



Synthetic approaches toward the marine alkaloid prenostodione

Jeanese C. Badenock^{a,*}, Jason A. Jordan^a, Gordon W. Gribble^b

^a Department of Biological and Chemical Sciences, University of the West Indies, Cave Hill, Barbados

^b Department of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 January 2013

Revised 3 February 2013

Accepted 8 February 2013

Available online 25 March 2013

Keywords:

Indole

Prenostodione

Cyanobacteria

2-Vinylindoles

ABSTRACT

An efficient synthesis of the core of prenostodione (**3**) is described herein featuring the base condensation of BOC-protected indole diesters **21** and **24** with *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde (**22**) and 4-[(*t*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]benzaldehyde (**26**). Attempts at selective saponification of the resultant diesters yielded isoprenostodione (**3a**) bearing the ester functionality at the C-3 position of the indole ring.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Recently, a number of blue-green algae (cyanobacteria) have been found to possess various bioactive components,¹ some of which exhibit antibacterial,² antimicrobial,³ and cytotoxic effects.⁴ Additional interest in some of these pigments stems from their reported protective role against high solar radiation,⁵ and their potential use as active ingredients in sunblock and sunscreen lotions. We have been interested in three such indole alkaloids namely scytonemin (**1**),⁶ nostodione A (**2**),⁷ and prenostodione (**3**) (Fig. 1).⁸

Of these three alkaloids, prenostodione (**3**) is of particular interest mainly in view of its presumed role in the biosynthesis of nostodione A (**2**) and scytonemin (**1**). The UV-absorbing natural product prenostodione (**3**) was isolated by Ploutno et al. in 2001 as the minor pigment from *Nostoc* sp. and determined to be the *E* isomer of the indole-3-carboxylic acid derivative.⁸ The combination of acid and ester functionalities led us to first consider the introduction of the 3-carboxylic acid group in the final stages of the synthesis.⁹ As such, we envisioned the installation of the *p*-hydroxyphenyl moiety using either a Wittig reaction,¹⁰ or a suitable modification, such as the Shapiro, Schlosser, or Horner–Emmons–Wadsworth reactions (Scheme 1).¹¹

Keto ester **6** was therefore generated from indole (**7**) in two steps utilizing standard C-2 lithiation chemistry.¹² Unfortunately, attempts to couple **6** with phosphorus ylide **9a** resulted in poor yields of the desired alkene (**10a**), as a 1:1 mixture of isomers (14% overall yield). Furthermore, reaction of **6** with *p*-methoxybenzyl ylide **9b** and with commercially available diethyl 4-methoxy-





Figure 1. Cyanobacteria based indole natural products.




Scheme 1. Initial retrosynthetic approach.


benzyl phosphonate (**9c**) failed to yield the desired alkenes (Scheme 2). The poor stereoselectivity and the low yields of the reaction caused us to abandon this route.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 246 417 4336; fax: +1 246 417 4325.

E-mail address: jeanese.badenock@cavehill.uwi.edu (J.C. Badenock).



Scheme 2. Unsuccessful Wittig reaction. Reagents and conditions: (i) ((CH₃)₃CO₂)₂O, DMAP, THF (98%); (ii) *t*-BuLi, THF, (CO₂Me)₂ (71%); (iii) *n*-BuLi, 9a, THF (14%).




Scheme 3. Synthesis of 2-vinylindole **13**. Reagents and conditions: (i) LDA, THF, –78 °C, CH₃COPh (60%); (ii) NaH, THF (80%).


We were then drawn to a procedure by Mouaddib et al. which features a base-catalyzed condensation en route to tetrahydrobenzo[4,5]cyclohept[b]indole-12-one derivatives, necessary for the development of new effective chemotherapeutic agents.¹³ In that work, initially elaborated by Macor et al.,¹⁴ the vinyl group was installed by LDA-catalyzed condensation of acetophenone and 2-methylindole **11** followed by treatment with sodium hydride (Scheme 3).

