of the electrocatalytic activity of the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE toward glycerol oxidation, chronoamperometric measurements were performed by applying two different applied potentials for 700 s. Fig. 8 displays the chronoamperometric curves of 0.1 M glycerol oxidation in 1.0 M NaOH at the Ni/CCE (A), Ni-Cu/CCE (B) and Ni-Co/CCE (C) under constant potentials of (0.6 V and 0.8 V) for Ni/CCE, (0.6 V and 0.75 V) for Ni-Cu/CCE and (0.4 V and 0.55 V) for Ni-Co/CCE electrocatalysts. It was found that the currents observed from chronoamperograms were in good agreement with the currents observed from cyclic voltammetry. These results indicate that the present electrocatalysts have good long-term stability and storage properties.

## 4. Conclusions

A simple electrochemical method was used to fabricate the Ni and Ni alloys (Ni-Cu and Ni-Co) nanoparticles on the carbonceramic electrode as the electrocatalysts for the oxidation of glycerol. The prepared modified electrodes, Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE, were characterized by XRD, SEM, EDX and electrochemical techniques. The SEM studies show the welldispersed and spherical nanoparticles of the Ni and Ni-Co alloy on the CCE; the size of these nanoparticles were about 24 nm for alone Ni and between 30 and 80 nm for the Ni-Co alloy, respectively. While, the Ni-Cu alloy nanoparticles were electrodeposited on the CCE as the hierarchical structures with dendritic morphology. The EDX analyses confirm the presence of the alone Ni and its alloy in the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE, respectively. On the other hand, the XRD patterns show that the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE display the characteristic diffraction peaks of a face-centered cubic Ni structure. The cyclic voltammetric and chronoamperometric studies reveal that the Ni/CCE, Ni-Cu/CCE and Ni-Co/CCE have high electrocatalytic activities toward the oxidation of glycerol. The obtained results show that, relative to alone Ni, Ni-based alloys nanoparticles modified electrodes exhibit a greatly enhanced performance in terms of the low onset potential, higher anodic peak current and low positive peak potential in

the electrooxidation of glycerol. Therefore the Ni-based alloy nanoparticles on the carbon-ceramic electrode are the promising electrocatalysts for the oxidation of glycerol in alkaline media.

## Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the research council of Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University for financial support.

## References

- 1 R. Bashyam and P. Zelenay, A class of non-precious metal composite catalysts for fuel cells, *Nature*, 2006, **443**, 63–66.
- 2 K. Y. Chan, J. Ding, J. Ren, S. Cheng and K. Y. Tsang, Supported mixed metal nanoparticles as electrocatalysts in low temperature fuel cells, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2004, **14**, 505–516.
- 3 H. You, S. Yang, B. Ding and H. Yang, Synthesis of colloidal metal and metal alloy nanoparticles for electrochemical energy applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 2880–2904.
- 4 V. R. Stamenkovic, B. S. Mun, M. Arenz, K. J. J. Mayrhofer, C. A. Lucas, G. Wang, P. N. Ross and N. M. Markovic, Trends in electrocatalysis on extended and nanoscale Ptbimetallic alloy surfaces, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, 6, 241–247.
- 5 I. Matsui, Nanoparticles for electronic device applications: a brief review, *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.*, 2005, **38**, 535–546.
- 6 J. T. Lue, A review of characterization and physical property studies of metallic nanoparticles, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2001, **62**, 1599–1612.
- 7 D. B. Kittelson, Engines and nanoparticles: a review, J. Aerosol Sci., 1998, 29, 575–588.
- 8 V. M. Gambhire, M. S. Gambhire, S. M. Bhatt and K. S. Jain, Nanoparticles in drug targeting-a review, *Indian Drugs*, 2010, 47, 5–18.
- 9 J. Kane, J. Ong and R. F. Saraf, Chemistry, physics, and engineering of electrically percolating arrays of nanoparticles: a mini review, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 16846–16858.
- 10 A. M. Sheikh, A. A. K. Ebn-Alwaled and C. F. Malfatti, On reviewing the catalyst materials for direct alcohol fuel cells (DAFCs), *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Sciences and Technology*, 2014, **1**, 1–9.
- 11 H. Liu, C. Song, L. Zhang, J. Zhang, H. Wang and D. P. Wilkinson, A review of anode catalysis in the direct methanol fuel cell, *J. Power Sources*, 2006, **155**, 95–110.
- 12 S. Jingyu, H. Jianshu, C. Yanxia and Z. Xiaogang, Hydrothermal synthesis of Pt–Ru/MWCNTs and its electrocatalytic properties for oxidation of methanol, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2007, **2**, 64–71.
- 13 C. K. Witham, W. Chun, T. I. Valdez and S. R. Narayanan, Performance of direct methanol fuel cells with sputterdeposited anode catalyst layers, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2000, **3**, 497–500.
- 14 A. Brouzgou, S. Q. Song and P. Tsiakaras, Low and nonplatinum electrocatalysts for PEMFCs: current status, challenges and prospects, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2012, **127**, 371–388.

