LETTERS

Approach to the Synthesis of Briarane Diterpenes through a Dianionic Claisen Rearrangement and Ring-Closing Metathesis

Michael T. Crimmins,*[®] Yan Zhang,[†] and Philip S. Williams[‡]

Kenan, Caudill, Venable, and Murray Laboratories of Chemistry University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The synthesis of the briarane-brianthein A core has been accomplished utilizing an extension of the dianionic Ireland-Claisen rearrangement to establish the C1 quaternary carbon and the adjacent C10 ring juncture stereocenters. Two sequential ring-closing metathesis reactions were exploited to construct the 6–10 trans fused ring system.

T he briarane diterpenes are a class of highly oxygenated marine metabolites that contain a modified cembranoid skeleton.¹ In the context of a broader program directed toward the synthesis of marine natural products,^{2,3} we were attracted to the briaranes because of their unique structural features and intrigued by the relative lack of activity reported toward their synthesis.^{4–9} Examination of the core carbocyclic structure of the briaranes reveals a trans-fused 6,10 carbon skeleton with an angular methyl group completing the substitution of a quaternary carbon at the ring fusion. The quaternary carbon center at the ring fusion and the 10-membered carbocyclic ring were of particular interest due to the paucity of general methods available for their construction.^{10,11} Reported herein is an approach to the construction of the briarane skeleton focused toward the synthesis of brianthein A.

Of the multitude of briaranes that have been isolated and identified, brianthein A was chosen as an initial target because its carbocyclic skeleton possessed a relatively low level of oxygenation for a briarane but retained the interesting 6,10-fused carbocycle and the ring juncture quaternary stereocenter. The biological profile of brianthein was also of interest since it has been shown to reverse multidrug resistance (MDR) in human carcinoma cell lines, KB-C2, overexpressing P-glycoprotein.¹²

Our retrosynthetic plan for the synthesis of brianthein A (1) is illustrated in Scheme 1. Strategically, we anticipated appending the butenolide at a late stage to a core 6,10-carbocycle 2 that contained the remaining structural features of the molecule. The 6,10 bicycle 2 would be constructed by two sequential ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reactions. RCM of triene 4 would provide a cyclohexene which would be modified to triene 3, the substrate for the RCM closure of the cyclodecene. The required ring fusion stereochemistry, including the quaternary carbon center, would be set by a





dianionic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement of hydroxy ester 5, which could be prepared through a diastereoselective thiazolidinethione mediated aldol addition.

We recently reported the development of the pivotal dianionic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement,¹³ a modification of the classic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement of an allylic ester enolate that was initially disclosed by Kurth and co-workers.^{14,15}

The Kurth method was extended to the construction of quaternary carbon centers by combining our thiazolidinethione mediated propionate aldol reaction¹⁶ with the dianionic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement as shown in Scheme 2. The "Evans" *syn* propionate aldol adduct of *N*-propionylthiazolidinethione **6** proceeded in high yield and diastereoselectivity to give the aldol adducts 7. Nucleophilic displacement of the chiral

Received: June 14, 2017





auxiliary under mild conditions with a variety of allylic alcohols produced the allylic esters 8 necessary for the dianionic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement study. The β -hydroxy allylic esters 8 were exposed to excess base LiN(SiMe₃)₂ in toluene with 4 equiv of THF and then warmed to room temperature. Excellent diastereoselectivity (ranging from 6:1 to >20:1) was observed.¹³

The application of the dianionic Claisen rearrangement to the construction of the carbocyclic core of brianthein A is presented here. The preparation of the hydroxyester **5** required for the strategic rearrangement is shown in Scheme 3. The requisite aldehyde **13**, needed for the initial aldol addition, was prepared from glycolyloxazolidinone **11**. Diastereoselective alkylation¹⁷ of the sodium enolate of glycolate **11** followed by reductive removal of the auxiliary afforded primary alcohol **12** (77% overall). Alcohol **12** was oxidized to the aldehyde under Swern conditions,¹⁸ and the aldehyde was used directly in an aldol addition with the chlorotitanium enolate of thiazolidinethione **6** to deliver the aldol adduct **14** (91% yield, > 20:1 dr; 2 steps). Exposure of aldol **14** to alcohol **15** in the presence of

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the Cyclohexene Core of Brianthein A

imidazole with catalytic DMAP afforded ester **5** in 88% yield, poised for the dianionic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement. The hydroxyester **5** was treated under the optimized conditions from the dianionic Ireland–Claisen studies and the resultant acid was esterified with diazomethane to provide ester **16** as the only detectable isomer (500 MHz NMR) in 72% yield. This reaction successfully established the two contiguous stereocenters that would constitute the ring juncture for the briarane core, including the quaternary carbon C1.