Therefore, we surmised that this precedent might be useful in a biomimetic approach to prenostodione (**3**) and proposed that coupling of diester **14** with a benzaldehyde derivative could produce the desired alkene (**15**). Furthermore, this approach would eliminate the need for the installation of the C-3 acid and would only necessitate selective hydrolysis of the ester already installed at that position.¹⁵ Indeed Bahadur et al. and others¹⁶ utilized this chemistry with indoles and in all cases generated the desired monoesters with the acid at C-3 on the indole core. Our initial target was therefore the *N*-BOC derivatives of diesters **14** (R₂ = CO₂-Bu^t) (Scheme 4).

While the Fischer indole reaction¹⁷ of phenylhydrazine with diethyl acetone-1,3-dicarboxylate (**16**) in concentrated sulfuric acid gave the diethyl ester indole **14a** (R₁ = Et, and R₂ = H) in only 6% yield,¹⁸ treatment of *N*-benzyl phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**17**) with **16** in refluxing ethanol gave the desired indole **18**, in one step, as a white solid in 54% yield.¹⁹ Likewise, heating **17** with dimethyl acetone-1,3-dicarboxylate (**19**) in methanol generated



Scheme 4. New retrosynthetic analysis.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of indole diesters **14**. Reagents and conditions: (i) Compound **17**, EtOH, δ (**18**: 54%); (ii) Compound **17**, MeOH, Δ (**20**: 57%); (iii) AlCl₃, PhH, rt, 3.5 h (**14a**: 40%); (iv) AlCl₃, PhH, Δ, 0.5 h (**14b**: 85%).


indole **20** as a white fluffy solid in 57% yield. Removal of the benzyl group seemed promising based on a number of reports describing debenzoylation from indole nitrogens.²⁰ Gratifyingly, treatment of indole **18** with anhydrous aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) in anhydrous benzene at room temperature resulted in indole **14a** (R₁ = Et, and R₂ = H), albeit in only 40% yield after 3 h at room temperature.^{21,22} We fared better with dimethyl indole **20**, which was converted smoothly into the parent indole **14b** (R₁ = Me, and R₂ = H), in 85% yield, with AlCl₃ at reflux after 30 min (Scheme 5).²³

Reprotection of the indole nitrogen of **14a**, using di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate and DMAP generated *t*-butoxy carbamate **21** in 85% yield.²⁴ The Macor-precedented condensation reaction was performed with LDA and the resulting anion was treated with commercially available *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde (**22**). Pleasingly, we obtained the coupled alkene (**23**), after stirring with sodium hydride in refluxing THF, in 54% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **23** indicated a predominance of one isomer and only trace (<5%) amounts of the other (Scheme 6). From NOE experiments, we concluded that alkene **23** possesses the *Z* geometry (opposite to the natural product) based on the observation that irradiation of the indole NH singlet (δ = 8.84) showed a positive NOE enhancement of the vinyl singlet (δ = 7.88) in addition to the C-7 multiplet.


With sufficient **23** in hand, we preliminarily investigated the selective hydrolysis of the ethyl ester functionalities of this indole alkene. Using 1 N KOH in methanol, we were pleasantly surprised when after 1 h at reflux we observed the cleavage of one ethyl group in 93% yield.^{16b,25} However, we have been unable to determine conclusively which ester was removed.

The results of this hydrolysis attempt and the perceived difficulty associated with the transesterification of the remaining ethyl ester to the methyl ester found in the natural product prompted us to utilize dimethyl ester **24** for the coupling. Thus, **14b** was protected as the *N*-BOC derivative (**24**) in quantitative yield, and then condensed with *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde (**22**) under identical conditions to those used with diethyl ester **21** to give the coupled alkene **25**, in an overall yield of 69% (Scheme 7). Alkene **25** contained one major isomer (16% minor isomer) determined to be the *Z*-isomer based on NOE experiments.²⁶


Attempts at cleavage of the methyl ester and methyl ether in **25** were unsuccessful^{27,28} but a change in the protecting group of the phenolic coupling unit, however, from the methyl ether to the



Scheme 6. Coupling of diethylindole **21** with **22**. Reagents and conditions: (i) ((CH₃)₃CO₂)₂O, DMAP, THF, rt (85%); (ii) LDA, THF, *p*-OMeC₆H₄CHO (**22**), –78 °C; NaH, THF, Δ, 1 h (54%).




