- designations for 13 result from arbitrarily focusing upon the stereochemical disposition of the phosphoryl oxygen in this bicyclic structure. For the sake of simplicity in this paper, only one enantiomer of 11 and 13 is shown, and in other chiral molecules no attempt is made to specify relative and/or absolute stereochemical relationships.
- (18) P. Savignac, J. Chenault, and M. Dreux, J. Organomet. Chem., 66, 63 (1974); J. F. Brault and P. Savignac, J. Organomet. Chem., 66, 71 (1974). See also, B. Corbel, J. P. Paugam, M. Dreux, and P. Savignac, Tetrahedron Lett., 835 (1976).
- (19) Either diasteriomer of 23 has seven anisochronous carbon positions; however, the <sup>13</sup>C nucleus directly bonded to phosphorus was not observed under the employed NMR conditions due to its relatively long spin-lattice relaxation time.
- (20) W. Egan and G. Zon, Tetrahedron Lett., 813 (1975).
- (21) W. Egan, G. Govil, and G. Zon, unpublished conformational energy calculations for 1 using a classical potential function.
- (22) G. Zon, S. M. Ludeman, and W. Egan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 5785 (1977).
- J. E. Baldwin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 734 (1976);
   J. E. Baldwin, R. C. Thomas, L. I. Kruse, and L. Silberman,
   J. Org. Chem., 42, 3846 (1977).
- (24) P. Laszlo and P. Stang, "Organic Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications", Harper and Row, New York, N.Y., 1971.
- (25) W. G. Bentrude and H.-W. Tan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4666 (1973), and pertinent references cited therein.
- (26) If 30 → 13 proceeds via an S<sub>N</sub>2 mechanism, it follows that cis- and trans-11 will give endo- and exo-13, respectively. Cis/trans assignments for faster and slower eluting 11 can, therefore, be made by identifying the NaH product of either pure 11a or 11b as pure endo- or exo-13. Diminishing supplies of 11, however, precluded pursuit of this experiment.
- (27) G. Zon, W. Egan, and J. B. Stokes, Biochem. Pharmacol., 25, 989 (1976).
- (28) R. W. Brockman, S. C. Shaddix, M. Williams, and R. F. Struck, Cancer Treat. Rep., 60, 1317 (1976). For the analogous cyclization of 3-chloro-1-ethylpiperidine, see C. F. Hammer, M. McCarthy Ali, and J. D. Weber, Tetrahedron, 29, 1767 (1973).
- (29) For example, see P. L. Levins and Z. B. Papanastassiou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 826 (1965).
- (30) Unpublished <sup>31</sup>P NMR studies of phosphoramidic mustard by G. Zon and W. Egan have indicated a 33-min half-life

- at pH 7.9 and ca. 37 °C. See also, P. L. Levins and I. W. Rogers, Cancer Chemother. Rep., 44, 15 (1965).
- (31) R. I. Geran, N. H. Greenberg, M. M. Macdonald, A. M. Schumacher, and B. J. Abbott, Cancer Chemother. Rep., Part 3, 3(2), 1 ff. (1972).
- (32) The value of T/C = 252 for 1 is the average of three randomly selected T/C values which were obtained at doses of 250 mg/kg.
- (33) We thank Dr. Peter B. Farmer of the Chester Beatty Research Institute for providing us with these results. See Farmer and Cox<sup>16</sup> for a lead reference to details regarding this test.
- (34) In contrast to the identical  $LD_{50}$  values for 1 and 11 in Table II, the L1210 tests with a dosage of 500 mg/kg for 1 gave  $\leq 50\%$  survivors vs. the 100% survivor rate listed in Table I for 11a at this dose.
- (35) Human epidermoid carcinoma of the nasopharynx is the tumor utilized in the KB cell culture tube assay.<sup>31</sup> Synthetic compounds with an ED<sub>50</sub> value ≤4.0 µg/mL are considered active in this test system.
- (36) Aside from the obvious steric "bulk" of an added bromine substituent, solubility perturbations of a more subtle nature may also be a factor in controlling the relative rate of substrate turnover by the microsomal enzyme system. For the role of substrate lipophilicity in determining type I microsomal P-450 binding characteristics, see K. A. S. Al-Gailany, J. B. Houston, and J. W. Bridges, Biochem. Pharmacol., 27, 783 (1978).
- (37) O. M. Friedman and co-workers, Adv. Cancer Chemother., in press.
- (38) All chemical shifts in aqueous solutions are internally referenced to the sodium salt of 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionic acid (TSP), unless specified otherwise.
- (39) We thank Dr. S. Sojka (Naval Research Laboratory) for obtaining these spectral data and for performing <sup>1</sup>H spin-decoupling experiments to allow for signal assignments.
- (40) D. H. Ball, J. M. Williams, and L. Long, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 28, 1589 (1963).
- (41) O. M. Friedman, E. Bogner, V. Grubliauskas, and H. Sommer, J. Med. Chem., 6, 50 (1963).
- (42) Instruction 14, "Screening Data Summary Interpretation and Outline of Current Screen", Drug Evaluation Branch, Drug Research and Development, Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Md., 1973. Consult all subsequent insert pages for most recent updating.

# Aryloxyalkyloxy- and Aralkyloxy-4-hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarins Which Inhibit Histamine Release in the Rat and Also Antagonize the Effects of a Slow Reacting Substance of Anaphylaxis

Derek R. Buckle,\* D. James Outred, Janet W. Ross, Harry Smith, Raymond J. Smith, Barbara A. Spicer, and Brian C. Gasson

Beecham Pharmaceuticals-Research Division, Brockham Park, Betchworth Surrey RH3 7AJ, England. Received July 26, 1978

The syntheses and structure activity relationships of a number of 4-hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarins, which are both antagonists of a slow reacting substance of anaphylaxis and potent inhibitors of antigen-induced histamine release in the rat, are described. Most active among these are 7-[3-(4-acetyl-3-hydroxy-2-n-propylphenoxy)-2-hydroxypropoxy] derivatives having hydrogen or lower alkyl substituents at the C-8 position of the coumarin ring, 168, 171, 173, and 174

Disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) has been shown to inhibit the antigen-induced release of the mediators of allergic reaction<sup>1</sup> but it is not equally effective at inhibiting the release of all mediators. In particular, it is poor at inhibiting the release of a slow reacting substance of anaphylaxis (SRS-A) when antibodies other than IgE are involved.<sup>2</sup> It has been suggested that the failure of DSCG to benefit some patients with bronchial asthma might be due to the involvement of IgG antibodies, which might

release SRS-A from sources other than the mast cell by a mechanism resistant to treatment with DSCG.<sup>3</sup> If this is so, then a compound with the ability to antagonize the effects of SRS-A, in addition to the stabilization of mast cells, might be expected to be of greater therapeutic value, than DSCG, in the treatment of bronchial asthma.

We have reported previously that some compounds containing the 2-nitro-1,3-dicarbonyl moiety showed potent antiallergic activity, as determined by the inhibition of rat

