Effect of Structural Modifications in the C7–C11 Region of the Retinoid Skeleton on Biological Activity in a Series of Aromatic Retinoids

Marcia I. Dawson,^{*,†} Peter D. Hobbs,[†] Krzysztof A. Derdzinski,[†] Wan-Ru Chao,[†] Gernot Frenking,[†] Gilda H. Loew,[†] Anton M. Jetten,[†] Joseph L. Napoli,[§] John B. Williams,[§] Brahma P. Sani,[∥] John J. Wille, Jr.,[∥] and Leonard J. Schiff[⊥]

Life Sciences Division, SRI International, Menlo Park, California 94025, Laboratory of Pulmonary Pathobiology, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Buffalo—SUNY, Buffalo, New York 14214, Kettering-Meyer Laboratory, Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama 35255, and Life Sciences Division, IIT Research Institute, Chicago, Illinois 60616. Received July 22, 1988

A series of conformationally restricted analogues of (E)-4-[2-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)propenyl]benzoic acid—(E)-4-[1-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-propenyl]benzoic acid, (E)-4-[3-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-buten-2-yl]benzoic acid, trans-4-[2-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)cyclopropyl]benzoic acid, 4-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-buten-2-yl]benzoic acid, 5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-anthracenyl)benzoic acid, 6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid, 6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid, 6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid, 6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid, 6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid, 6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid acid (6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid were synthesized and screened for retinoid biological activity. Comparison of the conformers of these analogues generated by molecular mechanics calculations with the biological activity profiles of these compounds indicates that geometric constraints required for high biological activity are imposed on the bridge joining the two aromatic ring systems by the retinoid receptor.

In 1980 Loeliger et al.¹ reported the synthesis and biological activity of retinoid 2 (Table I), an analogue of retinoic acid (1) in which the β -cyclogeranylidene ring and 7-double bond, and the 11,13-double bond system and C20-methyl group, were replaced by aromatic ring systems. Because of the high biological activity of 2 in controlling cell differentiation and reversing the process of preneoplastic transformation² and our own interests in conformationally restricted retinoids,³ we undertook the syntheses and biological evaluation of analogues of 2 having modification in the region corresponding to the C8-C11 bonds of the retinoic acid skeleton to correlate the biological activity of retinoids 1-9 with their lowest energy conformations.^{4,5} This study was initiated to establish the conformation that the retinoids adopt on binding to their various binding proteins and receptors.⁶⁻⁹

Synthetic Methodology. Retinoid 3 is an analogue of 2 in which the methyl group is shifted to the adjacent vinylic carbon (C10-retinoid position). A structure-activity study predicted that 3 would have high biological activity in the hamster tracheal organ culture reversal of keratinization (TOC) assay for retinoid activity.¹⁰ As in the preparation of 2^{1} the synthesis of 3 used a Wittig reaction to introduce the E double bond (Scheme I). The ylide generated from 12 was allowed to react with 4-acetylbenzonitrile (13) to afford a mixture of nitriles in which the Z isomer predominated (E/Z 1:3). The mixture of double bond isomers was hydrolyzed to the acids, which were esterified. Photoisomerization of the ester mixture (E/Z 1:4) gave the equilibrium mixture of isomers (E/Z 1:4)7:3), from which 15 and its Z isomer were isolated by crystallization. Hydrolysis under mild conditions afforded 3.

The stereochemistries of 3, 14, and 15 were established from the ¹H NMR spectra. In each case, the spectrum of the Z isomer displayed characteristic upfield shifts^{1,3d} for the allylic methyl group (0.11-0.14 ppm) and the vinylic Scheme I^a



^a (a) NBS, $(C_6H_6CO_2)_2$, CCl₄. (b) X = Br: $(C_6H_5)_3P$, CH₂Cl₂. (c) NaCH₂SOCH₃, Me₂SO; 4-CH₃COC₆H₄CN (13), Me₂SO. (d) X = CN (*E/Z*): KOH, EtOH, H₂O. (e) X = CO₂H (*E/Z*): CH₃CHN₂, CH₂Cl₂, Et₂O. (f) X = CO₂Et (*E/Z*): *hv*, hexane. (g) X = CO₂Et: aqueous NaOH, MeOCH₂CH₂OH, Et₂O, 2 N HCl.

proton (0.37-0.43 ppm). In addition, the signals for the geminal methyl groups at the C5- and C8-positions of the tetrahydronaphthalene rings of the Z isomers were non-equivalent (1.20 and 0.96 ppm, respectively), whereas those

- (2) Bollag, W. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1981, 7, 27-29.
- (3) (a) Dawson, M. I.; Chan, R.; Hobbs, P. D.; Chao, W.; Schiff, L. J. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 26, 1282-1293. (b) Dawson, M. I.; Chan, R. L.; Derdzinski, K.; Hobbs, P. D.; Chao, W.; Schiff, L. J. J. Med. Chem. 1983, 26, 1653-1656. (c) Dawson, M. I.; Derdzinski, K.; Hobbs, P. D.; Chan, R. L.; Rhee, S. W.; Yasuda, D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 5265-5267. (d) Dawson, M. I.; Hobbs, P. D.; Derdzinski, K.; Chan, R. L.; Gruber, J.; Chao, W.; Smith, S.; Thies, R. W.; Schiff, L. J. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 1516-1531. (e) Chao, W.; Helmes, C. T.; Dawson, M. I. Cancer Lett. 1985, 29, 43-48. (f) Dawson, M. I.; Chao, W.; Helmes, C. T. Cancer Res. 1987, 47, 6210-6215.
- (4) Oie, T.; Maggiora, G. M.; Christoffersen, R. E.; Duchamp, D. J. J. Quant. Chem. Quant. Biol. Symp. 1981, 8, 1-47.
- (5) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4899-4917.
- (6) Sani, B. P.; Titus, B. C. Cancer Res. 1977, 37, 4031-4034.
- (7) Ong, D. E.; Chytil, F. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1976, 73, 3976-3978.
- (8) Petkovich, M.; Brand, N. J.; Krust, A.; Chambon, P. Nature 1987, 330, 444-450.
- (9) Giguere, V.; Ong, E. S.; Segui, P.; Evans, R. M. Nature 1987, 330, 624–629.
- (10) Niculescu-Důvaz, I.; Simon, Z.; Voiculet, N. Carcinogenesis 1985, 6, 479-486.

0022-2623/89/1832-1504\$01.50/0 © 1989 American Chemical Society

[†]SRI International.

[‡]National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

[§]University of Buffalo-SUNY.

[®]Southern Research Institute.

 $^{^\}perp$ IIT Research Institute. Present address: Invitron Corp., St. Louis, MO 63134.

Loeliger, P.; Bollag, W.; Mayer, H. Eur. J. Med. Chem.-Chim. Ther. 1980, 15, 9-15.

Scheme II^a



^a (a) Ce(NH₄)₂(NO₃)₆, 50% aqueous HOAc. (b) X = O: HS(C-H₂)₃SH, HCl, CHCl₃. (c) X = S(CH₂)₃S: *n*-BuLi, THF; 4-BrC₆H₄CH(Cl)CH₃ (18). (d) X = S(CH₂)₃S: CuCl₂, CuO, acetone, H₂O. (e) X = O: [CH₃P(C₆H₅)₃Br, *n*-BuLi], THF. (f) X = CH₂: Mg, EtBr, THF; ClCO₂Et. (g) *p*-TsOH·H₂O, C₆H₆. (h) R = Et: $h\nu$. (i) R = Et: aqueous NaOH, MeOCH₂CH₂OH, Et₂O.

Scheme III^a



^a (a) X = O: [CH₃P(C₆H₅)₃Br, *n*-BuLi], THF. (b) X = CH₂: 4-N₂HCC₆H₄CO₂Et (25). (c) R = Et: aqueous KOH, EtOH.

for the E isomers were equivalent.

In retinoid 4, which is a homologue of both 2 and 3, steric interactions between the methyl groups on the double bond and the ortho protons on the adjacent aromatic rings were expected to force one of the rings out of the plane of the double bond, as in the case of the dimethylstilbenes.¹¹ The synthesis of 4 is presented in Scheme II. Because Wittig reactions to afford tetrasubstituted double bonds proceed in poor yield, the double bond was introduced in an indirect fashion by alkylation of the 1,3-dithiane 17. 1,3-Dithianes of this type can be alkylated with secondary bromides in good yield.¹² The masked carbonyl group of the alkylation product (19) was then used to introduce the methyl group and the double bond. Dithiane 19 was contaminated by the 2-aryl-2-butyl-1,3-dithiane arising from alkylation by the butyl halide produced by metal-halogen exchange between unreacted n-butyllithium and the alkylating agent. This impurity and its subsequent reaction products were removed after the conversion of the carbonyl to the methylene group, giving 21. Alkylative cleavage (MeI, acetone, H_2O , reflux)¹³ of the dithianes to the ketones did not occur, and oxidative cleavage [CuCl₂, CuO, H_2O^{14} and $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$, MeCN, H_2O^{15}] proceeded in only moderate yield. After introduction of the carbethoxy group onto the phenyl ring to afford 22, acidcatalyzed isomerization produced the tetrasubstituted

- (12) (a) Seebach, D.; Corey, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 231-237.
 (b) Seebach, D.; Erickson, B. W.; Singh, G. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 4303-4304.
- (13) Fetizon, M.; Jurion, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 382–383.
- (14) Narasaka, K.; Sakashita, T.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 3724.
- (15) Cristau, H.; Chabaud, B.; Labaudinere, R.; Christol, H. Synth. Commun. 1981, 11, 423-428.

Scheme IV^a



^a (a) Mg, THF; ZnCl₂, THF; $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_4Ni$, 4-BrC₆H₄CO₂Et₂ (28), THF. (b) ClMe₂C(CH₂)₂CMe₂Cl (30), AlCl₃, CS₂. (c) Aqueous KOH, MeOH.

double bond, which was photoisomerized to the E isomer.

The stereochemistry of the double bonds of 4 and its Z isomer was assigned from the ¹H NMR and UV spectra. The geminal methyl groups of 4 and its ethyl ester were equivalent (1.31 ppm), whereas in the Z isomers they were nonequivalent and shifted upfield (0.87 and 1.20 ppm). Most of the aromatic protons in the Z isomer were shifted upfield of those of the E isomer. The methyl groups on the double bond of 4 appeared at higher field than those of the Z isomer and were nonequivalent. Similar shifts are found in other tetrasubstituted stilbenes.¹⁶ The decreased conjugation of the aromatic rings of 4 was also evident from the UV spectrum (λ_{max} 257 nm, ϵ 1.7 × 10⁴) compared with that of the Z isomer (λ_{max} 296 nm, ϵ 2.7 × 10⁴).

The cyclopropane 5 is an analogue of 2 and 3 in which a trans-substituted cyclopropane ring replaced the propenyl group. We had previously demonstrated that the 5- and 7-double bonds of the retinoid skeleton could be replaced by a cyclopropane ring without loss of retinoid activity. Because of low activity in the series of benzonorbornyl analogues of 2, a similar effect on activity was not established in the case of the 9-double bond.^{3d}

The route used for the preparation of 5 was the same as that used for trans-1-(4-carbethoxyphenyl)-2-(1,4methano-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-naphthalenyl)cyclopropane.3d Although only a moderate yield was expected for the cyclopropanation step, this route had merit because it was simple and the starting materials were readily available. Reaction of the olefin 24 with ethyl 4-(diazomethyl)benzoate afforded a mixture of cis and trans cyclopropane esters (24:76). The structures of the ester 26 and the carboxylic acid 5 were established by comparison of their ¹H NMR spectra with those of the cis isomers. The cis ester showed upfield shifts for almost all the signals compared with those of the trans isomer, indicating interaction of the aromatic rings of the former. The signals for the methyl groups at the C5 and C8 tetrahydronaphthalene ring positions were equivalent in 26 (1.30 ppm) but were nonequivalent in the cis isomer (0.96, 1.00, 1.15, and 1.16 ppm). The cyclopropane ring protons were also dramatically affected by the change in the substitution pattern of the ring. The geminal methylene protons of 26 were nearly equivalent (1.46 ppm), whereas they were nonequivalent (1.33 and 1.49 ppm) in the cis isomer. The

⁽¹¹⁾ Suzuki, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1960, 33, 396-405.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Inamoto, N.; Masuda, S.; Nagai, Y.; Simamura, O. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 1433-1436.