Scheme 7. Coupling of dimethylindole **14b** with **22**. Reagents and conditions: (i) $((\text{CH}_3)_3\text{CO})_2\text{C}=\text{O}$, DMAP, THF, rt (100%); (ii) LDA, THF, *p*-OMeC₆H₄CHO (**22**), -78 °C; NaH, THF, Δ, 1 h (69%).



Scheme 8. Coupling of dimethylindole **24** with **26**. Reagents and conditions: (i) LDA, THF, **26**, -78 °C; CaH₂, THF, Δ, 1 h (46%); (ii) TBAF, THF, rt, 3 h (98%).

t-butyldimethylsilyl ether gave us more favorable results. When 4-[(*t*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)benzaldehyde (**26**)²⁹ was condensed with indole **24** we obtained the desired OTBS-alkene (**27**) in 46% yield, as a single isomer. The orientation of alkene **27** was determined once again using NOE experiments but surprisingly unlike alkenes **23** and **25**, the *E* geometry was observed.^{30,31} With alkene **27** in hand, deprotection with tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF³² afforded alkene **28** in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 8).

We were elated to find that refluxing **28** with stoichiometric amounts of KOH in methanol for 4 hours resulted in the selective hydrolysis of one of the methyl esters in 25% yield after column chromatography. Comparison of the UV, ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of this compound and naturally occurring **3**, indicated a close correlation. In our revisit of this experiment, silyl ether **27** was subjected to a solution of excess potassium hydroxide in methanol and generated a yellow oil with identical spectral data to the one formed from the hydrolysis of **28**, indicating the loss of the silyl ether and one of the methyl esters. Extensive 2D NMR experiments of this monoester confirmed the *E* geometry of the alkene, however the expected ROESY correlation between the vinylic H-9 proton ($\delta = 7.77$) and the methoxy (1-OMe) protons ($\delta = 3.69$) was not observed.⁸ Instead we observed an unmistakable correlation between the methoxy (1-OMe) protons ($\delta = 3.69$) and the indole aromatic (H-7) proton ($\delta = 8.03$) (Scheme 9) indicating that the isomer of the natural product, *isoprenostodione* (**3a**), had been synthesized.



Scheme 9. Synthesis of *isoprenostodione* (**3a**). Reagents and conditions: (i) KOH, MeOH, Δ, 4 h (25% from **28**; 23% from **27**).

In summary, we have synthesized a number of C-2 vinyl indoles,³³ some of which possess the desired *E* stereochemistry found in *prenostodione* (**3**). Efforts are ongoing in our laboratory to regioselectively hydrolyze dimethyl esters **27** and **28** to provide the natural product.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund (PRF), administered by the American Chemical Society, Wyeth, the UWI, and the Government of Barbados. The authors would like to thank Prof. Sean McDowell for assistance with the molecular modeling experiments.