Table I. 2'-Hydroxyacetophenones

compd	posit of side chain attach.	$R_{_1}$	$ m R_{2}$	X	mp, °Ca	formula	anal.	yield, <sup>b</sup> %
Compa			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>			
1	6	Н	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	89-90	$C_{17}H_{18}O_4$	C, H	79
2	6	H	H	$O(CH_2)_4O$	81	$C_{18}H_{20}O_4$	C, H	91
3	5	H	H	$O(CH_2)_2O$	113-114	$C_{16}^{16}H_{16}^{20}O_4$	C, H	43
4	5	H	Н	$O(CH_2)_3O$	87-88	$C_{17}H_{18}O_4$	C, H	70
5	5	H	H	$O(CH_2)_5O$	45	$C_{19}H_{22}O_4$	C, H	78
6	5	H	H	$O(CH_2)_6O$	74-77	$C_{20}H_{24}O_4$	$H, C^c$	43
7	4	H	H	$O(CH_2)_2O$	132-133	$C_{16}H_{16}O_4$	C, H	62
8	4	H	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	82-82.5	$C_{12}H_{18}O_4$	C, H C, H C, H C, H	77
9	4	Н	H	$O(CH_2)_4O$	95-96	$C_{18}H_{20}O_4$	C, H	87
10	4	H	H	$O(CH_2)_{5}O$	51-52	$C_{19}H_{22}O_4$	C, H	63
11	4	H	H	$O(CH_2)_6^2O$	71-74	$C_{20}H_{24}O_4$	C, H	69
12	3 6 5 5	H	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	56-57	$C_{19}^{18}H_{22}^{7}O_4$ $C_{20}^{19}H_{24}^{2}O_4$ $C_{17}^{19}H_{18}^{18}O_4$	C, H	32
13	6	H	H H H	$(CH_2)_3O$	95-96	$C_{17}H_{18}O_3$	C, H	75
14	5	H	H	$(CH_2)_2O$	36	$C_{16}H_{16}O_3$	C, H	21
15		H	H	$(CH_2)_3O$	34-35	$C_{17}H_{18}O_3$	C, H	54
16	4	H	H	$CH_2O$	$104-105^a$	$C_{15}H_{14}O_3$		85
17	4	H	H	$(CH_2)_2O$	69	$C_{16}H_{16}O_3$	C, H	45
18	4	H	Н	$(CH_2)_3O$	75-77	$C_{17}H_{18}O_3$	C, H	83
19	4	H	Н	$(CH_2)_4O$	55	$C_{18}H_{20}O_3$	C, H	59
20	4	4-Cl	H	$(CH_2)_4O$	73-75	$C_{18}H_{19}ClO_3$	C, H, Cl	71
21	4	4-Me	Н	$(CH_2)_4O$	69-70	$C_{19}^{10}H_{22}^{19}O_3$	C, H	72
22	4	H	5-Et	$O(CH_2)_3O$	60.5-61.5	$C_{19}H_{22}O_{4}$	С,́ Н С, Н	74
23	4	H	$3-\Pr^n$	$O(CH_2)_3O$	67-68	$C_{20}H_{24}O_4$	C, H	84
24	4	4-F	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	70-72	$C_{17}H_{17}FO_4$	C, H	59
25	4	4-Cl	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	82-83	$C_{17}H_{17}ClO_4$	C, H, Cl	80
26	4	4-OMe	Н	$O(CH_2)_3O$	75-76	$C_{18}H_{20}O_{5}$	C, H	59
27	4	4-CN	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	131-133	$C_{18}H_{17}NO_4$	C, H, N	65
28	4	4-Ph	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	118-121	$C_{23}H_{22}O_4$	C, H	72
29	4	4-Me	H	$O(CH_2)_3O$	85-87	$C_{18}H_{20}O_4$	C, H	67
30	4	3-Me	H	$O(CH_1)_{*}O$	64	$C_{18}H_{20}O_4$	C, H	80
31	4	$2 ext{-}Me$	H	$O(CH_1)_3O$	70	$C_{18}H_{20}O_4$	C, H	65
32	4	3,4-tetra- methylene	Н	$O(CH_2)_3O$	80-82	$C_{21}H_{22}O_4$	C, H	59

<sup>a</sup> Recrystallized from EtOH. <sup>b</sup> Prepared according to method A; see text and Experimental Section. <sup>c</sup> C: calcd, 71.80; found, 73.15. d L. Jurd and L. A. Polle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 5527 (1958), quote mp as 104-104.5 °C.

passive cutaneous anaphylaxis (PCA),4 and the compounds seemed to owe this activity to their ability to stabilize mast cells.<sup>5</sup> By the attachment of selected side chains to some of these nuclei, as in I, we have attempted to confer SRS-A

$$I$$

$$Ac \longrightarrow OCH_2CHCH_2O \longrightarrow OCO_2N\alpha$$

$$I$$

$$I$$

$$I$$

$$I$$

$$I$$

$$I$$

antagonist activity on these compounds while retaining a high level of mast cell stabilizing activity.

Specific SRS-A antagonist activity has been reported for a series of chromones, of which FPL 55712 (II)6,7 was the most active.

In this paper, we report the synthesis and some biological activities of a selection of 4-hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarins of formula I in which the substitutions leading to the antagonism of SRS-A have been established.

Chemistry. The synthesis of aryloxyalkyloxy- and aralkyloxy-4-hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarins, I, is outlined in Scheme I. Alkylation of the dihydroxyacetophenones III with the appropriate halide IV using potassium carbonate in acetone or butanone (method A) led to the monoalkylated 2'-hydroxyacetophenones 1-32 (Table I) which readily cyclized with diethyl carbonate as previously described<sup>4b</sup> (method B) to give the 4-hydroxycoumarins 33-53, 55-59, 62, 63, and 65-68 (Table II).

For compounds with base-sensitive side chains and those derived from the halohydrins of Table III, it was found to be advantageous to use a preformed coumarin nucleus for the synthesis of the precursor 4-hydroxycoumarins. Our first choice was to use coumarins of type V in which the hydroxylactone function was protected as its enamine. Alkylation of the sodium salt of V with the halides VI in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, method C) afforded good yields of the aminocoumarins 98-103 (Table IV), which on acid hydrolysis (method D) gave the corresponding hydroxycoumarins 54, 60, 64, 69, 71, and 72 (Table II) in moderate yield. Concomitant hydrolysis of ester functions also occurred during this step. The low solubility of these aminocoumarins in the hydrolysis medium caused difficulties with several derivatives, especially where R''' = H, which led to a search for an alternative protecting group.

Direct alkylation of 4,7-dihydroxycoumarin was difficult due to the low solubility of its alkali metal salts in common

	$_{\rm prep}^{h}$	B	<b>м</b> ж	a w	മ	ಮ ಜ	d to	a B	<b>8</b>	മദ	n m	В	<b>A</b>	zą zz	a <b>e</b> a	e i	ವ ರ	a C	α	<b>ഇ</b> (	zą z	ад	Q	ڻ ا	ಯರ	a Č	п	മ	ಸ ಜ	Ω	Ŋ
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{yield,} \\ \% \end{array}$	7.1	ж с с	71	40	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	65	70	49	7 7	40	7.1	65	58 49	56	42	63	0 / 65	73	95	28	73	55	833	$\frac{50}{76}$	200	59	$\frac{26}{2}$	42.4 4.2.9	71	7.0
	ana].	1	ı Σ		C, H	ή Έ	C, C,	С, н	С, н	ı Σ	C, H	С, н	С, Н	Н	С, н		5 1 1 1		С, Н		ב ת ת	Ē	С, Н	Ξ;	C C E E E	C, 11 crude	C, H		ב ב ב ב	С, н	С, Н
	formula	C18H16O5		$C_{18}H_{16}O_5$	$C_{20}H_{20}O_{5}\cdot H_{2}O$	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{5}$	ClaH,0	$C_{19}H_{18}O_{5}$	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	$C_{21}H_{22}O_5 \cdot 0.5H_2O$	C,H,O	$\mathbf{C}_{17}\mathbf{H}_{14}\mathbf{O}_{4}$	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	C.H.: O.	$\dot{C}_{18}H_{16}\dot{O}_4$	C,9H,8O,	C19H17C1O4	C.H., O.	$C_{zo}^{B}H_{zo}^{B}O_{s}^{C}$	$C_{21}H_{22}O_5$		C,4H,8O,	$C_{z_0H_{18}O_6}$	$C_{20}H_{18}O_7$	Cushis No.	C.H.: O.	C,4H,8O,	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	CunusOs CunusOs	$\mathbf{C_{z_0}H_{1s}O_{3}}$	$\mathbf{C_{23}H_{24}O_{2}}$
H	$\mathrm{mp},$ $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^{a}$	179-180	211 - 102	204-205	163-164	244	190-192	194	180	187-188	80-81	189	$212 \\ 978d$	203	255	192	198-210.5	218-219	233-234	149-150	230-234	193-196	202-204	218	100-164	$275-276^{f}$	209-212	192	199-201	220-222	166
R <sub>3</sub> × × R <sub>5</sub> × × R <sub>5</sub> × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	X	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),0	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),O	$O(CH_2)_3O$	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ), O	O(CH,),0	$O(CH_2^{2})_3^2O$	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),0	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),O	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),O	$(CH_2)_3O$	$(CH_2)_2O$	(CH <sub>2</sub> ),0	(CH,),0	$(CH_2)_3O$	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> O	(CH.) O	$O(CH_2)_3O$	$O(CH_2), O$	O(CH,),O	O(CH,),O	$O(CH_2^2)_3O$	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),0	O(CH <sub>2</sub> )30	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> O O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),O	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),0	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),0	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> O	O(CH,),0	$O(CH_2), O$	$O(CH_2)_3O$
	æ	HI	H	Ħ:	ΙН	н	H	H	ı I	ΞĦ	Н	H	I II	Ħ	Η:	C I	ΞΞ		6-Et		Н	H	Ηŀ		ΞΞ	Н	Ħ:	I I	Н	н:	I
	я <u>.</u>	нн	н	H	I H	H	Н	H	<b>-</b>	ij	H	Z:	c z	Ξ	Η:	I I	Ħ	H	H		H	H	ШΞ		: <b>:</b> ::::	H	I:	c p	H	H	I
	ж.	ΗН	н	Дβ	c m	H	H	щр	; II	H	H	<b>I</b>	<b>5</b> X	H	H	<b>=</b> 5	Me	Н	Ħ:	I iz	. T	ОМе	Ac CO M		Z 4Z	$CO_2H$	Me	EI	tetramethylene	Ac	Ac
	$\mathbf{R}_{2}$	ΗН	Ξ	ΗП	Η	H	H	щ	==	H	田:	<b>=</b> >	<b>4</b> #	H	I.		ш	H	Ħ:		H	H:	<b>=</b> 1	<b>=</b> =	ΞΞ	Η	ΞŽ	M H	tetram	HO	HO
	$\mathbf{R}_{_{1}}$	нн	H	Ħ I	ΞΞ	Н	Ξ:	I I	==	H	Ξ;	I I	ΞΞ	Н	H	C II	Η	Н	H	Η	Η	Ħ;	I	I	Ħ	н	II :	Me Me	H	ΞŽ	Ľ.
posit of side-	chain attach.	ro ro	9	<b>9</b> 9	9	7	<u>- 1</u>	<u></u>	. [-	· ∞	5	ဗ္	2 1	7	1 –1	- [-		7	<b>:</b> ~ :	- [-	7		:- t	- [-			r <b>-</b> t	- [-		r- 1	-
	compd	ა გ	35	36 27	- 8° 8°	39	40	41	43	44	45	46	48	49		52	53	54	32 1	57	28	59	9 19	62	63	64	က က ရ	90	89	69	2