- 15 A. Serov and C. Kwak, Review of non-platinum anode catalysts for DMFC and PEMFC application, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **90**, 313–320.
- 16 B. Wang, Recent development of non-platinum catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction, *J. Power Sources*, 2005, **152**, 1–15.
- 17 A. Serov and C. Kwak, Review of non-platinum anode catalysts for DMFC and PEMFC application, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **90**, 313–320.
- 18 R. Bashyam and P. Zelenay, A class of non-precious metal composite catalysts for fuel cells, *Nature*, 2006, **443**, 63–66.
- 19 M. Amjad, D. Pletcher and C. Smith, The Oxidation of Alcohols at a Nickel Anode in Alkaline *t*-Butanol/Water Mixtures, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1977, **124**, 203–206.
- 20 M. Gong and H. Dai, A mini review of NiFe-based materials as highly active oxygen evolution reaction electrocatalysts, *Nano Res.*, 2015, **8**, 23–39.
- 21 M. A. Abdel Rahim, R. M. Abdel Hameed and M. W. Khalil, Nickel as a catalyst for the electro-oxidation of methanol in alkaline medium, *J. Power Sources*, 2004, **134**, 160–169.
- 22 F. E. Gabaly, K. F. McCarty, H. Bluhm and A. H. McDaniel, Oxidation stages of Ni electrodes in solid oxide fuel cell environments, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 8334–8341.
- 23 G. P. Jin, Y. F. Ding and P. P. Zheng, Electrodeposition of nickel nanoparticles on functional MWCNT surfaces for ethanol oxidation, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, **166**, 80–86.
- 24 M. Fleischmann, K. Korinek and D. Pletcher, The oxidation of organic compounds at a nickel anode in alkaline solution, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1971, 31, 39–49.
- 25 N. R. Stradiotto, K. E. Toghill, L. Xiao, A. Moshar and R. G. Comptonb, The Fabrication and Characterization of a Nickel Nanoparticle Modified Boron Doped Diamond Electrode for Electrocatalysis of Primary Alcohol Oxidation, *Electroanalysis*, 2009, **21**, 2627–2633.
- 26 I. Danaee, M. Jafarian, A. Mirzapoor, F. Gobal and M. G. Mahjani, Electrooxidation of methanol on NiMn alloy modified graphite electrode, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, 55, 2093–2100.
- 27 J. M. Marioli, P. F. Luo and T. Kuwana, Nickel-chromium alloy electrode as a carbohydrate detector for liquid chromatography, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1993, **282**, 571–580.
- 28 F. Forouzandeh, I. Danaee, F. Gobal and M. G. Mahjani, Electrocatalytic oxidation of glucose on Ni and NiCu alloy modified glassy carbon electrode, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2008, **13**, 1171–1179.
- 29 V. L. Oliveira, C. Morais, K. Servat, T. W. Napporn, G. Tremiliosi-Filho and K. B. Kokoh, Glycerol oxidation on nickel based nanocatalysts in alkaline medium – Identification of the reaction products, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2013, **703**, 56–62.
- 30 V. L. Oliveira, C. Morais, K. Servat, T. W. Napporn, G. Tremiliosi-Filho and K. B. Kokoh, Studies of the reaction products resulted from glycerol electrooxidation on Ni-based materials in alkaline medium, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **117**, 255–262.
- 31 J. Xuan, M. K. H. Leung, D. Y. C. Leung, M. Ni and R. Sust, A review of biomass-derived fuel processors for fuel cell

systems, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2009, **13**, 1301–1313.