References and notes

- (a) Skulberg, O. M. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2000**, *12*, 341; (b) Schaeffer, D. J.; Krylov, V. S. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2000**, *45*, 208; (c) Burja, A. M.; Banaigs, B.; Abou-Mansour, E.; Grant Burgess, J.; Wright, P. C. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 9347; (d) Tan, L. T. *Phytochemistry* **2007**, *68*, 954; (e) Gademann, K.; Portmann, C. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *12*, 326; (f) Martins, R. F.; Ramos, M. F.; Herfindal, L.; Sousa, J. A.; Skærven, K.; Vasconcelos, V. M. *Mar. Drugs* **2008**, *6*, 1.
- (a) MacMillan, J. B.; Ernst-Russell, M. A.; de Ropp, J. S.; Molinski, T. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 8210; (b) Mundt, S.; Kreitlow, S.; Jansen, R. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2003**, *15*, 263; (c) Rao, M.; Malhotra, S.; Fatma, T.; Rattan, A. *Pharm. Biol.* **2007**, *45*, 88.
- (a) Matern, U.; Oberer, L.; Erhard, M.; Herdman, M.; Weckesser, J. *Phytochemistry* **2003**, *64*, 1061; (b) Shanab, S. M. M. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **2007**, *9*, 617.
- Luesch, H.; Yoshida, W. Y.; Moore, R. E.; Paul, V. J.; Mooberry, S. L. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2000**, *63*, 611.
- (a) Tripathi, S. N.; Talpasayi, E. R. *S. Curr. Sci.* **1980**, *49*, 31; (b) Castenholz, R. W.; Garcia-Pichel, F. In *The Ecology of Cyanobacteria*; Whitton, B. A., Potts, M., Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000; p 591.
- (a) Nägeli, C. *Neue Denkschrift. Allg. Schweiz. Nat. Ges.* **1849**, *10*, 1; (b) Nägeli, C.; Schwenderer, S. *Das Mikroskop*, 2nd ed.; Willhelm Engelmann: Leipzig, 1877; (c) Garcia-Pichel, F.; Castenholz, R. W. *J. Phycol.* **1991**, *27*, 395; (d) Garcia-Pichel, F.; Sherry, N. D.; Castenholz, R. W. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1992**, *56*, 17; (e) Proteau, P. J.; Gerwick, W. H.; Garcia-Pichel, F.; Castenholz, R. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **1993**, *49*, 825; (f) Balskus, E. P.; Walsh, C. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15260; (g) Balskus, E. P.; Walsh, C. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 14648; (h) Ekebergh, A.; Karlsson, I.; Mete, R.; Pan, Y.; Börje, A.; Mårtensson, J. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4458.
- (a) Kobayashi, A.; Kajiyama, S.-I.; Inawaka, K.; Kanzaki, H.; Kawazu, K. *Z. Naturforsch., C Biosci.* **1994**, *49*, 464; (b) Shim, S. H.; Chlipala, G.; Orjala, J. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *18*, 1655; (c) Ekebergh, A.; Börje, A.; Mårtensson, J. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 6274.
- Ploutno, A.; Carmeli, S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 544.
- (a) Snieckus, V. *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, *90*, 879; (b) Delgado, A.; Clardy, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 2789; (c) Delgado, A.; Clardy, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 2862.
- (a) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 4587; (b) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 863; (c) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B.; Duhl-Emswiler, B. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 217.
- Modi, S. P.; Zayed, A. H.; Archer, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 3084.
- Hasan, I.; Marinelli, E. R.; Lin, L.-C. C.; Fowler, F. W.; Levy, A. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 157.
- Mouaddib, A.; Joseph, B.; Hasnaoui, A.; Merour, J.-Y.; Leonce, S. *Heterocycles* **1999**, *51*, 2127.
- (a) Macor, J. E.; Newman, M. E.; Ryan, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 2509; (b) Macor, J. E.; Ryan, K.; Newman, M. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 4785.
- (a) Groves, J. K.; Cundasawmy, N. E.; Anderson, H. J. *Can. J. Chem.* **1973**, *51*, 1089; (b) Li, J.; Zhang, J.; Chen, J.; Luo, X.; Zhu, W.; Shen, J.; Liu, H.; Shen, X.; Jiang, H. *J. Comb. Chem.* **2006**, *8*, 326.
- (a) Bahadur, G. A.; Bailey, A. S.; Middleton, N. W.; Peach, J. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1980**, 1688; (b) Karrick, G. L.; Peet, N. P. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1986**, *23*, 1055; (c) Monge, A.; Palop, J. A.; Goni, T.; Martinez, A.; Fernandez-Alvarez, E. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1984**, *21*, 381; (d) Kita, Y.; Akai, S.; Ajimura, N.; Yoshigi, M.; Tsugoshi, T.; Yasuda, H.; Tamura, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 4150; (e) Monge, A.; Palop, J. A.; Recalde, J. I.; Fernandez-Alvarez, E. *An. de Quim. Ser. C Quim. Org. y Bioquim.* **1989**, *85*, 72.
- (a) Robinson, B. *Chem. Rev.* **1963**, *373*; (b) Robinson, B. *Chem. Rev.* **1969**, *69*, 227; (c) Robinson, B. *The Fischer Indole Synthesis*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1982.
- Mills, K.; Al Khawaja, I. K.; Al-Saleh, F. S.; Joule, J. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1981**, 636.
- Perni, R. B.; Gribble, G. W. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1982**, *14*, 343.
- Hirano, S.; Akai, R.; Shinoda, Y.; Nakatsuka, S.-i. *Heterocycles* **1995**, *41*, 255; (b) Murphy, W. S.; Bertrand, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, 4115; (c) Haddach, A. A.; Kelleman, A.; Deaton-Rewolinski, M. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 399; (d) Izawa, T.; Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 13593.
- Murakami, Y.; Watanabe, T.; Kobayashi, A.; Yokoyama, Y. *Synthesis* **1984**, 738.