D	<b>5</b> 5	Ů,	ט כי	י כ	<u>ن</u>	<u>ئ</u>	Ü	ڻ	IJ	ڻ ت	Ů	ڻ	M. Barker
54 55	71 89	95	7.6	, o	09	64	100	61	87	93	88	100	nodson, W. I
C, H crude	C, H	С, н	т, С, н	crude	crude	С, Н	$M^{+}428$	C, H	С, Н	C, H	C, H		<sup>d</sup> M. A. Herr
C,,H,,O,	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>6</sub> C <sub>1</sub> ,H <sub>2</sub> ,O <sub>2</sub> ·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	$C_{21}H_{21}^{\prime\prime}NO_6$	$C_{21}H_{22}O_{6}\cdot0.5H_{2}O$	$C_{23}H_{23}NO_{\nu}$	$\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{O}_8$	$\mathrm{C_{21}H_{20}O_8}$	$\mathrm{C}_{23}\mathrm{H}_{24}\mathrm{O}_{8}$	$\mathrm{C}_{24}\mathrm{H}_{35}\mathrm{O}_{8}$	$\mathbf{C}_{22}\mathbf{H}_{22}\mathbf{O}_{8}$	$\mathbf{C}_{24}\mathbf{H}_{36}\mathbf{O}_8\mathbf{\cdot H}_2\mathbf{O}$	$C_{2}H_{3}O_{3}$	$C_{26}H_{30}O_{8}$	ed, 71.17; found, 70.37.
181-183 169-172 (foams)	177	195	172	oil	$\sim\!205$	230	foam	89	240	118 - 120	170	foam	ion. <sup>c</sup> C: cal
OCH,CH(OH)CH,O OCH,CH(OH)CH,O	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	OCH,CH(OH)CH,O	OCH2CH(OH)CH2O	$OCH_2CH(OH)CH_2O$	$OCH_CH(OH)CH_2O$	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	OCH, CH(OH) CH, O	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	OCH,CH(OH)CH,O	OCH, CH(OH) CH, O	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	och,ch(oh)ch,o	See text and Experimental Section. <sup>c</sup> C: calcd, 71.17; found, 70.37. <sup>d</sup> M. A. Hermodson, W. M. Barker
шш	нн	H	Н	H	Н	I	Н	Η	Н	Me	Et	$Pr^n$	a
нн	ΗН	H	H	Η	Н	H	Н	Н	臣	H	Ξ	Н	if necessa
н СО <sub>2</sub> Н	щ	F	Н	Ac	Ac	Ac	Ac	EtCO	Ac	Ac	Ac	Ac	Becrystallized from RtOH with addition of water if necessary
нн	н	Ħ	Н	Н	ОН	НО	НО	НО	HO	HO	HO	ЮНО	vith additi
Н	Et "	P.	Ρ'n	$\Pr^n$	Н	Me	$Pr^n$	Prn	; =	$\mathbf{p}_{rn}$	ρ'n	Pr	m EtOH v
7	7		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		7	lized fro
71 72	73	75	92	77	78	46	80	200	82	600	84	85	Recrystal

<sup>a</sup> Recrystallized from EtOH with addition of water, if necessary. <sup>b</sup> See text and Experimental Section. <sup>c</sup> C: calcd, 71.17; found, 70.37. <sup>d</sup> M. A. Hermodson, W. M. Barker and K. P. Link, J. Med. Chem., 14, 167 (1971), quote mp 272-273 °C. <sup>e</sup> H: calcd, 4.45; found, 5.03. <sup>f</sup> Recrystallized from aqueous DMF. <sup>g</sup> Hydrolysis assisted by the addition of AcOH.

solvents, although its methylation has been previously reported.8 However, reaction of the monosodium salt in DMF proceeded preferentially at the C-4 hydroxyl, as shown by IR and NMR spectroscopy (the C-3 proton no longer being exchanged with D2O), with no product alkylated solely at the C-7 hydroxyl being isolated under these conditions. With benzyl chloride, a 28% yield of 4-benzyloxy-7-hydroxycoumarin VII (R' = H) was isolated. Similar yields of VII in which R' = alkyl were obtained from alkyl-substituted 4,7-dihydroxycoumarins, but, in general, better yields of C-4 benzylated products were accomplished under esterification conditions. Further alkylation of VII gave moderate to good vields of the coupled products 104-118 (Table V), whether the alkylating agent was a simple halide, a halohydrin (VI, R''' = H or OH, respectively; method E), or an epoxide VIII (method F). Hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group proceeded rapidly at atmospheric pressure (method G) to give high yields of the deprotected coumarins 61, 70, and 73-85. 4,7-(Dibenzyloxy)coumarin could be similarly debenzylated to 7-(benzyloxy)-4-hydroxycoumarin (48) in near quantitative yield, which clearly established the selectivity with which the 4-benzyl group could be removed.

Direct nitration of the compounds of Table II was simply accomplished in the majority of cases using fuming nitric acid in chloroform at 0 °C4b (method H). At higher temperatures and in cases where the terminal aromatic ring was activated by two or more electron donating substituents, secondary nitration occurred. Thus, nitration of 65 resulted in the simultaneous nitration of the terminal aromatic ring to give 157, the position of insertion of the second nitro group being unambiguously assigned as C-2 by NMR spectroscopy. Similarly, nitration of 83 at room temperature gave the dinitro derivative 172 in which the position of the second nitro group was again unambiguously assigned from its NMR spectrum.

In these cases of more highly activated nuclei, the nitro group at C-3 was introduced in a stepwise fashion by nitrosation and oxidation (method J). Compounds 119, 120, 144, 146, 149, 150, 152-155, 161-165, and 173 were synthesized in this way. Generally, nitration by the direct route is to be preferred over nitrosation and oxidation whenever possible on account of the greater yields obtainable.

The dihydroxyacetophenones III and halides IV also required in this work were either available commercially or prepared by standard procedures. In general, compounds IV were prepared from  $\alpha, \omega$ -dibromoalkanes, the products being distilled under vacuum but used without further purification. The halohydrins VI of Table III were prepared from the appropriate phenol using the method of Stephenson.<sup>10</sup>

Synthesis of the aminocoumarin intermediates V was accomplished from the corresponding resorcinol by condensation with ethyl cyanoacetate. 11-13

The necessary 8-allyl derivative 178 was prepared as shown in Scheme II. Condensation of 4'-(allyloxy)-2'hydroxyacetophenone<sup>14</sup> with diethyl carbonate gave the coumarin 175, which on alkylation with benzyl chloride gave a mixture of the O-benzyl-176 and C-benzyl-177 derivatives in 38 and 4% yields, respectively. Pyrolysis of 176 in decalin then gave the rearrangement product 178 in good yield.

### Results and Discussion

Following the discovery of the potent SRS-A antagonist II<sup>7</sup> we were prompted to look for analogous activity in a series of compounds containing the 4-hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarin nucleus. Members of this series carrying simple

### Scheme II

alkyl substituents showed little SRS-A antagonist activity, and it seemed to us that it might be possible to introduce this activity into the series, by the incorporation of an appropriate side chain, while still retaining the high antiallergy activity shown by some compounds containing this nucleus.4b

As a starting point, we selected the unsubstituted phenoxypropoxy compound 126 (Table VI), since it was known<sup>4b</sup> that nitrocoumarins substituted at C-7 with alkyloxy groups were among the most potent antiallergic compounds of this series. On finding that this compound retained a high level of activity as an inhibitor of histamine release when given intraperitoneally to rats prior to passive peritoneal anaphylaxis (PPA) (Table X) and yet displayed antagonism of SRS-A, we were encouraged to look further at other derivatives of this general class.