Table I. Biological Activity of Retinoids 1-9

	· · ·	assay									
		TG	ase ^o	Chol	SO_4^a			F9		CRA Bind	BP
		- % (entrl	% c	ntrl	areas linked	lominin	plasminogen	0.000	DIIIQ.	abiek
	TOCª	0.1	10	0.1	10	envelope	release	release	ID ₅₀ ,	rat, ^f	ID ₅₀ , ^g
retinoid	ID ₅₀ , nM	nM	nM°	nM	nM^{c}	inhibn, ^e % cntrl	ED_{50} , nM	ED ₅₀ , nM	nmol	% cntrl	μM
CO2H	0.01		15	100	5	<1	1.5	0.1	0.04	91	1.0
	0.002		1	6	1	<1	1.5	0.08	0.03	100	0.8
СО ₂ н	0.007	10	5	2	1	0	1.5	0.7	0.03	27	1.0
Со ₂ н	>10 ^h		68	73	33	100	>100 ^h	>10 ^h	142		1.0
4	>0.1 ^h	130	23	73	9	<1	90	2.0	3.2		4.8
	20.1	100	20	10	-		00	2.0	0.2		
5											
	0.01	12	14	13	2	<1	0.2	0.3	0.07		0.8
6											
	0.003		9	15	2	<1	31	1.0	2.2	91	1.6
7											
	0.02	37	11	12	2	<1	>100 ^h	0.5	0.4		4.8
8											
CO2H	0.08	114	47	187	14	5	>5000 ^h	3.0	66		15.0
у такана така Э											

^aTOC: tracheal organ culture reversal of keratinization. ^bTGase: type I transglutaminase. ^cConcentration of retinoid tested. ^dCholSO₄: cholesterol 3-sulfate. ^eRetinoids screened at 10 nM. ^fCompetition for binding using 3 μ M retinoid and [³H]-all-trans-retinoic acid. ^gConcentration of retinoid required to inhibit binding of 2.5 μ M [³H]-all-trans-retinoic acid by 50%. ^bHighest concentration of retinoid screened.

benzylic methine protons of **26** were also equivalent (2.21 ppm) but appeared as two complex multiplets in the cis isomer (2.44 and 2.49 ppm). Strickland et al.¹⁷ reported the high biological activity

Strickland et al.¹⁷ reported the high biological activity of the 3-methyltetrahydronaphthalene analogue of 2 in several assays used to assess the effects of retinoids on cell differentiation. The tetrahydroanthracene 6 could be regarded as an analogue of 2 and 3 in both of which the methyl groups are replaced by the benzo ring system. In 6 the only degree of rotational freedom is about the bond joining the two aromatic ring systems and corresponding to the C11–C12 bond of the retinoic acid side chain. The synthesis of **6** is outlined in Scheme IV. In intermediate **29** one of the rings of the naphthalene ring system is deactivated by the carbethoxyphenyl substituent; therefore, Friedel–Crafts cycli-alkylation¹⁸ proceeded selectively in high yield. Intermediate **29** was prepared by the biaryl coupling method of Negishi.¹⁹

(18) Bruson, H. A.; Kroeger, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1940, 62, 36-44.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Strickland, S.; Breitman, T. R.; Frickel, F.; Nurrenbach, A.; Hadicke, E.; Sporn, M. B. Cancer Res. 1983, 43, 5268-5272.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Negishi, E.; King, A. O.; Okukado, N. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1821–1824.

Scheme V^a



^a (a) HNO₃, Ac₂O. (b) X = NO₂: H₂, 5% Pd/C, EtOH, dioxane. (c) X = NH₂: CH₃(CH₂)₅ONO (34), CHBr₃. (d) X = Br: Li (1% Na), Et₂O; ZnCl₂, THF; 6-BrC₁₀H₆-2-CO₂Et (36), $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_4Ni$, THF. (e) R = Et: aqueous KOH, EtOH.

Scheme VI^a



^a (a) Cl(CH₂)₂COCl (39), AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂. (b) AlCl₃, NaCl. (c) MeMgBr, Et₂O; 1.35 N H₂SO₄. (d) X = Br: CuCN, DMF; FeCl₃· 6H₂O, aqueous HCl. (e) C₆H₅HgCBr₃ (43), C₆H₆. (f) Mg, THF; ZnCl₂, THF; 44, $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_4$ Ni, THF. (g) X = CN: aqueous NaOH, EtOH.

The naphthalenecarboxylic acids 7-9 were also prepared by using the Negishi coupling. In these compounds, the propenyl group of 2 has been replaced by the benzo ring of naphthalene and the only degree of rotational freedom is about the bond joining the two ring systems that corresponds to the C8-C9 single bond of the retinoic acid side chain. The synthesis of the parent naphthalenecarboxylic acid 7 was previously reported by us.^{3b} The syntheses of the methyl-substituted analogues 8 and 9, in which rotation about the C7-C8 bond was restricted by steric interaction of the methyl groups with the ortho biaryl protons, are shown in Schemes V and VI, respectively.

Bromotetrahydropentamethylnaphthalene intermediate 35 in the synthesis of 8 could be prepared by bromination of 10^{20} or by cycli-alkylation of o-bromotoluene with dichloride 30. However, because aromatic amine 33 was an available intermediate, it was transformed into 35 by nonaqueous diazotization and reaction with hexyl nitrite and bromoform.²¹ Negishi coupling of the arylzinc reagent derived from 35 with bromonaphthalene 36 afforded ester **37**, in low yield because of steric hindrance by the 3-methyl group on the naphthalene ring. Retinoid 9 was prepared in an analogous fashion, but in this case the yield of the biaryl coupling step was higher, indicating less steric hindrance. Functionality at the 2,6-positions of the naphthalene ring was introduced by a dihalocarbene ring expansion^{22,23} of cyanoindene 42, which was prepared by treatment of 5-bromo-1-indanone (40)²⁴ with MeMgBr and

- (20) Myhre, P. C.; Schubert, W. M. J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 708-711.
- (21) Cadogan, J. I. G.; Roy, D. A.; Smith, D. M. J. Chem. Soc. C 1966, 1249-1250.
 (22) Parham, W. E.; Wright, C. D. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22,
- 1473-1477. (23) Gillespie, J. S., Jr.; Acharva, S. P.; Shamblee, D. A. J. Org
- (23) Gillespie, J. S., Jr.; Acharya, S. P.; Shamblee, D. A. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1838-1840.
- (24) Quere, J. P.; Marechal, E. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1971, 2983-2989.

dehydration of the tertiary alcohol product using aqueous acid,²⁵ followed by reaction of the aryl bromide with CuCN in DMF. The attempted dehydration of the tertiary alcohol using anhydrous CuSO₄ in refluxing xylene gave a mixture of 42 and higher molecular weight material. 2-Bromonaphthalenes have been prepared from indenes by using either CHBr₃-KO-t-Bu²² or C₆H₅HgCBr₃ in benzene at reflux.²³ We found that both methods on 41 gave 2,6dibromo-1-methylnaphthalene and no 3,6-dibromo-1methylnaphthalene. The bromo groups of this product did not exhibit any selectivity in reaction with CuCN in refluxing DMF,^{26,27} and the isomeric bromonitrile products were separable only with difficulty. Therefore, the bromo group of the precursor 41 was transformed to the nitrile to give 42. In this reaction an oxidative workup (FeCl₃, aqueous HCl)^{26,27} was found to be a more convenient method for decomposing the Cu(I)-nitrile complexes formed than complexation with 1,2-diaminoethane,²⁷ which produced emulsions. Ring expansion afforded 44, the ¹H NMR spectrum of which exhibited the expected ortho and meta coupling patterns. The proton signals of 9 were unambiguously assigned by proton-decoupling experiments despite the similarity in chemical shifts for the signals.

Biological Activity. In the absence of retinoids, the mucociliary epithelium of the trachea loses its normal pattern of differentiation and is replaced by a squamous metaplastic epithelium characterized by excessive cellular proliferation and the presence of several characteristic markers.²⁸⁻³⁰ In the presence of retinoids in vivo and in organ and cell cultures, these markers—type I transglutaminase (Tgase inhibition assay), increases in cholesterol 3-sulfate (CholSO₄ inhibition assay) and keratin (TOC assay), and formation of cross-linked envelope (cross-linked envelope inhibition assay)—associated with the expression of the squamous phenotype are decreased or inhibited and the normal cellular phenotype is restored.³¹

In the presence of retinoid, F9 embryonal carcinoma cells differentiate to parietal endoderm, are no longer tumorigenic, and synthesize laminin (F9 laminin release assay) and plasminogen (F9 plasminogen activator release assay), which are secreted into the medium.^{32–34} In epithelial cells undergoing neoplastic transformation upon treatment with a tumor promoter, the marker ornithine decarboxylase is induced. The induction of this enzyme is inhibited by retinoids (ODC assay).³⁵

Retinoids 1-9 were screened in these assays (Table I) to assess their ability to regulate cell differentiation. Retinoic acid (1), the methylstilbenes 2 and 3, the tetrahydroanthracene 6, and the naphthalenecarboxylic acids 7 and 8 showed moderate to high activity, whereas the

- (25) Weidler, A.-M. Acta Chem. Scand. 1963, 17, 2724-2734.
- (26) McNamara, J. M.; Gleason, W. B. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1071.
- (27) Friedman, L.; Shechter, H. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 2522-2524.
- (28) Wong, Y.; Buck, R. Lab. Invest. 1971, 24, 55-66.
- (29) Newton, D.; Henderson, W. R.; Sporn, M. B. Cancer Res. 1980, 40, 3413–3425.
- (30) Sporn, M. B.; Clamon, G. H.; Dunlop, N. M.; Newton, D. L.; Smith, J. M.; Saffiotti, U. Nature 1975, 253, 47-49.
- (31) Jetten, A. In Chemistry and Biology of Synthetic Retinoids; Dawson, M. I., Okamura, W. H., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1989; Chapter 13, in press.
- (32) Napoli, J. L. In Chemistry and Biology of Synthetic Retinoids; Dawson, M. I., Okamura, W. H, Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1989; Chapter 10, in press.
- (33) Strickland, S.; Smith, K. K.; Marotti, K. R. Cell 1980, 21, 347-355.
- (34) Linder, S.; Krondahl, U.; Sennerstam, R.; Ringertz, N. R. Exp. Cell Res. 1981, 132, 453-460.
- (35) Verma, A. K.; Shapas, B. G.; Rice, H. M.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 419–425.

dimethylstilbene 4, the cyclopropane 5, and the 5methyl-2-naphthalenecarboxylic acid 9 had low activity.

Linear regression analysis of the data in Table I indicated a high correlation between retinoid activities in these assays. For example, the ID_{50} values for these retinoids in the TOC and ODC assays correlated (r = 0.974, P <0.001, n = 7), and the correlation between the activities in the TOC and the other assays ranged from r = 0.917to r = 0.962 (P < 0.001 to P < 0.005, n = 7), except for the laminin release assay, which had a very small sample size (n = 5). However, a high correlation (r = 0.950, P < 0.005, P < 0.005)n = 6) was found between the effects that these retinoids had on laminin and plasminogen activator release in F9 cells, as expected for assays performed on the same cell line. The difference between the two assays was the demonstration with the laminin release assay that retinoids 2 and 6 produced maximum responses that were 30% greater than that of 1 (P < 0.05). The ODC assay also showed a high correlation (r = 0.826 to r = 0.991, P < 0.001to P < 0.02, n = 8 to n = 9) with the other assays. These results indicate that retinoid activity in one assay can be predictive of activity in another and that in vivo and in vitro assay results can be compared in a similar set of retinoids in this series of assays.

Cellular retinoic acid binding protein (CRABP) is present in the cell cytosol^{6,7} and has been shown to translocate 1 to the nucleus,^{36–39} where a nuclear retinoic acid receptor has been identified.^{8,9} Therefore, it appears that, in those cell systems containing CRABP, this protein has some influence on retinoid activity. However, linear regression analysis of the ID₅₀ values of these retinoids in the TOC and ODC assays compared with those for binding to CRABP from chick skin afforded variable results. When the ID₅₀ values of those retinoids that were active in the TOC assay were compared with those for CRABP binding, the correlation was high (r = 0.987, P < 0.001, n)= 7). In contrast, no correlation was found between the ID₅₀ values in the ODC assay and those for binding to CRABP. In addition, 4, which had low activity in both assays, bound to CRABP with an affinity equal to that of 1. These results support the findings of Jetten et al.⁴⁰ on the lack of correlation between retinoid cell differentiation activity and CRABP binding activity.

Conformational Analysis. Molecular mechanics calculations were performed on 2-9 by use of the program MOLMEC.⁴ The structures shown in Figure 1 were the result of complete geometry optimizations for all bond lengths, bond angles, and torsion angles, starting with randomly chosen α_1 and α_2 values. The conformational minima were found by varying the initial values at intervals of 30°. In order to estimate rotational barriers, constrained optimizations were conducted for 2-9 by keeping a selected torsion angle at a fixed value. The partial charges for the Coulomb term were taken from MNDO⁵ calculations using geometries that were optimized by MOLMEC without the charge term. The predictive reliability of this procedure for the geometries and relative energies of molecular conformations has been demonstrated.⁴¹ It was found that

- (36) Sani, B. P. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1977, 75, 7-12.
- (37) Sani, B. P.; Donovan, M. K. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 2492-2496.
- (38) Cope, F. O.; Knox, K. L.; Kirvin, L.; Hall, R. C., Jr. Nutr. Res. 1984, 4, 289–304.
- (39) Takase, S.; Ong, D. E.; Chytil, F. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1986, 247, 328-334.
- (40) Jetten, A. M.; Anderson, K.; Deas, M. A.; Kagechika, H.; Lotan, R.; Rearick, J. I.; Shudo, K. Cancer Res. 1987, 47, 3523-3527.