- 32 H. J. Kim, S. M. Choi, S. Green, G. A. Tompsett, S. H. Lee, G. W. Huber and W. B. Kim, Highly active and stable PtRuSn/C catalyst for electrooxidations of ethylene glycol and glycerol, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2011, **101**, 366–375.
- 33 B. Habibi and S. Ghaderi, Synthesis, characterization and electrocatalytic activity of Co@Pt nanoparticles supported on carbon-ceramic substrate for fuel cell applications, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2015, 40, 5115–6125.
- 34 F. M. Lo, M. Minutoli, G. Monforte, V. Antonucci and A. S. Aricò, Glycerol oxidation in solid oxide fuel cells based on a Ni-perovskite electrocatalyst, *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2011, 35, 1075–1084.
- 35 V. L. Oliveira, C. Morais, K. Servat, T. W. Napporn, G. Tremiliosi-Filho and K. B. Kokoh, Studies of the reaction products resulted from glycerol electrooxidation on Ni-based materials in alkaline medium, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **117**, 255–262.
- 36 R. Kannan, K. Karunakaran and S. Vasanthkumar, PdNidecorated manganite nanocatalyst for electrooxidation of ethylene glycol in alkaline media, *Ionics*, 2012, **18**, 803–809.
- 37 B. Habibi and N. Delnavaz, Electrocatalytic oxidation of formic acid and formaldehyde on platinum nanoparticles decorated carbon-ceramic substrate, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2010, 35, 8831.
- 38 B. Habibi and S. Mohammadyari, Facile synthesis of Pd nanoparticles on nano carbon supports and their application as an electrocatalyst for oxidation of ethanol in alkaline media: the effect of support, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2015, **40**, 10833–10846.
- 39 B. Habibi and R. Gahramanzadeh, Fabrication and characterization of non-platinum electrocatalyst for methanol oxidation in alkaline medium: nickel nanoparticles modified carbon-ceramic electrode, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2011, **36**, 1913–1923.
- 40 R. Qiu, X. L. Zhang, R. Qiao, Y. Li, Y. I. Kim and Y. S. Kang, CuNi Dendritic Material: Synthesis, Mechanism Discussion, and Application as Glucose Sensor, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 4174–4180.
- 41 D. Goranova, G. Avdeev and R. Rashkov, Electrodeposition and characterization of Ni–Cu alloys, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2014, **240**, 204–210.
- 42 V. D. Jovíc, B. M. Jovíc and M. G. Pavlovíc, Electrodeposition of Ni, Co and Ni–Co alloy powders, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2006, 51, 5468–5477.
- 43 X. Tarrús, M. Montiel, E. Vallés and E. Gomez, Electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol on CoNi electrodeposited materials, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2014, **39**, 6705–6713.
- 44 I. Danaee, M. Jafarian, F. Forouzandeh, F. Gobal and M. G. Mahjani, Electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol on Ni and NiCu alloy modified glassy carbon electrode, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2008, 33, 4367–4376.
- 45 A. M. Nasser and B. M. Motlak, Co<sub>x</sub>Ni<sub>y</sub> decorated graphene as novel, stable and super effective non-precious electro-

catalyst for methanol oxidation, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **154–155**, 221–231.