Simple manipulation of the alkyl side chain and the position of its attachment to the coumarin ring, compounds 119-130 (Table VI), indicated that SRS-A antagonist activity tended to fall off with increasing chain length in the C-7 series, with the trimethylene chain, 126, being optimal. The effect of chain length was less critical in the C-6 series where the tri- and pentamethylene compounds, 122 and 123, respectively, were equipotent to 126, and the hexamethylene analogue 124 was marginally more potent. Substitution at either positions C-5 or C-8 as in compounds 119, 120, and 130, resulted in a marked reduction of antagonistic activity. Without exception substitution at C-7 gave compounds of greatest activity as inhibitors of histamine release during rat PPA, with 126 being the most potent of this group. The C-6 hexamethylene compound 124 had low activity in this test despite its good antagonism of SRS-A, and further elaboration was therefore centered on the C-7 derivatives.

Replacing the terminal ether function of this series by the methylene group to give the compounds of Table VII showed a similar trend with regard to positional effects of the side chain. In this instance, variation of the chain length from one to four carbon atoms, 135-138, had little influence on the level of SRS-A antagonism. A possible

Table III. 1-(Aryloxy)-3-chloropropan-2-ols

compd	$\mathbf{R}_{_1}$	$\mathbf{R}_{2}$	$\mathbf{R}_{3}$	$R_4$	mp or bp (mm), °C	formula	anal.	yield, $^a$ %
 86	H	H	Н	Н	$112 (0.6)^b$	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>11</sub> ClO <sub>2</sub>		71
87	H	H	CO,Me	H	170-172 (0.5)	$C_{11}H_{13}ClO_4$	C, H, Cl	75
88	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	Н	Η	H	104 (0.5)	$C_{11}H_{12}ClO_{2}$		70
89	$Pr^n$	H	H	H	136-140 (2.0)	$C_1, H_2ClO_2$		44
90	$\Pr^n$	H	$\mathbf{F}$	H	127 (0.6)	$C_{12}H_{16}ClFO_{2}$	C, H, Cl	73
91	$\mathbf{P}\mathfrak{r}^i$	H	H	H	170 (2.0)	$C_{12}H_{17}ClO_{1}$		72
92	$\Pr^n$	H	Ac	H	oil	$C_{14}H_{19}ClO_3$		100
93	H	OH	Ac	H	oil	$C_{11}H_{13}ClO_4$		60
94	Me	OH	Ac	H	112-116	$C_{12}H_{15}ClO_4$	C, H, Cl	63
95	$Pr^n$	OH	Ac	H	oil	$C_{14}H_{19}ClO_4$		89
96	$\Pr^n$	OH	EtCO	H	oily solid	$C_{15}H_{19}CIO_4$	C, H, Cl	100
97	H	OH	Ac	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	68	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>17</sub> ClO <sub>4</sub>	C, H, Cl	74

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Prepared according to the method of O. Stephenson, J. Chem. Soc., 1571 (1954). <sup>b</sup> W. Bradley, J. Forrest, and O. Stephenson, J. Chem. Soc., 1589 (1951), quote bp as 120-135 °C (3.0 mm).

Table IV. 4-Aminocoumarins

com	pd F	₹,	$\mathbf{R}_{2}$	$\mathbf{R}_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$	$R_4$	mp, $^{\circ}C$	formula	anal.	yield,ª %
9:	8 H	I	I	H	ОН	213-214 (EtOAc)	$C_{18}H_{17}NO_{5}\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	92
9:	9 H	I I	I	Me	Н	164-167 (EtOH)	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	C, H, N	91
10	0 H	I A	Ac	H	Н	165-168 (AcOH-H <sub>2</sub> O)	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_5$	C, H, N	94
10	1 C	)H A	Ac	H	Н	158-159 (EtOH-H <sub>2</sub> O)	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_6$	C, H, N	60
10	2 H		CO₂Me	Н	Н	155 (AcOH-H,O)	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_6\cdot H_2O$	C, H, N	100
10	3 H		${\rm CO_2Me}$	Н	OH	212-215 (MeOH)	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_{7}\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Prepared by method C.

advantage with this modification lay in the increased aqueous solubility of their sodium salts.

The terminal aromatic ring was elaborated with a range of substituents (Table VIII, compounds 144–158). Using compound 126 as a reference, these substitutions generally resulted in a reduction in the ability to antagonize SRS-A, with the exception of the two halo compounds 144 and 145 and the acetyl and methyl compounds 147 and 152, respectively, which showed a similar SRS-A antagonist activity to that shown by 126. From these four compounds, the methyl derivative 152 was selected in order to study the influence of the substituent position on SRS-A antagonist activity. Very little difference was noticed in the meta and para isomers 152 and 153, respectively, but an enhancement of SRS-A antagonism occurred in the ortho isomer 154.

The reduction in SRS-A antagonism found with the carboxy analogue 151, which was one of the more water-soluble derivatives of the group, was of interest. Indeed, in our attempts to confer greater aqueous solubility to the group by incorporation of the glyceryl side chain (Table IX), a noticeable drop in SRS-A antagonism relative to the nonhydroxylated analogue generally occurred. Compounds 159 and 126 are typical examples of this.

In view of the structure of II, hydroxyl and acetyl functions were incorporated into the terminal nucleus of I to give the two derivatives 156 and 166. These compounds were no more active as antagonists than their unsubstituted counterparts 126 and 159, respectively. The addition of an *n*-propyl group at the ortho position, as in compounds 158 and 168, resulted in increased activity. Furthermore, the presence of any lower alkyl group in the ortho position, as in compounds 154, 158, 161-165, and 167–174, resulted in the most active members of the series.

Replacing the acetyl function of 168 by proprionyl, 169, had little effect on activity, as did branching of the o-alkyl group (compound 164).

The effect of alkyl groups in the coumarin ring of I was shown in the basic system using compounds 141-143 and in the more complex case using compounds 171, 173, and 174. It was clearly established that alkylation at either C-5 or C-6 reduced the ability to antagonize SRS-A, while little difference could be demonstrated between simple alkyl substitution at C-8, compounds 171, 173, and 174, and the dealkyl derivative 168.

The structural requirements for in vitro SRS-A antagonist activity shown by compounds containing the 4-hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarin nucleus were very similar to anal.

Table V. 4-(B	1-( Benzyloxy )coumarins	coumarins						
						H. H.	OCH <sub>2</sub> Ph	
						R <sub>2</sub> R <sub>1</sub>		
compd	R,	R <sub>2</sub>	Ŗ	$\mathbf{R}_{_{\!\!4}}$	$\mathbf{R}_{\hat{s}}$	×	$\mathrm{mp,^{\circ}C}^{a}$	
104	H	H	CO,Me	Н	H	O(CH <sub>2</sub> ),3O	153-155	$C_{27}H_{2}$
105	Et	H	Н	Н	Н	OCH, CH(OH)CH,O	82-83	$C_{2}$ H
106	$Pr^n$	H	Н	Н	Н	OCH,CH(OH)CH,O	109 - 110	C, H
107	$\Pr^i$	Н	ш	Н	H	OCH, CH(OH) CH, O	152	$C_{2}^{*}H$
108	$\mathbf{Pr}^n$	H	<u>lik</u> i	Н	H	$OCH_1'CH(OH)CH_2'O$	124 - 126	$C_{28}H$
109	$\mathbf{Pr}^n$	H	Ac	Н	Н	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	154	C <sub>30</sub> H
110	Н	НО	Ac	Н	Η	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	192 - 195	$C_{23}H$
111	Н	НО	Ac	Εţ	H	$OCH_1^*CH(OH)CH_2^*O$	195	$C_{23}H$
112	$\mathbf{Me}$	ЮН	Ac	Н	H	$OCH_2CH(OH)CH_2O$	157	$C_{2}^{*}H$
113	$\Pr^n$	ЮН	Ac	H	H	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	172 - 173	Con
114	allyl	ЮН	EtCO	H	H	$OCH_2CH(OH)CH_2O$	117	C <sub>3,H</sub>
115	$Pr^n$	НО	Ac	Ξ	Me	$OCH_2CH(OH)CH_2O$	201 - 203	C <sub>3,H</sub>
116	$Pr^n$	НО	Ac	Η	亞	$OCH_2CH(OH)CH_2O$	$195^{d}$	$C_{29}H$
117	$Pr^n$	ЮН	Ac	H	allyi	OCH, CH(OH)CH, O	foam	$\mathbf{C}_{33}\mathbf{H}$
$\overline{118}$	$\mathbf{Pr}^n$	НО	Ac	Η	Ë	O(CH,), O	190	C. H

those previously reported for a series of 2-carboxy-chromones.<sup>6</sup> Compounds containing the former nucleus showed, in addition, a high level of antiallergy activity, as demonstrated by their ability to inhibit histamine release when given to rats, intraperitoneally, prior to PPA. Table X gives the PPA results of seven of the preferred compounds of this class, together with H and disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) as reference markers. Compounds 168 and 174 were the most potent inhibitors of histamine release, and these two compounds also were among the most potent of the nitrocoumarins at antagonizing the effects of SRS-A.