Table II. Optimized Torsion Angles α_1 and α_2 and Relative Energies ΔE of the Low-Lying Conformations of Structures 2-9^a

			ΔE
no.	α_1	α_2	(kcal/mol)
28	31	40	0.0
2b	31	128	1.4
2c	148	41	0.1
2d	147	127	1.5
2e	213	42	0.2
2 f	213	125	1.4
2g	326	41	0.2
2 h	326	127	1.4
3a	40	31	0.0
3b	39	118	1.7
3c	138	31	0.2
3d	137	117	1.6
3e	221	32	0.4
3f	223	115	1.8
3g	315	31	0.2
3h	316	117	1.5
4a	50	52	0.0
4b	52	148	2.2
4 c	126	51	0.1
4d	128	149	2.1
4e	232	55	0.2
4f	233	145	2.3
4g	302	51	0.2
4 h	306	146	2.0
5a	132	65	0.0
5b	52	67	0.2
6a	180	32	0.0
6b	180	148	0.1
6c	180	213	0.1
6 d	180	326	0.1
7a	39	180	0.0
7b	142	180	0.1
7c	218	180	0.0
7d	324	180	0.1
8a	54	180	0.0
8b	125	180	0.1
8c	231	180	0.2
8d	304	180	0.1
9a	56	180	0.0
9b	123	180	0.2
9c	235	180	0.2
9d	303	180	0.1

 $^{a}\alpha_{1} = C7-C8-C9-C10; \alpha_{2} = C9-C10-C11-C12.$

the geometries and relative energies of the conformational isomers changed very little by including the Coulomb term. The saturated portion of the tetrahydronaphthalene ring system was optimized as a cyclohexene ring with a twist conformation. The overlapping structures shown in Figure 2 were calculated by root-mean-square minimization of the six aromatic carbons of the tetrahydronaphthalene ring, the deviation of which in all cases was lower than 0.01 Å. The results of our conformational studies are summarized in Table II.

Discussion and Conclusions

Shudo⁴² designed a series of novel analogues of **2** having

^{(41) (}a) Loew, G. H.; Lawson, J. A.; Uyeno, E. T.; Toll, L.; Frenking, G.; Polgar, W.; Ma, L. Y. Y.; Camerman, N.; Camerman, A. Mol. Pharmacol. 1988, 34, 363-376. (b) Lawson, J. A.; Toll, L.; Loew, G. H.; Frenking, G.; De Graw, J. I.; Uyeno, E. T.; Camerman, A. Proceedings of the 48th Meeting of the Committee of Problems in Drug Dependency, NIDA Research Monograph, Vol. 76, 1987; pp 309-315. (c) Frenking, G.; Loew, G. H.; Lawson, J. A. Proceedings of the International Narcotics Research Conference, NIDA Research Monograph, Vol. 70, 1986; pp 53-56.

^{(42) (}a) Shudo, K.; Kagechika, H. In Chemistry and Biology of Synthetic Retinoids; Dawson, M. I., Okamura, W. H., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1989; Chapter 12, in press. (b) Kagechika, H.; Kawachi, E.; Hashimoto, Y.; Himi, T.; Shudo, K. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 2182-2192.











Figure 1. Selected energy-minimized conformers of retinoids 2-9 (Table II).

the propenyl group replaced by such heterofunctional groups as CONH, NHCO, and N—N. From the activities of these compounds in the HL-60 cell differentiation assay and other assays,⁴⁰ he concluded that the requirements for retinoid activity were a hydrophobic group having sufficient steric bulk joined by a bridge "X" to a benzoic acid group.⁴² However, from the testing results there did not

appear to be rigid spatial requirements for this spacer. In contrast, the results of the biological testing of 2-9 indicate that the receptor imposes spatial requirements on this bridge.

The conformational flexibility of 2 and its analogues is given mainly by the rotation around the C8–C9 (retinoic acid numbering) bond (i.e., the torsion angle α_1 defined



Figure 2. Overlapped conformer 2a with related conformers 3e, 4a, 5a, and 7a.

by the atoms C7-C8-C9-C10) and/or the rotation α_2 around the C10-C11 bond ($\alpha_2 = C9-C10-C11-C12$). Rotation about α_1 yielded four different energy minima. For two rotamers, the methyl group on the double bond was "below" the plane of the tetrahydronaphthalene ring, whereas for the other two it was "above" the plane. These rotational forms, although close in energy, were not degenerate because rotation around α_1 is not a symmetry element of the molecule. For each rotamer of 2, two minima caused by rotation of the phenyl ring around α_2 had the aromatic ring systems nearly planar or perpendicular. All conformational minima were within 2 kcal/ mol. The barriers for rotation were always less than 4 kcal/mol, indicating the flexible rotational profile of 2. For the rotamer of **2a** shown in Figure 1, α_1 was calculated as 31° and α_2 was 40°, which agreed with the angle of 34.5° for trans- α -methylstilbene caculated by Suzuki⁴³ from the UV spectrum taken in *n*-heptane. The interplanar twisting angle of 77° of the aromatic rings calculated for the perpendicular forms agreed with the X-ray, which gave a displacement angle of 71°.¹

The data in Table II show that the calculated conformational profile of 3 was comparable with that of 2. For example, the corresponding rotamer 3a had twisting angles $\alpha_1 = 40^\circ$ and $\alpha_2 = 31^\circ$. Steric interactions of the two methyl groups on the double bond in 4a led to larger twisting angles ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 58^\circ$), which agreed with the reported⁴³ value of 58° for α, α' -dimethylstilbene in solution.

Compounds 2-4 had substantial differences in activity. Whereas 2 and 3 had high potency, 4 was essentially inactive. Because the rotational profiles of these compounds were not very different, we do not think that the lower potency of 4 was caused by the slightly different twisting angles because 4 could adopt a conformation similar to that of 2 that permitted overlapping of the benzoic acid ring with that of 2. The only distinct difference between 2 and 4 was the second methyl group on the double bond that was above the planes of the aromatic rings. It is possible that steric interactions at the receptor site permit one methyl group on one side of the plane through the aromatic ring systems but not two on opposite sides of the planes through the aromatic ring systems. Although 2 and 3 have their methyl groups on adjacent carbons, 3 could adopt conformations in which its methyl group was on the same side of the molecular plane as that of 2. An example of this is 3e, which is shown in Figure 1.

The cyclopropane 5 could also adopt conformations (two minima differing by 0.2 kcal/mol) in which the cyclopropane methylene could overlap the C9-methyl group of 2; however, in doing so, the plane of the benzoic acid group of 5 was perpendicular to that of 2. This shift may explain the lower activity found with 5. The tetrahydroanthracene 6a had $\alpha_2 = 32^\circ$, resembling 3a, and the tetrahydronaphthalene 7a had $\alpha_1 = 39^\circ$, which was higher than that of 2a. Suzuki calculated a twisting angle of 40-43° for biphenyl.⁴⁴ Rotation around α_2 of 6 yielded two minima having energies within 1 kcal/mol, and rotation around α_1 of 7 yielded two minima within 2 kcal/mol. Loss of rotational freedom about α_1 did not affect potency for 6, which had activity comparable with that of 3, whereas the reduced rotational freedom in 7 may be the cause of its potency being lower than that of 2. Overlapping the rotamer 2a shown in Figure 1 with the lowest lying rotational isomer of 7a brought the carboxyl groups in close proximity, but the adjacent aromatic rings did not overlap. Rotation about α_1 in 7 would achieve more overlap of these rings but, although energetically permissible, would not permit sufficient overlap of the naphthalene ring with the olefinic bond of 2.

The activity of retinoid 8 was comparable with that of 7 although the torsional angle between the rings for the energetically lowest lying conformer 8a increased from 39° to 54°, still less than the calculated value of 58° for α -

Biological Activity of Aromatic Retinoids

methylbiphenyl.⁴⁵ Evidently, the methyl group at the 3-position of the tetrahydronaphthalene ring system did not interfere with binding to the retinoid receptor in the naphthalenecarboxylic acid series, as was the case in the benzoic acid series.¹⁷ In contrast, shifting the methyl group to the corresponding ortho position on the naphthalene ring appreciably reduced activity. In **9a**, the torsional angle α_1 was 56°, but the methyl group assumed a position above the planes of the aromatic rings comparable with that of the C10-methyl group of **4**.

Therefore, there appear to be steric constraints on the bridge joining the two aromatic systems that affect activity. These calculations did not indicate the optimal orientation of the benzoic acid ring with respect to the tetrahydronaphthalene ring system because the planar and perpendicular rotamers were so close together in energy. New retinoid structures will have to be screened to answer this question.

Experimental Section

Synthetic Methods. When required, reactions were conducted with deoxygenated solvents under inert gas (argon). Solvents were dried or distilled before use. Melting points were uncorrected. TLC analyses were performed on Analtech analytical silica gel plates. Merck silica gel 60 was used for preparative chromatography. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 710B infrared spectrophotometer, and NMR spectra with a JEOL FX90Q or 400-MHz Varian spectrometer. UV spectra were taken on a Perkin-Elmer 575 spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectral analyses were conducted with a CEC-21-110B highresolution mass spectrometer equipped with facilities for combination GC-MS.

[(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)methyl]triphenylphosphonium Bromide (12). A mixture of 12.14 g (60.0 mmol) of 1,1,4,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4,6-pentamethylnaphthalene (10),²⁰ 11.21 g (63.0 mmol) of NBS, and 0.436 g (1.80 mmol) of $(C_6H_5CO_2)_2$ in 120 mL of CCl₄ was heated at reflux with stirring for 30 min [TLC(5% Et₂O/hexane) R_f 0.14, 0.59 (11), and no 0.67 (10)]. The mixture was cooled, diluted with petroleum ether (120 mL), filtered, and concentrated to give 28.7 g of a colorless oil; LC (Radialpak B, hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 3.3 (4%, 10), 4.4 (26%, dibrominated), 5.1 min (70%, 11). Distillation (128–134 °C, 1.2 mmHg) afforded 11.98 g (71%) of crude 11 as a colorless oil, consisting of 10 (7%), 11 (89%), and dibromide (4%) by ¹H NMR.

The oil was stirred with 13.4 g (51.1 mmol) of $(C_6H_6)_3P$ in 50 mL of CH_2Cl_2 for 18 h and then slowly diluted with Et_2O (200 mL). The precipitated solid was washed with Et_2O and dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (60 mL). The Et_2O precipitation was repeated to give 19.4 g (59%) of 12 as colorless crystals: mp 264–266 °C (60 °C, 0.05 mmHg, 24 h); IR (CHCl_3) 2955, 2930, 1490, 1470 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (20% Me₂SO- $d_6/CDCl_3$) δ 0.95 and 1.23 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.61 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 4.90 (d, J = 14 Hz, 2, CH₂P), 6.72 (m, 1, 3-ArH), 6.90 (m, 1, 1-ArH), 7.13 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.4–8.0 [m, 15, (C_6H_5)_3P]. Anal. ($C_{33}H_{36}BrP$) C, H, Br, P.

(E)-4-[1-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-propenyl]benzenecarbonitrile (14). A mixture of 12.50 g (23.0 mmol) of 12 and 0.840 g (21.0 mmol of NaH) of a 60% NaH-mineral oil dispersion in 70 mL of Me₂SO was stirred under argon for 20 min before a solution of 2.90 g (20.0 mmol) of 4-acetylbenzonitrile (13) in 30 mL of Me₂SO was added. The mixture was stirred for 6 h [TLC (10% acetone/hexane) R_f 0.19 (13), 0.47 (14), and 0.53 [(Z)-14]], poured onto ice (300 g), and extracted with Et₂O (250 mL, 3×50 mL). The extracts were washed with H_2O (30 mL) and saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL), dried $(MgSO_4)$, and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) and precipitated with petroleum ether (100 mL). This procedure was repeated twice to give 12.9 g of an almost colorless solid containing (Z)-14 (48%), 14 (41%), 10 (5%), and 13 (5%), as well as (C₆H₅)₃PO by ¹H NMR. Chromatography (200 g of silica gel, 10% acetone/petroleum ether) gave 6.42 g (97%) of a 54:46

mixture of (Z)-14 and 14 by ¹H NMR; LC (Radialpak B, 4% EtOAc/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 3.4 [43%, (Z)-14], 3.8 min (57%, 14). Repeated chromatography (silica gel, 5% acetone/hexane) and crystallization (5% acetone/hexane) gave analytically pure 14 as colorless needles: mp 160–162 °C; LC (Radialpak A, MeCN, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 3.7 min (100%), (Radialpak B, 4% EtOAc/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 3.7 min (100%), (Radialpak B, 4% EtOAc/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 3.7 min (100%); IR (CCl₄) 2225, 1605, 1505, 835 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.71 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.30 (d, J = 1 Hz, 3, C=CCH₃), 6.87 (br s, 1, HC=C), 7.14 (dd, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.62 (s, 4, ArH ortho and meta to CN). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₇N) C, H, N.

Chromatography also afforded (Z)-14 as colorless crystals: mp 95–97 °C (hexane); LC $t_{\rm R}$ 3.5 (3%, 14), 3.7 min [97%, (Z)-14]; IR (CCl₄) 2225, 1505, 1490 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.96 and 1.20 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.58 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.17 (d, J = 1 Hz, 3, C=CCH₃), 6.51 (br s, 1, HC=C), 6.72 (m, 1, 3-ArH), 6.76 (s, 1, 1-ArH), 7.09 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.29 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CN), 7.57 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CN); MS calcd for C₂₄H₂₇N 329.214, found 329.215.

Ethyl (E)-4-[1-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-propenyl]benzoate (15). A suspension of 756 mg (2.29 mmol) of 14 and (Z)-14 (21:79 E:Z) in a solution of 1.46 g (22.9 mmol) of 88% KOH in 4.9 mL of EtOH and 0.82 mL of H₂O was heated at reflux with stirring for 18 h [TLC (20% acetone/hexane) R_f 0.0 and no 0.68 (14)]. The mixture was cooled, poured onto ice (100 g), and washed with Et₂O (3 × 100 mL). The aqueous phase was acidified at 0 °C with 2 N HCl and extracted with Et₂O (2 × 100 mL). The extracts were washed with brine (4 × 10 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to give 714 mg (89%) of crude 3 as a tan residue; TLC (25% MeOH/CHCl₃) R_f 0.45 (3), 0.54 [(Z)-3].