22. Attempts to improve this yield by increasing the reaction time (to overnight) as well as increasing the temperature (to reflux temperatures) were unsuccessful; in all cases the yield decreased significantly.
23. Arai, S.; Yamauchi, S.; Yamagishi, T.; Hida, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1991**, *64*, 324.
24. Grehn, L.; Ragnarsson, U. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1984**, *23*, 296.
25. Murakami, Y.; Watanabe, T. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1988**, 3005.
26. Irradiation of the alkene singlet ($\delta = 7.91$) displayed a positive NOE enhancement of the indole NH singlet ($\delta = 8.54$) in addition to protons on the phenolic subunit ($\delta = 6.92$ – 6.93).
27. Basic hydrolysis with 1 N KOH in methanol, and LiOH in aqueous THF gave only starting material even at reflux temperatures. Additional attempts were made with trimethylsilyl bromide (trimethylsilyl chloride and lithium bromide) and HI in acetic acid, which returned starting materials and decomposed the sample in respective experiments.
28. (a) Black, D. S. C.; Kumar, N.; Wong, L. C. H. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1986**, *39*, 15; (b) Pryor, K. E.; Shipps, W., Jr.; Skyler, D. A.; Rebek, J., Jr. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 4107; (c) Edstrom, E. D.; Yu, T. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 4549; (d) Bhatt, M. V.; Kulkarni, S. U. *Synthesis* **1983**, 249; (e) Mouaddib, A.; Joseph, B.; Hasnaoui, A.; Merour, J.-Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 5853; (f) Chandran, S. S.; Frost, J. W. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 1493.
29. Akita, H.; Chen, C. Y.; Kato, K. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 11011.
30. Irradiation of the indole N-H singlet ($\delta = 8.42$) showed no NOE to the vinyl proton ($\delta = 7.92$) but instead an NOE was observed for H-7 ($\delta = 7.33$) as well as protons of the phenolic subunit. Moreover, irradiation of the vinyl proton displayed an NOE for a methyl ester singlet ($\delta = 3.76$) as well as a strong signal with the ortho protons of the phenolic subunit ($\delta = 6.89$ – 6.90). Finally, irradiation of the methyl singlet at 3.76 ppm produced an observed NOE to the other methyl ester singlet ($\delta = 3.85$) as well as to the vinyl proton (Scheme 8).
31. Theoretical molecular modeling experiments of the *Z*- and *E*-isomers of alkenes **25** and **27** performed using the B3LYP/6-311G basis set revealed that the *Z*-isomers were of lower energy when compared to the corresponding *E*-isomers, with δE values of 1.859×10^{-04} KJ mol $^{-1}$ and 2.935×10^{-03} KJ mol $^{-1}$, respectively.
32. Clavier, S.; Khouili, M.; Bouysson, P.; Coudert, G. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 1533.
33. All final compounds were characterized by ^1H , ^{13}C NMR, IR, UV and HRMS. Selected spectral data:
Compound 3a: Yellow oil: ^1H NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 11.93 (s, 1H), 10.04 (s, 1H), 8.00–8.03 (d, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.38–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.18–7.22 (d, 2H, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 6.81–6.84 (d, 2H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 6.57–6.60 (d, 2H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 167.8, 165.0, 159.4, 141.9, 140.9, 135.8, 132.2, 126.7, 125.1, 122.8, 121.6, 121.1, 120.5, 115.8, 112.2, 104.8, 50.8; IR ν (film) 3657, 3525, 2951, 2847, 1697, 1601, 1508, 1457 cm $^{-1}$; UV (MeOH) 215, 229, 285, 317 nm. HRMS Calcd for C $_{20}$ H $_{17}$ NO $_5$ (M^+) 360.0848. Found 360.0842.