## **Experimental Section**

Recrystallized from AcOH

<sup>c</sup> Prepared using method F.

Prepared by method E.

Recrystallized from EtOH.

Melting points were determined using a Büchi melting-point apparatus and are uncorrected. The structures of all compounds were consistent with their IR and NMR spectra, the latter of which were determined as solutions in either CDCl<sub>3</sub> or Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_{\ell}$ . Where represented by elemental symbols, the analyses for these elements fall within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of the calculated values.

Aryloxyalkyl Halides (IV, Y = O). Aryloxyalkyl bromides were either commercially available or synthesized from the corresponding phenols by the procedure of Marvel and Tanenbaum. Those synthesized had boiling points in agreement with literature values. The following chlorides were prepared by alkylation of the appropriate phenols with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane.

4'-(3-Chloropropoxy)-2'-hydroxyacetophenone. A mixture of 2',4'-dihydroxyacetophenone (7.6 g, 0.05 mol), anhydrous  $\rm K_2CO_3$  (10.4 g, 0.075 mol), and 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (11.8 g, 0.075 mol) in dry Me<sub>2</sub>CO (40 mL) was stirred at reflux for 5 h, cooled, and filtered. Evaporation of the filtrate gave a dark solid, which was recrystallized from EtOH to give 9.5 g (84%) of material of mp 73 °C. Anal. ( $\rm C_{11}H_{13}ClO_3$ ) C, H.

4'-(3-Chloropropoxy)-2'-hydroxy-3'-n-propylacetophenone. Alkylation of 2',4'-dihydroxy-3'-n-propylacetophenone<sup>14</sup> with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane as described above gave 80% of the title compound of mp 37-38 °C. Anal. ( $C_{14}H_{19}ClO_3$ ) C, H, Cl. Aralkyl Bromides (1V, Y = CH<sub>2</sub>). These compounds were

Aralkyl Bromides (1V,  $Y = CH_2$ ). These compounds were either commercially available or prepared from the corresponding alcohols using hydrobromic acid following the procedure of v. Braun.<sup>15</sup>

1-(Aryloxy)-3-chloropropan-2-ols (Table III). These were prepared by the procedure of Stephenson<sup>10</sup> by reaction of the appropriate phenol with 1-chloro-2,3-epoxypropane.

4-(Benzyloxy)-7-hydroxycoumarins VII. 4-(Benzyloxy)-7-hydroxycoumarin. (a) By Alkylation with Benzyl Chloride. A solution of PhCH<sub>2</sub>Cl (12.7 g, 0.1 mol) in dry DMF (10 mL) was added dropwise at 100 °C to a stirred solution of 4,7-dihydroxycoumarin. (17.8 g, 0.1 mol) and NaH (2.4 g, 0.1 mol) in dry DMF (75 mL), and the mixture was kept at this temperature for a further 4 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and water was added to the residue. The oily solid which separated was filtered off, washed with water, and recrystallized from EtOH to give 7.5 g (28%) of the 4-(benzyloxy) derivative: mp 234-236 °C; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (mull) 3160, 1708, 1625 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR  $\delta$  (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>) 5.32 (2 H, s, benzyl CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.80 (1 H, s, nonexchangeable, vinyl CH), 6.80 (2 H, m), 7.33-7.73 (6 H, m), 10.6 (1 H, br, exchangeable, OH). Anal. (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) C, H.

(b) By Alkylation with Benzyl Alcohol. Thoroughly dried 4,7-dihydroxycoumarin  $^{16}$  (50 g) was dissolved in PhCH<sub>2</sub>OH (400 mL), and dry HCl was passed through the solution for 1 h. The mixture was warmed to 50 °C for 2 h, and the dark solution was decolorized and concentrated in vacuo. On cooling, 25.6 g of the 4-(benzyloxy) derivative, mp 232–234 °C, separated, which was identical with that prepared above. Dilution of the mother liquor with water gave 23 g of 4,7-dihydroxycoumarin, giving an overall yield of 63% on the basis of unreclaimed diol.

4-(Benzyloxy)-7-hydroxy-8-methylcoumarin. Dry HCl was passed through a stirred suspension of 4,7-dihydroxy-8-methylcoumarin<sup>18</sup> (15 g) in PhCH<sub>2</sub>OH (150 mL) at 0 °C for 30 min, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 2 h. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue diluted with EtOH (50 mL). The benzyl derivative which separated was filtered off and recrystallized from EtOH to give 6.0 g (27%) of

				8	0 0		
compd	posit of side-chain attach.	n	mp, $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^{a}$	formula	anal.	yield, <sup>b</sup> %	antag of SRS-A concn,
119	5	3	138-139	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	$65^d$	18
120	5	4	120	$C_{19}^{19}H_{17}^{13}NO_{7} 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	$56^d$	>10
121	6	2	164-165	$C_{12}H_{13}NO_{2}$	C, H, N	45	17
122	6	3	138-140	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	48	2.3
123	6	5	110	$C_{20}^{N}H_{19}^{N}NO_{7}$		20	2.0
124	6	6	90-91	$C_{21}^{10}H_{21}^{10}NO_{7}$	$H, N, C^e$	37	0.78(0.17-3.5)
125	7	2	188	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_7$	C, H, N	69	>10
126	7	3	148-150	$C_{18}^{17}H_{15}^{15}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	82	1.6(0.26 - 9.0)

C, H, N

C, H, N

C. H. N

H, N

96

77

66

3.6

8.4

> 20

> 20

 $C_{19}H_{17}NO_{7}$ 

C20H19NO7

C21H21NO7

C, H, NO.

Table VII. 4-Hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarins

7

7

4

5

6

152-154

143-145

115-116

110

127

128

129

130

compd	R	posit of side-chain attach.	n	mp, $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}^a$	formula	anal.	yield, <sup>b</sup> %	antag of SRS-A, conen in $\mu$ M, for 50% inhib <sup>c</sup>
131	H	5	3	134-137	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>6</sub>	C, H, N	55	>20
132	H	6	1	194-195	$C_{16}H_{11}NO_6$	C, H, N	99	22
133	Н	6	2	166	$C_{12}H_{13}NO_6$	C, H, N	73	18
134	H	6	3	137-138	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_6$	C, H, N	79	5.5
135	H	7	1	205-206	$C_{16}^{\prime}H_{11}^{\prime}NO_{6}^{\prime}$	C, H, N	78	1.5
136	H	7	2	154	$C_{17}H_{13}NO_6$	C, H, N	83	4.4
137	H	7	3	192-195	$C_{18}H_{15}NO_6$	C, H, N	73	7.8
138	H	7	4	132	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_6$	C, H, N	88	4.5
139	Cl	7	4	157-160	$C_{19}H_{16}CINO_6$	C, H, Cl, N	62	3.8
140	Me	7	4	145-147	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_6$	C, H, N	88	2.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See footnote a, Table VI. <sup>b</sup> Prepared by method H. <sup>c</sup> See footnote c, Table VI.

material, mp 274-276 °C. Anal.  $(C_{17}H_{14}O_4)$  C, H.

4-Amino-8-ethyl-7-hydroxycoumarin. Ethyl cyanoacetate (28.7 g, 0.254 mol), 2-ethylresorcinol<sup>17</sup> (35 g, 0.25 mol), and anhydrous  $ZnCl_2$  (16 g) in dry  $Et_2O$  (100 mL) were stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, during which time dry HCl was passed through the solution. The mixture was allowed to stand for 3 days, and the ethereal phase was separated. Dilution of the inorganic phase with water gave the title compound, which on recrystallization from aqueous EtOH gave 18.8 g (36%) of product, mp 240-243 °C, which was used without further purification. Other 4aminocoumarins of structure V were similarly prepared.

4,7-Dihydroxy-8-ethylcoumarin. 4-Amino-8-ethyl-7hydroxycoumarin (18.5 g) was hydrolyzed according to method D, to give 11.9 g (64%) of product, mp 235-237 °C (EtOH). Anal. (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>4</sub>·EtOH) C, H.

4-(Benzyloxy)-8-ethyl-7-hydroxycoumarin. Benzylation of 4,7-dihydroxy-8-ethylcoumarin as described above for the 8-methyl homologue gave 31% of the 4-benzyl derivative, mp 233-237 °C (MeOH). Anal.  $(C_{18}H_{16}O_4)$  C, H.