The 714 mg of crude acid mixture was esterified with CH₃CHN₂ in 50% CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O, filtered through a 2-cm pad of silica gel (10% EtOAc/hexane), and concentrated to give 751 mg (87%) of esters as a yellow gum; LC (Radialpak B, 5% EtOAc/hexane, $2 \text{ mL/min}, 260 \text{ nm}) t_{\text{R}} 3.1 [71\%, (Z)-15], 3.4 \text{ min} (29\%, 15).$ The gum was dissolved in 350 mL of hexane and irradiated for 15 min from a distance of 2 cm with a Pyrex-jacketed, medium-pressure mercury lamp (Hanovia, 550 w) to give a 30:70 mixture of (Z)-15 and 15 (LC). Concentration and crystallization (-20 °C EtOH) gave 339 mg (39%) of 15 as colorless plates. The photoisomerization and crystallization process was repeated to give two more crops of 15 for a total of 576 mg (67%). Recrystallization (EtOH) gave 488 mg (57%) of 15 as shiny, colorless plates: mp 95-96 °C; LC (Radialpak A, MeCN, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 10.4 min (100%), (Radialpak B, 3% EtOAc/hexane, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 4.6 min (100%); IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1610, 850, 700 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR $(\text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.30 \text{ and } 1.31 \text{ (s, 12, 5,8-CH}_3), 1.41 \text{ (t, } J = 7 \text{ Hz, 3},$ CH_2CH_3), 1.71 (s, 4, 6,7- CH_2), 2.32 (d, J = 1 Hz, 3, C= CCH_3), 4.39 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2, CH_2CH_3), 6.89 (br s, 1, HC=C), 7.17 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.31 (s, 1, 1-NapH), 7.32 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.57 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂Et), 8.03 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂Et). Anal. (C₂₆H₃₂O₂) C, H

The mother liquors were purified by LC (4% EtOAc/hexane) using the recycle technique to give 169 mg (20%) of (Z)-15 as a colorless gum: LC (Radialpak A, MeCN, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 8.2 min (100%), (Radialpak B, 4% EtOAc/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 3.0 min (100%); IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1605, 865 cm⁻¹; 300-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.96 and 1.19 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.38 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3, CH₂CH₃), 1.57 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.19 (d, J = 1 Hz, 3, C—CCH₃), 4.37 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2, CH₂CH₃), 6.46 (br s, 1, HC—C), 6.72 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 6.80 (m, 1, 1-ArH), 7.05 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.27 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂Et), 7.97 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂Et); MS calcd for C₂₆H₃₂O₂ 376.240, found 376.241.

(E)-4-[1-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-propenyl]benzoic Acid (3). A solution of 419 mg (1.11 mmol) of 15 in 20 mL of MeOCH₂CH₂OH, 5 mL of Et₂O, and 4.45 mL (22.2 mmol) of 5 N aqueous NaOH was stirred under argon for 2 h [TLC (10% acetone/hexane) R_f 0.0 and no 0.60 (15)]. The mixture was diluted with a -10 °C solution of H₂O (150 mL), MeOH (100 mL), and 2 N HCl (30 mL) to give a white, microcrystalline solid, which was washed with 30% MeOH/H₂O (until wash pH 5) and dried (0.5 mmHg, 16 h) to afford 381 mg (98%) of **3** as a colorless, microcrystalline powder: mp 214–216 °C; LC (Radialpak A, 35% H₂O/MeOH, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 17.2 min (100%); IR (Nujol) 1685, 1605, 1560 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.31 and 1.32 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.71 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.34 (d, J = 1 Hz, 3, C—CCH₃), 5.5 (br s, 1, CO₂H), 6.93 (s, 1, HC—C), 7.18 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.32 (s, 1, 1-NapH), 7.33 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.62 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂H), 8.11 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) 17.4, 31.9, 34.2, 35.2, 126.0, 126.4, 127.6, 130.3, 134.8, 135.4, 143.8, 144.7, 149.7, 171.8 ppm; UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 228 (sh, ϵ 1.2 × 10⁴), 296 nm (ϵ 2.7 × 10⁴). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₈O₂) C, H.

(Z)-4-[1-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-propenyl]benzoic Acid [(Z)-3]. A solution of 136 mg (0.362 mmol) of (Z)-15 in 6.5 mL of MeOCH₂CH₂OH, 1.65 mL of Et₂O, and 1.45 mL (7.24 mmol) of 5 N aqueous NaOH was stirred under argon for 2 h [TLC (20% acetone/hexane) R_{t} 0.0 and no 0.67 [(Z)-15]]. The mixture was poured into H_2O (50 mL) and 2 N HCl (10 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (5 × 50 mL). The extracts were washed with brine $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL}, \text{ until wash pH})$ 5), dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated. Crystallization (hexane) afforded 77 mg (61%) of (Z)-3 as pale yellow crystals: mp 148-149 °C; LC (Radialpak A, 35% $H_2O/MeOH$, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 6.6 min (100%); IR (CCl₄) 2200-3500, 1690, 1605, 1560, 865 cm⁻¹ 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.96 and 1.20 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.58 $(s, 4, 6, 7-CH_2), 2.20 (d, J = 1 Hz, 3, C=CCH_3), 4.5 (br s, 1, CO_2H),$ 6.49 (br s, 1, HC=C), 6.75 (m, 1, 3-NapH), 6.79 (m, 1, 1-NapH), 7.07 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.32 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO_2H), 8.05 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO_2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) 26.7, 31.4, 31.7, 33.9, 34.0, 35.0, 126.2, 127.4, 128.1, 128.6, 130.5, 133.8, 136.4, 143.3, 144.3, 148.9, 171.6 ppm; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\rm max} 237 \ (\epsilon \ 2.5 \times 10^4), \ 270 \ {\rm nm} \ ({\rm sh}, \ \epsilon \ 1.2 \times 10^4).$ Anal. $(C_{24}H_{28}O_2)$ C, H.

5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenecarboxaldehyde (16). Method A. To a stirred slurry of 91.56 g (0.500 mol) of 2,5-dichloro-2,5-dimethylhexane $(30)^{18}$ in 157 g (1.00 mol) of bromobenzene at 5 °C was added 40.0 g (0.3 mol) of AlCl₃ in small portions over a 15-min period. The dark brown mixture was stirred at 5-10 °C for 20 min [GC (0.125 in. \times 6 ft, 3% OV-17, 150 °C, 2 min, 16 °C/min to 200 °C) t_R 3.8 (82%, 45), 5.0 (11%), 6.4 (7%), and no 0.8 min (30)]. The mixture was poured onto ice (300 g) and concentrated HCl (50 mL) and extracted with petroleum ether (300 mL, 2×100 mL). The extracts were washed with 2 N HCl (25 mL) and saturated NaHCO₃ (2×25 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to a pale yellow oil from which excess bromobenzene was removed by distillation (bp 70-73 °C, 480 mmHg). The residue was distilled to give 79.4 g (59%) of 45^{46} as a colorless oil: bp 110-114 °C, 0.8 mmHg; TLC (10% acetone/hexane) $R_f 0.76$; GC $t_R 3.8 (90\%, 45)$, 5.0 (7.5%), 6.4 min (2.5%); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.25 and 1.26 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.66 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 7.08-7.25 (m, 2, 3,4-ArH), 7.39 (m, 1, 1-ArH).

A procedure of Olah⁴⁷ was used. To a vigorously stirred suspension of 4.89 g (0.201 mol) of Mg turnings in 5 mL of THF containing 0.1 mL of MeI at 50 °C under argon was added a solution of 44.8 g (0.168 mol) of 45 (90% by GC) in 160 mL of THF over a 1-h period. To the resultant dark solution, which was heated at 50-55 °C for 30 min and then cooled to -20 °C, was added 24.7 g (0.218 mol) of piperidine-1-carboxaldehyde in 100 mL of THF over a 20-min period. The mixture was stirred at -20 to 0 °C for 1 h, poured onto ice (500 g) and concentrated HCl (50 mL), and extracted with petroleum ether (300 mL, $3 \times$ 100 mL). The extracts were washed with 2 N HCl (25 mL) and saturated NaHCO₃ (25 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give 38.4 g of a pale yellow oil [TLC (10% acetone/hexane) R_f 0.49 (16), 0.76]. Chromatography (300 g of silica gel, 5% acetone/hexane) afforded 36.7 g of 16 as a colorless semisolid; GC (3% OV-17, 150 °C, 2 min, 16 °C/min to 200 °C) t_R 1.1 (6%), 4.2 (88%, 16), 5.0 min (6%)

Method B. The method of Syper⁴⁸ was used. To a vigorously stirred, 100 °C mixture of 20.23 g (0.100 mol) of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4,6-pentamethylnaphthalene $(10)^{20}$ and 20 mL of HOAc was added a solution of 239.6 g (0.437 mol) of (NH₄)₂Ce- $(NO_3)_6$ in 800 mL of 50% aqueous HOAc over a 2-h period. The mixture was stirred 15 min, cooled, poured onto ice (500 g), and extracted with petroleum ether $(3 \times 300 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were washed with H_2O (2 × 30 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give 21.7 g of a yellow solid [TLC (10% acetone/hexane) R_f 0.13, 0.49 (16), and no 0.75 (10)]. Chromatography (300 g of silica gel, 10% Et₂O/hexane) and crystallization (hexane) gave 16.83 g (78%) of 16 as colorless crystals: mp 51-53 °C (lit.⁴⁹ 53-54 °C); IR (CCl₄) 2805, 1700, 1601 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.31 and 1.32 $(2 \text{ s}, 12, 5, 8\text{-CH}_3), 1.72 \text{ (s}, 4, 6, 7\text{-CH}_2), 7.45 \text{ (d}, J = 8 \text{ Hz}, 1, 4\text{-ArH}),$ 7.63 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-ArH), 7.83 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-ArH), 9.94 (s, 1, CHO). Further elution of the column (15% acetone/hexane) and crystallization (hexane) gave 3.28 g (14%) of 5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalene-2-carboxylic acid as colorless needles: mp 190-193 °C (lit.²⁰ 198-199.5 °C); IR (CCl₄) 2300-3400, 1695, 1615 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 and 1.32 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.71 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 7.39 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.85 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-ArH), 8.08 (d, J =2 Hz, 1, 1-ArH), 10.8 (br s, 1, CO₂H).

2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-1,3-dithiane (17). A procedure by Seebach and co-workers¹² was used. Anhydrous HCl was bubbled into a -20 °C, stirred solution of 4.33 g (20.0 mmol) of 16 and 2.38 g (22.0 mmol) of 1,3-propanedithiol in 20 mL of CHCl₃ for 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 16 h [TLC (20% EtOAc/hexane) $R_f 0.59$ (17) and no 0.63 (16)]. The mixture was diluted with CHCl₃ (100 mL) and ice (100 g). The organic layer was washed with H₂O (50 mL), 10% NaOH (3×20 mL), and saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to 6.22 g (100% of crystalline residue, which was recrystallized from hexane (50 mL, -50 °C) to give 5.95 g (97%) of 17 as shiny, colorless crystals: mp 103-105 °C; IR (CCl₄) 1490, 1460, 1425, 1410, 1390 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 1.25 and 1.28 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.66 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 1.75-2.30 (m, 2, CH₂CH₂S), 3.0 (m, 4, CH₂S), 5.12 (s, 1, SCHS), 7.23 (m, 2, 3,4-NapH), 7.35 (br s, 1, 1-NapH); MS calcd for C₁₈H₂₆S₂ 306.148, found 306.148.

2-[3-(4-Bromophenyl)buten-2-yl]-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalene (21). To a solution of 3.50 g (11.4 mmol) of dithiane 17 in 56 mL of THF at -78 °C under argon was added 8.7 mL (12 mmol) of 1.37 M n-BuLi in hexane over a 5-min period. After 1 h at -78 °C, a solution of 12.8 g (12.5 mmol) of 4-(1-chloroethyl)bromobenzene (18)⁵⁰ in 10 mL of THF was added over a 5-min period, and the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 3 h [TLC (10% Et_2O /hexane) R_f 0.64 (19) and no 0.45(17)]. The mixture was diluted with ice (50 g) and saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and extracted with petroleum ether $(200 \text{ mL}, 3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO3 (20 mL), dried (MgSO4), and concentrated to give 6.75 g of a yellow gum, which was chromatographed (200 g silica gel, 2% Et₂O/hexane) to give 5.13 g of impure 19 as a colorless gum: TLC (5% Et₂O/hexane) R_f 0.35 (19) and 0.40; LC (Radialpak B, 2% Et₂O/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 2.0 (24%) and 2.6 min (76%, 19); IR (CCl₄) 1490, 1460, 1390, 1280 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.81 (m, impur), 1.12 and 1.16 (2 s, impur), 1.28 (s, 12, 5, 8-CH₃), 1.38 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3, CHCH₃), 1.67 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 1.9 (m, 2, CH_2CH_2S), 2.6 (m, 4, CH_2S), 3.27 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1, $CHCH_3$), 6.66 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH meta to Br), 7.21 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH orthoto Br), 7.35 (m, 3, NapH).