- 46 J. Chen, D. H. Bradhurst, S. X. Dou and H. K. Liu, Nickel Hydroxide as an Active Material for the Positive Electrode in Rechargeable Alkaline Batteries, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1999, **146**, 3606–3612.
- 47 I. Danaee, M. Jafarian, F. Forouzandeh, F. Gobal and M. G. Mahjani, Electrochemical impedance studies of methanol oxidation on GC/Ni and GC/NiCu electrode, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2009, 34, 859–869.
- 48 N. R. Stradiotto, K. E. Toghill, L. Xiao, A. Moshar and R. G. Compton, The fabrication and characterization of a nickel nanoparticle modified boron doped diamond electrode for electrocatalysis of primary alcohol oxidation, *Electroanalysis*, 2009, **21**, 2627–2633.
- 49 M. Fleischmann, K. Korinek and D. Pletcher, The oxidation of organic compounds at a nickel anode in alkaline solution, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1971, **31**, 39–49.
- 50 M. Fleischmann, K. Korinek and D. Pletcher, The kinetics and mechanism of the oxidation of amines and alcohols at oxide-covered nickel, silver, copper, and cobalt electrodes, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1, 1972, 2, 1396–1403.
- 51 M. Alsabet, M. Grden and G. Jerkiewicz, Electrochemical Growth of Surface Oxides on Nickel. Part 1: Formation of  $\beta$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> in Relation to the Polarization Potential, Polarization Time, and Temperature, *Electrocatalysis*, 2011, 2, 317–330.
- 52 M. Alsabet, M. Grden and G. Jerkiewicz, Electrochemical Growth of Surface Oxides on Nickel. Part 1: Formation of  $\beta$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> in Relation to the Polarization Potential, Polarization Time, and Temperature, *Electrocatalysis*, 2014, 5, 136–147.
- 53 M. Alsabet, M. Grden and G. Jerkiewicz, Electrochemical Growth of Surface Oxides on Nickel. Part 3: Formation of  $\beta$ -NiOOH in Relation to the Polarization Potential, Polarization Time, and Temperature, *Electrocatalysis*, 2015, **6**, 60–71.
- 54 W. Z. Le and Y. Q. Liu, Preparation of nano-copper oxide modified glassy carbon electrode by a novel film plating/ potential cycling method and its characterization, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2009, **141**, 147–153.
- 55 M. R. Othman and J. Salimon, Analysis of ethanol using copper and nickel sheet electrodes by cyclic voltammetry, *Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences*, 2007, **11**, 379–387.
- 56 N. A. Barakat, M. El-Newehy, S. S. Al-Deyab and H. Y. Kim, Cobalt/copper-decorated carbon nanofibers as novel nonprecious electrocatalyst for methanol electrooxidation, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2014, 9, 2–14.
- 57 P. Druska, H. H. Strehblow and S. Golledge, A surface analytical examination of passive layers on Cu/Ni alloys: part I. Alkaline solution, *Corros. Sci.*, 1996, **38**, 835–851.
- 58 P. Luo, S. V. Prabhu and R. P. Baldwin, Constant potential amperometric detection at a copper-based electrode: electrode formation and operation, *Anal. Chem.*, 1990, **62**, 752–755.

- 59 V. L. Oliveira, C. Morais, K. Servat, T. W. Napporn, G. Tremiliosi-Filho and K. B. Kokoh, Studies of the reaction products resulted from glycerol electrooxidation on Ni-based materials in alkaline medium, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **117**, 255–262.
- 60 V. L. Oliveira, C. Morais, K. Servat, T. W. Napporn, G. Tremiliosi-Filho and K. B. Kokoh, Glycerol oxidation on nickel based nanocatalysts in alkaline mediumidentification of the reaction products, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2013, **703**, 56–62.
- 61 Y. Kwon and M. T. M. Koper, Combining Voltammetry With HPLC: Application to Electro-Oxidation of Glycerol, *Anal. Chem.*, 2010, **82**, 5420–5424.

- 62 M. Simões, S. Baranton and C. Coutanceau, Electrochemical Valorisation of Glycerol, *ChemSusChem*, 2012, **5**, 2106–2124.
- 63 A. Behr, J. Eilting, K. Irawadi, J. Leschinski and F. Lindner, Improved utilisation of renewable resources: new important derivatives of glycerol, *Green Chem.*, 2008, **10**, 13–30.
- 64 G. Girishkumar, K. Vinodgopal and V. K. Prashant, Carbon Nanostructures in Portable Fuel Cells: Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Electrodes for Methanol Oxidation and Oxygen Reduction, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 19960– 19966.
- 65 S. Frode, Electrochemical Oxidation of Methanol and Formic Acid in Fuel Cell Processes, Doctoral thesis, NTNU, 2005, p. 204.