7-(Allyloxy)-4-hydroxycoumarin (175). A solution of 4'-(allyloxy)-2'-hydroxyacetophenone<sup>14</sup> (35.8 g, 0.186 mol) in dry PhH (400 mL) was added with stirring to NaH (10.4 g, 0.43 mol) in dry PhH (400 mL) over 30 min at reflux. After a further 10 min, a solution of diethyl carbonate (46.4 g, 0.38 mol) in dry PhH (400

mL) was added over 1 h, and the mixture was stirred at reflux overnight. After cooling, the solution was poured onto iced 2 N HCl (2 L), and the phases were separated. The dried organic phase was evaporated to dryness to give an oil, which solidified on heating to 100 °C in vacuo. Recrystallization from EtOH gave 30.5 g (75%) of 175, mp 235–236 °C (dec). Anal. (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) C,

7-(Allyloxy)-4-(benzyloxy)coumarin (176). A suspension of 175 (21.8 g, 0.1 mol) in dry DMF (80 mL) was treated with NaH (2.4 g, 0.11 mol) and stirred at 100-110 °C during the dropwise addition of excess PhCH<sub>2</sub>Cl (12.7 mL) in dry DMF (10 mL). After a further 5 h at 100 °C, the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between aqueous 1 N NaOH and CHCl3, and the phases were separated. Evaporation of the dried organic phase gave an oily solid, which after recrystallization from EtOH gave 11.8 g (38%) of 176: mp 133–134 °C; IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (mull) 1715, 1620 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) C, H.

Acidification of the alkaline phase gave ca. 13 g of a mixture of 175 and the C-benzyl derivative 177, from which 1.2 g (4%) of 177 was isolated by extraction into Et<sub>2</sub>O and recrystallization from EtOH: mp 168–169 °C, IR  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (mull) 2700 (br), 1650, 1620, 1603 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) C, H. From the insoluble material, 3 g of unreacted 175 was reclaimed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Recrystallized from EtOH. Melting usually accompanied by decomposition. <sup>b</sup> Prepared by method H, unless otherwise noted. <sup>c</sup> Figures in parentheses are 95% confidence limits. The value for the standard compound, FPL 55712 (II), under these conditions was 0.17  $\mu$ M (0.006-4.8). d Prepared by method J. e C: calcd, 63.15; found, 62.61.

compd	$R_{_1}$	R,	R,	$\mathrm{R}_{\scriptscriptstyle 4}$	mp, ${}^{\circ}$ $C^a$	formula	anal.	yield, %	meth of prep <sup>b</sup>	antag of SRS-A concn, in $\mu$ M, for 50% inhib <sup>c</sup>
141	H	H	Н	5-Me	134-137	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>17</sub> NO <sub>7</sub>	C, H, N	67	Н	5.2
142	Н	Н	H	6-Et	154-155	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_{2}$	C, H, N	88	H	>10
143	H	Н	H	$8\text{-Pr}^n$	136-137	$C_{21}H_{21}NO_{2}$	C, H, N	84	Н	0.54 - 3.5
144	$\mathbf{H}$	Н	$\mathbf{F}$	Н	173-176	$C_{18}H_{14}FNO_{7}$	C, H, N	24	J	1
145	H	H	Cl	H	139-145	$C_{18}H_{14}CINO_{2}$	C, H, Cl, N	72	H	4
146	H	H	OMe	H	175	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_8$	C, H, N	52	J	4  to  > 10
147	H	Η	Ac	H	159-161	$C_{20}H_{17}NO_8$	C, H, N	52	H	6.1
148	H	H	$\mathrm{CO}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\mathrm{Me}$	H	164-168	$C_{20}H_{17}NO_9$	C, H, N	87	Н	>10
149	H	H	CN	H	204	$C_{19}H_{14}N_2O_7$	C, H, N	40	J	>10
150	H	H	Ph	H	180-182	$C_{24}H_{19}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	76	J	> 20
151	H	H	$CO_2H$	H	239-240	$C_{19}H_{15}NO_{9}$	C, H, N	55	H	> 20
152	H	H	${ m Me}$	H	170 - 172	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	21	J	4.5
153	Н	${ m Me}$	H	Ħ	142	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	64	J	4.4
154	${ m Me}$	Н	H	H	146	$C_{19}H_{17}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	70	J	0.59
155	H	tetran	nethylene	H	127 - 134	$C_{22}H_{21}NO_{7}$	C, H, N	40	J	> $2$
156	H	OH	$\mathbf{Ac}$	Н	199-200	$C_{20}H_{17}NO_9$	C, H, N	75	H	2.8
157	$NO_2$	H	Me	H	183-185	$C_{19}H_{16}N_{2}O_{9}$	C, H, N	20	H	>10
158	Pr <sup>n</sup>	OH	Ac	H	148	C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>23</sub> NO <sub>9</sub>	C, H, N	74	Н	0.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See footnote a, Table VI. <sup>b</sup> See text and Experimental Section. <sup>c</sup> See footnote c, Table VI.

Table IX. 4-Hydroxy-3-nitrocoumarins

$$R_3$$
 $R_4$ 
 $OH$ 
 $OH$ 
 $NO_2$ 
 $R_3$ 
 $R_2$ 
 $R_1$ 

compd	$R_{_1}$	$R_{\imath}$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	$\mathbf{R}_{\scriptscriptstyle{4}}$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{s}}$	mp, $^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{C}^a$	formula	anal.	yield, %	$_{\rm prep}^{b}$	antag of SRS-A conen, in $\mu$ M, for 50% inhib <sup>c</sup>
159	Н	H	H	Н	Н	179-180	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>8</sub>	C, H, N	50	H	12
160	H	H	$CO_2H$	H	H	220-221	C, H, NO	C, H, N	49	H	>10
161	Et	H	Η	H	H	140	$C_{20}^{17}H_{19}^{13}NO_8 \cdot 0.5H_2O$	C, H, N	52	J	2.7
162	$Pr^n$	H	H	H	H	125-126	$C_{21}H_{21}NO_8$	C, H, N	87	J	0.11
163	$\Pr^n$	H	F	H	H	136-138	$C_{21}H_{20}FNO_8$	C, H, N	92	J	0.14
164	$\mathbf{Pr}^{i}$	H	H	H	H	83	$C_{23}H_{23}NO_{3}$	C, H, N	65	J	0.16(0.27-1.6)
165	$\Pr^n$	H	Ac	H	H	145-148	$C_{23}^{23}H_{22}^{23}NO_{9}$	C, H, N	21	J	0.7
166	H	ОН	Ac	Н	Н	120	$C_{20}^{23}H_{17}^{11}NO_{10}^{2}\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	49	H	>20
167	Me	ОН	Ac	H	Н	204	$C_{21}^{7}H_{19}NO_{10}\cdot0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	67	H	0.5
168	$Pr^n$	OH	Ac	Н	H	201-203	C,3H23NO10	C, H, N	78	H	0.08 (0.03 - 0.23)
169	$Pr^n$	OH	EtCO	Н	H	121	$C_{24}^{73}H_{25}^{73}NO_{10}^{73}$	C, H, N	83	H	0.15
170	H	OH	Ac	Et	H	150	$C_{22}^{24}H_{21}^{23}NO_{10} \cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	30	H	3.5
171	$\mathbf{Pr}^n$	OH	Ac	H	Me	208	$C_{24}^{12}H_{25}^{11}NO_{10}H_{2}O$	C, H, N	12	H	0.025
172	$\mathbf{Pr}^n$	OH	Ac	NO.	Me	127	$C_{24}^{14}H_{24}N_{2}O_{12}\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$	C, H, N	58	H	1.60
173	$\mathbf{P}^n$	OH	Ac	H .	Et	168	C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NO <sub>10</sub>	C, H, N	40	J	0.05-0.6
174	$Pr^n$	ОН	Ac	Н	$\mathbf{P}$ r $^n$	foam	$C_{26}^{23}H_{29}^{29}NO_{10}\cdot 0.75H_{2}O$	C, H, N	98	Н	0.20 (0.006-6.9)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See footnote a, Table VI. <sup>b</sup> See text and Experimental Section. <sup>c</sup> See footnote c, Table VI.

8-Allyl-4-(benzyloxy)-7-hydroxycoumarin (178). A solution of 176 (11.3 g) in decalin (500 mL) was stirred at reflux under N<sub>2</sub> for 12 h, and the mixture was then cooled. After dilution with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, the product was extracted into 1 N NaOH solution, from which 7.03 g (62%) of 178, mp 205–207 °C, was isolated. Recrystallization from *i*-PrOH raised the mp to 224–226 °C. Anal. ( $C_{19}H_{16}O_4$ ·0.25 $H_2O$ ) C, H. From the organic phase, 2.8 g of 176 was reclaimed.