A procedure of Mukaiyama and co-workers¹⁴ was used. A mixture of 2.16 g (approximately 4.2 mmol) of crude 19, 1.13 g (8.4 mmol) of anhydrous CuCl₂, 1.34 g (16.8 mmol) of CuO, 40 mL of acetone, and 0.4 mL of H₂O was heated at reflux for 50 min [TLC (10% Et₂O/hexane) R_f 0.53 (20)]. After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (40 mL), filtered, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give 2.18 g of a dark yellow oil, which was chromatographed (90 g of silica gel, 10% Et₂O/hexane) to give 0.58 g (35%) of 20 as a colorless gum: LC (Radialpak B, 1% Et₂O/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 4.6 (18%) and 7.2 min (82%, 20); IR (CCl₄) 1690, 1680, 1640, 1605, 1490 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Wood, T. F.; Evans, W. F. (Givaudan Corp.). U.S. Patent 3,499,751 (1970); Chem. Abstr. 1970, 72, 132389e.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Olah, G. A.; Arvanaghi, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 878–879.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Syper, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1966, 4493-4498.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Wood, T. F.; Easter, W. M., Jr.; Carpenter, M. S.; Angiolini, J. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 2248–2255.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Goerner, G. L.; Pearce, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 2304-2305.

 $(\text{CDCl}_3) \delta$ (20) 1.25 (s, 3.6, CCH₃), 1.29 and 1.32 (2 s, 3.6, CCH₃), 1.50 (d, J = 7 Hz, 1.8, CHCH₃), 1.66 (s, 2.4, 6,7-CH₂), 4.63 (q, J = 7 Hz, 0.6, CHCH₃), 7.3 (m, 5, ArH and 4-NapH), 7.69 (m, 1, 3-NapH), 7.93 (m, 1, 1-NapH); and (aryl butyl ketone) 0.95 (m, 1.2, CH₂CH₃), 1.21 and 1.28 (2 s, 4.8, CCH₃), 1.70 (s, 1.6, 6,7-CH₂), 2.93 (t, J = 7 Hz, 0.8, COCH₂).

To a -40 °C stirred suspension of 1.73 g (4.84 mmol) of $CH_3P(C_6H_5)_3Br$ in 50 mL of THF was added 3.4 mL (4.6 mmol) of 1.37 M n-BuLi in hexane over a 5-min period. The reaction mixture was stirred at -40 to -10 °C for 0.5 h and at -10 °C for 0.5 h. The clear orange solution was cooled to -40 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ while a solution of 1.61 g (4.0 mmol) of crude 20 in 10 mL of THF was added over a 5-min period. The mixture was stirred at -40 to -20 °C for 0.5 h, at which time the reaction was complete [TLC $(2\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{hexane}) R_f 0.11 \text{ (trace, 20), } 0.42 \text{ (21), } 0.60\text{]}$. It was diluted with ice (50 g) and saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and extracted with 50% Et_2O /petroleum ether (200 mL, 2 × 50 mL). The extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (10 mL), dried $(MgSO_4)$, and concentrated to a semisolid, which was triturated with hexane (20 mL) to afford 1.60 g of a colorless oil. Chromatography (90 g of silica gel, 2% Et₂O/hexane) afforded 0.38 g (35%) of arylhexene (MS calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}$ 270.235, found 270.235) and 0.62 g (39%) of 21 as a colorless oil: LC (Radialpak B, hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_{R} 2.5 (2%) and 3.7 min (98%); IR (film) 1630, 1575, 1490 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.16 and 1.20 $(2 s, 6, 8-CH_3), 1.23 (s, 6, 5-CH_3), 1.43 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3, CHCH_3), 1.63 (s, 4, 6, 7-CH_2), 3.96 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1, CHCH_3), 5.11 [br s, 1, 1, 2.13]$ (E)-HC=CAr], 5.44 [br s, 1, (Z)-HC=CAr], 7.02 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.12 (s, 1, 1-NapH), 7.13 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to Br), 7.19 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.38 (d, J = 8Hz, 2, ArH ortho to Br); MS calcd for C24H29Br 396.145, found 396.144.

Ethyl 4-[2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)buten-3-yl]benzoate (22). To a stirred suspension of 190 mg (7.83 mmol) of Mg powder in a solution of 300 mg (0.783 mmol) of 21 in 5 mL of THF was added at 45-50 °C 512 mg (4.70 mmol) of EtBr in 5 mL of THF over a 30-min period. Heating was continued for 3 h. The Grignard reagent was cooled to room temperature and then added to a solution of 765 mg (7.05) mmol) of ClCO₂Et in 10 mL of THF at -60 °C over a 15-min period. After an additional 15 min at -60 °C, the reaction mixture was warmed to 0 °C, poured into ice (20 g) and saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL), and extracted with Et_2O (50 mL, 2 × 20 mL). The extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give 315 mg of a colorless oil: TLC (5% Et_2O /hexane) $R_f 0.35$ (22) and 0.67 (21); LC (Radialpak B, 4%) Et_2O /hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 2.0 (42%, 21) and 3.0 min (58%, 22). ¹H NMR indicated that 53% of the oil was 22, on the basis of integration of the vinylic and ester ethyl group protons. Chromatography (200 g of silica gel, 5% Et₂O/hexane) afforded 81 mg (27%) of 21 and 161 mg (53%) of 22 as a colorless gum: LC (Radialpak B, 4% Et_2O /hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 2.6 (3%), 3.0 (95%, 22), and 3.7 min (2%); IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1630, 1605, 1495, 1460, 1420, 1390 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 1.14 and 1.19 (2 s, 6, 8-CH₃), 1.22 (s, 6, 5-CH₃), 1.43 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3, CH₂CH₃), 1.47 $(d, J = 7 Hz, 3, CHCH_3), 1.62 (s, 4, 6, 7-CH_2), 4.06 (q, J = 7 Hz, 3)$ 1, CHCH₃), 4.34 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2, CH₂CH₃), 5.14 [br s, 1, (E)-HC=CNap], 5.47 [br s, 1, (Z)-HC=CNap], 7.02 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.11 (s, 1, 1-NapH), 7.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.33 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂Et), 7.94 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO_2Et); MS calcd for $C_{27}H_{34}O_2$ 390.255, found 390.256.

(E)-4-[3-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-buten-2-yl]benzoic Acid (4). A mixture of 134 mg (0.343 mmol) of 22, 68 mg (0.36 mmol) of p-TsOH·H₂O, and 13 mL of C₆H₆ was heated at reflux with stirring for 15 min [TLC (5% Et₂O/hexane) R_f 0.31 (trace, 22) and 0.34 (23)]. The mixture was cooled, diluted with hexane (200 mL), filtered through a 1-cm silica gel pad (200 mL of 5% Et₂O/hexane), and concentrated to give 133 mg (100%) of 23 as a colorless gum: LC (Radialpak B, 2% Et₂O/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 7.6 min (99%); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ [(Z)-23] 0.87 (s, 3.5, 8-CH₃), 1.20 (s, 3.5, 5-CH₃), 1.34 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1.7, CH₂CH₃), 1.54 (s, 2.3, 6,7-CH₂), 2.17 (s, 3.5, H₃CC==CCH₃), 4.31 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1.2, CH₂CH₃), 6.68 (d, J = 2 Hz, 0.6, 1-NapH), 6.85 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 0.6, 3-NapH), 7.00 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1.2, ArH meta to CO₂Et), 6.80-7.40 (m, NapH), 7.75 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1.2, ArH ortho to CO₂Et); and (23) 1.31 (s, 5, 5,8-CH₃), 1.41 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1.3, CH₂CH₃), 1.71 (s, 1.7, 6,7-CH₂), 1.90 (m, 2.5, H₃CC=CCH₃), 4.39 (q, J = 7 Hz, 0.8, CH₂CH₃), 8.05 (d, J = 8 Hz, 0.8, ArH ortho to CO₂Et). This gum was heated at reflux with 87 mg (0.46 mmol) of *p*-TsOH·H₂O in 13 mL of C₆H₆ for 6 h. Workup as described above afforded 131 mg (98%) of a colorless oil in which the Z:E ratio by ¹H NMR was changed from 58:42 to 46:54.

The oil in 200 mL of hexane at 0 °C was irradiated with a 550-W Pyrex-jacketed Hanovia lamp at a distance of 2 cm for 30 min. The solution was filtered through a 2-cm silica gel pad (200 mL of 10% EtOAc/hexane) to give 131 mg (98%) of 23 and (Z)-23 (82:18 by ¹H NMR) as a colorless gum: LC (Radialpak A, MeCN, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 9.3 [14%, (Z)-23], 10.3 min (86%, 23); IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1610, 1500, 1465, 1405, 1370 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) signals at δ 7.01 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 0.8, 3-NapH), 7.18 (d, J = 2 Hz, 0.8, 1-NapH), 7.28 (d, J = 8 Hz, 0.8, 4-NapH), and 7.34 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1.6, ArH meta to CO₂Et) were evident, as were the other signals listed above. Attempted crystallization (-80 °C hexane) of the mixture failed.

A mixture of 130 mg (0.333 mmol) of Z/E esters in 1.33 mL (6.7 mmol) of 5 N aqueous NaOH, 6.0 mL of MeOCH₂CH₂OH, and 2.0 mL of Et_2O was stirred under argon for 2 h [TLC (5% Et_2O /hexane) $R_f 0.0-0.04$ and no 0.36 (23)]. The reaction mixture was poured into ice (30 g) and 2 N HCl (12 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (3 × 50 mL). The extracts were washed with brine (3 \times 15 mL, pH 5), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to give 108 mg of a gum, which was dissolved in 10 mL of 50% $CH_2Cl_2/$ hexane. The CH₂Cl₂ was removed by evaporation, and the residue was cooled (-20 °C) to give 42 mg (35%) of 4. The mother liquors were concentrated to a gum, which was dissolved in 5 mL of hexane and irradiated for 15 min. Filtration and crystallization afforded 17 mg (14%) of 4. The total yield was 59 mg (49%) of 4 as fine colorless crystals: mp 204-206 °C; LC (Radialpak A, 35% H₂O/MeOH, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 29.6 min (100%); IR (CCl₄) 2400-3400, 1690, 1610, 1420, 1315 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.31 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.71 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 1.89 and 1.93 (2 m, 6, H₃CC=CCH₃), 7.03 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.19 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-NapH), 7.30 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.40 (d, J)J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂H), 8.13 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) $\overline{22.3}$, 22.4, 31.9, 34.1, 34.3, 35.3, 125.3, 126.2, 126.4, 127.1, 128.7, 130.2, 131.8, 134.5, 140.6, 143.0, 144.4, 150.9, 171.6 ppm; UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 257 nm ($\epsilon 1.7 \times 10^4$). Anal. $(C_{25}H_{30}O_2)$ C, H.

6-Ethenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4-tetramethyl**naphthalene (24).** To a stirred suspension of 23.2 g (65.0 mmol) of CH₃P(C₆H₅)₃Br in 300 mL of THF at -30 °C was added 41 mL (65 mmol) of 1.6 M n-BuLi in hexane over a 15-min period. The orange reaction mixture was warmed slowly to -5 °C over a 30-min period and stirred at -5 °C for 30 min before being cooled to -40 °C. A solution of 10.8 g (50.0 mmol) of 16 in 50 mL of THF was added over a 15-min period. After 1 h at -40 to 0 °C the reaction was complete [TLC (5% $Et_2O/5\%$ CH₂Cl₂/hexane) $R_f 0.74$ (24) and no 0.36 (16)]. The reaction mixture was poured into ice (200 g) and H_2O (200 mL) and extracted with 10% CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 100 mL). The extracts were washed with brine $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, dried $(MgSO_4)$, and concentrated to give 27 g of a yellow solid, which was dissolved in warm CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL) and diluted with petroleum ether (420 mL). The solid was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to 16.7 g of a semisolid, which was chromatographed (200 g of silica gel, 5% Et₂O/hexane) to give 9.6 g (90%) of 24 as a colorless oil; IR (film) 1635 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3) \delta 1.27$ and 1.29 (2 s, 12, 1,4-CH₃), 1.68 (s, 4, 2,3-CH₂), 5.17 [dd, J = 11 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1, (E)-ArC=CH], 5.68 [dd, J = 18 Hz,J = 1 Hz, 1, (Z)-ArC=CH], 6.69 (dd, J = 18 Hz, J = 11 Hz, 1, ArHC==C), 7.10-7.35 (m, 3, 5,7,8-ArH). Anal. (C₁₆H₂₂) C, H.

Ethyl cis- and trans-4-[2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)cyclopropyl]benzoate [26 and (Z)-26]. A solution of 17.8 g (100 mmol) of 4-carbethoxybenzaldehyde in 40 mL of EtOH was added to an ice-cooled solution of 6.01 g (0.12 mol) of $(NH_2)_2/H_2O$ in 70 mL of EtOH. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0-20 °C for 2.5 h [TLC (50% Et₂O/hexane) R_7 0.26 (hydrazone)] and then diluted with Et₂O (120 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄, cold), and concentrated to an oil, which was diluted with 10% hexane/Et₂O (100 mL). The solution was filtered and then cooled to give 17.8 g (93%) of the hydrazone.