Transformation of ester 16 to the briarane core would require closure of the 6- and 10-membered rings¹⁹ by sequential ring-closing metathesis reactions. The additional olefinic functionality needed to construct the 6-membered ring was incorporated as shown in Scheme 3. Protection of the C2free alcohol 16 as the TBS ether and reduction of the ester afforded alcohol 17 in 90% yield. Swern oxidation¹⁸ of alcohol 17 provided the aldehyde, which was treated with allylmagnesium bromide to afford a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers 4S/4R in 84% yield. The S isomer could be recycled to the R diastereomer (or conversely the R isomer could be recycled to the S diastereomer) through an oxidation-reductionrecycle process since the isomeric alcohols were readily separable by chromatography. Attempts to set the C14 stereocenter in a more efficient manner with chiral allylating agents were unsuccessful. Further investigations focused on alcohol 4S with the natural S-stereochemistry at C14. Exposure of 4S to the Grubbs second-generation catalyst²⁰ in toluene at 110 °C provided the cyclohexene triol 18 in 80% yield for two steps after removal of the silvl ethers. Selective protection of the 1,3-diol as the acetonide afforded the primary alcohol 19 which was oxidized under Swern conditions.¹⁸ The resultant aldehyde was treated with allylmagnesium bromide to produce an 86% yield of a mixture of C8 alcohols 20 poised for the ring closure to the 10-membered ring. When triene 20 was treated with



DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b01806 Org. Lett. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX Grubbs second-generation catalyst in benzene at 80 °C no closure to the 10-membered ring was observed (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Attempted RCM of C14 S isomer



Additionally, various combinations of protecting groups at C2, C8, and C14 without cyclic constraints at C2–C14 (e.g., TBS, Ac, as well as free OH groups) were explored for the C14 S configuration **20**, but none of the desired RCM product could be detected with any of the protecting group combinations.

Analysis of the conformational preferences of the acetonides 20 and 3 (Figure 1) indicated that the conformations needed



Figure 1. Conformations of acetonides 20 and 3.

for the two alkene chains to undergo ring closure were not energetically favorable. In contrast, the unnatural R configuration of the C14 carbinol center provides a better opportunity to restrict the entropy of the pendant alkene chains and place them proximal for ring-closing metathesis to



be successful. Consequently, preparation of the acetonide **3** was pursued to investigate its behavior in an RCM reaction to form the 10-membered ring.

The C14 R diastereomer 3 was prepared in an analogous fashion to acetonide 20 (Scheme 5). Triene 4R readily formed the cyclohexene 18 upon treatment with the Grubbs G2 catalyst followed by global desilylation. Exposure of triol 18 to dimethoxypropane and p-TsOH selectively formed the C2-C14 acetonide 19 in 89% yield. The stereochemistry of 19, and therefore, the stereochemistry at C2, C10, C14, and C15 was determined by X-ray analysis. Oxidation of the primary alcohol under Swern conditions¹⁸ with subsequent addition of allylmagnesium bromide to the resultant aldehyde delivered a 1:1 mixture of alcohols 3 in 84% yield. The alcohols were separable and could be interconverted by oxidation reduction (1, Swern; 2, DiBAl-H), and only one diastereomer was carried forward for convenience of analysis. Upon conversion of the secondary alcohol to its corresponding acetate, it was gratifying to observe that exposure of the triene acetate to Grubbs G2 catalyst²⁰ in degassed toluene at reflux for 15 min produced the desired 6,10-fused bicyclic core structure 21 of brianthein A in >90% yield.

With the core structure of the briaranes constructed, it remained to invert the C14 stereocenter and append the butenolide to complete the synthesis of brianthein A. Beginning with the bicycle **21**, deprotection of the acetonide under acidic conditions resulted in partial cleavage of the acetate; however, a 60% yield of the hydroxyacetate **22** was achieved after two recycles (Scheme 5). Oxidation of the *p*-methoxybenzyl ether resulted in trapping by the neighboring free alcohol to afford the *p*-methoxyphenyl acetal **23** as a single diastereomer (92% brsm). Inversion of the free alcohol proceeded in an 8:1 dr via a Dess-Martin oxidation²¹ to ketone **24** followed by a *R*-CBS reduction to give the C14 *S* diastereomer **25**.²²