 $5^\prime\text{-Ethyl-}2^\prime\text{-hydroxy-}4^\prime\text{-}(3\text{-phenoxypropoxy})$  acetophenone (22, Method A). Anhydrous  $K_2\text{CO}_3$  (10.4 g, 0.075 mol) was added to a solution of  $2^\prime\text{,}4^\prime\text{-dihydroxy-}5^\prime\text{-ethylacetophenone}^{18}$  (9.0 g, 0.05 mol) and 1-bromo-3-phenoxypropane (10.8 g, 0.05 mol) in dry

 $\rm Me_2CO~(200~mL),$  and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 24 h. The mixture was cooled and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. Recrystallization of the residue from EtOH gave compound 22 (11.6 g, 74%), mp 60.5–61.5 °C.

6-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-7-(3-phenoxypropoxy) coumarin (54, Method B). A solution of 22 (11.4 g, 0.036 mol) in dry PhH (90 mL) was added over 30 min to a stirred suspension of NaH (1.97 g, 0.082 mol) in dry PhH (90 mL) at reflux. After a further 10 min, a solution of diethyl carbonate (8.8 g, 0.072 mol) in dry PhH (90 mL) was added over 1 h, and the mixture was stirred at reflux overnight. The cooled mixture was poured onto ice (100 g) and 2 N HCl (400 mL), and the aqueous phase was separated and

Table X. Rat Passive Peritoneal Anaphylaxis Data

compd	inhib of histamine release in rat PPA, ED, mol/rata
FPL55712 (II)	3.2 × 10 <sup>-8</sup>
DSCG <sup>b</sup>	$(1.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ to } 7.9 \times 10^{-9}, -62.8, 11)$ 5.2 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>
126	$(1.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ to } 1.6 \times 10^{-9}, -37.4, 16)$ $2.1 \times 10^{-9}$
158	$(7.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ to } 5.6 \times 10^{-10}, -43.6, 21)$ $4.8 \times 10^{-9}$
_	$(6.06 \times 10^{-8} \text{ to } 4.4 \times 10^{-10}, -70.5, 16)$
162	$1.1 \times 10^{-9}$ (4.8 × 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 2.1 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> , -33.4, 15)
163	$1.7 \times 10^{-9}$ (9.8 × 10 <sup>-9</sup> to 2.0 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> , -39.1, 13)
168	$6.5 \times 10^{-10}$
171	$(4.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ to } 1.1 \times 10^{-10}, -77.6, 19)$ $2.4 \times 10^{-9}$
174	$(7.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ to } 4.1 \times 10^{-11}, -34.4, 14)$ $6.2 \times 10^{-10}$
	$(1.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{ to } 2.3 \times 10^{-10}, -76.2, 19)$

a Dose required to reduce the concentration of histamine in the peritoneal fluid to 50% of that in controls (95%) confidence limits, slope of histamine concentration/log <sup>b</sup> Disodium cromoglycate. dose line, number of rats).

extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic phases were extracted with 2 N NaOH, from which 9.1 g (73%) of coumarin was isolated by acidification and filtration. Recrystallization from EtOH gave material of mp 233-234 °C (dec).

4-Amino-5-methyl-7-(3-phenoxypropoxy)coumarin (99, Method C). NaH (1.40 g, 10% excess) was added to a stirred suspension of 4-amino-7-hydroxy-5-methylcoumarin<sup>12</sup> (9.67 g, 0.051 mol) in dry DMF (40 mL), and the mixture was refluxed for 90 min to complete formation of the sodium salt. A solution of 1-bromo-3-phenoxypropane (10.9 g, 0.051 mol) in dry DMF (10 mL) was added dropwise over 1 h to the hot solution, and refluxing was maintained for a further 4 h. After cooling, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with water, filtered, and dried. Trituration with anhydrous Et<sub>2</sub>O gave 15.13 g (91%) of material, mp 154-155 °C. Recrystallization from EtOH after decolorizing with charcoal gave the following: mp 164-167 °C, IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (mull) 3500, 3300, 3150, 3070, 1695, 1645, 1605 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR  $\delta$  (Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ ) 2.18 (2 H, quintet, J = 6 Hz, CC $H_2$ C) 2.68  $(3 \text{ H, s, CH}_3), 4.11 (2 \text{ H, t, } J = 6 \text{ Hz, OCH}_2), 4.20 (2 \text{ H, t, } J = 6)$ Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.18 (1 H, s, vinyl CH), 6.70-7.42 (9 H, complex m, collapses to 7 H on  $D_2O$  exchange, aromatic  $CH + NH_2$ ).

4-Hydroxy-5-methyl-7-(3-phenoxypropoxy)coumarin (54, Method D). A mixture of 99 (6.8 g) and 50% (v/v)  $H_2SO_4$  (160 g) was heated with stirring at 100 °C for 8 h, and the suspension was cooled and poured into water. Filtration and recrystallization of the residue from EtOH afforded 4.4 g (65%) of 54, mp 217-219

In general, a hydrolysis time of 2-8 h was sufficient, depending on the solubility of the aminocoumarin.

4-(Benzyloxy)-7-[3-(4-carbomethoxyphenoxy)propoxy]coumarin (104, Method E). A solution of 4-(benzyloxy)-7hydroxycoumarin (2.68 g, 0.01 mol) in dry DMF (7.5 mL) was treated with 100% NaH (0.265 g) and stirred at 100 °C for 1 h. Methyl 4-(3-chloropropoxy)benzoate<sup>19</sup> (2.285 g, 0.01 mol) in dry DMF (1 mL) was added portionwise over 1 h to the hot solution, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for an additional 4 h. After cooling. the solvent was removed in vacuo, water was added, and the precipitated solid was separated. Recrystallization from MeOH (1.5 L) gave 3.16 g (69%) of 104, mp 153-155 °C. Anal.  $(C_{27}H_{24}O_7)$  C, H.

4-(Benzyloxy)-7-[2-hydroxy-3-(2-n-propylphenoxy)propoxy]coumarin (106, Method F). To a suspension of 4-(benzyloxy)-7-hydroxycoumarin (5.38 g, 0.02 mol) in EtOH (50 mL) was added a solution of NaOH (0.4 g) in water (10 mL) followed by 1,2-epoxy-3-(2-n-propylphenoxy)propane<sup>6</sup> (3.84 g, 0.02 mol) in EtOH (20 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 4 h and cooled, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with water, and the product was extracted into EtOAc. Evaporation of the dried extract gave an oily solid, which recrystallized from EtOH to give 4.52 g (55%) of 106, mp

109-110 °C. Anal. (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) C, H.

7-[3-(4-Carbomethoxyphenoxy)propoxy]-4-hydroxycoumarin (61, Method G). A solution of 104 (2.87 g) in dry DMF (100 mL) was added to 10% palladinized charcoal (0.15 g), and the mixture was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure until 1 equiv of H<sub>2</sub> was absorbed (15 min). The filtered solution was concentrated in vacuo, water was added, and the white solid was filtered off. Recrystallization from MeOH gave 1.91 g (83%) of 61, mp 218 °C. Anal.  $(C_{20}H_{18}O_7)$  C, H.

Partial Debenzylation of 4,7-(Dibenzyloxy)coumarin to 7-(Benzyloxy)-4-hydroxycoumarin (48). Hydrogenation of 4,7-(dibenzyloxy)coumarin (0.358 g, mp 138-140 °C, prepared by method E) over 10% palladinized charcoal in dry DMF (15 mL) resulted in a rapid uptake of 1 equiv of H<sub>2</sub> to give 0.227 g (85%) of benzyloxycoumarin 48, identical in all respects with that prepared from 16 using method B.

4-Hydroxy-3-nitro-7-(3-phenoxypropoxy)coumarin (126 method H). Fuming HNO<sub>3</sub> (6 mL, d 1.52) was added over 1 h to a stirred suspension of 40 (2.0 g) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (200 mL) at 0 °C, and the dark solution was stirred at this temperature for a further 15 min. Cold 5 N HCl (100 mL) was added, and the CHCl<sub>3</sub> was removed in vacuo at 0 °C. The yellow solid which separated was filtered off, washed well with water, and recrystallized from EtOH to give 1.90 g (82%) of 126: mp 148–150 °C (dec); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (mull) 1750, 1605, 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>) C, H, N.

4-Hydroxy-7-[3-(2-methylphenoxy)propoxy]-3-nitrocoumarin (154, Method J).  $NaNO_2$  (0.24 g, 0.003 mol) was added in one portion to a vigorously stirred suspension of 67 (1 g, 0.003) mol) in glacial AcOH (30 mL). After 2 h at ambient temperature, the reddish solution was poured into water (150 mL), and the precipitated yellow solid was filtered off. Recrystallization from aqueous EtOH gave 0.82 g (70%) of 154, mp 146 °C. Anal. (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>7</sub>) C, H, N.