A solution of 17.3 g (90.0 mmol) of the hydrazone in 180 mL of Et₂O was added at -5 °C to a stirred mixture of 23.8 g (110 mmol) of HgO (yellow) and 50 mL of 0.10 M KOH in EtOH. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min, warmed slowly to 25 °C, and stirred at 25 °C for 1 h. A gray solid slowly formed. Filtration (2 \times 50 mL Et₂O rinse) afforded a red solution, which was concentrated to give a suspension. The suspension was mixed with a solution of 10.7 g (49.7 mmol) of olefin 24 in 50 mL of hexane. The solvents were removed below 50 °C at reduced pressure. The red residue was heated under argon at 80-100 °C for 20 min. Within 10 min of heating, the mixture became brown and the reaction appeared to be complete [TLC (5% $Et_2O/5\%$ CH_2Cl_2 /hexane) $R_f 0.42$ (26), 0.45 (cis-26), and 0.80]. The mixture was cooled, diluted with 5% Et_2O /petroleum ether (200 mL), filtered (3-cm pad of silica gel), and concentrated to 17.2 g of a yellow gum. Chromatography (200 g of silica gel, 5% $Et_2O/5\%$ CH_2Cl_2 /hexane) afforded 0.96 g (9%) of recovered 24, 0.09 g (0.5%) of cis-26, and 5.87 g (32%) of a cis/trans mixture of the cyclopropanes. Repeated crystallizations from 5% CH₂Cl₂ followed by recrystallization from 80 mL of hexane (-30 °C) afforded 3.77 g (20%) of 26 as shiny, colorless needles: mp 115-117 °C; IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1610, 1500, 1460, 1365, 1275, 1180, 1110, 1020, 920, 855 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (s, 9, 5,5,8-CH₃), 1.31 (s, 3, 8-CH₃), 1.41 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3, CH₂CH₃), 1.43-1.49 (m, 2, 3-cyclopropyl-H), 1.70 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.21 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2, 1,2-cyclopropyl-H), 4.39 (q, J = 7 Hz, 2, CH_2CH_3), 6.89 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.13 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-NapH), 7.19 $(d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO_2Et), 7.27 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH),$ 7.98 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂Et). Anal. (C₂₆H₃₂O₂) C, H.

The 0.97 g of residual mother liquors obtained from the purification of **26** was repeatedly preparatively chromatographed (silica gel, 2.5% $\text{Et}_2\text{O}/2.5\%$ CH_2Cl_2 /hexane) to give 0.60 g of material enriched in *cis*-**26**, which was crystallized from 5 mL of pentane (-50 °C) to give 0.43 g (2%) of *cis*-**26** as colorless crystals: mp 47-49 °C; IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1615, 1500, 1460, 1365, 1275, 1180, 1110, 1020, 945, 865, 855 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.96 and 1.00 (2 s, 6, 8,8-CH₃), 1.15 and 1.16 (2 s, 6, 5,5-CH₃), 1.32 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3, CH₂CH₃), 1.33 (m, 1, 2-cyclopropyl-H cis to Ar, Nap), 1.49 (dt, J = 9 Hz, J = 5 Hz, 1, 2-cyclopropyl-H trans to Ar, Nap), 1.54 (2 s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.44 and 2.49 (2 dt, J = 9 Hz, J = 6 Hz, 2, 1,3-cyclopropyl-H), 6.66 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-NapH), 6.78 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 6.94 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂Et), 7.03 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂Et). Anal. (C₂₈H₃₂O₂) C, H.

trans -4-[2-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthalenyl)cyclopropyl]benzoic Acid (5). A mixture of 3.31 g (8.79 mmol) of 26 and a solution of 11.3 g (176 mmol) of 87% KOH in 90 mL of EtOH and 9 mL of H₂O was stirred under argon at 80 °C for 5 min, at which time hydrolysis was complete [TLC $(5\% \text{ MeOH/CHCl}_3) R_f 0.0-0.12 \text{ and no } 0.83 (26)].$ The mixture was cooled, diluted with ice (200 g) and HOAc (15 mL), and extracted with Et_2O (200 mL, 2 \times 50 mL). The extracts were washed with brine $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated to 200 mL before dilution with 100 mL of hexane and reconcentration to 100 mL. Crystallization was completed by cooling to -30 °C (-50 °C hexane rinse) to give 2.97 g (97%) of 5 as a microcrystalline powder: mp 179-181 °C; TLC (25% MeOH) CHCl₃) R₁ 0.55; LC (Radialpak A, 35% H₂O/MeOH, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 9.8 min (100%); IR (CHCl₃) 2400-3600, 2950, 2925, 2855, 1690, 1610, 1575, 1495, 1460, 1420, 1365, 1315, 1285, 1180, 1110, 1015, 940, 910, 860 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (s, 6, 5,5-CH₃), 1.26 and 1.27 (2 s, 6, 8,8-CH₃), 1.48 and 1.52 (2 dt, J = 7 Hz, J = 5 Hz, 2, 3-cyclopropyl-H), 1.66 (s, 4, 6, 7-CH₂), 2.19 (t, J = 7 Hz, 2, 1,2-cyclopropyl-H), 6.86 (dd, J = 8 Hz, $J = 10^{-10}$ 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.10 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-NapH), 7.18 (d, J = 8Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂H), 7.24 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 8.00 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) 19.0, 28.1, 29.1, 31.9, 34.0, 34.2, 35.2, 122.4, 124.4, 125.5, 126.6, 126.7, 130.4, 138.6, 142.8, 144.9, 149.7, 172.1 ppm; UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ 251 nm (ϵ 2.4×10^4). Anal. (C₂₄H₂₈O₂) C, H.

Ethyl 4-(2-Naphthalenyl)benzoate (29). The Grignard reagent was prepared by the dropwise addition of 5.18 g (25.0 mmol) of 2-bromonaphthalene (27) in 40 mL of THF to a stirred suspension of 730 mg (30.0 mmol) of Mg powder in 10 mL of THF at 35-40 °C over a 1-h period followed by heating for 2 h. The

reagent was added dropwise to 3.58 g (26.2 mmol) of fused ZnCl₂ in 25 mL of THF at -10 °C over a 20-min period followed by stirring at -10 to 0 °C for 3 h. A Ni(0) catalyst solution, prepared by the reduction of 275 mg (0.42 mmol) of $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_2NiCl_2$ and 221 mg (0.84 mmol) of (C₆H₅)₃P in 10 mL of THF with 0.84 mL (0.84 mmol) of 1.0 M DIBAL in hexane at 10 °C for 5 min, was added to the organozinc reagent at 0 °C. Next, 4.58 g (20.0 mmol) of ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (28) in 15 mL of THF was added, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at 20 °C. The reaction mixture was poured onto ice (300 g) and 2 N HCl (30 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (200 mL, 2 × 50 mL). The extracts were washed with brine $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to 7.89 g of a solid [TLC (10% $Et_2O/hexane)$ R_f 0.34 (29), 0.46 (28), 0.56, 0.62, and no 0.65 (27)]. Chromatography (200 g of silica gel, 10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) and crystallization (2% CH_2Cl_2 /hexane) afforded 4.66 g (84%) of 29 as fine, colorless crystals: mp 85–87 °C; IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1610 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.41 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3, CH₂CH₃), 4.41 (q, J =7 Hz, 2, CH₂CH₃), 7.4-8.0 (m, 6, ArH meta to CO₂Et, 5,6,7,8-NapH), 8.06 (br s, 1, 1-NapH), 8.14 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to O_2Et). Anal. $(C_{19}H_{16}O_2)$ C, H.

Ethyl 4-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2anthracenyl)benzoate (31). To a stirred, 10 °C solution of 4.15 g (15.0 mmol) of 29 and 3.30 g (18.0 mmol) of 2,5-dichloro-2,5dimethylhexane (30) in 100 mL of CS_2 was added 4.00 g (30.0 mmol) of $AlCl_3$ in portions over a 10-min period. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min [TLC (5% $Et_2O/5\%$ CH₂Cl₂/hexane) R_f 0.28 (29), 0.32 (31), 0.39, 0.54, and no 0.63 (30)]. The mixture was poured onto ice (200 g) and 2 N HCl (20 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (200 mL, 2 × 100 mL). The extracts were washed with brine (20 mL) and saturated NaHCO₃ (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to 7.10 g of a pink solid, which after chromatography (200 g of silica gel, 5% $Et_2O/5\%$ CH_2Cl_2 /hexane) and crystallization (25% hexane/EtOH) afforded 4.34 g (75%) of 31 as shiny, colorless plates: mp 120-122 °C; IR (CCl₄) 1720, 1610 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.43 (s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.44 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3, CH₂CH₃), 1.81 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 4.43 $(q, J = 7 Hz, 2, CH_2CH_3), 7.66 (dd, J = 9 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1)$ 3-AnthH), 7.79 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂Et), 7.83 (s, 1, 9-AnthH), 7.84 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 4-AnthH), 7.87 (s, 1, 10-AnthH), 8.02 (br s, 1, 1-AnthH), 8.15 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂Et). Anal. (C27H30O2) C, H.

4-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-anthracenyl)benzoic Acid (6). A suspension of 2.41 g (6.24 mmol) of 31 in 70 mL of MeOH to which was added 3.60 g (56.0 mmol) of 87% KOH in 6 mL of H_2O and 14 mL of MeOH was heated at reflux (70 °C) with stirring for 0.5 h [TLC (5% MeOH/CHCl₃) R_f 0.0, 0.13, and no 0.85 (31)]. The resultant clear solution was cooled and poured onto ice (200 g) and 2 N HCl (50 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (400 mL, 2 × 50 mL). The extracts were washed with brine $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, dried (Na₂SO₄), diluted with hexane (200 mL), and then concentrated to 100 mL to initiate crystallization of 1.95 g (87%) of 6, which was isolated as shiny, colorless needles: mp 270-272 °C; LC (Radialpak A, 35% H₂O/MeOH, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 10.8 min (100%); IR (mull) 1680, 1610, 1425 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃/5% Me₂SO- d_6) δ 1.28 (s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.66 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 7.52 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-AnthH), 7.65 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH meta to CO₂H), 7.68 (s, 1, 9-AnthH), 7.69 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-AnthH), 7.73 (s, 1, 10-AnthH), 7.88 (br s, 1, 1-AnthH), 8.02 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2, ArH ortho to CO₂H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃/5% Me₂SO-d₆) 32.5, 34.6, 35.0, 124.3, 124.7, 125.4, 125.5, 126.9, 127.8, 129.4, 130.3, 131.3, 131.9, 136.4, 145.0, 145.5, 168.4 ppm; UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 224 (ϵ 3.6 × 10⁴), 267 (ϵ 5.1 × 10⁴), 303 nm $(\epsilon 1.8 \times 10^4)$. Anal. $(C_{25}H_{26}O_2)$ C, H.

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-1,1,4,4,7-pentamethyl-6-nitronaphthalene (32). To 60 mL of stirred concentrated HNO₃ at -5 to -10 °C (internal) was added over a 20-min period a solution of 12.0 g (59 mmol) of 10, prepared by the method of Myhre and Schubert,²⁰ in 60 mL of Ac₂O (10-mL rinse). The yellow suspension was stirred in an ice bath for 20 min [TLC (pentane) R_f 0.14 (32) and no 0.74 (10)], poured onto ice (300 g), and extracted with C₆H₆ (2 × 200 mL). The extracts were washed with H₂O (3 × 100 mL) and brine (2 × 100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to afford 13.5 g of a yellow solid, from which polar material was removed by LC (4% EtOAc/hexane, sample loaded in C₆H₆), giving 8.8 g of yellow crystals. Washing (hexane) the

Biological Activity of Aromatic Retinoids

crystals gave 6.0 g (41%) of **32** as an off-white solid: mp 152–154 °C; LC (3% EtOAC/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 1.0 (99%), 1.2 min (1%); IR (CHCl₃) 1620, 1560, 1510, 1460, 1340 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 (s, 12, 1,4-CH₃), 1.70 (s, 4, 2,3-CH₂) 2.57 (s, 3, 7-CH₃), 7.25 (s, 1, 8-ArH), 8.00 (s, 1, 5-ArH); MS calcd for C₁₅H₂₁NO₂ 247.157, found 247.158.

6-Amino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4,7-pentamethylnaphthalene (33). A solution of 4.2 g (17 mmol) of 32 in 175 mL of EtOH and 90 mL of p-dioxane containing 1.0 g of 5% Pd/C was hydrogenated (25-30 psi) at room temperature for 20 h before 2 g of Celite was added, and the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and concentrated to give 3.7 g of green crystals. Chromatography (450 g of silica gel, 25% Et₂O/hexane) and recrystallization (hexane) gave 2.26 g (61%) of 33 as yellow crystals: mp 96-97 °C; LC (Radialpak B, 25% Et₂O/hexane, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 4.2 min (100%); IR (CHCl₉) 3380, 2960, 1630, 1500, 1460 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.2 (s, 12, 1,4-CH₃), 1.6 (s, 4, 2,3-CH₂), 2.15 (s, 3, 7-CH₃), 3.4 (br s, 2, NH₂), 6.6 (s, 1, 5-ArH), 7.0 (s, 1, 8-ArH); MS calcd for C₁₅H₂₃N 217.183, found 217.182.

6-Bromo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4,7-pentamethylnaphthalene (35). A literature method²¹ was modified. To a solution of 7.90 g (60.2 mmol) of hexyl nitrite (34) in 125 mL of CHBr₃ at 95 °C was added over a 15-min period 10.9 g (50.2 mmol) of 33 in 25 mL of CHBr₃. The reaction mixture was stirred at 95 °C for 1.5 h and cooled to room temperature. Most of the CHBr₃ was removed at reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed (750 g of silica gel, hexane) to give 10.1 g of a solid, which was evaporatively distilled (110 °C, 0.05 mmHg), affording 9.0 g of white solid. This solid was crystallized (hexane) to give 3.65 g (26%) of 35 as a white solid: mp 92–93.5 °C; TLC (hexane) R_f 0.55; IR (CHCl₃) 2960, 1480, 1390, 1365, 1080, 890 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (s, 12, 1,4-CH₃), 1.65 (s, 4, 2,3-CH₂), 2.35 (s, 3, 7-CH₃), 7.15 (s, 1, 8-ArH), 7.45 (s, 1, 5-ArH); MS calcd for C₁₅H₂₁⁷⁹Br 280.083, found 280.081.