Compound 23: mp 123–124 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 8.84 (s, 1H), 8.26–8.27 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.21–7.31 (m, 3H), 6.88–6.91 (d, 2H, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 6.63–6.65 (d, 2H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 4.25–4.30 (q, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 4.17–4.21 (q, 2H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H), 1.31–1.34 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 1.20–1.22 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 167.0, 165.1, 161.0, 142.7, 138.6, 135.5, 132.3, 127.2, 126.4, 123.3, 122.1, 121.9, 121.8, 114.3, 111.7, 107.2, 61.4, 59.9, 55.4, 14.5, 14.3; IR ν (film) 3298, 3055, 2982, 2901, 1695, 1601, 1539, 1501, 1443 cm $^{-1}$; UV λ_{max} (95% EtOH) 216, 232, 290, 316 nm. MS m/z 393.2 (M^+ , 100%), 346.1, 319.1, 274.1, 247.1, 220.1, 195.1, 165.1, 138.1, 121.1. HRMS Calcd for C $_{23}$ H $_{23}$ NO $_5$ (M^+) 393.1573. Found 393.1576.
Compound 25: mp 79–81 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 9.20 (s, 1H), 9.13 (s, 1H, minor isomer), 8.21–8.23 (d, 1H, $J = 7.9$ Hz), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.18–7.29 (m, 3H), 6.86–6.88 (d, 2H, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 6.60–6.62 (d, 2H, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 167.7, 165.6, 161.2, 143.2, 138.7, 135.7, 132.5, 127.2, 126.4, 123.5, 122.3, 122.0, 121.4, 114.5, 111.9, 107.2, 55.5, 52.7, 51.2; IR ν (film) 3431, 3301, 3054, 2951, 1697, 1602, 1573, 1539, 1510, 1459 cm $^{-1}$; UV λ_{max} (95% EtOH) 192, 214, 288, 318 nm. MS m/z 365.1 (M^+ , 100%), 332.1, 305.1, 274.1, 247.1, 231.1, 203.1, 151.1. HRMS Calcd for C $_{23}$ H $_{23}$ NO $_5$ (M^+) 365.1263. Found 365.1272.
Compound 27: mp 196.5–198 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.24–8.27 (d, 1H, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.25–7.35 (m, 3H), 6.87–6.90 (d, 2H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 6.61–6.65 (d, 2H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.15 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 167.6, 165.5, 157.9, 143.3, 138.5, 135.5, 132.5, 127.2, 126.9, 123.7, 122.4, 122.1, 121.5, 120.7, 111.7, 107.5, 52.7, 51.3, 25.8, 18.4, –4.2; IR ν (film) 3292, 3055, 2952, 2855, 1727, 1666, 1631, 1598, 1534, 1506, 1447 cm $^{-1}$; UV λ_{max} (95% EtOH) 206, 286 nm. MS m/z 465.2 (M^+ , 100%), 432.2, 406.2, 376.1, 348.1. HRMS Calcd for C $_{26}$ H $_{31}$ NO $_5$ Si (M^+) 465.1972. Found 465.1978.
Compound 28: mp 215–216 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 9.02 (s, 1H), 8.18–8.21 (d, 1H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.19–7.30 (m, 3H), 6.70–6.72 (d, 2H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 6.49–6.52 (d, 2H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 168.5, 166.5, 159.2, 144.3, 139.5, 136.0, 133.1, 127.4, 125.8, 124.0, 122.7, 122.3, 120.5, 116.6, 112.3, 107.2, 53.1, 51.9; IR ν (film) 3315, 3054, 1697, 1603, 1541, 1511, 1457 cm $^{-1}$; UV λ_{max} (95% EtOH) 214, 290, 322 nm. MS m/z 351.1 (M^+), 318.1 (100%), 291.1, 260.1, 233.1, 142.1. HRMS Calcd for C $_{20}$ H $_{17}$ NO $_5$ (M^+) 351.1107. Found 351.1105.