SRS-A Antagonism. The material used as an SRS-A standard was the heated supernatant of combined peritoneal fluids collected, 5 min after antigen challenge, from rats subjected to passive peritoneal anaphylaxis.<sup>20</sup> It was assayed on the isolated terminal strip of a guinea pig ileum preparation suspended in 4 mL of Tyrode's solution containing atropine (5  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup> M) and mepyramine maleate (10<sup>-6</sup> M), as described previously.<sup>20</sup> The antagonist, in 0.1 mL of water, was added to the Tyrode's solution 30 s before a volume of the SRS-A solution (max 0.2 mL) that in the absence of the antagonist produced a substantial but less than maximal response. At least three different inhibitory concentrations of the antagonist were used, and the activity of each antagonist was determined on more than one sample of guinea pig ileum. The concentration of the antagonist, in the 4 mL of solution in the bath, required for 50% inhibition of the SRS-A response was determined by eye from the log concentration-inhibition curve or by calculation of the line of best fit and 95% confidence limits as described in ref 21.

Passive Peritoneal Anaphylaxis. Passive peritoneal anaphylaxis (PPA) was carried out in the rat as described previously.20 Briefly, antiserum to ovalbumin (Sigma grade III) was raised in rats using Bordetella pertussis vaccine (Burroughs Wellcome, London) as adjuvant. Rats were given intraperitoneal injections of 2 mL of a 1:5 dilution of the rat antiserum in isotonic saline. Two hours later, 0.3 mL of a 5% solution of Pontamine Sky Blue (Raymond A. Lamb, London) in isotonic saline was injected intravenously, followed immediately by an intraperitoneal injection with 1 mL of a solution of a test compound in saline or of saline alone for control rats. Each dose of a compound or of saline was given to groups of five to seven rats, and treatments were randomized. After 30 s, the rats were given an intraperitoneal injection of 5 mL of a Tyrode solution containing 50 µg/mL heparin and 0.4 mg/mL ovalbumin. Exactly 5 min after challenge, the rats were stunned and bled, and the peritoneal fluids were collected and assayed for histamine as described.<sup>20</sup> The line of best fit for the log dose-response curve and the 95% confidence limits were calculated as described.21

## References and Notes

(1) J. S. G. Cox, J. E. Beach, A. M. J. N. Blair, A. J. Clarke, J. King, T. B. Lee, D. E. E. Loveday, G. F. Moss, T. S. C. Orr, J. T. Ritchie, and P. Sheard, Adv. Drug Res., 5, 115 (1970).

- (2) R. P. Orange and K. F. Austen, Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol., 41, 79 (1971).
- (3) D. H. Bryant, M. W. Burns, and L. Lazarus, J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 56, 417 (1975).
- (4) (a) D. R. Buckle, N. J. Morgan, J. W. Ross, H. Smith, and B. A. Spicer, J. Med. Chem., 16, 1334 (1973); (b) D. R. Buckle, B. C. C. Cantello, H. Smith, and B. A. Spicer, J. Med. Chem., 18, 391 (1975); (c) ibid., 18, 726 (1975); (d) D. R. Buckle, B. C. C. Cantello, H. Smith, R. J. Smith, and B. A. Spicer, J. Med. Chem., 20, 1059 (1977).
- (5) B. A. Spicer, J. W. Ross, and H. Smith, Clin. Exp. Immunol., 21, 419 (1975).
- (6) R. A. Appleton, J. R. Bantick, T. R. Chamberlain, D. N. Harden, T. B. Lee, and A. D. Pratt, J. Med. Chem., 20, 371 (1977).
- (7) J. Augstein, J. B. Farmer, T. B. Lee, P. Sheard, and M. L. Tattersall, Nature (London), New Biol., 245, 215 (1973).
- (8) I. M. Heilbron and D. W. Hill, J. Chem. Soc., 1705 (1927).
- (9) C. S. Marvel and A. L. Tanenbaum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 44, 2645 (1922).
- (10) O. Stephenson, J. Chem. Soc., 1571 (1954).

- (11) S. Iguchi, Yakugaku Zasshi, 72, 122 (1952); Chem. Abstr., 46, 11187a (1952).
- (12) S. Iguchi and N. Utsugi, Yakugaku Zasshi, 73, 1290 (1953); Chem. Abstr., 49, 304g (1955).
- (13) C. F. Spencer, C. H. Stammer, J. O. Rodin, E. Walton, F. W. Holly, and K. Folkers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 2655 (1956).
- (14) W. Baker and O. M. Lothian, J. Chem. Soc., 628 (1935).
- (15) J. V. Braun, Chem. Ber., 43, 2837 (1910).
- (16) A. A. Shamshurin and L. L. Simonova, Chem. Abstr., 65, 10572h (1966).
- (17) A. Russell, J. R. Frye, and W. L. Mauldin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 62, 1441 (1940).
- (18) K. W. Rosenmund, R. Buchwald, and Th. Deligiannis, Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim, Ger.), 271, 342 (1933).
- (19) J. D. Genzer, C. P. Huttrer, and G. C. van Wessem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 3159 (1951).
- (20) J. W. Ross, H. Smith, and B. A. Spicer, Int. Arch. Allergy Appl. Immunol., 51, 226 (1976).
- (21) K. A. Brownlee, "Statistical Theory and Methodology in Science and Engineering", Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1965.

# Synthesis and Pharmacologic Characterization of an Alkylating Analogue (Chlornaltrexamine) of Naltrexone with Ultralong-Lasting Narcotic Antagonist Properties

P. S. Portoghese,\* D. L. Larson, J. B. Jiang,

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, College of Pharmacy

T. P. Caruso, and A. E. Takemori

Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minnesota, Minnesota 55455. Received July 19, 1978

Chlornaltrexamine (CNA) produces ultralong-lasting (3-6 days) narcotic antagonism in mice and persistent stereospecific binding to rat-brain homogenate. Protection studies in mice suggest that CNA mediates its narcotic antagonist effects by interacting with the same receptors that are occupied by naloxone. A single icv dose of CNA also has been found to inhibit the development of physical dependence in mice for at least 3 days. These studies suggest that CNA exerts its sustained effects by selective covalent association with opioid receptors.

Narcotic antagonists are used extensively as pharmacologic tools for the investigation of opioid receptors. Indeed, the recent research literature attests to the impact that such antagonists have made in this active research area. However, the reversible nature of conventional narcotic antagonists (e.g., naloxone and naltrexone) is an inherent limitation to their utility, particularly with regard to the use of such compounds in the isolation and purification of opioid receptors. Ligands that specifically form covalent bonds with opioid receptors, therefore, would represent a major addition to the armamentarium of agents employed as investigational tools.

For this reason, considerable effort has been devoted to the design and synthesis of agents having this potential.<sup>3–9</sup> In this publication we describe our detailed studies concerning the first example of an alkylating agent which covalently associates with receptors which mediate narcotic antagonist activity in vivo and in vitro. We have named this ultralong-acting antagonist, chlornaltrexamine (CNA) <sup>10</sup>

Design Considerations and Chemistry. Three factors will affect the efficiency of receptor alkylation once an affinity labeling agent<sup>11</sup> reaches the biophase. These are (1) the affinity of the ligand for the receptor, (2) the intrinsic chemical reactivity of the alkylating moiety, and (3) the proximity of the reactive moiety of the ligand to a receptor nucleophile in the drug-receptor complex. While criteria 1 and 2 can be met without much difficulty, criterion 3 is not easily attained because there is no information on the location of nucleophiles on or adjacent to the receptor.

Since previous studies involving the attachment of reactive moieties to the aromatic ring of the *N*-phenethyl group of anileridine<sup>4,6</sup> and *N*-phenethyl-3-hydroxymorphinan<sup>9</sup> gave inconclusive results, we decided to modify our approach by attaching the reactive moiety to a narcotic antagonist rather than an agonist. Also, the position of attachment was changed so as to explore a different receptor locus for the nucleophile.

Inasmuch as naltrexone<sup>12</sup> (2) is a relatively "pure" narcotic antagonist with high receptor affinity,<sup>13</sup> we selected it for modification. The C-6 center was chosen as the point of attachment for the bis( $\alpha$ -chloroethyl)amino group because a variety of substituents in this position do not destroy receptor affinity.<sup>13</sup> <sup>15</sup>

CNA (1) was synthesized from naltrexone (2) by two different routes (see Scheme I). In the first route, reductive amination of 2 with NaCNBH<sub>3</sub><sup>16</sup> and diethanol-