Ethyl 6-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylate (37). A solution of 3.65 g (14.5 mmol) of 35 in 8 mL of Et_2O was added to a 0 °C, stirred mixture of 0.553 g (79.7 mmol) of Li (1% Na) wire (prewashed with Et₂O, MeI, and Et₂O) in 5 mL of Et₂O. The mixture was stirred 2 h at 0 °C, cooled to -10 °C, and added to 2.00 g (15.2 mmol) of fused ZnCl₂ in 25 mL of THF at -5 °C. The zincate was stirred for 1 h at -5 °C and then added to a solution of 3.2 g (11 mmol) of ethyl 2-bromo-6-naphthalenecarboxylate (36) and 0.18 g (0.16 mmol) of $[(C_6H_5)_3P]_4$ Ni in 20 mL of THF at 5 °C. After 3 h, GC (3% OV-1, 200 °C, 1 min, 16 °C/min to 330 °C) indicated that the coupling reaction had stopped (40% of 36 remained). The mixture was poured onto ice (100 g) and cold 10% HCl (20 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3×70 mL). The extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and brine, dried $(MgSO_4)$, and concentrated to give 5.1 g of a yellow oil, which was chromatographed (silica gel, 9% EtOAc/6% C₆H₆/hexane) to give 1.1 g (24%) of 37 as white crystals: mp 120-122 °C; TLC (9% EtOAc/6% C₆H₆/hexane) R_f 0.58; LC (Radialpak A, MeCN, 2 mL/min, 260 nm) t_R 4.0 min (100%), (Radialpak B, 2% Et-OAc/hexane, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 4.0 min (100%); IR (CHCl₃) 2950, 1710, 1635, 1480, 1370, 1290, 1140, 1100 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3) \delta 1.31$ and 1.35 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.46 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3, CH_2CH_3), 1.72 (s, 4, 6,7- CH_2), 2.29 (s, 3, 3- CH_3), 4.46 (q, J = 7Hz, 2, CH_2CH_3), 7.23 and 7.25 (2 s, 2, 1,4-ArH), 7.56 (dd, J = 8Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 7-NapH), 7.82 (br s, 1, 5-NapH), 7.82 (br s, 1, 5-NapH), 7.88 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 7.97 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 8-NapH), 8.09 (dd, J = 9 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 8.64 (br s, 1, 1-NapH). Anal. (C₂₈H₃₂O₂) C, H.

6-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-3,5,5,8,8-pentamethyl-2naphthalenyl)-2-naphthalenecarboxylic Acid (8). A mixture of 1.50 g (3.74 mmol) of 37 in a solution of 2.3 g (41 mmol) of 85% KOH in 4 mL of H₂O and 36 mL of EtOH was heated at reflux for 15 min, cooled to room temperature, diluted with cold H₂O (20 mL), and acidified with HOAc. The milky suspension was extracted with Et₂O (500 mL); the extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated to give 1.5 g of a white solid, which was crystallized (EtOAc) to afford 1.2 g (86%) of 8 as a fluffy white solid: mp 263-265 °C; LC (Radialpak A, MeOH, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 8.6 min (100%); IR (CHCl₃) 2400-3300, 1695, 1630, 1480, 1285, 1140 cm⁻¹; 300-MHz ¹H NMR (Me₂SO-d₆) δ 1.24 and 1.27 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.64 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.21 (s, 3, 3-CH₃), 7.20 and 7.25 (2 s, 2, 1,4-ArH), 7.58 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1, 7-NapH), 7.91 (br s, 1, 5-NapH), 7.98 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 8.02 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 8-NapH), 8.13 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 8.63 (br s, 1, 1-NapH); ¹³C NMR (Me₂SO-d₆) 19.9, 31.6, 33.5, 34.7, 125.4, 127.3, 127.5, 127.9, 128.2, 128.9, 130.2, 130.9, 131.8, 134.9, 138.2, 141.6, 142.0, 143.7, 167.4 ppm; UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 233 ($\epsilon 5.0 \times 10^4$), 291 nm ($\epsilon 1.3 \times 10^4$). Anal. (C₂₆H₂₈O₂) C, H. **6-Bromo-3-methyl-1***H*-indene (41). To a stirred solution of

6-Bromo-3-methyl-1*H*-indene (41). To a stirred solution of 0.34 mol of MeMgBr in 400 mL of Et₂O was added over a 40-min period by cannula under argon pressure a solution of 26.0 g (0.123 mol) of 40^{24} in 1.2 L of Et₂O. The solution was then heated at reflux for 1 h, cooled, poured into ice (1.5 kg) and saturated NH₄Cl (500 mL), and shaken until the precipitate dissolved. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 500 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (3 × 200 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to give 29.5 g of 5-bromo-1-methyl-1-indanol as a dark semisolid. The analytical sample was obtained by crystallization (hexane) as large, pale yellow crystals: mp 69–70.5 °C; TLC (35% EtOAc/hexane) R_f 0.57; IR (CHCl₃) 3550, 1600, 1305, 1185 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.56 (s, 3, CH₃), 1.83 (s, 1, OH), 2.19 (m, 2, ArCH₂CH₂), 2.90 (m, 2, ArCH₂), 7.25 (m, 3, ArH). Anal. (C₁₀H₁₁BrO) C, H, Br.

A suspension of 29.1 g of the crude alcohol in 370 mL of 1.35 N H₂SO₄ was heated in a 120 °C oil bath for 40 min and then cooled. The oily suspension was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 150 mL). The extract was washed with H₂O (50 mL), 5% NaOH (50 mL), and H₂O (2 × 50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to give 26.4 g of a dark oil, which was chromatographed (silica gel, 5% CH₂Cl₂/hexane) to give 21.2 g (83% from 40) of 41 as very pale yellow needles. The analytical sample was obtained by two recrystallizations (hexane) as white needles: mp 31-32 °C; TLC (hexane) R_f 0.66; IR (CHCl₃) 1610 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.16 (m, 3, C=CCH₃), 3.27 (m, 2, ArCH₂), 6.17 (m, 1, C=CH), 7.16 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.41 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 5-ArH), 7.53 (s, 1, 7-ArH); UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 259 nm (ϵ 1.5 × 10⁴). Anal. (C₁₀H₉Br) C, H, Br.

3-Methyl-1H-indene-6-carbonitrile (42). A mixture of 1.05 g (5.02 mmol) of 41 and 0.605 g (6.75 mmol) of CuCN in 2.5 mL of DMF was heated at reflux under argon for 22 h and cooled. The dark suspension was then stirred with a solution of 3.0 g (11 mmol) of FeCl₃·6H₂O in 5 mL of H₂O and 0.75 mL (9 mmol) of concentrated HCl with heating in a 70-75 °C oil bath for 25 min. The mixture was diluted with H₂O (30 mL) and toluene (30 mL) and filtered to remove copper salts $(2 \times 30 \text{ mL of toluene rinse})$. The toluene rinses were used to reextract the aqueous phase. The combined red organic extracts were washed with 10-mL portions of 1 N HCl, 5% NaOH, and brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated. Chromatography (silica gel, 50% CH₂Cl₂/hexane) and crystallization (hexane) afforded 0.51 g (65%) of 42 as a yellow solid: mp 35.5-36.5 °C; TLC (10% EtOAc/hexane) R_f 0.47, (50% CH_2Cl_2 /hexane) $R_f 0.49$; IR (CHCl₃) 2200, 1610 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.20 (m, 3, C==CCH₃), 3.36 (m, 2, ArCH₂), 6.43 (m, 1, C=CH), 7.37 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.62 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 5-ArH), 7.68 (s, 1, 7-ArH); UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 224 (ϵ 1.1 × 10⁴), 274 nm (ϵ 1.8×10^4). Anal. (C₁₁H₉N) C, H, N.

6-Bromo-5-methylnaphthalene-2-carbonitrile (44). A solution of 5.20 g (33.5 mmol) of 42 and 35.5 g (67.1 mmol) of $C_6H_5HgCBr_3$ (43)²³ in 150 mL of C_6H_6 was heated at reflux under argon for 16 h and cooled. The light brown suspension was filtered to remove white, solid C_6H_5HgBr (100 mL of C_6H_6 rinse). The filtrate was concentrated to a solid, which was extracted with 33% CH_2Cl_2 /hexane (100 mL). The extract was concentrated, and the residue was chromatographed (silica gel, 35% CH₂Cl₂/hexane) to give 7.52 g of a white solid, which was crystallized (180 mL of MeOH) to give 6.59 g (80%) of 44 as very pale yellow crystals, mp 130-132 °C. Analytically pure material was obtained by two recrystallizations (EtOH): mp 133–134 °C; TLC (15% Et-OAc/hexane) R_f 0.47, (50% CH₂Cl₂/hexane) R_f 0.53; IR (CHCl₃) 2200, 1610, 1570, 1300, 1110 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.78 (s, 3, CH₃), 7.57 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 7-ArH), 7.64 (dd, J = 9Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-ArH), 7.71 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 8-ArH), 8.09 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 8.16 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-ArH); UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 245 (ϵ 8.2 × 10⁴), 291 nm (ϵ 8.0 × 10³). Anal. (C₁₂H₈BrN) C, H, N, Br.

6-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-5-methyl-2-naphthalenecarbonitrile (46). To 0.36 g (15 mmol) of granular Mg was added over a 5-min period under argon with stirring in a 50 °C oil bath a solution of 3.21 g (12.0 mmol) of 45 in 18 mL of THF. The reaction was initiated with 15 μ L of MeI after 0.33 mL of 45 had been added. The mixture was maintained at 50 °C for 15 min, cooled to room temperature, and then added under argon to a stirred solution of 1.80 g (13.2 mmol) of fused ZnCl₂ in 15 mL of THF. The zincate was stirred for 35 min. A solution of 2.00 g (8.13 mmol) of 44 in 8 mL of THF was added, followed at 15-min intervals by three 5-mL aliquots of a Ni(0) catalyst solution, prepared by reduction at room temperature under argon of a solution of 0.82 g (1.25 mmol) of [(C₆H₅)₃P]₂NiCl₂ and 0.66 g (2.5 mmol) of $(C_6H_5)_3P$ in 3.5 mL of THF by 2.5 mL (2.5 mmol) of 1 M DIBAL in hexane. The brown suspension was stirred at room temperature for 20 h, treated with 40 mL of 1 N HCl with stirring for 1 h, and extracted with EtOAc (100 mL). The extract was washed with H_2O (30 mL). The aqueous phases were extracted with EtOAc (30 mL). The yellow extracts were washed with 10-mL portions of 1 N HCl, H₂O, saturated NaHCO₃, and brine, dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated to a yellow gum, which was chromatographed (silica gel, 35% CH₂Cl₂/hexane) to give 1.61 g (56%) of 46 as a white solid. Crystallization (CH₂Cl₂/hexane) gave analytical material as white crystals: mp 176-176.5 °C; TLC (10% EtOAc/hexane) R_f 0.56, (50% CH₂Cl₂/hexane) R_f 0.52; LC (Radialpak B, 5% Et₂O/hexane, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 9.0 min (100%); IR (CHCl₃) 2225, 1610, 1190 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.30 and 1.34 (2 s, 12, 5,8-CH₃), 1.73 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.63 (s, 3, NapCH₃), 7.12 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J= 2 Hz, 1, 3-ArH), 7.27 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-ArH), 7.38 (d, J = 8Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.53 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 7-NapH), 7.66 (dd, J = 9 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 7.76 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 8-NapH), 8.16 (d, J= 9 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 8.22 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-ArH); UV (EtOH) λ_{max} 233 (ϵ 4.7 × 10⁴), 246 (ϵ 5.2 × 10⁴), 304 nm (ϵ 1.2 × 10⁴). Anal. $(\overline{C_{26}}H_{27}N)$ C, H, N.

6-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2-naphthalenyl)-5-methyl-2-naphthalenecarboxylic Acid (9). A suspension of 1.61 g (4.55 mmol) of 46 in 60 mL of EtOH and 6 mL of 40% aqueous NaOH was heated at reflux under argon for 24 h. The nitrile dissolved at reflux temperature, and then a white solid formed. The white suspension was cooled, diluted with 300 mL of H₂O containing 12 mL of concentrated HCl, stirred for 45 min, and filtered. The white solid was washed with H_2O (200 mL), dried, and dissolved in hot acetone (250 mL). Filtration, concentration to 100 mL, and cooling (-20 °C) afforded 1.41 g (83%) of 9 as white crystals: mp 267.5-269 °C; TLC (5% MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂) R₁ 0.44; LC (Radialpak A, 20% H₂O/MeOH, 1 mL/min, 260 nm) $t_{\rm R}$ 10.2 min (100%); IR (Nujol) 2300–3200, 1680, 1620 cm⁻¹; 400-MHz ¹H NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 1.30 and 1.32 (2 s, 12, $5,8-CH_3$, 1.71 (s, 4, 6,7-CH₂), 2.61 (s, 3, NapCH₃), 7.16 (dd, J =8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-ArH), 7.32 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-ArH), 7.41 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 4-ArH), 7.46 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1, 7-NapH), 7.96 (d, J =8 Hz, 1, 8-NapH), 8.06 (dd, J = 8 Hz, J = 2 Hz, 1, 3-NapH), 8.17 $(d, J = 9 Hz, 1, 4-NapH), 8.59 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1, 1-NapH);^{13}C NMR$ (Me_2SO-d_6) 16.1, 31.6, 31.6, 33.8, 34.0, 34.7, 34.7, 124.8, 125.6, 126.2, 126.7, 127.2, 127.6, 127.6, 128.9, 130.3, 130.8, 131.4, 134.6, 138.5, 140.8, 143.1, 144.2, 167.4 ppm; UV (95% aqueous EtOH) λ_{max} 249 $(\epsilon 5.8 \times 10^4)$, 294 nm $(\epsilon 1.2 \times 10^4)$. Anal. $(C_{26}H_{28}O_2)$ C, H.