Our end-game strategy involved conversion of the C8 carbinol to a carbonyl as a handle to incorporate the butenolide. To that end, the C14 *S* diastereomer **25** was protected as the TES ether, whereupon deprotection of the acetate with potassium carbonate and methanol followed by Dess–Martin



oxidation smoothly delivered ketone **26** in 86% yield (two steps). While selective deprotonation at the C7 position (supported by deuterium quenching studies) with LHMDS followed by oxidation of the enolate with the chiral camphorsulfonyl oxaziridine²³ afforded an α -hydroxyketone in good yield, further elaboration to brianthein A through standard butenolide synthesis strategies have been unsuccessful to date.²⁴

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the utility of the dianionic Ireland–Claisen rearrangement in the construction of quaternary carbon stereocenters and applied this method to the synthesis of the brianthein A core. Additionally, the synthesis of the 10-membered ring via ring-closing metathesis was achieved through the use of strategically engineered conformational restraints.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.7b01806.

Experimental procedures and full characterization data for all compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: crimmins@email.unc.edu.

ORCID

Michael T. Crimmins: 0000-0003-0313-6010

Present Addresses

[†](Y.Z.) Idexx Laboratories, One Idexx Dr, Westbrook, ME 04092.

[‡](P.S.W.) BASF, 26 Davis Dr, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM60567) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Faulkner, D. J. Nat. Prod. Rep. **1995**, *12*, 223 and previous reviews in this series and references cited therein. For reviews of briarane-type diterpenes, see: (b) Sung, P.-J.; Sheu, J.-H.; Xu, J.-P. Heterocycles **2002**, *57*, 535. (c) Sung, P.-J.; Chang, P.-C.; Fang, L.-S.; Sheu, J.-H.; Chen, W.-C.; Chen, Y.-P.; Lin, M.-L. Heterocycles **2005**, *65*, 195. (d) Sung, P.-J.; Sheu, J.-H.; Wang, W.-H.; Fang, L.-S.; Chung, H.-M.; Pai, C.-H.; Su, Y.-D.; Tsai, W.-T.; Chen, B.-Y.; Lin, M.-R.; Li, G.-Y. Heterocycles **2008**, *75*, 2627.

(2) Crimmins, M. T.; Brown, B. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10264.

(3) Crimmins, M. T.; Mans, M. C.; Rodríguez, A. D. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5028.

(4) Ellis, J. M.; Crimmins, M. T. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 5278.

(5) (a) Roe, M. B.; Whittaker, M.; Procter, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 8103. (b) Balasubramaniam, R. P.; Moss, D. K.; Wyatt, J. K.; Spence, J. D. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, 53, 7429.

(6) Iwasaki, J.; Ito, H.; Nakamura, M.; Iguchi, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 1483.

(7) Bates, R. W.; Pinsa, A.; Kan, X. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 6340.

(8) Moon, N. G.; Harned, A. M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2218. Moon, N. G.; Harned, A. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 1876.

(9) Hall, A. J.; Roche, S. P.; West, L. M. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 576.

(10) Christoffers, J.; Baro, A. Quaternary Stereocenters Challenges and Solutions for Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.

(11) Peterson, E. A.; Overman, L. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 11943.

(12) Aoki, S.; Okano, M.; Matsui, K.; Itoh, T.; Satari, R.; Akiyama, S.i.; Kobayashi, M. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 8951.

(13) Crimmins, M. T.; Knight, J. D.; Williams, P. S.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2458–2461.

(14) Kurth, M. J.; Decker, O. H. W.; Hope, H.; Yanuck, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 443.

(15) Kurth, M. J.; Yu, C. M. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1840.

(16) Crimmins, M. T.; King, B. W.; Tabet, E. A.; Chaudhary, K. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 894. Crimmins, M. T.; Chaudhary, K. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 775.

(17) Crimmins, M. T.; Emmitte, K. A.; Katz, J. D. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2165.

(18) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480.

(19) (a) Nevalainen, M.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 4060. (b) Caggiano, L.; Castoldi, D.; Beumer, R.; Bayon, P.; Telser, J.; Gennari, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 7913. (c) Larrosa, I.; Da Silva, M. I.; Gomez, P. M.; Hannen, P.; Ko, E.; Lenger, S. R.; Linke, S. R.; White, A. J. P.; Wilton, D.; Barrett, A. G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14042.

(20) Scholl, M.; Ding, S.; Lee, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 953.

(21) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155.

(22) (a) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, K.; Shibata, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 5551–5553. (b) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 1986–2012.

(23) (a) Vishwakarma, L. C.; Stringer, O. D.; Davis, F. A. Org. Synth. 1987, 66, 203. (b) Davis, F. A.; Chattopadhyay, S.; Towson, J. C.; Lal, S.; Reddy, T. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2087.

(24) Boukouvalas, J.; Loach, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8109 and references cited therein.