Pharmacology. Hamster TOC Assay. The protocol for the assay of the reversal by retinoids of keratinization of retinoid-deficient hamster tracheal epithelial cells in organ culture was essentially that described by Newton et al.²⁹ and was conducted as described. At least six cultures were assayed at each retinoid concentration. The ID_{50} values were calculated by polynomial interpolation of the data.

Isolation and Culture of Rabbit Tracheal Epithelial Cells. Tracheal epithelial cells were isolated from tracheas of male New Zealand White rabbits (Hazelton, Denver, PA) as described.⁵¹ Approximately 5×10^4 cells were plated in 60-mm dishes that were pretreated for 2 h with a solution of 10 μ g/mL fibronectin, 10 μ g/mL BSA, and 30 μ g/mL vitrogen (Collagen Corp., Palo Alto, CA). Cells were grown in Ham's F12 medium supplemented with 10 μ g/mL insulin, 5 μ g/mL transferrin, 25 ng/mL epidermal growth factor, and 0.5% hypothalamic extract. Cells were treated

with various retinoids in Me₂SO at day 5 of culture (approximately 1.5×10^6 cells/dish) for 5 days before transglutaminase activity and cholesterol 3-sulfate levels were determined.

Type I Transglutaminase Inhibition Assay. Rabbit tracheal epithelial cells grown to confluency in 60-mm dishes were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -70 °C until the assay was performed. After thawing, the cells were scraped into $200 \,\mu\text{L}$ of PBS containing 10 mM dithiothreitol and briefly sonicated. Transglutaminase activity was determined in triplicate by measuring the incorporation of [³H]putrescine into casein as described.⁵¹

Cholesterol 3-Sulfate Inhibition Assay. Rabbit tracheal epithelial cells in 60-mm dishes were metabolically radiolabeled by incubation for 22 h with 25 μ Ci of carrier-free Na₂³⁵SO₄/mL. Cells were harvested after digestion with 2 mL of trypsin/EDTA solution and pelleted by centrifugation. Cell pellets were extracted by the addition of 4 mL of CHCl₃/MeOH (92:1), followed by sonication for 10 s. The inhibition of ³⁵SO₄ incorporation into cholesterol 3-sulfate was determined as described.⁵² Retinoids were assayed at 0.1 and 10 nM. Approximately a 15% variation in the amount of cholesterol 3-sulfate inhibition relative to the control was found when the retinoids were assayed.

Cross-Linked Envelope Formation. At day 6 of culture, rabbit tracheal epithelial cells were incubated with 1×10^{-8} M retinoid, and cross-linked envelope formation was determined as described⁵² on day 12. Measurements were performed in triplicate.

ODC Assay. The procedure for the assay of the inhibitory effect of retinoids on the induction of ornithine decarboxylase in CD-1 mouse dorsal epidermis treated with the tumor promoter 12-0-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate was that described by the group of Verma and Boutwell.⁵³ This assay was performed in triplicate on three groups of three mice each.

F9 Laminin Release Assay.⁵⁴ F9 embryonal carcinoma cells growing on nongelatinized plates were treated for 96 h with 1 mM dibutyryl-cAMP and graded concentrations of the retinoids in $5 \ \mu L$ ethanol, ethane, with medium changes every 24 h. Each compound was assayed in triplicate. Compounds for which ED₅₀ values are given in Table I were assayed over the concentration range of 1 pM-1 μ M (1-3) or 10 pM-5 μ M (5-7). Testing of three of the compounds (4, 8, and 9) was limited by their low solubility in the ethanol vehicle. The amount of laminin secreted into the medium in the final 24 h was measured in triplicate by a nonequilibrium ELISA,⁵⁵ modified for use with medium from cultured cells. Data were analyzed statistically and fitted to dose-response curves by the Allfit program,^{56,57} rewritten for use with an IBM PC. Compounds 4-9 had ED₅₀ values that were significantly different from that of retinoic acid (P < 0.01).

F9 Plasminogen Activator Release Assay.^{33,58} F9 cells were plated at a density of 1×10^5 cells/mL on 85-mm tissue culture dishes in Dulbecco's modified essential medium containing 15% fetal bovine serum. The cells were allowed to attach to the culture dishes for 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Retinoids $(10^{-11}-10^{-7} \text{ M})$ were added in Me₂SO. After 4 days, 20 μ L of harvest fluid was mixed with 0.13 M plasminogen, 0.3 mM H-D-Val-Leu-Lys-p-NA, 24 μ g of fibrinogen fragments, and 0.1% Tween-80. Absorbance at 405 nm at 2, 4, and 6 h at 25 °C was plotted against concentration. Assays were performed in triplicate. The midpoint between the maximal and minimal absorbance values of a retinoid corresponded to its ED₅₀ value.

Retinoid Binding to Rat Testis CRABP. CRABP was partially purified from rat testis according to Ong and Chytil.⁵⁹

- (52) Rearick, J. I.; Jetten, A. M. J. Biol. Chem. 1986, 261, 13898-13904.
- (53) (a) Raineri, R.; Simsiman, R. C.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1973, 33, 134-139. (b) Verma, A. K.; Lowe, N. J.; Boutwell, R. K. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 1035-1040.
- (54) Williams, J. B.; Shields, C. O.; Brettel, L. M.; Napoli, J. L. Anal. Biochem. 1987, 160, 267-274.
- (55) Rennard, S. I.; Berg, R.; Martin, G. R.; Foidart, J. M.; Robey, P. G. Anal. Biochem. 1980, 104, 205-214.
- (56) Rodbard, D.; McClean, S. W. Clin. Chem. 1977, 23, 112–115.
 (57) De Lean, A.; Munson, P. J.; Rodbard, D. Am. J. Physiol. 1978,
- 235, E97-E102.
- (58) Strickland, S.; Sawey, M. J. Dev. Biol. 1980, 78, 76-85.
- (59) Ong, D. E.; Chytil, F. Methods Enzymol. 1980, 67, 288-296.

CRABP was purified through the DEAE-cellulose step at pH 8.3 and incubated with 3×10^{-6} M [³H] *all-trans*-retinoic acid alone (control) or in the presence of retinoids. The competition for binding was determined by Sephadex G-25 gel filtration on 2-mL columns as described.⁶⁰ Binding measurements were performed in triplicate.

Retinoid Binding to Chick Embryo CRABP. CRABP from 12- to 13-day-old chick skins was used.⁶¹ Affi-Gel Blue column chromatography was used to remove albumin, which also binds retinoids. Portions of the protein eluates (1 mg of protein/0.4 mL) were incubated with saturable amounts of [³H]-all-transretinoic acid in the presence or absence of 1-, 5-, 10-, and 25-fold molar excess of unlabeled retinoid. Free retinoids were removed by adsorption on dextran-coated charcoal, the solution was filtered (0.65- μ m membrane), and the amount of radioactivity bound was determined. The specific binding of [³H]-all-trans-retinoic acid to CRABP was calculated as the difference between the totally bound radioactivity at a particular concentration of 1 and the total nonspecifically bound radioactivity after competition with a 25fold molar excess of unlabeled 1. ID₅₀ values were calculated from the semilog plots of the molar concentration of the retinoid against

(61) (a) Sani, B. P.; Hill, D. L. Cancer Res. 1976, 36, 409-413. (b) Sani, B. P.; Dawson, M. I.; Hobbs, P. D.; Chan, R. L. C.; Schiff, L. J. Cancer Res. 1984, 44, 190-195. (c) Sani, B. P.; Titus, B. C.; Banerjee, C. K. Biochem. J. 1978, 171, 711-717.

the percent inhibition of labeled retinoic acid by the retinoid. Binding measurements were performed in triplicate.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by USPHS Grants CA30512 (M.I.D.), CA32428 (M.I.D.), DK36870 (J.L.N.), and CA42092 (J.L.N.).

Registry No. 2, 71441-28-6; 3, 119999-05-2; (Z)-3, 119999-31-4; 4, 119999-06-3; (±)-5, 119999-07-4; 6, 107430-51-3; 7, 86471-16-1; 8, 119999-08-5; 10, 6683-48-3; 11, 119435-90-4; 11 (dibromide), 119999-29-0; 12, 119436-52-1; 13, 1443-80-7; 14, 119999-10-9; (Z)-14, 119999-30-3; 15, 119999-11-0; (Z)-15, 119999-32-5; 16, 92654-79-0; 16 (acid), 103031-30-7; 17, 119999-12-1; (±)-18, 119999-13-2; (±)-19, 119999-14-3; (\pm) -20, 119999-15-4; (\pm) -21, 119999-16-5; (\pm) -22, 119999-17-6; 23, 119999-18-7; (Z)-23, 119999-34-7; 24, 119999-19-8; (\pm) -26, 120022-39-1; (\pm) -cis-26, 119999-33-6; 27, 580-13-2; 28, 5798-75-4; 29, 119999-21-2; 30, 13275-18-8; 31, 107430-52-4; 32, 116233-16-0; 33, 116233-17-1; 34, 638-51-7; 35, 119999-22-3; 36, 86471-14-9; 37, 119999-23-4; 38, 108-86-1; 40, 34598-49-7; 41, 119999-25-6; 42, 119999-26-7; 43, 3294-60-8; 44, 119999-27-8; 45, 27452-17-1; 46, 119999-28-9; 4-OHC₆H₄CO₂Et, 6287-86-1; 4- $H_2NN = CHC_6H_4CO_2Et$, 119999-20-1; (±)-5-bromo-1-methyl-1indanol, 119999-24-5.

Supplementary Material Available: Complete biological data for the tracheal organ culture, transglutaminase inhibition, cross-linked envelope formation, ornithine decarboxylase inhibition, F9 plasminogen activator release assays, and rat testis and chick embryo CRABP binding studies (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Synthesis and Antitumor Activity of 5-Deaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic Acid and Its N¹⁰-Substituted Analogues

Edward C. Taylor,*,[†] James M. Hamby,[†] Chuan Shih,[‡] Gerald B. Grindey,[‡] Sharon M. Rinzel,[‡] G. Peter Beardsley,[§] and Richard G. Moran^{||}

Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, Lilly Research Laboratories, Lilly Corporate Center, Indianapolis, Indiana 46285, Department of Pediatrics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06510, and Departments of Pediatrics, Biochemistry, and Pharmacy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90027. Received October 11, 1988

Syntheses of 5-deaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid (7a) and its 10-formyl (7b), 10-acetyl (7c), and 10-methyl (7d) derivatives are described. These compounds, prepared as analogues of 5,10-dideaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid (DDATHF), the lead compound of a new class of folate antimetabolites, exhibit potent growth inhibition against leukemic cells in culture as well as substantial antitumor activity against transplantable murine solid tumors in vivo.

Recently we reported the synthesis and biological activity of 5,10-dideaza-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid (1) (DDATHF), the lead compound of a new class of folate



antimetabolites possessing unique biochemical properties and potent antitumor activity in experimental animals.¹⁻⁵ DDATHF has a novel mode of action as compared to conventional antifolates such as methotrexate [which inhibits dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)] or 10-propargyl-5,8-dideazafolic acid (CB3717) [which inhibits thymidylate synthase (TS)].⁶ DDATHF inhibits purine biosynthesis in cultured mouse (L1210) and human (CCRF-CEM)

- Taylor, E. C.; Harrington, P. J.; Fletcher, S. R.; Beardsley, G. P.; Moran, R. G. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 914.
- (2) Moran, R. G.; Beardsley, G. P.; Taylor, E. C. Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 1985, 26, 231.
- (3) Taylor, E. C.; Wong, G. S. K.; Fletcher, S. R.; Harrington, P. J.; Beardsley, G. P.; Shih, C. Chemistry and Biology of Pteridines; Cooper B. A., Whitehead, V. M., Eds.; de Gruyter: Berlin, 1986; p 61.
- (4) Beardsley, G. P.; Taylor, E. C.; Grindey, G. B.; Moran, R. G. Chemistry and Biology of Pteridines; Cooper, B. A., Whitehead, V. M., Eds.; de Gruyter: Berlin, 1986; p 953.
- (5) Beardsley, G. P.; Taylor, E. C.; Shih, C.; Poore, G. A.; Grindey, G. B.; Moran, R. G. Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 1986, 27, 259.
- (6) (a) Jones, T. R.; Calvert, A. H.; Jackman, A. L.; Brown, S. J.; Jones, M.; Harrap, K. R. *Eur. J. Cancer* 1981, *17*, 11. (b) Calvert, A. H.; Alison, D. L.; Harland, S. J.; Robinson, B. A.; Jackman, A. L.; Jones, T. R.; Newell, D. R.; Siddik, Z. H.; Wiltshaw, E.; McElwain, T. J.; Smith, I. E.; Harrap, K. R. J. *Clin. Oncol.* 1986, *4*, 1245.

⁽⁶⁰⁾ Jetten, A. M.; Rearick, J. I.; Smits, H. L. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 1986, 14, 930-933.

[†]Princeton University.

[‡]Lilly Corporate Center.

[§]Yale University.

^{II} University of Southern California.